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## CHAPTER 1

## CHEMICAL FOUNDATIONS

## Questions

17. A law summarizes what happens, e.g., law of conservation of mass in a chemical reaction or the ideal gas law, $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}$. A theory (model) is an attempt to explain why something happens. Dalton's atomic theory explains why mass is conserved in a chemical reaction. The kinetic molecular theory explains why pressure and volume are inversely related at constant temperature and moles of gas present, as well as explaining the other mathematical relationships summarized in $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}$.
18. A dynamic process is one that is active as opposed to static. In terms of the scientific method, scientists are always performing experiments to prove or disprove a hypothesis or a law or a theory. Scientists do not stop asking questions just because a given theory seems to account satisfactorily for some aspect of natural behavior. The key to the scientific method is to continually ask questions and perform experiments. Science is an active process, not a static one.
19. The fundamental steps are
(1) making observations;
(2) formulating hypotheses;
(3) performing experiments to test the hypotheses.

The key to the scientific method is performing experiments to test hypotheses. If after the test of time the hypotheses seem to account satisfactorily for some aspect of natural behavior, then the set of tested hypotheses turns into a theory (model). However, scientists continue to perform experiments to refine or replace existing theories.
20. A random error has equal probability of being too high or too low. This type of error occurs when estimating the value of the last digit of a measurement. A systematic error is one that always occurs in the same direction, either too high or too low. For example, this type of error would occur if the balance you were using weighed all objects 0.20 g too high, that is, if the balance wasn't calibrated correctly. A random error is an indeterminate error, whereas a systematic error is a determinate error.
21. A qualitative observation expresses what makes something what it is; it does not involve a number; e.g., the air we breathe is a mixture of gases, ice is less dense than water, rotten milk stinks.

The SI units are mass in kilograms, length in meters, and volume in the derived units of $\mathrm{m}^{3}$. The assumed uncertainty in a number is $\pm 1$ in the last significant figure of the number. The precision of an instrument is related to the number of significant figures associated with an
experimental reading on that instrument. Different instruments for measuring mass, length, or volume have varying degrees of precision. Some instruments only give a few significant figures for a measurement, whereas others will give more significant figures.
22. Precision: reproducibility; accuracy: the agreement of a measurement with the true value.
a. Imprecise and inaccurate data: $12.32 \mathrm{~cm}, 9.63 \mathrm{~cm}, 11.98 \mathrm{~cm}, 13.34 \mathrm{~cm}$
b. Precise but inaccurate data: $8.76 \mathrm{~cm}, 8.79 \mathrm{~cm}, 8.72 \mathrm{~cm}, 8.75 \mathrm{~cm}$
c. Precise and accurate data: $10.60 \mathrm{~cm}, 10.65 \mathrm{~cm}, 10.63 \mathrm{~cm}, 10.64 \mathrm{~cm}$

Data can be imprecise if the measuring device is imprecise as well as if the user of the measuring device has poor skills. Data can be inaccurate due to a systematic error in the measuring device or with the user. For example, a balance may read all masses as weighing 0.2500 g too high or the user of a graduated cylinder may read all measurements 0.05 mL too low.

A set of measurements that are imprecise implies that all the numbers are not close to each other. If the numbers aren't reproducible, then all the numbers can't be very close to the true value. Some say that if the average of imprecise data gives the true value, then the data are accurate; a better description is that the data takers are extremely lucky.
23. Significant figures are the digits we associate with a number. They contain all of the certain digits and the first uncertain digit (the first estimated digit). What follows is one thousand indicated to varying numbers of significant figures: 1000 or $1 \times 10^{3}$ ( 1 S.F.); $1.0 \times 10^{3}$ (2 S.F.); $1.00 \times 10^{3}$ (3 S.F.); 1000 . or $1.000 \times 10^{3}$ (4 S.F.).

To perform the calculation, the addition/subtraction significant figure rule is applied to 1.5 1.0. The result of this is the one-significant-figure answer of 0.5 . Next, the multiplication/division rule is applied to $0.5 / 0.50$. A one-significant-figure number divided by a two-significant-figure number yields an answer with one significant figure (answer =1).
24. From Figure 1.9 of the text, a change in temperature of $180^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is equal to a change in temperature of $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 100 K . A degree unit on the Fahrenheit scale is not a large as a degree unit on the Celsius or Kelvin scales. Therefore, a $20^{\circ}$ change in the Celsius or Kelvin temperature would correspond to a larger temperature change than a $20^{\circ}$ change in the Fahrenheit scale. The $20^{\circ}$ temperature change on the Celsius and Kelvin scales are equal to each other.
25. Straight line equation: $y=m x+b$, where $m$ is the slope of the line and $b$ is the $y$-intercept. For the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}$ vs. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ plot:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=(9 / 5) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+32 \\
y=m \quad x+b
\end{gathered}
$$

The slope of the plot is $1.8(=9 / 5)$ and the $y$-intercept is $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
For the $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ vs. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}$ plot:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}-273 \\
y=m x+b
\end{gathered}
$$

The slope of the plot is 1 , and the $y$-intercept is $-273^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
26. a. coffee; saltwater; the air we breathe $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+\right.$ others $)$; brass $(\mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Zn})$
b. book; human being; tree; desk
c. sodium chloride $(\mathrm{NaCl})$; water $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; glucose $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right)$; carbon dioxide $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$
d. nitrogen $\left(\mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$; oxygen $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$; copper $(\mathrm{Cu})$; zinc $(\mathrm{Zn})$
e. boiling water; freezing water; melting a popsicle; dry ice subliming
f. Elecrolysis of molten sodium chloride to produce sodium and chlorine gas; the explosive reaction between oxygen and hydrogen to produce water; photosynthesis, which converts $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$; the combustion of gasoline in our car to produce $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

## Exercises

## Significant Figures and Unit Conversions

27. 

a. exact
b. inexact
c. exact
d. inexact ( $\pi$ has an infinite number of decimal places.)
28. a. one significant figure (S.F.). The implied uncertainty is $\pm 1000$ pages. More significant figures should be added if a more precise number is known.
b. two S.F.
c. four S.F.
d. two S.F.
e. infinite number of S.F. (exact number)
f. one S.F.
29.
a. $\quad \underline{6.07} \times 10^{-15} ; 3$ S.F.
b. $0.003840 ; 4$ S.F.
c. $17.00 ; 4$ S.F.
d. $8 \times 10^{8} ; 1$ S.F.
e. 463.8052; 7 S.F.
f. $300 ; 1$ S.F.
g. 301; 3 S.F.
h. 300.; 3 S.F.
30.
a. $100 ; 1$ S.F.
b. $\underline{1.0} \times 10^{2} ; 2$ S.F.
c. $1.00 \times 10^{3}$; 3 S.F.
d. 100.; 3 S.F.
e. 0.0048; 2 S.F.
f. $0.00480 ; 3$ S.F.
g. $\underline{4.80} \times 10^{-3} ; 3$ S.F.
h. $\underline{4.800} \times 10^{-3} ; 4$ S.F.
31. When rounding, the last significant figure stays the same if the number after this significant figure is less than 5 and increases by one if the number is greater than or equal to 5 .
a. $3.42 \times 10^{-4}$
a. $4 \times 10^{5}$
b. $1.034 \times 10^{4}$
b. $3.9 \times 10^{5}$
c. $1.7992 \times 10^{1}$
c. $3.86 \times 10^{5}$
d. $3.37 \times 10^{5}$
d. $3.8550 \times 10^{5}$
32.
33. Volume measurements are estimated to one place past the markings on the glassware. The first graduated cylinder is labeled to 0.2 mL volume increments, so we estimate volumes to
the hundredths place. Realistically, the uncertainty in this graduated cylinder is $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{~mL}$. The second cylinder, with 0.02 mL volume increments, will have an uncertainty of $\pm 0.005$ mL . The approximate volume in the first graduated cylinder is 2.85 mL , and the volume in the other graduated cylinder is approximately 0.280 mL . The total volume would be:

$$
\begin{gathered}
2.85 \mathrm{~mL} \\
+0.280 \mathrm{~mL} \\
\hline 3.13 \mathrm{~mL}
\end{gathered}
$$

We should report the total volume to the hundredths place because the volume from the first graduated cylinder is only read to the hundredths (read to two decimal places). The first graduated cylinder is the least precise volume measurement because the uncertainty of this instrument is in the hundredths place, while the uncertainty of the second graduated cylinder is to the thousandths place. It is always the lease precise measurement that limits the precision of a calculation.
34. a. Volumes are always estimated to one position past the marked volume increments. The estimated volume of the first beaker is 32.7 mL , the estimated volume of the middle beaker is 33 mL , and the estimated volume in the last beaker is 32.73 mL .
b. Yes, all volumes could be identical to each other because the more precise volume readings can be rounded to the other volume readings. But because the volumes are in three different measuring devices, each with its own unique uncertainty, we cannot say with certainty that all three beakers contain the same amount of water.
c. 32.7 mL

33 mL
32.73 mL
$98.43 \mathrm{~mL}=98 \mathrm{~mL}$
The volume in the middle beaker can only be estimated to the ones place, which dictates that the sum of the volume should be reported to the ones place. As is always the case, the least precise measurement determines the precision of a calculation.
35. For addition and/or subtraction, the result has the same number of decimal places as the number in the calculation with the fewest decimal places. When the result is rounded to the correct number of significant figures, the last significant figure stays the same if the number after this significant figure is less than 5 and increases by one if the number is greater than or equal to 5 . The underline shows the last significant figure in the intermediate answers.
a. $212.2+26.7+402.09=640.99=641.0$
b. $1.0028+0.221+0.10337=1.32717=1.327$
c. $52.331+26.01-0.9981=77.3429=77.34$
d. $2.01 \times 10^{2}+3.014 \times 10^{3}=2.01 \times 10^{2}+30.14 \times 10^{2}=32.1 \underline{5} \times 10^{2}=3215$

When the exponents are different, it is easiest to apply the addition/subtraction rule when all numbers are based on the same power of 10 .
e. $7.255-6.8350=0.42=0.420$ (first uncertain digit is in the third decimal place).
36. For multiplication and/or division, the result has the same number of significant figures as the number in the calculation with the fewest significant figures.
a. $\quad \frac{0.102 \times 0.0821 \times 273}{1.01}=\underline{2.2635}=2.26$
b. $\quad 0.14 \times 6.022 \times 10^{23}=\underline{8.431} \times 10^{22}=8.4 \times 10^{22}$; since 0.14 only has two significant figures, the result should only have two significant figures.
c. $4.0 \times 10^{4} \times 5.021 \times 10^{-3} \times 7.34993 \times 10^{2}=\underline{1.476 \times 10^{5}=1.5 \times 10^{5}}$
d. $\quad \frac{2.00 \times 10^{6}}{3.00 \times 10^{-7}}=\underline{6.6667} \times 10^{12}=6.67 \times 10^{12}$
37. a. Here, apply the multiplication/division rule first; then apply the addition/subtraction rule to arrive at the one-decimal-place answer. We will generally round off at intermediate steps in order to show the correct number of significant figures. However, you should round off at the end of all the mathematical operations in order to avoid round-off error. The best way to do calculations is to keep track of the correct number of significant figures during intermediate steps, but round off at the end. For this problem, we underlined the last significant figure in the intermediate steps.

$$
\frac{2.526}{3.1}+\frac{0.470}{0.623}+\frac{80.705}{0.4326}=0.8 \underline{1} 48+0.75 \underline{4} 4+186 . \underline{5} 58=188.1
$$

b. Here, the mathematical operation requires that we apply the addition/subtraction rule first, then apply the multiplication/division rule.

$$
\frac{6.404 \times 2.91}{18.7-17.1}=\frac{6.404 \times 2.91}{1.6}=12
$$

c. $\quad 6.071 \times 10^{-5}-8.2 \times 10^{-6}-0.521 \times 10^{-4}=60.71 \times 10^{-6}-8.2 \times 10^{-6}-52.1 \times 10^{-6}$

$$
=0.41 \times 10^{-6}=4 \times 10^{-7}
$$

d. $\frac{3.8 \times 10^{-12}+4.0 \times 10^{-13}}{4 \times 10^{12}+6.3 \times 10^{13}}=\frac{38 \times 10^{-13}+4.0 \times 10^{-13}}{4 \times 10^{12}+63 \times 10^{12}}=\frac{4 \underline{2} \times 10^{-13}}{6 \underline{\underline{1}} \times 10^{12}}=6.3 \times 10^{-26}$
e. $\frac{9.5+4.1+2.8+3.175}{4}=\frac{19.575}{4}=4.89=4.9$

Uncertainty appears in the first decimal place. The average of several numbers can only be as precise as the least precise number. Averages can be exceptions to the significant figure rules.
f. $\quad \frac{8.925-8.905}{8.925} \times 100=\frac{0.02 \underline{0}}{8.925} \times 100=0.22$
38. a. $6.022 \times 10^{23} \times 1.05 \times 10^{2}=6.32 \times 10^{25}$
b. $\frac{6.6262 \times 10^{-34} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8}}{2.54 \times 10^{-9}}=7.82 \times 10^{-17}$
c. $1.285 \times 10^{-2}+1.24 \times 10^{-3}+1.879 \times 10^{-1}$

$$
=0.1285 \times 10^{-1}+0.0124 \times 10^{-1}+1.879 \times 10^{-1}=2.020 \times 10^{-1}
$$

When the exponents are different, it is easiest to apply the addition/subtraction rule when all numbers are based on the same power of 10 .
d. $\frac{(1.00866-1.00728)}{6.02205 \times 10^{23}}=\frac{0.00138}{6.02205 \times 10^{23}}=2.29 \times 10^{-27}$
e. $\frac{9.875 \times 10^{2}-9.795 \times 10^{2}}{9.875 \times 10^{2}} \times 100=\frac{0.080 \times 10^{2}}{9.875 \times 10^{2}} \times 100=8.1 \times 10^{-1}$
f. $\frac{9.42 \times 10^{2}+8.234 \times 10^{2}+1.625 \times 10^{3}}{3}=\frac{0.942 \times 10^{3}+0.824 \times 10^{3}+1.625 \times 10^{3}}{3}$ $=1.130 \times 10^{3}$
39.
a. $\quad 8.43 \mathrm{~cm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{\mathrm{~m}}=84.3 \mathrm{~mm}$
b. $2.41 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~cm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}=2.41 \mathrm{~m}$
c. $\quad 294.5 \mathrm{~nm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~nm}} \times \frac{100 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~m}}=2.945 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}$
d. $1.445 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~km}}{1000 \mathrm{~m}}=14.45 \mathrm{~km}$
e. $235.3 \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mm}}{\mathrm{~m}}=2.353 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mm}$
f. $\quad 903.3 \mathrm{~nm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~nm}} \times \frac{1 \times 10^{6} \mu \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~m}}=0.9033 \mu \mathrm{~m}$
40. a. $1 \mathrm{Tg} \times \frac{1 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{Tg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}}=1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~kg}$
b. $\quad 6.50 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{Tm} \times \frac{1 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{Tm}} \times \frac{1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~nm}}{\mathrm{~m}}=6.50 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{~nm}$
c. $25 \mathrm{fg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{fg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}}=25 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~kg}=2.5 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{~kg}$
d. $8.0 \mathrm{dm}^{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{dm}^{3}}=8.0 \mathrm{~L} \quad\left(1 \mathrm{~L}=1 \mathrm{dm}^{3}=1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=1000 \mathrm{~mL}\right)$
e. $1 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \times 10^{6} \mu \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~L}}=1 \times 10^{3} \mu \mathrm{~L}$
f. $\quad 1 \mu \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \times 10^{6} \mu \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{pg}}{\mathrm{g}}=1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{pg}$
41. a. Appropriate conversion factors are found in Appendix 6. In general, the number of significant figures we use in the conversion factors will be one more than the number of significant figures from the numbers given in the problem. This is usually sufficient to avoid round-off error.
$3.91 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{0.4536 \mathrm{~kg}}=8.62 \mathrm{lb} ; 0.62 \mathrm{lb} \times \frac{16 \mathrm{oz}}{\mathrm{lb}}=9.9 \mathrm{oz}$
Baby's weight $=8 \mathrm{lb}$ and 9.9 oz or, to the nearest ounce, 8 lb and $10 . \mathrm{oz}$.
$51.4 \mathrm{~cm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{in}}{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}=20.2 \mathrm{in} \approx 201 / 4 \mathrm{in}=$ baby's height
b. $25,000 \mathrm{mi} \times \frac{1.61 \mathrm{~km}}{\mathrm{mi}}=4.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km} ; 4.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~km} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~km}}=4.0 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~m}$
c. $\quad V=1 \times \mathrm{w} \times \mathrm{h}=1.0 \mathrm{~m} \times\left(5.6 \mathrm{~cm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}\right) \times\left(2.1 \mathrm{dm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{10 \mathrm{dm}}\right)=1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \times\left(\frac{10 \mathrm{dm}}{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{dm}^{3}}=12 \mathrm{~L}$
$12 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{in}}{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}\right)^{3}=730 \mathrm{in}^{3} ; 730 \mathrm{in}^{3} \times\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{ft}}{12 \mathrm{in}}\right)^{3}=0.42 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$
42. a. $908 \mathrm{oz} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{16 \mathrm{oz}} \times \frac{0.4536 \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{lb}}=25.7 \mathrm{~kg}$
b. $\quad 12.8 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{qt}}{0.9463 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{gal}}{4 \mathrm{qt}}=3.38 \mathrm{gal}$
c. $125 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{qt}}{0.9463 \mathrm{~L}}=0.132 \mathrm{qt}$
d. $\quad 2.89 \mathrm{gal} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{qt}}{1 \mathrm{gal}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1.057 \mathrm{qt}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{1 \mathrm{~L}}=1.09 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mL}$
e. $\quad 4.48 \mathrm{lb} \times \frac{453.6 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \mathrm{lb}}=2.03 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}$
f. $\quad 550 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1.06 \mathrm{qt}}{\mathrm{L}}=0.58 \mathrm{qt}$
43. a. $1.25 \mathrm{mi} \times \frac{8 \text { furlongs }}{\mathrm{mi}}=10.0$ furlongs; 10.0 furlongs $\times \frac{40 \text { rods }}{\text { furlong }}=4.00 \times 10^{2}$ rods

$$
4.00 \times 10^{2} \text { rods } \times \frac{5.5 \mathrm{yd}}{\text { rod }} \times \frac{36 \mathrm{in}}{y d} \times \frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{in}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}=2.01 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}
$$

$2.01 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~km}}{1000 \mathrm{~m}}=2.01 \mathrm{~km}$
b. Let's assume we know this distance to $\pm 1$ yard. First, convert 26 miles to yards.
$26 \mathrm{mi} \times \frac{5280 \mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{mi}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{yd}}{3 \mathrm{ft}}=45,760 . \mathrm{yd}$
$26 \mathrm{mi}+385 \mathrm{yd}=45,760 . \mathrm{yd}+385 \mathrm{yd}=46,145$ yards
46,145 yard $\times \frac{1 \text { rod }}{5.5 \text { yd }}=8390.0$ rods; 8390.0 rods $\times \frac{1 \text { furlong }}{40 \text { rods }}=209.75$ furlongs
$46,145 \operatorname{yard} \times \frac{36 \mathrm{in}}{y \mathrm{yd}} \times \frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{in}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}=42,195 \mathrm{~m} ; 42,195 \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~km}}{1000 \mathrm{~m}}$ $=42.195 \mathrm{~km}$
44. a. 1 ha $\times \frac{10,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\text { ha }} \times\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~km}}{1000 \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{2}=1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
b. 5.5 acre $\times \frac{160 \mathrm{rod}^{2}}{\text { acre }} \times\left(\frac{5.5 \mathrm{yd}}{\text { rod }} \times \frac{36 \mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{yd}} \times \frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\text { in }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}\right)^{2}=2.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~m}^{2}$
$2.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times \frac{1 \text { ha }}{1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}=2.2$ ha; $2.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~km}}{1000 \mathrm{~m}}\right)^{2}=0.022 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$
c. Area of lot $=120 \mathrm{ft} \times 75 \mathrm{ft}=9.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{ft}^{2}$
$9.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{ft}^{2} \times\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{yd}}{3 \mathrm{ft}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{rod}}{5.5 \mathrm{yd}}\right)^{2} \times \frac{1 \text { acre }}{160 \mathrm{rod}^{2}}=0.21$ acre; $\frac{\$ 6,500}{0.21 \text { acre }}=\frac{\$ 31,000}{\text { acre }}$
We can use our result from (b) to get the conversion factor between acres and hectares ( 5.5 acre $=2.2$ ha.). Thus 1 ha $=2.5$ acre.
0.21 acre $\times \frac{1 \text { ha }}{2.5 \text { acre }}=0.084$ ha; the price is: $\frac{\$ 6,500}{0.084 \text { ha }}=\frac{\$ 77,000}{\text { ha }}$
45. a. 1 troy lb $\times \frac{12 \text { troy oz }}{\text { troy lb }} \times \frac{20 \mathrm{pw}}{\text { troy oz }} \times \frac{24 \text { grains }}{\mathrm{pw}} \times \frac{0.0648 \mathrm{~g}}{\text { grain }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}}=0.373 \mathrm{~kg}$

1 troy $\mathrm{lb}=0.373 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{2.205 \mathrm{lb}}{\mathrm{kg}}=0.822 \mathrm{lb}$
b. 1 troy oz $\times \frac{20 \mathrm{pw}}{\text { troy oz }} \times \frac{24 \text { grains }}{\mathrm{pw}} \times \frac{0.0648 \mathrm{~g}}{\text { grain }}=31.1 \mathrm{~g}$

1 troy oz $=31.1 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \text { carat }}{0.200 \mathrm{~g}}=156$ carats
c. 1 troy $\mathrm{lb}=0.373 \mathrm{~kg} ; 0.373 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{19.3 \mathrm{~g}}=19.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
46. a. 1 grain ap $\times \frac{1 \text { scruple }}{20 \text { grain ap }} \times \frac{1 \text { dram ap }}{3 \text { scruples }} \times \frac{3.888 \mathrm{~g}}{\text { dram ap }}=0.06480 \mathrm{~g}$

From the previous question, we are given that 1 grain troy $=0.0648 \mathrm{~g}=1$ grain ap. So the two are the same.
b. $1 \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{ap} \times \frac{8 \text { dram ap }}{\mathrm{oz} \mathrm{ap}} \times \frac{3.888 \mathrm{~g}}{\text { dram ap }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{troy} *}{31.1 \mathrm{~g}}=1.00 \mathrm{oz}$ troy; $\quad *$ see Exercise 45 b .
c. $5.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \text { dram ap }}{3.888 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{3 \text { scruples }}{\text { dram ap }}=0.386$ scruple
0.386 scruple $\times \frac{20 \text { grains ap }}{\text { scruple }}=7.72$ grains ap
d. 1 scruple $\times \frac{1 \text { dram ap }}{3 \text { scruples }} \times \frac{3.888 \mathrm{~g}}{\text { dram ap }}=1.296 \mathrm{~g}$
47. $15.6 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \text { capsule }}{0.65 \mathrm{~g}}=24$ capsules
48. $\quad 1.5$ teaspoons $\times \frac{80 . \text { mg acet }}{0.50 \text { teaspoon }}=240 \mathrm{mg}$ acetaminophen
$\frac{240 \mathrm{mg} \text { acet }}{24 \mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{0.454 \mathrm{~kg}}=22 \mathrm{mg}$ acetaminophen $/ \mathrm{kg}$
$\frac{240 \mathrm{mg} \text { acet }}{35 \mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{0.454 \mathrm{~kg}}=15 \mathrm{mg}$ acetaminophen $/ \mathrm{kg}$
The range is from 15 to 22 mg acetaminophen per kg of body weight.
49. warp $1.71=\left(5.00 \times \frac{3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \times \frac{1.094 \mathrm{yd}}{\mathrm{m}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{knot}}{2030 \mathrm{yd} / \mathrm{h}}$
$=2.91 \times 10^{9}$ knots

$$
\left(5.00 \times \frac{3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right) \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~km}}{1000 \mathrm{~m}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mi}}{1.609 \mathrm{~km}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}}=3.36 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}
$$

50. $\frac{100 . \mathrm{m}}{9.58 \mathrm{~s}}=10.4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} ; \frac{100 . \mathrm{m}}{9.58 \mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~km}}{1000 \mathrm{~m}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}}=37.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{100 \mathrm{~m}}{9.58 \mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1.0936 \mathrm{yd}}{\mathrm{~m}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{yd}}=34.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{s} ; \frac{34.2 \mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mi}}{5280 \mathrm{ft}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}}=23.3 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h} \\
& 1.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{yd} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1.0936 \mathrm{yd}} \times \frac{9.58 \mathrm{~s}}{100 . \mathrm{m}}=8.76 \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

51. $\frac{65 \mathrm{~km}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{0.6214 \mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{km}}=40.4=40 . \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$

To the correct number of significant figures (2), $65 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ does not violate a $40 \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit.
52. $112 \mathrm{~km} \times \frac{0.6214 \mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{km}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{65 \mathrm{mi}}=1.1 \mathrm{~h}=1 \mathrm{~h}$ and 6 min
$112 \mathrm{~km} \times \frac{0.6214 \mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{km}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{gal}}{28 \mathrm{mi}} \times \frac{3.785 \mathrm{~L}}{\text { gal }}=9.4 \mathrm{~L}$ of gasoline
53. $\frac{2.45 \text { euros }}{\mathrm{kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{2.2046 \mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{\$ 1.32}{\text { euro }}=\$ 1.47 / \mathrm{lb}$

One pound of peaches costs $\$ 1.47$.
54. For the gasoline car:

$$
500 . \mathrm{mi} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{gal}}{28.0 \mathrm{mi}} \times \frac{\$ 3.50}{\text { gal }}=\$ 62.5
$$

For the E85 car:
$500 . \mathrm{mi} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{gal}}{22.5 \mathrm{mi}} \times \frac{\$ 2.85}{\text { gal }}=\$ 63.3$
The E85 vehicle would cost slightly more to drive 500 . miles as compared to the gasoline vehicle ( $\$ 63.3$ versus $\$ 62.5$ ).
55. Volume of lake $=100 \mathrm{mi}^{2} \times\left(\frac{5280 \mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{mi}}\right)^{2} \times 20 \mathrm{ft}=6 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{ft}^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{ft}^{3} \times\left(\frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}} \times \frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\text { in }}\right)^{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{0.4 \mu \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=7 \times 10^{14} \mu \mathrm{~g} \text { mercury } \\
& 7 \times 10^{14} \mu \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \times 10^{6} \mu \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}}=7 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kg} \text { of mercury }
\end{aligned}
$$

56. Volume of room $=18 \mathrm{ft} \times 12 \mathrm{ft} \times 8 \mathrm{ft}=1700 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ (carrying one extra significant figure)
$1700 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \times\left(\frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}}\right)^{3} \times\left(\frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{in}}\right)^{3} \times\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}\right)^{3}=48 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
$48 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \times \frac{400,000 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}}{\mathrm{m}^{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}}{1 \times 10^{6} \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}}=19 \mathrm{~g}=20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}$ (to 1 sig. fig.)

## Temperature

57. a. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{5}{9}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}-32\right)=\frac{5}{9}\left(-459^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-32\right)=-273^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+273=-273^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273=0 \mathrm{~K}$
b. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{5}{9}\left(-40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-32\right)=-40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=-40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273=233 \mathrm{~K}$
c. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{5}{9}\left(68{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-32\right)=20 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=20 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273=293 \mathrm{~K}$
d. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{5}{9}\left(7 \times 10^{7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}}-32\right)=4 \times 10^{{ }^{7} \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=4 \times 10^{{ }^{7} \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{C}+273=4 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~K}$
58. $\quad 96.1^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; first, convert $96.1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ to ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{5}{9}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}-32\right)=\frac{5}{9}(96.1-32)=35.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

A change in temperature of $9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is equal to a change in temperature of $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. So the uncertainty is:

$$
\pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \times \frac{5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}}= \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \text { Thus } 96.1 \pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=35.6 \pm 0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .
$$

59. 

a. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+32=\frac{9}{5} \times 39.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+32=102.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F} \quad$ (Note: 32 is exact.)

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+273.2=39.2+273.2=312.4 \mathrm{~K}
$$

b. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times(-25)+32=-13^{\circ} \mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=-25+273=248 \mathrm{~K}$
c. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times(-273)+32=-459^{\circ} \mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=-273+273=0 \mathrm{~K}$
d. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times 801+32=1470^{\circ} \mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=801+273=1074 \mathrm{~K}$
60. a. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}-273=233-273=-40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+32=\frac{9}{5} \times(-40 .)+32=-40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}
$$

b. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=4-273=-269^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times(-269)+32=-452^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
c. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=298-273=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times 25+32=77^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
d. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=3680-273=3410^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times 3410+32=6170^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
61. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9}{5} \times \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+32$; from the problem, we want the temperature where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

Substituting:

$$
2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{9}{5} \times \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+32,(0.2) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=32, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{32}{0.2}=160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ when the temperature in Fahrenheit is $2(160)=320^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Because all numbers when solving the equation are exact numbers, the calculated temperatures are also exact numbers.
62. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{5}{9}\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}-32\right)=\frac{5}{9}(72-32)=22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}-273=313-273=40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
The difference in temperature between Jupiter at 313 K and Earth at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is $40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=$ $18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
63. a. A change in temperature of $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is equal to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{X}$. Therefore, $\frac{140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{50^{\circ} \mathrm{X}}$ is the unit conversion between a degree on the X scale to a degree on the Celsius scale. To account for the different zero points, $-10^{\circ}$ must be subtracted from the temperature on the X scale to get to the Celsius scale. The conversion between ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{X}$ to ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{X}} \times \frac{140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{50^{\circ} \mathrm{X}}-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{X}} \times \frac{14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{5^{\circ} \mathrm{X}}-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

The conversion between ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{X}$ would be:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{X}}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \frac{5^{\circ} \mathrm{X}}{14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}
$$

b. Assuming $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\frac{5^{\circ} \mathrm{X}}{14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$ are exact numbers:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{X}}=\left(22.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \frac{5^{\circ} \mathrm{X}}{14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=11.4^{\circ} \mathrm{X}
$$

c. Assuming exact numbers in the temperature conversion formulas:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=58.0^{\circ} \mathrm{X} \times \frac{14^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{5^{\circ} \mathrm{X}}-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273=425 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\frac{9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}}{5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=306^{\circ} \mathrm{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

64. a.


A change in temperature of $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ equals a change in temperature of $100^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$.

So $\frac{160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{100^{\circ} \mathrm{A}}$ is our unit conversion for a degree change in temperature.

At the freezing point: $0^{\circ} \mathrm{A}=-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Combining these two pieces of information:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times \frac{100^{\circ} \mathrm{A}}{160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}+45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times \frac{5^{\circ} \mathrm{A}}{8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \text { or } \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \frac{8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{5^{\circ} \mathrm{A}}-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}-32\right) \times \frac{5}{9} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \frac{8}{5}-45=\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}-32\right) \times \frac{5}{9}$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}-32=\frac{9}{5} \times\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \frac{8}{5}-45\right)=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \frac{72}{25}-81, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \frac{72^{\circ} \mathrm{F}}{25^{\circ} \mathrm{A}}-49^{\circ} \mathrm{F}
$$

c. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \frac{8}{5}-45$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}$; so $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \times \frac{8}{5}-45, \frac{3 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{c}}}{5}=45, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}=75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=75^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$
d. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=86^{\circ} \mathrm{A} \times \frac{8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{5^{\circ} \mathrm{A}}-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=93^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{F}}=86^{\circ} \mathrm{A} \times \frac{72^{\circ} \mathrm{F}}{25^{\circ} \mathrm{A}}-49^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=199^{\circ} \mathrm{F}=2.0 \times 10^{2}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
e. $\quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{A}}=\left(45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \times \frac{5^{\circ} \mathrm{A}}{8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=56^{\circ} \mathrm{A}$

## Density

65. Mass $=350 \mathrm{lb} \times \frac{453.6 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{lb}}=1.6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{V}=1.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{in}^{3} \times\left(\frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{in}}\right)^{3}=2.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$

Density $=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g}}{2.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=0.80 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
Because the material has a density less than water, it will float in water.
66. $\quad \mathrm{V}=\frac{4}{3} \pi \mathrm{r}^{3}=\frac{4}{3} \times 3.14 \times(0.50 \mathrm{~cm})^{3}=0.52 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} ; \mathrm{d}=\frac{2.0 \mathrm{~g}}{0.52 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=3.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

The ball will sink.
67. $\mathrm{V}=\frac{4}{3} \pi \mathrm{r}^{3}=\frac{4}{3} \times 3.14 \times\left(7.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~km} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~km}} \times \frac{100 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right)^{3}=1.4 \times 10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$

Density $=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{2 \times 10^{36} \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}}}{1.4 \times 10^{33} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=1.4 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}=1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
68. $\quad \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{l} \times \mathrm{w} \times \mathrm{h}=2.9 \mathrm{~cm} \times 3.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~cm}=1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$

$$
\mathrm{d}=\text { density }=\frac{615.0 \mathrm{~g}}{1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=\frac{6.2 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}
$$

69. a. 5.0 carat $\times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~g}}{\text { carat }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{3.51 \mathrm{~g}}=0.28 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
b. $2.8 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{3.51 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{1 \text { carat }}{0.200 \mathrm{~g}}=49$ carats
70. For ethanol: $100 . \mathrm{mL} \times \frac{0.789 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=78.9 \mathrm{~g}$

For benzene: $1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.880 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=880 . \mathrm{g}$
Total mass $=78.9 \mathrm{~g}+880 . \mathrm{g}=959 \mathrm{~g}$
71. $V=21.6 \mathrm{~mL}-12.7 \mathrm{~mL}=8.9 \mathrm{~mL} ;$ density $=\frac{33.42 \mathrm{~g}}{8.9 \mathrm{~mL}}=3.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}=3.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
72. $5.25 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{10.5 \mathrm{~g}}=0.500 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=0.500 \mathrm{~mL}$

The volume in the cylinder will rise to $11.7 \mathrm{~mL}(11.2 \mathrm{~mL}+0.500 \mathrm{~mL}=11.7 \mathrm{~mL})$.
73. a. Both have the same mass of 1.0 kg .
b. 1.0 mL of mercury; mercury is more dense than water. Note: $1 \mathrm{~mL}=1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$.
$1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{13.6 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=14 \mathrm{~g}$ of mercury; $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.998 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=1.0 \mathrm{~g}$ of water
c. Same; both represent 19.3 g of substance.
$19.3 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.9982 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=19.3 \mathrm{~g}$ of water; $1.00 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{19.32 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=19.3 \mathrm{~g}$ of gold
d. $\quad 1.0 \mathrm{~L}$ of benzene ( 880 g versus 670 g )
$75 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{8.96 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=670 \mathrm{~g}$ of copper; $1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.880 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=880 \mathrm{~g}$ of benzene
74. a. $1.50 \mathrm{qt} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1.0567 \mathrm{qt}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.789 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=1120 \mathrm{~g}$ ethanol
b. $3.5 \mathrm{in}^{3} \times\left(\frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{in}}\right)^{3} \times \frac{13.6 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=780 \mathrm{~g}$ mercury
75. a. 1.0 kg feather; feathers are less dense than lead.
b. 100 g water; water is less dense than gold.
c. Same; both volumes are 1.0 L .
76. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}): \mathrm{V}=25.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{0.000084 \mathrm{~g}}=3.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \quad\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})=\right.$ hydrogen gas. $]$
b. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}): \mathrm{V}=25.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{0.9982 \mathrm{~g}}=25.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})=\right.$ water. $]$
c. $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s}): \mathrm{V}=25.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{7.87 \mathrm{~g}}=3.18 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \quad[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})=$ iron. $]$

Notice the huge volume of the gaseous $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ sample as compared to the liquid and solid samples. The same mass of gas occupies a volume that is over 10,000 times larger than the liquid sample. Gases are indeed mostly empty space.
77. $\quad \mathrm{V}=1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{22.57 \mathrm{~g}}=44.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
$44.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=1 \times \mathrm{w} \times \mathrm{h}=4.00 \mathrm{~cm} \times 4.00 \mathrm{~cm} \times \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{h}=2.77 \mathrm{~cm}$
78. $\mathrm{V}=22 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{8.96 \mathrm{~g}}=2.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} ; \quad \mathrm{V}=\pi \mathrm{r}^{2} \times l$, where $l=$ length of the wire
$2.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=\pi \times\left(\frac{0.25 \mathrm{~mm}}{2}\right)^{2} \times\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}}{10 \mathrm{~mm}}\right)^{2} \times l, l=5.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}=170 \mathrm{ft}$

## Classification and Separation of Matter

79. A gas has molecules that are very far apart from each other, whereas a solid or liquid has molecules that are very close together. An element has the same type of atom, whereas a compound contains two or more different elements. Picture i represents an element that exists as two atoms bonded together (like $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ). Picture iv represents a compound (like $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}$, or HF ). Pictures iii and iv contain representations of elements that exist as individual atoms (like $\mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Ne}$, or He ).
a. Picture iv represents a gaseous compound. Note that pictures ii and iii also contain a gaseous compound, but they also both have a gaseous element present.
b. Picture vi represents a mixture of two gaseous elements.
c. Picture v represents a solid element.
d. Pictures ii and iii both represent a mixture of a gaseous element and a gaseous compound.
80. Solid: rigid; has a fixed volume and shape; slightly compressible

Liquid: definite volume but no specific shape; assumes shape of the container; slightly Compressible

Gas: no fixed volume or shape; easily compressible
Pure substance: has constant composition; can be composed of either compounds or elements

Element: substances that cannot be decomposed into simpler substances by chemical or physical means.

Compound: a substance that can be broken down into simpler substances (elements) by chemical processes.

Homogeneous mixture: a mixture of pure substances that has visibly indistinguishable parts.
Heterogeneous mixture: a mixture of pure substances that has visibly distinguishable parts.
Solution: a homogeneous mixture; can be a solid, liquid or gas
Chemical change: a given substance becomes a new substance or substances with different properties and different composition.

Physical change: changes the form (g, l, or s) of a substance but does no change the chemical composition of the substance.
81. Homogeneous: Having visibly indistinguishable parts (the same throughout).

Heterogeneous: Having visibly distinguishable parts (not uniform throughout).
a. heterogeneous (due to hinges, handles, locks, etc.)
b. homogeneous (hopefully; if you live in a heavily polluted area, air may be heterogeneous.)
c. homogeneous
d. homogeneous (hopefully, if not polluted)
e. heterogeneous
f. heterogeneous
82.
a. heterogeneous
b. homogeneous
c. heterogeneous
d. homogeneous (assuming no imperfections in the glass)
e. heterogeneous (has visibly distinguishable parts)
83. a. pure
b. mixture
c. mixture
d. pure
e. mixture (copper and zinc)
f. pure
g. mixture
h. mixture
i. mixture

Iron and uranium are elements. Water $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ is a compound because it is made up of two or more different elements. Table salt is usually a homogeneous mixture composed mostly of sodium chloride ( NaCl ), but will usually contain other substances that help absorb water vapor (an anticaking agent).
84. Initially, a mixture is present. The magnesium and sulfur have only been placed together in the same container at this point, but no reaction has occurred. When heated, a reaction occurs. Assuming the magnesium and sulfur had been measured out in exactly the correct ratio for complete reaction, the remains after heating would be a pure compound composed of magnesium and sulfur. However, if there were an excess of either magnesium or sulfur, the remains after reaction would be a mixture of the compound produced and the excess reactant.
85. Chalk is a compound because it loses mass when heated and appears to change into another substance with different physical properties (the hard chalk turns into a crumbly substance).
86. Because vaporized water is still the same substance as solid water $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, no chemical reaction has occurred. Sublimation is a physical change.
87. A physical change is a change in the state of a substance (solid, liquid, and gas are the three states of matter); a physical change does not change the chemical composition of the substance. A chemical change is a change in which a given substance is converted into another substance having a different formula (composition).
a. Vaporization refers to a liquid converting to a gas, so this is a physical change. The formula (composition) of the moth ball does not change.
b. This is a chemical change since hydrofluoric acid (HF) is reacting with glass $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ to form new compounds that wash away.
c. This is a physical change because all that is happening during the boiling process is the conversion of liquid alcohol to gaseous alcohol. The alcohol formula $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ does not change.
d. This is a chemical change since the acid is reacting with cotton to form new compounds.
88. a. Distillation separates components of a mixture, so the orange liquid is a mixture (has an average color of the yellow liquid and the red solid). Distillation utilizes boiling point differences to separate out the components of a mixture. Distillation is a physical change because the components of the mixture do not become different compounds or elements.
b. Decomposition is a type of chemical reaction. The crystalline solid is a compound, and decomposition is a chemical change where new substances are formed.
c. Tea is a mixture of tea compounds dissolved in water. The process of mixing sugar into tea is a physical change. Sugar doesn't react with the tea compounds, it just makes the solution sweeter.

## Additional Exercises

89. Because each pill is $4.0 \%$ Lipitor by mass, for every 100.0 g of pills, there are 4.0 g of Lipitor present. Note that 100 pills is assumed to be an exact number.

100 pills $\times \frac{2.5 \mathrm{~g}}{\text { pill }} \times \frac{4.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { Lipitor }}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { pills }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}}=0.010 \mathrm{~kg}$ Lipitor
90. $126 \mathrm{gal} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{qt}}{\text { gal }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1.057 \mathrm{qt}}=477 \mathrm{~L}$
91. Total volume $=\left(200 . \mathrm{m} \times \frac{100 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right) \times\left(300 . \mathrm{m} \times \frac{100 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right) \times 4.0 \mathrm{~cm}=2.4 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$

Volume of topsoil covered by 1 bag =

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[10 . \mathrm{ft}^{2} \times\left(\frac{12 \mathrm{in}}{\mathrm{ft}}\right)^{2} \times\left(\frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{in}}\right)^{2}\right] \times\left(1.0 \mathrm{in} \times \frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{in}}\right)=2.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \\
2.4 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{bag}}{2.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=1.0 \times 10^{5} \text { bags topsoil }
\end{gathered}
$$

92. a. No; if the volumes were the same, then the gold idol would have a much greater mass because gold is much more dense than sand.
b. Mass $=1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{19.32 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}}=19.32 \mathrm{~kg}(=42.59 \mathrm{lb})$

It wouldn't be easy to play catch with the idol because it would have a mass of over 40 pounds.
93. 1 light year $=1 \mathrm{yr} \times \frac{365 \text { day }}{\mathrm{yr}} \times \frac{24 \mathrm{~h}}{\text { day }} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{186,000 \mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{s}}$

$$
=5.87 \times 10^{12} \text { miles }
$$

9.6 parsecs $\times \frac{3.26 \text { light } \mathrm{yr}}{\text { parsec }} \times \frac{5.87 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{mi}}{\text { light } \mathrm{yr}} \times \frac{1.609 \mathrm{~km}}{\mathrm{mi}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~km}}=3.0 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{~m}$
94. $1 \mathrm{~s} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~min}}{60 \mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{60 \mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{65 \mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{h}} \times \frac{5280 \mathrm{ft}}{\mathrm{mi}}=95.3 \mathrm{ft}=100 \mathrm{ft}$

If you take your eyes off the road for one second traveling at 65 mph , your car travels approximately 100 feet.
95. a. $0.25 \mathrm{lb} \times \frac{453.6 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { trytophan }}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { turkey }}=1.1 \mathrm{~g}$ tryptophan
b. $\quad 0.25 \mathrm{qt} \times \frac{0.9463 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{qt}} \times \frac{1.04 \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{2.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { tryptophan }}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { milk }}=4.9 \mathrm{~g}$ tryptophan
96. A chemical change involves the change of one or more substances into other substances through a reorganization of the atoms. A physical change involves the change in the form of a substance, but not its chemical composition.
a. physical change (Just smaller pieces of the same substance.)
b. chemical change (Chemical reactions occur.)
c. chemical change (Bonds are broken.)
d. chemical change (Bonds are broken.)
e. physical change (Water is changed from a liquid to a gas.)
f. physical change (Chemical composition does not change.)
97. $\quad 18.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times \frac{10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}}{5.25 \mathrm{~cm}}=35.2^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ increase; $\mathrm{T}_{\text {final }}=98.6+35.2=133.8^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=5 / 9(133.8-32)=56.56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
98. Mass $_{\text {benzene }}=58.80 \mathrm{~g}-25.00 \mathrm{~g}=33.80 \mathrm{~g} ; \mathrm{V}_{\text {benzene }}=33.80 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{0.880 \mathrm{~g}}=38.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {solid }}=50.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}-38.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=11.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} ; \quad$ density $=\frac{25.00 \mathrm{~g}}{11.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=2.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
99. a. Volume $\times$ density $=$ mass; the orange block is more dense. Because mass (orange) > mass (blue) and because volume (orange) < volume (blue), the density of the orange block must be greater to account for the larger mass of the orange block.
b. Which block is more dense cannot be determined. Because mass (orange) > mass (blue) and because volume (orange) > volume (blue), the density of the orange block may or may not be larger than the blue block. If the blue block is more dense, its density cannot be so large that its mass is larger than the orange block's mass.
c. The blue block is more dense. Because mass (blue) = mass (orange) and because volume (blue) < volume (orange), the density of the blue block must be larger in order to equate the masses.
d. The blue block is more dense. Because mass (blue) > mass (orange) and because the volumes are equal, the density of the blue block must be larger in order to give the blue block the larger mass.
100. Circumference $=c=2 \pi r ; V=\frac{4 \pi r^{3}}{3}=\frac{4 \pi}{3}\left(\frac{c}{2 \pi}\right)^{3}=\frac{c^{3}}{6 \pi^{2}}$

Largest density $=\frac{5.25 \mathrm{oz}}{\frac{(9.00 \mathrm{in})^{3}}{6 \pi^{2}}}=\frac{5.25 \mathrm{oz}}{12.3 \mathrm{in}^{3}}=\frac{0.427 \mathrm{oz}}{\mathrm{in}^{3}}$
Smallest density $=\frac{5.00 \mathrm{oz}}{\frac{(9.25 \mathrm{in})^{3}}{6 \pi^{2}}}=\frac{5.00 \mathrm{oz}}{13.4 \mathrm{in}^{3}}=\frac{0.73 \mathrm{oz}}{\mathrm{in}^{3}}$
Maximum range is: $\frac{(0.373-0.427) \text { oz }}{\mathrm{in}^{3}}$ or $0.40 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{oz} / \mathrm{in}^{3}$ (Uncertainty is in 2nd decimal place.)
101. $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {final }}-\mathrm{V}_{\text {initial }} ; \mathrm{d}=\frac{28.90 \mathrm{~g}}{9.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}-6.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=\frac{28.90 \mathrm{~g}}{3.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=8.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{d}_{\text {max }}=\frac{\text { mass }_{\text {max }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\text {min }}}$; we get $\mathrm{V}_{\text {min }}$ from $9.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}-6.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=3.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$.
$\mathrm{d}_{\max }=\frac{28.93 \mathrm{~g}}{3.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=\frac{9.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\text {min }}=\frac{\text { mass }_{\min }}{\mathrm{V}_{\max }}=\frac{28.87 \mathrm{~g}}{9.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}-6.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=\frac{8.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}$
The density is $8.5 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
102. We need to calculate the maximum and minimum values of the density, given the uncertainty in each measurement. The maximum value is:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\max }=\frac{19.625 \mathrm{~g}+0.002 \mathrm{~g}}{25.00 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}-0.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=\frac{19.627 \mathrm{~g}}{24.97 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=0.7860 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
$$

The minimum value of the density is:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\min }=\frac{19.625 \mathrm{~g}-0.002 \mathrm{~g}}{25.00 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}+0.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=\frac{19.623 \mathrm{~g}}{25.03 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=0.7840 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
$$

The density of the liquid is between 0.7840 and $0.7860 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. These measurements are sufficiently precise to distinguish between ethanol ( $\mathrm{d}=0.789 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) and isopropyl alcohol $\left(\mathrm{d}=0.785 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 103-108 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

109. In a subtraction, the result gets smaller, but the uncertainties add. If the two numbers are very close together, the uncertainty may be larger than the result. For example, let's assume we want to take the difference of the following two measured quantities, 999,999 $\pm 2$ and 999,996 $\pm 2$. The difference is $3 \pm 4$. Because of the uncertainty, subtracting two similar numbers is poor practice.
110. In general, glassware is estimated to one place past the markings.
a. $\quad 128.7 \mathrm{~mL}$ glassware

read to tenth's place
b. $\quad 18 \mathrm{~mL}$ glassware

read to one's place
c. 23.45 mL glassware

read to two decimal places

Total volume $=128.7+18+23.45=170.15=170$. (Due to 18 , the sum would be known only to the ones place.)
111.
a. $\frac{2.70-2.64}{2.70} \times 100=2 \%$
b. $\quad \frac{|16.12-16.48|}{16.12} \times 100=2.2 \%$
c. $\frac{1.000-0.9981}{1.000} \times 100=\frac{0.002}{1.000} \times 100=0.2 \%$
112. a. At some point in 1982, the composition of the metal used in minting pennies was changed because the mass changed during this year (assuming the volume of the pennies were constant).
b. It should be expressed as $3.08 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~g}$. The uncertainty in the second decimal place will swamp any effect of the next decimal places.
113. Heavy pennies (old): mean mass $=3.08 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~g}$

Light pennies (new): mean mass $=\frac{(2.467+2.545+2.518)}{3}=2.51 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~g}$
Because we are assuming that volume is additive, let's calculate the volume of 100.0 g of each type of penny, then calculate the density of the alloy. For 100.0 g of the old pennies, 95 g will be Cu (copper) and 5 g will be Zn (zinc).
$\mathrm{V}=95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{8.96 \mathrm{~g}}+5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{7.14 \mathrm{~g}}=11.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ (carrying one extra sig. fig.)
Density of old pennies $=\frac{100 . \mathrm{g}}{11.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=8.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
For 100.0 g of new pennies, 97.6 g will be Zn and 2.4 g will be Cu .
$\mathrm{V}=2.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{8.96 \mathrm{~g}}+97.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{7.14 \mathrm{~g}}=13.94 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ (carrying one extra sig. fig.)
Density of new pennies $=\frac{100 . \mathrm{g}}{13.94 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=7.17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mathrm{d}=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}$; because the volume of both types of pennies are assumed equal, then:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\text {new }}}{\mathrm{d}_{\text {old }}}=\frac{\text { mass }_{\text {new }}}{\text { mass }_{\text {old }}}=\frac{7.17 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}}{8.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}}=0.81
$$

The calculated average mass ratio is: $\frac{\text { mass }_{\text {new }}}{\text { mass }_{\text {old }}}=\frac{2.51 \mathrm{~g}}{3.08 \mathrm{~g}}=0.815$
To the first two decimal places, the ratios are the same. If the assumptions are correct, then we can reasonably conclude that the difference in mass is accounted for by the difference in alloy used.
114. a. At 8 a.m., approximately 57 cars pass through the intersection per hour.
b. At 12 a.m. (midnight), only 1 or 2 cars pass through the intersection per hour.
c. Traffic at the intersection is limited to less than 10 cars per hour from 8 p.m. to 5 a.m. Starting at 6 a.m., there is a steady increase in traffic through the intersection, peaking at 8 a.m. when approximately 57 cars pass per hour. Past 8 a.m. traffic moderates to about 40 cars through the intersection per hour until noon, and then decreases to 21 cars per hour by 3 p.m. Past 3 p.m. traffic steadily increases to a peak of 52 cars per hour at 5 p.m., and then steadily decreases to the overnight level of less than 10 cars through the intersection per hour.
d. The traffic pattern through the intersection is directly related to the work schedules of the general population as well as to the store hours of the businesses in downtown.
e. Run the same experiment on a Sunday, when most of the general population doesn't work and when a significant number of downtown stores are closed in the morning.
115. Let $x=$ mass of copper and $y=$ mass of silver.
$105.0 \mathrm{~g}=x+y$ and $10.12 \mathrm{~mL}=\frac{x}{8.96}+\frac{y}{10.5}$; solving:

$$
\left(10.12=\frac{x}{8.96}+\frac{105.0-x}{10.5}\right) \times 8.96 \times 10.5,952.1=(10.5) x+940.8-(8.96) x
$$

(carrying 1 extra sig. fig.)
$11.3=(1.54) x, x=7.3 \mathrm{~g} ;$ mass $\% \mathrm{Cu}=\frac{7.3 \mathrm{~g}}{105.0 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=7.0 \% \mathrm{Cu}$
116. a.


2 compounds

compound and element (diatomic)
b.

gas element (monoatomic)
atoms/molecules far apart; random order; takes volume of container

liquid element
atoms/molecules close together; somewhat ordered arrangement; takes volume of container

atoms/molecules close together; ordered arrangement; has its own volume
117. a. One possibility is that rope B is not attached to anything and rope A and rope C are connected via a pair of pulleys and/or gears.
b. Try to pull rope B out of the box. Measure the distance moved by C for a given movement of A. Hold either A or C firmly while pulling on the other rope.
118. The bubbles of gas is air in the sand that is escaping; methanol and sand are not reacting. We will assume that the mass of trapped air is insignificant.

Mass of dry sand $=37.3488 \mathrm{~g}-22.8317 \mathrm{~g}=14.5171 \mathrm{~g}$
Mass of methanol $=45.2613 \mathrm{~g}-37.3488 \mathrm{~g}=7.9125 \mathrm{~g}$
Volume of sand particles (air absent) = volume of sand and methanol - volume of methanol
Volume of sand particles (air absent) $=17.6 \mathrm{~mL}-10.00 \mathrm{~mL}=7.6 \mathrm{~mL}$
Density of dry sand (air present) $=\frac{14.5171 \mathrm{~g}}{10.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=1.45 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$
Density of methanol $=\frac{7.9125 \mathrm{~g}}{10.00 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.7913 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$
Density of sand particles (air absent) $=\frac{14.5171 \mathrm{~g}}{7.6 \mathrm{~mL}}=1.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$

## Integrative Problems

119. $2.97 \times 10^{8}$ persons $\times 0.0100=2.97 \times 10^{6}$ persons contributing
$\frac{\$ 4.75 \times 10^{8}}{2.97 \times 10^{6} \text { persons }}=\$ 160 . /$ person; $\frac{\$ 160 .}{\text { person }} \times \frac{20 \text { nickels }}{\$ 1}=3.20 \times 10^{3}$ nickels $/$ person
$\frac{\$ 160 .}{\text { person }} \times \frac{1 £}{\$ 1.869}=85.6 £ /$ person
120. $\frac{22610 \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{3}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=22.61 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

Volume of block $=10.0 \mathrm{~cm} \times 8.0 \mathrm{~cm} \times 9.0 \mathrm{~cm}=720 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} ; \frac{22.61 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times 720 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=1.6 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}$
121. At $200.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{5}{9}\left(200.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)=93.33^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=93.33+273.15=366.48 \mathrm{~K}$

At $-100.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}: \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\frac{5}{9}\left(-100.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}-32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)=-73.33^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{K}}=-73.33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273.15=199.82 \mathrm{~K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=\left[93.33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\left(-73.33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)\right]=166.66^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \Delta \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})=(366.48 \mathrm{~K}-199.82 \mathrm{~K})=166.66 \mathrm{~K}$
The "300 Club" name only works for the Fahrenheit scale; it does not hold true for the Celsius and Kelvin scales.

## CHAPTER 2

## ATOMS, MOLECULES, AND IONS

## Questions

16. Some elements exist as molecular substances. That is, hydrogen normally exists as $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules, not single hydrogen atoms. The same is true for $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, etc.
17. A compound will always contain the same numbers (and types) of atoms. A given amount of hydrogen will react only with a specific amount of oxygen. Any excess oxygen will remain unreacted.
18. The halogens have a high affinity for electrons, and one important way they react is to form anions of the type $\mathrm{X}^{-}$. The alkali metals tend to give up electrons easily and in most of their compounds exist as $\mathrm{M}^{+}$cations. Note: These two very reactive groups are only one electron away (in the periodic table) from the least reactive family of elements, the noble gases.
19. Law of conservation of mass: Mass is neither created nor destroyed. The total mass before a chemical reaction always equals the total mass after a chemical reaction.

Law of definite proportion: A given compound always contains exactly the same proportion of elements by mass. For example, water is always 1 g H for every 8 g oxygen.

Law of multiple proportions: When two elements form a series of compounds, the ratios of the mass of the second element that combine with 1 g of the first element always can be reduced to small whole numbers: For $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and CO discussed in Section 2.2, the mass ratios of oxygen that react with 1 g carbon in each compound are in a $2: 1$ ratio.
20. a. The smaller parts are electrons and the nucleus. The nucleus is broken down into protons and neutrons, which can be broken down into quarks. For our purpose, electrons, neutrons, and protons are the key smaller parts of an atom.
b. All atoms of hydrogen have 1 proton in the nucleus. Different isotopes of hydrogen have 0,1 , or 2 neutrons in the nucleus. Because we are talking about atoms, this implies a neutral charge, which dictates 1 electron present for all hydrogen atoms. If charged ions were included, then different ions/atoms of H could have different numbers of electrons.
c. Hydrogen atoms always have 1 proton in the nucleus, and helium atoms always have 2 protons in the nucleus. The number of neutrons can be the same for a hydrogen atom and a helium atom. Tritium $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$ and ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ both have 2 neutrons. Assuming neutral atoms, then the number of electrons will be 1 for hydrogen and 2 for helium.
d. Water $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ is always 1 g hydrogen for every 8 g of O present, whereas $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is always 1 g hydrogen for every 16 g of O present. These are distinctly different compounds, each with its own unique relative number and types of atoms present.
e. A chemical equation involves a reorganization of the atoms. Bonds are broken between atoms in the reactants, and new bonds are formed in the products. The number and types of atoms between reactants and products do not change. Because atoms are conserved in a chemical reaction, mass is also conserved.
21. J. J. Thomson's study of cathode-ray tubes led him to postulate the existence of negatively charged particles that we now call electrons. Thomson also postulated that atoms must contain positive charge in order for the atom to be electrically neutral. Ernest Rutherford and his alpha bombardment of metal foil experiments led him to postulate the nuclear atom-an atom with a tiny dense center of positive charge (the nucleus) with electrons moving about the nucleus at relatively large distances away; the distance is so large that an atom is mostly empty space.
22. The atom is composed of a tiny dense nucleus containing most of the mass of the atom. The nucleus itself is composed of neutrons and protons. Neutrons have a mass slightly larger than that of a proton and have no charge. Protons, on the other hand, have a $1+$ relative charge as compared to the 1 - charged electrons; the electrons move about the nucleus at relatively large distances. The volume of space that the electrons move about is so large, as compared to the nucleus, that we say an atom is mostly empty space.
23. The number and arrangement of electrons in an atom determine how the atom will react with other atoms, i.e., the electrons determine the chemical properties of an atom. The number of neutrons present determines the isotope identity and the mass number.
24. Density = mass/volume; if the volumes are assumed equal, then the much more massive proton would have a much larger density than the relatively light electron.
25. For lighter, stable isotopes, the number of protons in the nucleus is about equal to the number of neutrons. When the number of protons and neutrons is equal to each other, the mass number (protons + neutrons) will be twice the atomic number (protons). Therefore, for lighter isotopes, the ratio of the mass number to the atomic number is close to 2 . For example, consider ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}$, which has 14 protons and ( $28-14=14$ neutrons. Here, the mass number to atomic number ratio is $28 / 14=2.0$. For heavier isotopes, there are more neutrons than protons in the nucleus. Therefore, the ratio of the mass number to the atomic number increases steadily upward from 2 as the isotopes get heavier and heavier. For example, ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ has 92 protons and ( $238-92=$ ) 146 neutrons. The ratio of the mass number to the atomic number for ${ }^{238} U$ is $238 / 92=2.6$.
26. Some properties of metals are
(1) conduct heat and electricity;
(2) malleable (can be hammered into sheets);
(3) ductile (can be pulled into wires);
(4) lustrous appearance;
(5) form cations when they form ionic compounds.

Nonmetals generally do not have these properties, and when they form ionic compounds, nonmetals always form anions.
27. Carbon is a nonmetal. Silicon and germanium are called metalloids because they exhibit both metallic and nonmetallic properties. Tin and lead are metals. Thus metallic character increases as one goes down a family in the periodic table. The metallic character decreases from left to right across the periodic table.
28. a. A molecule has no overall charge (an equal number of electrons and protons are present). Ions, on the other hand, have extra electrons added or removed to form anions (negatively charged ions) or cations (positively charged ions).
b. The sharing of electrons between atoms is a covalent bond. An ionic bond is the force of attraction between two oppositely charged ions.
c. A molecule is a collection of atoms held together by covalent bonds. A compound is composed of two or more different elements having constant composition. Covalent and/or ionic bonds can hold the atoms together in a compound. Another difference is that molecules do not necessarily have to be compounds. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is two hydrogen atoms held together by a covalent bond. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is a molecule, but it is not a compound; $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is a diatomic element.
d. An anion is a negatively charged ion; e.g., $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ are all anions. A cation is a positively charged ion, e.g., $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$are all cations.
29. a. This represents ionic bonding. Ionic bonding is the electrostatic attraction between anions and cations.
b. This represents covalent bonding where electrons are shared between two atoms. This could be the space-filling model for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{SF}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, etc.
30. Natural niacin and commercially produced niacin have the exact same formula of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. Therefore, both sources produce niacin having an identical nutritional value. There may be other compounds present in natural niacin that would increase the nutritional value, but the nutritional value due to just niacin is identical to the commercially produced niacin.
31. Statements $a$ and $b$ are true. Counting over in the periodic table, element 118 will be the next noble gas (a nonmetal). For statement c, hydrogen has mostly nonmetallic properties. For statement d, a family of elements is also known as a group of elements. For statement e, two items are incorrect. When a metal reacts with a nonmetal, an ionic compound is produced, and the formula of the compound would be $\mathrm{AX}_{2}$ (alkaline earth metals form 2+ ions and halogens form 1- ions in ionic compounds). The correct statement would be: When an alkaline earth metal, A , reacts with a halogen, X , the formula of the ionic compound formed should be $\mathrm{AX}_{2}$.
32. a. Dinitrogen monoxide is correct. N and O are both nonmetals, resulting in a covalent compound. We need to use the covalent rules of nomenclature. The other two names are for ionic compounds.
b. Copper(I) oxide is correct. With a metal in a compound, we have an ionic compound. Because copper, like most transition metals, forms at least a couple of different stable charged ions in compounds, we must indicate the charge on copper in the name. Copper oxide could be CuO or $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, hence why we must give the charge of most transition
metal compounds. Dicopper monoxide is the name if this were a covalent compound, which it is not.
c. Lithium oxide is correct. Lithium forms 1+ charged ions in stable ionic compounds. Because lithium is assumed to form $1+$ ions in compounds, we do not need to indicate the charge of the metal ion in the compound. Dilithium monoxide would be the name if $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were a covalent compound (a compound composed of only nonmetals).

## Exercises

## Development of the Atomic Theory

33. a. The composition of a substance depends on the numbers of atoms of each element making up the compound (depends on the formula of the compound) and not on the composition of the mixture from which it was formed.
b. Avogadro's hypothesis (law) implies that volume ratios are proportional to molecule ratios at constant temperature and pressure. $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$. From the balanced equation, the volume of HCl produced will be twice the volume of $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ reacted.
34. Avogadro's hypothesis (law) implies that volume ratios are equal to molecule ratios at constant temperature and pressure. Here, 1 volume of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ reacts with 3 volumes of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to produce 2 volumes of the gaseous product or in terms of molecule ratios:

$$
1 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \text { product }
$$

In order for the equation to be balanced, the product must be $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.
35. From the law of definite proportions, a given compound always contains exactly the same proportion of elements by mass. The first sample of chloroform has a total mass of 12.0 g C $+106.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}+1.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}=119.41 \mathrm{~g}$ (carrying extra significant figures). The mass percent of carbon in this sample of chloroform is:

$$
\frac{12.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{119.41 \mathrm{~g} \text { total }} \times 100=10.05 \% \text { C by mass }
$$

From the law of definite proportions, the second sample of chloroform must also contain $10.05 \%$ C by mass. Let $x=$ mass of chloroform in the second sample:

$$
\frac{30.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{x} \times 100=10.05, \quad x=299 \mathrm{~g} \text { chloroform }
$$

36. A compound will always have a constant composition by mass. From the initial data given, the mass ratio of $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{S}: \mathrm{O}$ in sulfuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ is:

$$
\frac{2.02}{2.02}: \frac{32.07}{2.02}: \frac{64.00}{2.02}=1: 15.9: 31.7
$$

If we have 7.27 g H , then we will have $7.27 \times 15.9=116 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~S}$ and $7.27 \times 31.7=230 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}$ in the second sample of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
37. Hydrazine: $1.44 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}$; ammonia: $2.16 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}$; hydrogen azide: $2.40 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}$. Let's try all of the ratios:

$$
\frac{0.144}{0.0240}=6.00 ; \quad \frac{0.216}{0.0240}=9.00 ; \quad \frac{0.0240}{0.0240}=1.00 ; \quad \frac{0.216}{0.144}=1.50=\frac{3}{2}
$$

All the masses of hydrogen in these three compounds can be expressed as simple wholenumber ratios. The $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}$ in hydrazine, ammonia, and hydrogen azide are in the ratios 6:9:1.
38. The law of multiple proportions does not involve looking at the ratio of the mass of one element with the total mass of the compounds. To illustrate the law of multiple proportions, we compare the mass of carbon that combines with 1.0 g of oxygen in each compound:
compound 1: $\quad 27.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}$ and $72.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}(100.0-27.2=$ mass O$)$
compound 2: $\quad 42.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}$ and $57.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}(100.0-42.9=$ mass O$)$
The mass of carbon that combines with 1.0 g of oxygen is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { compound 1: } & \frac{27.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{72.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=0.374 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{O} \\
\text { compound 2: } & \frac{42.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{57.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=0.751 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{g} \mathrm{O}
\end{array}
$$

$\frac{0.751}{0.374}=\frac{2}{1}$; this supports the law of multiple proportions because this carbon ratio is a whole number.
39. For CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, it is easiest to concentrate on the mass of oxygen that combines with 1 g of carbon. From the formulas (two oxygen atoms per carbon atom in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ versus one oxygen atom per carbon atom in CO ), $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ will have twice the mass of oxygen that combines per gram of carbon as compared to CO . For $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, it is easiest to concentrate on the mass of carbon that combines with 1 g of oxygen. From the formulas (three carbon atoms per two oxygen atoms in $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ versus one carbon atom per two oxygen atoms in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ will have three times the mass of carbon that combines per gram of oxygen as compared to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. As expected, the mass ratios are whole numbers as predicted by the law of multiple proportions.
40. Compound I: $\frac{14.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{R}}{3.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Q}}=\frac{4.67 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{R}}{1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Q}}$; compound II: $\frac{7.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{R}}{4.50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Q}}=\frac{1.56 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{R}}{1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Q}}$

The ratio of the masses of $R$ that combine with 1.00 g Q is: $\frac{4.67}{1.56}=2.99 \approx 3$
As expected from the law of multiple proportions, this ratio is a small whole number.
Because compound I contains three times the mass of R per gram of Q as compared with compound II (RQ), the formula of compound I should be $R_{3} Q$.
41. Mass is conserved in a chemical reaction because atoms are conserved. Chemical reactions involve the reorganization of atoms, so formulas change in a chemical reaction, but the number and types of atoms do not change. Because the atoms do not change in a chemical reaction, mass must not change. In this equation we have two oxygen atoms and four hydrogen atoms both before and after the reaction occurs.
42. Mass is conserved in a chemical reaction.

Mass of reactants $=46.0+96.0=142.0 \mathrm{~g}=$ mass of products
$142.0 \mathrm{~g}=54.0 \mathrm{~g}+$ mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}=142.0-54.0=88.0 \mathrm{~g}$
43. To get the atomic mass of H to be 1.00 , we divide the mass of hydrogen that reacts with 1.00 g of oxygen by 0.126 ; that is, $\frac{0.126}{0.126}=1.00$. To get $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Mg}$, and O on the same scale, we do the same division.

Na: $\frac{2.875}{0.126}=22.8 ; \mathrm{Mg}: \frac{1.500}{0.126}=11.9 ; \quad \mathrm{O}: \frac{1.00}{0.126}=7.94$

|  | H | O | Na | Mg |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Relative value | 1.00 | 7.94 | 22.8 | 11.9 |
| Accepted value | 1.008 | 16.00 | 22.99 | 24.31 |

For your information, the atomic masses of O and Mg are incorrect. The atomic masses of H and Na are close to the values given in the periodic table. Something must be wrong about the assumed formulas of the compounds. It turns out the correct formulas are $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and MgO . The smaller discrepancies result from the error in the assumed atomic mass of H .
44. If the formula is InO, then one atomic mass of In would combine with one atomic mass of O , or:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{A}}{16.00}=\frac{4.784 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{In}}{1.000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{~A}=\text { atomic mass of } \mathrm{In}=76.54
$$

If the formula is $\mathrm{In}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, then two times the atomic mass of In will combine with three times the atomic mass of O , or:

$$
\frac{2 \mathrm{~A}}{(3) 16.00}=\frac{4.784 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{In}}{1.000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{~A}=\text { atomic mass of } \mathrm{In}=114.8
$$

The latter number is the atomic mass of In used in the modern periodic table.

## The Nature of the Atom

45. From section 2.5, the nucleus has "a diameter of about $10^{-13} \mathrm{~cm}$ " and the electrons "move about the nucleus at an average distance of about $10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$ from it." We will use these
statements to help determine the densities. Density of hydrogen nucleus (contains one proton only):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\text {nucleus }}=\frac{4}{3} \pi \mathrm{r}^{3}=\frac{4}{3}(3.14)\left(5 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}=5 \times 10^{-40} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \\
& \mathrm{~d}=\text { density }=\frac{1.67 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g}}{5 \times 10^{-40} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=3 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Density of H atom (contains one proton and one electron):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\text {atom }}=\frac{4}{3}(3.14)\left(1 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}=4 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \\
& \mathrm{~d}=\frac{1.67 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g}+9 \times 10^{-28} \mathrm{~g}}{4 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=0.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

46. Because electrons move about the nucleus at an average distance of about $1 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$, the diameter of an atom will be about $2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$. Let's set up a ratio:

$$
\frac{\text { diameter of nucleus }}{\text { diameter of atom }}=\frac{1 \mathrm{~mm}}{\text { diameter of model }}=\frac{1 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~cm}}{2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}} \text {; solving: }
$$

$$
\text { diameter of model }=2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mm}=200 \mathrm{~m}
$$

47. $5.93 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \text { electron charge }}{1.602 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}}=37$ negative (electron) charges on the oil drop
48. First, divide all charges by the smallest quantity, $6.40 \times 10^{-13}$.

$$
\frac{2.56 \times 10^{-12}}{6.40 \times 10^{-13}}=4.00 ; \frac{7.68}{0.640}=12.0 ; \frac{3.84}{0.640}=6.00
$$

Because all charges are whole-number multiples of $6.40 \times 10^{-13}$ zirkombs, the charge on one electron could be $6.40 \times 10^{-13}$ zirkombs. However, $6.40 \times 10^{-13}$ zirkombs could be the charge of two electrons (or three electrons, etc.). All one can conclude is that the charge of an electron is $6.40 \times 10^{-13}$ zirkombs or an integer fraction of $6.40 \times 10^{-13}$ zirkombs.
49. sodium-Na; radium-Ra; iron-Fe; gold-Au; manganese- Mn ; lead- Pb
50. fluorine-F; chlorine-Cl; bromine-Br; sulfur-S; oxygen-O; phosphorus-P
51. Sn-tin; Pt-platinum; Hg-mercury; Mg-magnesium; K-potassium; Ag-silver
52. As-arsenic; I-iodine; Xe-xenon; He-helium; C-carbon; Si-silicon
53. a. Metals: Mg, Ti, Au, Bi, Ge, Eu, and Am. Nonmetals: Si, B, At, Rn, and Br.
b. Si, Ge, B, and At. The elements at the boundary between the metals and the nonmetals are $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Ge}, \mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Sb}, \mathrm{Te}, \mathrm{Po}$, and At. Aluminum has mostly properties of metals, so it is generally not classified as a metalloid.
54. a. The noble gases are $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Ne}, \mathrm{Ar}, \mathrm{Kr}, \mathrm{Xe}$, and Rn (helium, neon, argon, krypton, xenon, and radon). Radon has only radioactive isotopes. In the periodic table, the whole number enclosed in parentheses is the mass number of the longest-lived isotope of the element.
b. Promethium ( Pm ) has only radioactive isotopes.
55.
a. transition metals
b. alkaline earth metals
c. alkali metals
d. noble gases
e. halogens
56. Use the periodic table to identify the elements.
a. Cl ; halogen
b. Be; alkaline earth metal
c. Eu; lanthanide metal
d. Hf; transition metal
e. He; noble gas
f. U; actinide metal
g. Cs; alkali metal
57. a. Element 8 is oxygen. $\mathrm{A}=$ mass number $=9+8=17 ;{ }_{8}^{17} \mathrm{O}$
b. Chlorine is element 17. ${ }_{17}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}$
c. Cobalt is element 27. ${ }_{27}^{60} \mathrm{Co}$
d. $Z=26 ; \mathrm{A}=26+31=57 ;{ }_{26}^{57} \mathrm{Fe}$
e. Iodine is element 53. ${ }_{53} \mathrm{I}$
f. Lithium is element $3 .{ }_{3}^{7} \mathrm{Li}$
58. $\quad$ a. Cobalt is element 27. $\mathrm{A}=$ mass number $=27+31=58 ;{ }_{27}^{58} \mathrm{Co}$
b. $\quad{ }_{5}^{10} \mathrm{~B}$
c. $\quad{ }_{12}^{23} \mathrm{Mg}$
d. ${ }_{53}^{132} I$
e. $\quad{ }_{20}^{47} \mathrm{Ca}$
f. $\quad{ }_{29}^{65} \mathrm{Cu}$
59. Z is the atomic number and is equal to the number of protons in the nucleus. A is the mass number and is equal to the number of protons plus neutrons in the nucleus. X is the symbol of the element. See the front cover of the text which has a listing of the symbols for the various elements and corresponding atomic number or see the periodic table on the cover to determine the identity of the various atoms. Because all of the atoms have equal numbers of protons and electrons, each atom is neutral in charge.
a. $\quad{ }_{11}^{23} \mathrm{Na}$
b. ${ }_{9}^{19} \mathrm{~F}$
c. ${ }_{8}^{16} \mathrm{O}$
60. The atomic number for carbon is $6 .{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ has 6 protons, $14-6=8$ neutrons, and 6 electrons in the neutral atom. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ has 6 protons, $12-6=6$ neutrons, and 6 electrons in the neutral atom. The only difference between an atom of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ and an atom of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is that ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ has two additional neutrons.
61. a. ${ }_{35}^{79} \mathrm{Br}: 35$ protons, $79-35=44$ neutrons. Because the charge of the atom is neutral, the number of protons $=$ the number of electrons $=35$.
b. ${ }_{35}^{81} \mathrm{Br}: 35$ protons, 46 neutrons, 35 electrons
c. $\quad{ }_{94} \mathrm{Pu}: 94$ protons, 145 neutrons, 94 electrons
d. $\quad{ }_{55}^{133} \mathrm{Cs}: 55$ protons, 78 neutrons, 55 electrons
e. ${ }_{1}^{3} \mathrm{H}$ : 1 proton, 2 neutrons, 1 electron
f. $\quad{ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}: 26$ protons, 30 neutrons, 26 electrons
62.
a. $\quad{ }_{92}^{235} \mathrm{U}: 92 \mathrm{p}, 143 \mathrm{n}, 92 \mathrm{e}$
b. $\quad{ }_{13}^{27} \mathrm{Al}: 13 \mathrm{p}, 14 \mathrm{n}, 13 \mathrm{e}$
c. $\quad{ }_{26}^{57} \mathrm{Fe}: 26 \mathrm{p}, 31 \mathrm{n}, 26 \mathrm{e}$
d. $\quad{ }_{82}^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}: 82 \mathrm{p}, 126 \mathrm{n}, 82$ e
e. $\quad{ }_{37} \mathrm{Rb}: 37 \mathrm{p}, 49 \mathrm{n}, 37 \mathrm{e}$
f. ${ }_{20}^{41} \mathrm{Ca}: 20 \mathrm{p}, 21 \mathrm{n}, 20 \mathrm{e}$
63. a. Ba is element 56. $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ has 56 protons, so $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ must have 54 electrons in order to have a net charge of $2+$.
b. Zn is element $30 . \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ has 30 protons and 28 electrons.
c. N is element 7. $\mathrm{N}^{3-}$ has 7 protons and 10 electrons.
d. Rb is element $37, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}$has 37 protons and 36 electrons.
e. Co is element 27. $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ has 27 protons and 24 electrons.
f. Te is element $52 . \mathrm{Te}^{2-}$ has 52 protons and 54 electrons.
g. Br is element $35 . \mathrm{Br}^{-}$has 35 protons and 36 electrons.
64. a. ${ }_{12}^{24} \mathrm{Mg}: 12$ protons, 12 neutrons, 12 electrons
b. ${ }_{12}^{24} \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}: 12 \mathrm{p}, 12 \mathrm{n}, 10 \mathrm{e}$
c. $\quad{ }_{27}^{59} \mathrm{Co}^{2+}: 27 \mathrm{p}, 32 \mathrm{n}, 25 \mathrm{e}$
d. $\quad{ }_{27}^{59} \mathrm{Co}^{3+}: 27 \mathrm{p}, 32 \mathrm{n}, 24 \mathrm{e}$
e. $\quad{ }_{27}^{59} \mathrm{Co}: 27 \mathrm{p}, 32 \mathrm{n}, 27 \mathrm{e}$
f. $\quad{ }_{34} \mathrm{Se}: 34 \mathrm{p}, 45 \mathrm{n}, 34$ e
g. $\quad{ }_{34} \mathrm{Se}^{2-}: 34 \mathrm{p}, 45 \mathrm{n}, 36 \mathrm{e}$
h. $\quad{ }_{28}^{63} \mathrm{Ni}: 28 \mathrm{p}, 35 \mathrm{n}, 28 \mathrm{e}$
i. $\quad{ }_{28} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}: 28 \mathrm{p}, 31 \mathrm{n}, 26 \mathrm{e}$
65. Atomic number $=63(\mathrm{Eu})$; net charge $=+63-60=3+$; mass number $=63+88=151$; symbol: ${ }_{63}^{151} \mathrm{Eu}^{3+}$

Atomic number $=50(\mathrm{Sn}) ;$ mass number $=50+68=118$; net charge $=+50-48=2+$; symbol: ${ }_{50}^{118} \mathrm{Sn}^{2+}$
66. Atomic number $=16(\mathrm{~S})$; net charge $=+16-18=2-$; mass number $=16+18=34$; symbol: ${ }_{16}^{34} \mathrm{~S}^{2-}$

Atomic number $=16(\mathrm{~S})$; net charge $=+16-18=2-$; mass number $=16+16=32$;
symbol: ${ }_{16}^{32} \mathrm{~S}^{2-}$
67.

| Symbol | Number of protons in <br> nucleus | Number of neutrons in <br> nucleus | Number of <br> electrons | Net <br> charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 238 <br> 92 <br> U | 92 | 146 | 92 | 0 |
| 40 <br> 20 <br> $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ | 20 | 20 | 18 | $2+$ |
| ${ }_{23}^{51} \mathrm{~V}^{3+}$ | 23 | 50 | 20 | $3+$ |
| 89 <br> 39 | 39 | 44 | 39 | 0 |
| 79 <br> 35 <br> $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | 35 | 16 | 36 | $1-$ |
| 31 <br> 15 | $\mathrm{P}^{3^{-}}$ |  |  |  |

68. 

| Symbol | Number of protons in <br> nucleus | Number of neutrons in <br> nucleus | Number of <br> electrons | Net <br> charge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }_{26}^{53} \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ | 26 | 27 | 24 | $2+$ |
| 59 <br> ${ }_{26} \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ | 26 | 33 | 23 | $3+$ |
| 210 <br> 85 $\mathrm{At}^{-}$ | 85 | 125 | 86 | $1-$ |
| 27 <br> 13 $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ | 13 | 14 | 10 | $3+$ |
| 128 <br> 52 $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}$ | 52 | 76 | 54 | $2-$ |

69. In ionic compounds, metals lose electrons to form cations, and nonmetals gain electrons to form anions. Group 1A, 2A, and 3A metals form stable 1+, $2+$, and $3+$ charged cations, respectively. Group 5A, 6A, and 7A nonmetals form 3-, 2-, and 1 - charged anions, respectively.
a. Lose $2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{Ra}^{2+}$.
b. Lose $3 \mathrm{e}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{In}^{3+}$.
c. Gain $3 \mathrm{e}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{P}^{3-}$.
d. Gain $2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}$.
e. Gain $1 \mathrm{e}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$.
f. Lose $1 \mathrm{e}^{-}$to form $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$.
70. See Exercise 69 for a discussion of charges various elements form when in ionic compounds.
a. Element 13 is Al . Al forms $3+$ charged ions in ionic compounds. $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$
b. $\mathrm{Se}^{2-}$
c. $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$
d. $\mathrm{N}^{3-}$
e. $\mathrm{Fr}^{+}$
f. $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$

## Nomenclature

71. 

a. sodium bromide
b. rubidium oxide
c. calcium sulfide
d. aluminum iodide
e. $\mathrm{SrF}_{2}$
f. $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$
g. $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$
h. $\mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}$
a. mercury(I) oxide
b. iron(III) bromide
c. cobalt(II) sulfide
d. titanium(IV) chloride
e. $\mathrm{Sn}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
f. $\mathrm{CoI}_{3}$
g. HgO
h. $\mathrm{CrS}_{3}$
72.
73.
a. cesium fluoride
b. lithium nitride
c. silver sulfide (Silver only forms stable $1+$ ions in compounds, so no Roman numerals are needed.)
d. manganese(IV) oxide e. titanium(IV) oxide f. strontium phosphide
74. a. $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ ( Zn only forms stable +2 ions in compounds, so no Roman numerals are needed.)
b. $\mathrm{SnF}_{4}$
c. $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
d. $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$
e. $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$
f. AgI (Ag only forms stable +1 ions in compounds.)
75.
a. barium sulfite
b. sodium nitrite
c. potassium permanganate
d. potassium dichromate
76.
a. $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$
b. $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$
c. $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{2}$
d. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
77.
a. dinitrogen tetroxide
b. iodine trichloride
c. sulfur dioxide
d. diphosphorus pentasulfide
78.
a. $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
b. $\mathrm{AsF}_{5}$
c. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
d. $\mathrm{SCl}_{6}$
79.
a. copper(I) iodide
b. copper(II) iodide
c. cobalt(II) iodide
d. sodium carbonate
e. sodium hydrogen carbonate or sodium bicarbonate
f. tetrasulfur tetranitride
g. selenium tetrachloride
h. sodium hypochlorite
i. barium chromate
j. ammonium nitrate
80. a. acetic acid
b. ammonium nitrite
c. cobalt(III) sulfide
d. iodine monochloride
e. lead(II) phosphate
f. potassium chlorate
g. sulfuric acid
h. strontium nitride
i. aluminum sulfite
j. tin(IV) oxide
k. sodium chromate
l. hypochlorous acid

Note: For the compounds named as acids, we assume these are dissolved in water.
81. In the case of sulfur, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is sulfate, and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ is sulfite. By analogy:
$\mathrm{SeO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ : selenate; $\mathrm{SeO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ : selenite; $\mathrm{TeO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ : tellurate; $\mathrm{TeO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ : tellurite
82. From the anion names of hypochlorite $\left(\mathrm{ClO}^{-}\right)$, chlorite $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}\right)$, chlorate $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$, and perchlorate $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right.$), the oxyanion names for similar iodine ions would be hypoiodite ( $\mathrm{IO}^{-}$), iodite $\left(\mathrm{IO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right)$, iodate $\left(\mathrm{IO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right)$, and periodate $\left(\mathrm{IO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right)$. The corresponding acids would be hypoiodous acid ( HIO ), iodous acid $\left(\mathrm{HIO}_{2}\right)$, iodic acid $\left(\mathrm{HIO}_{3}\right)$, and periodic acid $\left(\mathrm{HIO}_{4}\right)$.
83.
a. $\mathrm{SF}_{2}$
b. $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$
c. $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$
d. $\mathrm{Li}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$
e. $\mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{3}$
f. $\mathrm{SnF}_{2}$
g. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
h. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}$
i. $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}$
j. $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; mercury(I) exists as $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ ions. k. $\mathrm{KClO}_{3}$
l. NaH
84.
a. $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}$
b. $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
c. $\mathrm{NiF}_{2}$
d. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$
e. AlN
f. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (Nitrogen trihydride is the systematic name.) g. $\mathrm{MnS}_{2}$
h. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$
i. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$
j. $\quad \mathrm{CI}_{4}$
85.
a. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
b. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
c. KCN
d. $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$
e. $\mathrm{SeBr}_{4}$
f. $\mathrm{HIO}_{2}$
g. $\mathrm{PbS}_{2}$
h. CuCl
i. GaAs (We would predict the stable ions to be $\mathrm{Ga}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{As}^{3-}$.)
j. CdSe (Cadmium only forms $2+$ charged ions in compounds.)
k. ZnS (Zinc only forms $2+$ charged ions in compounds.)
l. $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$
m. $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
86.
a. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$
b. $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$
c. $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$
d. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$
e. $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{HSO}_{4}\right)_{3}$
f. $\mathrm{NCl}_{3}$
g. HBr
h. $\mathrm{HBrO}_{2}$
i. $\mathrm{HBrO}_{4}$
j. KHS
k. $\mathrm{CaI}_{2}$
l. $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$
87. a. nitric acid, $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$
b. perchloric acid, $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$
c. acetic acid, $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
d. sulfuric acid, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
e. phosphoric acid, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$
88. a. Iron forms $2+$ and 3+ charged ions; we need to include a Roman numeral for iron. Iron(III) chloride is correct.
b. This is a covalent compound, so use the covalent rules. Nitrogen dioxide is correct.
c. This is an ionic compound, so use the ionic rules. Calcium oxide is correct. Calcium only forms stable 2+ ions when in ionic compounds, so no Roman numeral is needed.
d. This is an ionic compound, so use the ionic rules. Aluminum sulfide is correct.
e. This is an ionic compound, so use the ionic rules. Mg is magnesium. Magnesium acetate is correct.
f. Phosphide is $\mathrm{P}^{3-}$, while phosphate is $\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}$. Because phosphate has a 3 - charge, the charge on iron is $3+$. Iron(III) phosphate is correct.
g. This is a covalent compound, so use the covalent rules. Diphosphorus pentasulfide is correct.
h. Because each sodium is $1+$ charged, we have the $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ (peroxide) ion present. Sodium peroxide is correct. Note that sodium oxide would be $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
i. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is nitric acid, not nitrate acid. Nitrate acid does not exist.
j. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is hydrosulfuric acid or dihydrogen sulfide or just hydrogen sulfide (common name). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ is sulfuric acid.

## Additional Exercises

89. Yes, 1.0 g H would react with $37.0 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}$, and 1.0 g H would react with $35.0 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$.

No, the mass ratio of $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{Cl}$ would always be $1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} / 37 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}$ for ${ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} / 35 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}$ for ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$. As long as we had pure ${ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}$ or pure ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$, the ratios will always hold. If we have a mixture (such as the natural abundance of chlorine), the ratio will also be constant as long as the composition of the mixture of the two isotopes does not change.
90. Carbon (C); hydrogen (H); oxygen (O); nitrogen (N); phosphorus (P); sulfur (S)

For lighter elements, stable isotopes usually have equal numbers of protons and neutrons in the nucleus; these stable isotopes are usually the most abundant isotope for each element. Therefore, a predicted stable isotope for each element is ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C},{ }^{2} \mathrm{H},{ }^{16} \mathrm{O},{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{30} \mathrm{P}$, and ${ }^{32} \mathrm{~S}$. These are stable isotopes except for ${ }^{30} \mathrm{P}$, which is radioactive. The most stable (and most abundant) isotope of phosphorus is ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$. There are exceptions. Also, the most abundant isotope for hydrogen is ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$; this has just a proton in the nucleus. ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ (deuterium) is stable (not radioactive), but ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ is also stable as well as most abundant.
91. $\quad{ }_{26}^{53} \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ has 26 protons, $53-26=27$ neutrons, and two fewer electrons than protons ( 24 electrons) in order to have a net charge of $2+$.
92. a. False. Neutrons have no charge; therefore, all particles in a nucleus are not charged.
b. False. The atom is best described as having a tiny dense nucleus containing most of the mass of the atom with the electrons moving about the nucleus at relatively large distances away; so much so that an atom is mostly empty space.
c. False. The mass of the nucleus makes up most of the mass of the entire atom.
d. True.
e. False. The number of protons in a neutral atom must equal the number of electrons.
93. From the $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ formula, X has a 2 - charge. Because 36 electrons are present, X has 34 protons and $79-34=45$ neutrons, and is selenium.
a. True. Nonmetals bond together using covalent bonds and are called covalent compounds.
b. False. The isotope has 34 protons.
c. False. The isotope has 45 neutrons.
d. False. The identity is selenium, Se.
94. a. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}: 26$ protons ( Fe is element 26.); protons - electrons $=$ net charge, $26-2=24$ electrons; FeO is the formula since the oxide ion has a $2-$ charge, and the name is iron(II) oxide.
b. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}: 26$ protons; 23 electrons; $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$; iron(III) oxide
c. $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}: 56$ protons; 54 electrons; BaO ; barium oxide
d. $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}: 55$ protons; 54 electrons; $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; cesium oxide
e. $\mathrm{S}^{2-}: 16$ protons; 18 electrons; $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$; aluminum sulfide
f. $\quad P^{3-}$ : 15 protons; 18 electrons; AlP; aluminum phosphide
g. $\mathrm{Br}^{-}: 35$ protons; 36 electrons; $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$; aluminum bromide
h. $\mathrm{N}^{3-}: 7$ protons; 10 electrons; AlN; aluminum nitride
95.
a. $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}$ : lead(II) acetate
b. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ : copper(II) sulfate
c. CaO : calcium oxide
d. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ : magnesium sulfate
e. $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ : magnesium hydroxide
f. $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ : calcium sulfate
g. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : dinitrogen monoxide or nitrous oxide (common name)
96. a. This is element 52, tellurium. Te forms stable 2! charged ions in ionic compounds (like other oxygen family members).
b. Rubidium. Rb, element 37, forms stable $1+$ charged ions.
c. Argon. Ar is element 18.
d. Astatine. At is element 85.
97. From the $\mathrm{XBr}_{2}$ formula, the charge on element X is $2+$. Therefore, the element has 88 protons, which identifies it as radium, Ra. $230-88=142$ neutrons.
98. Because this is a relatively small number of neutrons, the number of protons will be very close to the number of neutrons present. The heavier elements have significantly more neutrons than protons in their nuclei. Because this element forms anions, it is a nonmetal and will be a halogen because halogens form stable 1- charged ions in ionic compounds. From the halogens listed, chlorine, with an average atomic mass of 35.45 , fits the data. The two isotopes are ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ and ${ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}$, and the number of electrons in the $1-$ ion is 18 . Note that because the atomic mass of chlorine listed in the periodic table is closer to 35 than 37 , we can assume that ${ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}$ is the more abundant isotope. This is discussed in Chapter 3.
99.
a. $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{3-}: \mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, calcium nitride
b. $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{O}^{2-}: \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, potassium oxide
c. $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$: RbF , rubidium fluoride
d. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ : MgS, magnesium sulfide
e. $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{I}^{-}: \mathrm{BaI}_{2}$, barium iodide
f. $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Se}^{2-}: \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$, aluminum selenide
g. $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$and $\mathrm{P}^{3-}: \mathrm{Cs}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, cesium phosphide
h. $\mathrm{In}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}: \mathrm{InBr}_{3}$, indium(III) bromide. In also forms $\mathrm{In}^{+}$ions, but one would predict $\mathrm{In}^{3+}$ ions from its position in the periodic table.
100. These compounds are similar to phosphate $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3--}\right)$ compounds. $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ contains $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions and $\mathrm{AsO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ ions. The name would be sodium arsenate. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ is analogous to phosphoric acid, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} . \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ would be arsenic acid. $\mathrm{Mg}_{3}\left(\mathrm{SbO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ contains $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions and $\mathrm{SbO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ ions, and the name would be magnesium antimonate.
101. a. Element 15 is phosphorus, P. This atom has 15 protons and $31-15=16$ neutrons.
b. Element 53 is iodine, I. 53 protons; 74 neutrons
c. Element 19 is potassium, K. 19 protons; 20 neutrons
d. Element 70 is ytterbium, Yb .70 protons; 103 neutrons
102. Mass is conserved in a chemical reaction.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { chromium(III) oxide }+ \text { aluminum } \rightarrow \text { chromium }+ \text { aluminum oxide } \\
& \text { Mass: } \quad 34.0 \mathrm{~g} \quad 12.1 \mathrm{~g} \quad 23.3 \mathrm{~g} \text { ? }
\end{aligned}
$$

Mass aluminum oxide produced $=(34.0+12.1)-23.3=22.8 \mathrm{~g}$

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 103-108 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

109. Copper $(\mathrm{Cu})$, silver $(\mathrm{Ag})$, and gold $(\mathrm{Au})$ make up the coinage metals.
110. Because the gases are at the same temperature and pressure, the volumes are directly proportional to the number of molecules present. Let's assume hydrogen and oxygen to be monatomic gases and that water has the simplest possible formula (HO). We have the equation:

$$
\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{HO}
$$

But the volume ratios are also equal to the molecule ratios, which correspond to the coefficients in the equation:

$$
2 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HO}
$$

Because atoms cannot be created nor destroyed in a chemical reaction, this is not possible. To correct this, we can make oxygen a diatomic molecule:

$$
2 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HO}
$$

This does not require hydrogen to be diatomic. Of course, if we know water has the formula $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, we get:

$$
2 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

The only way to balance this is to make hydrogen diatomic:

$$
2 \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

111. Avogadro proposed that equal volumes of gases (at constant temperature and pressure) contain equal numbers of molecules. In terms of balanced equations, Avogadro's hypothesis (law) implies that volume ratios will be identical to molecule ratios. Assuming one molecule of octane reacting, then 1 molecule of $\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}$ produces 8 molecules of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and 9 molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}+n \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 8 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Because all the carbon in octane ends up as carbon in
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, octane must contain 8 atoms of C . Similarly, all hydrogen in octane ends up as hydrogen in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, so one molecule of octane must contain $9 \times 2=18$ atoms of H . Octane formula $=\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}$, and the ratio of $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{H}=8: 18$ or $4: 9$.
112. From Section 2.5 of the text, the average diameter of the nucleus is about $10^{-13} \mathrm{~cm}$, and the electrons move about the nucleus at an average distance of about $10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$. From this, the diameter of an atom is about $2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$.

$$
\frac{2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}}{1 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~cm}}=2 \times 10^{5} ; \quad \frac{1 \mathrm{mi}}{1 \text { grape }}=\frac{5280 \mathrm{ft}}{1 \text { grape }}=\frac{63,360 \mathrm{in}}{1 \text { grape }}
$$

Because the grape needs to be $2 \times 10^{5}$ times smaller than a mile, the diameter of the grape would need to be $63,360 /\left(2 \times 10^{5}\right) \approx 0.3$ in. This is a reasonable size for a small grape.
113. The alchemists were incorrect. The solid residue must have come from the flask.
114. The equation for the reaction would be $2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})$. The sodium reactant exists as singular sodium atoms packed together very tightly and in a very organized fashion. This type of packing of atoms represents the solid phase. The chlorine reactant exists as $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ molecules. In the picture of chlorine, there is a lot of empty space present. This only occurs in the gaseous phase. When sodium and chlorine react, the ionic compound NaCl forms. NaCl exists as separate $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. Because the ions are packed very closely together and are packed in a very organized fashion, NaCl is depicted in the solid phase.
115. a. Both compounds have $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ as the formula. Because they have the same formula, their mass percent composition will be identical. However, these are different compounds with different properties because the atoms are bonded together differently. These compounds are called isomers of each other.
b. When wood burns, most of the solid material in wood is converted to gases, which escape. The gases produced are most likely $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
c. The atom is not an indivisible particle but is instead composed of other smaller particles, called electrons, neutrons, and protons.
d. The two hydride samples contain different isotopes of either hydrogen and/or lithium. Although the compounds are composed of different isotopes, their properties are similar because different isotopes of the same element have similar properties (except, of course, their mass).
116. Let $\mathrm{X}_{a}$ be the formula for the atom/molecule $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}_{b}$ be the formula for the atom/molecule Y , $\mathrm{X}_{c} \mathrm{Y}_{d}$ be the formula of compound I between X and Y , and $\mathrm{X}_{e} \mathrm{Y}_{f}$ be the formula of compound II between X and Y . Using the volume data, the following would be the balanced equations for the production of the two compounds.
$\mathrm{X}_{a}+2 \mathrm{Y}_{b} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{X}_{c} \mathrm{Y}_{d} ; 2 \mathrm{X}_{a}+\mathrm{Y}_{b} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{X}_{e} \mathrm{Y}_{f}$
From the balanced equations, $a=2 c=e$ and $b=d=2 f$.
Substituting into the balanced equations:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{2 c}+2 \mathrm{Y}_{2 f} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{X}_{c} \mathrm{Y}_{2 f} ; 2 \mathrm{X}_{2 c}+\mathrm{Y}_{2 f} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{X}_{2 c} \mathrm{Y}_{f}
$$

For simplest formulas, assume that $c=f=1$. Thus:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{2}+2 \mathrm{Y}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{XY}_{2} \text { and } 2 \mathrm{X}_{2}+\mathrm{Y}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}
$$

Compound $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{XY}_{2}$ : If X has relative mass of 1.00, $\frac{1.00}{1.00+2 y}=0.3043, y=1.14$.
Compound II $=\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}$ : If X has relative mass of $1.00, \frac{2.00}{2.00+y}=0.6364, y=1.14$.
The relative mass of Y is 1.14 times that of X . Thus, if X has an atomic mass of 100 , then Y will have an atomic mass of 114 .
117. Most of the mass of the atom is due to the protons and the neutrons in the nucleus, and protons and neutrons have about the same mass $\left(1.67 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g}\right)$. The ratio of the mass of the molecule to the mass of a nuclear particle will give a good approximation of the number of nuclear particles (protons and neutrons) present.

$$
\frac{7.31 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~g}}{1.67 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g}}=43.8 \approx 44 \text { nuclear particles }
$$

Thus there are 44 protons and neutrons present. If the number of protons equals the number of neutrons, we have 22 protons in the molecule. One possibility would be the molecule $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ [6 $62(8)=22$ protons].
118. For each experiment, divide the larger number by the smaller. In doing so, we get:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { experiment } 1 & \mathrm{X}=1.0 & \mathrm{Y}=10.5 \\
\text { experiment } 2 & \mathrm{Y}=1.4 & \mathrm{Z}=1.0 \\
\text { experiment } 3 & \mathrm{X}=1.0 & \mathrm{Y}=3.5
\end{array}
$$

PKO

Our assumption about formulas dictates the rest of the solution. For example, if we assume that the formula of the compound in experiment 1 is XY and that of experiment 2 is YZ , we get relative masses of:

$$
\mathrm{X}=2.0 ; \quad \mathrm{Y}=21 ; \quad \mathrm{Z}=15(=21 / 1.4)
$$

and a formula of $\mathrm{X}_{3} \mathrm{Y}$ for experiment 3 [three times as much X must be present in experiment 3 as compared to experiment $1(10.5 / 3.5=3)$ ].

However, if we assume the formula for experiment 2 is YZ and that of experiment 3 is XZ , then we get:

$$
X=2.0 ; \quad Y=7.0 ; Z=5.0(=7.0 / 1.4)
$$

and a formula of $\mathrm{XY}_{3}$ for experiment 1 . Any answer that is consistent with your initial assumptions is correct.

The answer to part d depends on which (if any) of experiments 1 and 3 have a formula of XY in the compound. If the compound in experiment 1 has a formula of XY, then:

$$
21 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{XY} \times \frac{4.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Y}}{(4.2+0.4) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{XY}}=19.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Y}(\text { and } 1.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X})
$$

If the compound in experiment 3 has the $X Y$ formula, then:

$$
21 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{XY} \mathrm{H} \frac{7.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Y}}{(7.0+2.0) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{XY}}=16.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Y}(\text { and } 4.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X})
$$

Note that it could be that neither experiment 1 nor experiment 3 has XY as the formula. Therefore, there is no way of knowing an absolute answer here.

## Integrated Problems

119. The systematic name of $\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is tantalum $(\mathrm{V})$ oxide. Tantalum is a transition metal and requires a Roman numeral. Sulfur is in the same group as oxygen, and its most common ion is $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$. There-fore, the formula of the sulfur analogue would be $\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{5}$.

Total number of protons in $\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ :
Ta, $\mathrm{Z}=73$, so 73 protons $\times 2=146$ protons; $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Z}=8$, so 8 protons $\times 5=40$ protons
Total protons $=186$ protons
Total number of protons in $\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{5}$ :
Ta, $Z=73$, so 73 protons $\times 2=146$ protons; $S, Z=16$, so 16 protons $\times 5=80$ protons
Total protons $=226$ protons
Proton difference between $\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{Ta}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}: 226$ protons -186 protons $=40$ protons
120. The cation has 51 protons and 48 electrons. The number of protons corresponds to the atomic number. Thus this is element 51, antimony. There are 3 fewer electrons than protons. Therefore, the charge on the cation is $3+$. The anion has one-third the number of protons of the cation, which corresponds to 17 protons; this is element 17 , chlorine. The number of electrons in this anion of chlorine is $17+1=18$ electrons. The anion must have a charge of 1 -.

The formula of the compound formed between $\mathrm{Sb}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is $\mathrm{SbCl}_{3}$. The name of the compound is antimony(III) chloride. The Roman numeral is used to indicate the charge on Sb because the predicted charge is not obvious from the periodic table.
121. Number of electrons in the unknown ion:

$$
2.55 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \text { electron }}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}}=28 \text { electrons }
$$

Number of protons in the unknown ion:

$$
5.34 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \text { proton }}{1.67 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}=32 \text { protons }
$$

Therefore, this ion has 32 protons and 28 electrons. This is element number 32, germanium ( Ge ). The net charge is $4+$ because four electrons have been lost from a neutral germanium atom.

The number of electrons in the unknown atom:

$$
3.92 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \text { electron }}{9.11 \times 0^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}}=43 \text { electrons }
$$

In a neutral atom, the number of protons and electrons is the same. Therefore, this is element 43 , technetium (Tc).

The number of neutrons in the technetium atom:

$$
9.35 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \text { proton }}{1.67 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}=56 \text { neutrons }
$$

The mass number is the sum of the protons and neutrons. In this atom, the mass number is 43 protons +56 neutrons $=99$. Thus this atom and its mass number is ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$.

## Marathon Problem

122. a. For each set of data, divide the larger number by the smaller number to determine relative masses.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{0.602}{0.295}=2.04 ; \quad \mathrm{A}=2.04 \text { when } \mathrm{B}=1.00 \\
& \frac{0.401}{0.172}=2.33 ; \quad \mathrm{C}=2.33 \text { when } \mathrm{B}=1.00 \\
& \frac{0.374}{0.320}=1.17 ; \quad \mathrm{C}=1.17 \text { when } \mathrm{A}=1.00
\end{aligned}
$$

To have whole numbers, multiply the results by 3 .
Data set 1: $\mathrm{A}=6.1$ and $\mathrm{B}=3.0$
Data set 2: $C=7.0$ and $B=3.0$
Data set 3: $\mathrm{C}=3.5$ and $\mathrm{A}=3.0$ or $\mathrm{C}=7.0$ and $\mathrm{A}=6.0$
Assuming 6.0 for the relative mass of A , the relative masses would be $\mathrm{A}=6.0, \mathrm{~B}=3.0$, and $\mathrm{C}=7.0$ (if simplest formulas are assumed).
b. Gas volumes are proportional to the number of molecules present. There are many possible correct answers for the balanced equations. One such solution that fits the gas volume data is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
6 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+\mathrm{B}_{4} & \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~A}_{3} \mathrm{~B} \\
\mathrm{~B}_{4}+4 \mathrm{C}_{3} & \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{BC}_{3} \\
3 \mathrm{~A}_{2}+2 \mathrm{C}_{3} & \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{AC}
\end{aligned}
$$

In any correct set of reactions, the calculated mass data must match the mass data given initially in the problem. Here, the new table of relative masses would be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{6\left(\text { mass }_{2}\right)}{{\text { mass } B_{4}}}=\frac{0.602}{0.295} ; \text { mass } \mathrm{A}_{2}=0.340\left(\text { mass } B_{4}\right) \\
& \frac{4\left(\text { mass }_{3}\right)}{\text { mass }_{4}}=\frac{0.401}{0.172} ; \text { mass } \mathrm{C}_{3}=0.583\left(\text { mass } B_{4}\right) \\
& \frac{2\left(\text { mass }_{3}\right)}{3\left(\text { mass }_{2}\right)}=\frac{0.374}{0.320} ; \text { mass } \mathrm{A}_{2}=0.570\left({\text { mass } \left.\mathrm{C}_{3}\right)}^{2}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume some relative mass number for any of the masses. We will assume that mass $\mathrm{B}=$ 3.0 , so mass $B_{4}=4(3.0)=12$.

Mass $\mathrm{C}_{3}=0.583(12)=7.0$, mass $\mathrm{C}=7.0 / 3$
Mass $\mathrm{A}_{2}=0.570(7.0)=4.0$, mass $\mathrm{A}=4.0 / 2=2.0$
When we assume a relative mass for $\mathrm{B}=3.0$, then $\mathrm{A}=2.0$ and $\mathrm{C}=7.0 / 3$. The relative masses having all whole numbers would be $\mathrm{A}=6.0, \mathrm{~B}=9.0$, and $\mathrm{C}=7.0$.

Note that any set of balanced reactions that confirms the initial mass data is correct. This is just one possibility.

## CHAPTER 3

## STOICHIOMETRY

## Questions

23. 

| Isotope | Mass | Abundance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ | $12.000 \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ | $98.89 \%$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | 13.034 u | $1.11 \%$ |

Average mass $=0.9889(12.000 \overline{0})+0.0111(13.034)=12.01 u$
Note: u is an abbreviation for amu (atomic mass units).
From the relative abundances, there would be 9889 atoms of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and 111 atoms of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ in the 10,000 atom sample. The average mass of carbon is independent of the sample size; it will always be 12.01 u .

Total mass $=10,000$ atoms $\times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{u}}{\text { atom }}=1.201 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{u}$
For 1 mole of carbon ( $6.0221 \times 10^{23}$ atoms C ), the average mass would still be 12.01 u .
The number of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ atoms would be $0.9889\left(6.0221 \times 10^{23}\right)=5.955 \times 10^{23}$ atoms ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$, and the number of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ atoms would be $0.0111\left(6.0221 \times 10^{23}\right)=6.68 \times 10^{21}$ atoms ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$.

Total mass $=6.0221 \times 10^{23}$ atoms $\times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{u}}{\text { atom }}=7.233 \times 10^{24} \mathrm{u}$
Total mass in $\mathrm{g}=6.0221 \times 10^{23}$ atoms $\times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{u}}{\text { atom }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{6.0221 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{u}}=12.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
By using the carbon-12 standard to define the relative masses of all of the isotopes, as well as to define the number of things in a mole, then each element's average atomic mass in units of grams is the mass of a mole of that element as it is found in nature.
24. Consider a sample of glucose, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$. The molar mass of glucose is $180.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. The chemical formula allows one to convert from molecules of glucose to atoms of carbon, hydrogen, or oxygen present and vice versa. The chemical formula also gives the mole relationship in the formula. One mole of glucose contains $6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}$, and 6 mol O . Thus mole conversions between molecules and atoms are possible using the chemical formula. The molar mass allows one to convert between mass and moles of compound, and Avogadro's number $\left(6.022 \times 10^{23}\right)$ allows one to convert between moles of compound and number of molecules.
25. Avogadro's number of dollars $=6.022 \times 10^{23}$ dollars $/ \mathrm{mol}$ dollars
$\frac{1 \text { mol dollars } \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { dollars }}{\text { mol dollars }}}{7 \times 10^{9} \text { people }}=8.6 \times 10^{13}=9 \times 10^{13}$ dollars/person
1 trillion $=1,000,000,000,000=1 \times 10^{12}$; each person would have 90 trillion dollars.
26. Molar mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}=12.01+2(16.00)=44.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

One mol of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ contains $6.022 \times 10^{23}$ molecules of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 6.022 \times 10^{23}$ atoms of C , and 1.204 $\times 10^{24}$ atoms of O . We could also break down 1 mol of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ into the number of protons and the number of electrons present ( $1.325 \times 10^{25}$ protons and $1.325 \times 10^{25}$ electrons). In order to determine the number of neutrons present, we would need to know the isotope abundances for carbon and oxygen.

The mass of 1 mol of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ would be 44.01 g . From the molar mass, one mol of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ would
 protons and mass of electrons present ( 22.16 g protons and $1.207 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}$ electrons). This assumes no mass loss when the individual particles come together to form the atom. This is not a great assumption as will be discussed in Chapter 19 on Nuclear Chemistry.
27. Only in b are the empirical formulas the same for both compounds illustrated. In b, general formulas of $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{XY} Y_{2}$ are illustrated, and both have $\mathrm{XY}_{2}$ for an empirical formula.

For a, general formulas of $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{2}$ are illustrated. The empirical formulas for these two compounds are the same as the molecular formulas. For c, general formulas of XY and $\mathrm{XY}_{2}$ are illustrated; these general formulas are also the empirical formulas. For d, general formulas of $\mathrm{XY}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{6}$ are illustrated. $\mathrm{XY}_{4}$ is also the molecular formula, but $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{6}$ has the empirical formula of $\mathrm{XY}_{3}$.
28. The molar mass is the mass of 1 mole of the compound. The empirical mass is the mass of 1 mole of the empirical formula. The molar mass is a whole-number multiple of the empirical mass. The masses are the same when the molecular formula = empirical formula, and the masses are different when the two formulas are different. When different, the empirical mass must be multiplied by the same whole number used to convert the empirical formula to the molecular formula. For example, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is the molecular formula for glucose, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is the empirical formula. The whole-number multiplier is 6 . This same factor of 6 is the multiplier used to equate the empirical mass ( $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of glucose to the molar mass ( $180 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).
29. The mass percent of a compound is a constant no matter what amount of substance is present. Compounds always have constant composition.
30. A balanced equation starts with the correct formulas of the reactants and products. The coefficients necessary to balance the equation give molecule relationships as well as mole relationships between reactants and products. The state (phase) of the reactants and products is also given. Finally, special reaction conditions are sometimes listed above or below the arrow. These can include special catalysts used and/or special temperatures required for a reaction to occur.
31. Only one product is formed in this representation. This product has two Y atoms bonded to an $X$. The other substance present in the product mixture is just the excess of one of the reactants (Y). The best equation has smallest whole numbers. Here, answer c would be this smallest whole number equation ( $\mathrm{X}+2 \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{XY}_{2}$ ). Answers a and b have incorrect products listed, and for answer d, an equation only includes the reactants that go to produce the product; excess reactants are not shown in an equation.
32. A balanced equation must have the same number and types of atoms on both sides of the equation, but it also needs to have correct formulas. The illustration has the equation as:

$$
\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Under normal conditions, hydrogen gas and oxygen gas exist as diatomic molecules. So the first change to make is to change $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. To balance this equation, we need one more oxygen atom on the product side. Trial and error eventually gives the correct balanced equation of:

$$
2 \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

This equation uses the smallest whole numbers and has the same number of oxygen atoms and hydrogen atoms on both sides of the equation ( $4 \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{O}$ atoms). So in your drawing, there should be two $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules, $1 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule, and $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules.
33. The theoretical yield is the stoichiometric amount of product that should form if the limiting reactant is completely consumed and the reaction has $100 \%$ yield.
34. A reactant is present in excess if there is more of that reactant present than is needed to combine with the limiting reactant for the process. By definition, the limiting reactant cannot be present in excess. An excess of any reactant does not affect the theoretical yield for a process; the theoretical yield is determined by the limiting reactant.
35. The specific information needed is mostly the coefficients in the balanced equation and the molar masses of the reactants and products. For percent yield, we would need the actual yield of the reaction and the amounts of reactants used.
a. Mass of CB produced $=1.00 \times 10^{4}$ molecules $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}$

$$
\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules } \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CB}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}} \times \frac{\text { molar mass of } \mathrm{CB}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CB}}
$$

b. Atoms of A produced $=1.00 \times 10^{4}$ molecules $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \times \frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{A}}{1 \text { molecule } \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}$
c. Moles of $C$ reacted $=1.00 \times 10^{4}$ molecules $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules } \mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}$

$$
\times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}
$$

d. Percent yield $=\frac{\text { actual mass }}{\text { theoretical mass }} \times 100$; the theoretical mass of CB produced was calculated in part a. If the actual mass of CB produced is given, then the percent yield can be determined for the reaction using the percent yield equation.
36. One method is to assume each quantity of reactant is limiting, then calculate the amount of product that could be produced from each reactant. This gives two possible answers (assuming two reactants). The correct answer (the amount of product that could be produced) is always the smaller number. Even though there is enough of the other reactant to form more product, once the smaller quantity is reached, the limiting reactant runs out, and the reaction cannot continue.

A second method would be to pick one of the reactants and then calculate how much of the other reactant would be required to react with all of it. How the answer compares to the actual amount of that reactant present allows one to deduce the identity of the limiting reactant. Once the identity is known, one would take the limiting reactant and convert it to mass of product formed.

## Exercises

## Atomic Masses and the Mass Spectrometer

37. Let $\mathrm{A}=$ average atomic mass
$A=0.0140(203.973)+0.2410(205.9745)+0.2210(206.9759)+0.5240(207.9766)$
$\mathrm{A}=2.86+49.64+45.74+109.0=207.2 \mathrm{u}$; from the periodic table, the element is Pb .
Note: u is an abbreviation for amu (atomic mass units).
38. Average atomic mass $=\mathrm{A}=0.0800(45.952632)+0.0730(46.951764)+0.7380(47.947947)$

$$
+0.0550(48.947841)+0.0540(49.944792)=47.88 \mathrm{amu}
$$

This is element Ti (titanium).
39. Let $\mathrm{A}=$ mass of ${ }^{185} \mathrm{Re}$ :

$$
186.207=0.6260(186.956)+0.3740(\mathrm{~A}), 186.207-117.0=0.3740(\mathrm{~A})
$$

$$
\mathrm{A}=\frac{69.2}{0.3740}=185 \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{~A}=184.95 \mathrm{u} \text { without rounding to proper significant figures. })
$$

40. Abundance ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Si}=100.00-(4.70+3.09)=92.21 \%$; from the periodic table, the average atomic mass of Si is 28.09 u .
$28.09=0.9221(27.98)+0.0470\left(\right.$ atomic mass $\left.{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}\right)+0.0309(29.97)$
Atomic mass ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}=29.01 \mathrm{u}$
The mass of ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ is actually a little less than 29 u . There are other isotopes of silicon that are considered when determining the 28.09 u average atomic mass of Si listed in the atomic table.
41. Let $x=\%$ of ${ }^{151} \mathrm{Eu}$ and $\mathrm{y}=\%$ of ${ }^{153} \mathrm{Eu}$, then $x+y=100$ and $y=100-x$.

$$
151.96=\frac{x(150.9196)+(100-x)(152.9209)}{100}
$$

$15196=(150.9196) x+15292.09-(152.9209) x,-96=-(2.0013) x$
$x=48 \% ; 48 \%{ }^{151} \mathrm{Eu}$ and $100-48=52 \%{ }^{153} \mathrm{Eu}$
42. If silver is $51.82 \%{ }^{107} \mathrm{Ag}$, then the remainder is ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ ( $48.18 \%$ ). Determining the atomic mass (A) of ${ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 107.868=\frac{51.82(106.905)+48.18(\mathrm{~A})}{100} \\
& 10786.8=5540 .+(48.18) \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~A}=108.9 \mathrm{u}=\text { atomic mass of }{ }^{109} \mathrm{Ag}
\end{aligned}
$$

43. There are three peaks in the mass spectrum, each 2 mass units apart. This is consistent with two isotopes differing in mass by two mass units. The peak at 157.84 corresponds to a $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ molecule composed of two atoms of the lighter isotope. This isotope has mass equal to $157.84 / 2$ or 78.92. This corresponds to ${ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}$. The second isotope is ${ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}$ with mass equal to $161.84 / 2=80.92$. The peaks in the mass spectrum correspond to ${ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}_{2}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}^{81} \mathrm{Br}$, and ${ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in order of increasing mass. The intensities of the highest and lowest masses tell us the two isotopes are present in about equal abundance. The actual abundance is $50.68 \%{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}$ and $49.32 \%{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}$.
44. Because we are not given the relative masses of the isotopes, we need to estimate the masses of the isotopes. A good estimate is to assume that only the protons and neutrons contribute to the overall mass of the atom and that the atomic mass of a proton and neutron are each 1.00 u . So the masses are about: ${ }^{54} \mathrm{Fe}, 54.00 \mathrm{u} ;{ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}, 56.00 \mathrm{u} ;{ }^{57} \mathrm{Fe}, 57.00 \mathrm{u} ;{ }^{58} \mathrm{Fe}, 58.00 \mathrm{u}$. Using these masses, the calculated average atomic mass would be:

$$
0.0585(54.00)+0.9175(56.00)+0.0212(57.00)+0.0028(58.00)=55.91 u
$$

The average atomic mass listed in the periodic table is 55.85 u .

## Moles and Molar Masses

45. When more than one conversion factor is necessary to determine the answer, we will usually put all the conversion factors into one calculation instead of determining intermediate answers. This method reduces round-off error and is a time saver.
46. atoms Fe $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms Fe }} \times \frac{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Fe}}=4.64 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}$
47. $\quad 500.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}}=8.953 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}$
$8.953 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms Fe }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Fe}}=5.391 \times 10^{24}$ atoms Fe
48. 1.00 carat $\times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\text { carat }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms C }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}}=1.00 \times 10^{22}$ atoms C
49. $\quad 5.0 \times 10^{21}$ atoms $\mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms C }}=8.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}$
$8.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}}=0.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}$
50. $\quad \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 2(26.98)+3(16.00)=101.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{AlF}_{6}: \quad 3(22.99)+1(26.98)+6(19.00)=209.95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
51. $\quad \mathrm{HFC}-134 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}_{3}: 2(12.01)+2(1.008)+4(19.00)=102.04 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

HCFC-124, CHClFCF $_{3}: 2(12.01)+1(1.008)+1(35.45)+4(19.00)=136.48 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
51. a. The formula is $\mathrm{NH}_{3} .14 .01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}+3(1.008 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})=17.03 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. The formula is $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} .2(14.01)+4(1.008)=32.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
c. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}: 2(14.01)+8(1.008)+2(52.00)+7(16.00)=252.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
52. a. The formula is $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} .4(30.97 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})+6(16.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})=219.88 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}: 3(40.08)+2(30.97)+8(16.00)=310.18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
c. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}: 2(22.99)+1(1.008)+1(30.97)+4(16.00)=141.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
53. a. $1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=0.0587 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$
b. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}{32.05 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}=0.0312 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
c. $1.00 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{252.08 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}=3.97 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$
54. a. $1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}}{219.88 \mathrm{~g}}=4.55 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$
b. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}{310.18 \mathrm{~g}}=3.22 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$
c. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}}{141.96 \mathrm{~g}}=7.04 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$
55. a. $5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=85.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$
b. $5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \times \frac{32.05 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}=160 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$
c. $5.00 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \times \frac{252.08 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}=1260 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$
56. a. $5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \times \frac{219.88 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}}=1.10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$
b. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \times \frac{310.18 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}=1.55 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$
c. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \times \frac{141.96 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}}=7.10 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$
57. Chemical formulas give atom ratios as well as mole ratios.
a. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}} \times \frac{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}=70.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}$
b. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}} \times \frac{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}=140 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}$
c. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}} \times \frac{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}=140 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}$

b. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{30.97 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{P}}=310 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{P}$
c. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}} \times \frac{30.97 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{P}}=155 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}$
59. a. $1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules } \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}$

$$
=3.54 \times 10^{22} \text { molecules } \mathrm{NH}_{3}
$$

b. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}{32.05 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules } \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}$

$$
=1.88 \times 10^{22} \text { molecules } \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}
$$

c. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{252.08 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}$

$$
\times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units }\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{\operatorname{mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}=2.39 \times 10^{21} \text { formula units }\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}
$$

60. a. $1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}}{219.88 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}}=2.74 \times 10^{21}$ molecules $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}$
b. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}{310.18 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}$

$$
=1.94 \times 10^{21} \text { formula units } \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}
$$

c. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}}{141.96 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}}$ $=4.24 \times 10^{21}$ formula units $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$
61. Using answers from Exercise 59:
a. $3.54 \times 10^{22}$ molecules $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \text { atom } \mathrm{N}}{\text { molecule } \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=3.54 \times 10^{22}$ atoms N
b. $1.88 \times 10^{22}$ molecules $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \times \frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}}{\text { molecule } \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}=3.76 \times 10^{22}$ atoms N
c. $2.39 \times 10^{21}$ formula units $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \times \frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}}{\text { formula unit }\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}$ $=4.78 \times 10^{21}$ atoms N
62. Using answers from Exercise 60:
a. $\quad 2.74 \times 10^{21}$ molecules $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6} \times \frac{4 \text { atoms } \mathrm{P}}{\text { molecule } \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}}=1.10 \times 10^{22}$ atoms P
b. $1.94 \times 10^{21}$ formula units $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \times \frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{P}}{\text { formula unit } \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}=3.88 \times 10^{21}$ atoms P
c. $4.24 \times 10^{21}$ formula units $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \text { atom } \mathrm{P}}{\text { formula unit } \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}}=4.24 \times 10^{21}$ atoms P
63. Molar mass of $\mathrm{CCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}=12.01+2(35.45)+2(19.00)=120.91 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$5.56 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{120.91 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}$ $=2.77 \times 10^{19}$ molecules $\mathrm{CCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
5.56 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}}{120.91 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}^{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}} \times \frac{35.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}}{} \\
=3.26 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}=3.26 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}
\end{aligned}
$$

64. The $\cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is part of the formula of bauxite (they are called waters of hydration). Combining elements together, the chemical formula for bauxite would be $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.
a. $\quad$ Molar mass $=2(26.98)+5(16.00)+4(1.008)=137.99 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\quad 0.58 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{26.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Al}}=31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}$
c. $\quad 0.58 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Al}}$

$$
=7.0 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms Al }
$$

d. $2.1 \times 10^{24}$ formula units $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units }} \times \frac{137.99 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}$

$$
=480 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

65. a. $150.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{159.70 \mathrm{~g}}=0.9393 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
b. $\quad 10.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{46.01 \mathrm{~g}}=2.17 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
c. $1.5 \times 10^{16}$ molecules $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}=2.5 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BF}_{3}$
66. a. $20.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{194.20 \mathrm{~g}}=1.03 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
b. $\quad 2.72 \times 10^{21}$ molecules $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}$

$$
=4.52 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}
$$

c. $\quad 1.50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g}}=3.41 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$
67. a. A chemical formula gives atom ratios as well as mole ratios. We will use both ideas to show how these conversion factors can be used.

Molar mass of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}=2(12.01)+5(1.008)+2(16.00)+14.0 \mathrm{l}=75.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}}{75.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}{\text { molecules } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}}}{} \\
& \times \frac{1 \text { atom } \mathrm{N}}{\text { molecule } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}}=4.01 \times 10^{22} \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Molar mass of $\mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}=3(24.31)+2(14.01)=100.95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{100.95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units } \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \\
& \times \frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}=5.97 \times 10^{22} \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Molar mass of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}=40.08+2(14.01)+6(16.00)=164.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{164.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}} \\
&=3.67 \times 10^{22} \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

d. Molar mass of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}=2(14.01)+4(16.00)=92.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}{92.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms N}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~N}} & =6.54 \times 10^{22} \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

68. $\quad 4.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{78.11 \mathrm{~g}}=5.43 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$
$5.43 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}=3.27 \times 10^{22}$ molecules $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$
Each molecule of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ contains 6 atoms $\mathrm{C}+6$ atoms $\mathrm{H}=12$ atoms total.
$3.27 \times 10^{22}$ molecules $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{12 \text { atoms total }}{\text { molecule }}=3.92 \times 10^{23}$ atoms total
$0.224 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=4.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$0.224 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}=1.35 \times 10^{23}$ molecules $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$1.35 \times 10^{23}$ molecules $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{3 \text { atoms total }}{\text { molecule }}=4.05 \times 10^{23}$ atoms total
$2.71 \times 10^{22}$ molecules $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}=4.50 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$
$4.50 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{44.01 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=1.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$
$2.71 \times 10^{22}$ molecules $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{3 \text { atoms total }}{\text { molecule } \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=8.13 \times 10^{22}$ atoms total
$3.35 \times 10^{22}$ atoms total $\times \frac{1 \text { molecule }}{6 \text { atoms total }}=5.58 \times 10^{21}$ molecules $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$
$5.58 \times 10^{21}$ molecules $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}=9.27 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$
$9.27 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{32.04 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=0.297 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$
69. Molar mass of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}=6(12.01)+8(1.008)+6(16.00)=176.12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$500.0 \mathrm{mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{176.12 \mathrm{~g}}=2.839 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}$
$2.839 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}=1.710 \times 10^{21}$ molecules $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}$
70. a. $9(12.01)+8(1.008)+4(16.00)=180.15 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\quad 500 . \mathrm{mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{180.15 \mathrm{~g}}=2.78 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
$2.78 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}=1.67 \times 10^{21}$ molecules $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
71. a. $2(12.01)+3(1.008)+3(35.45)+2(16.00)=165.39 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\quad 500.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{165.39 \mathrm{~g}}=3.023 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
c. $2.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{165.39 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=3.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
d. $\quad 5.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{165.39 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}} \times \frac{3 \text { atoms Cl }}{\text { molecule }}$

$$
=5.5 \times 10^{22} \text { atoms of chlorine }
$$

e. $1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{35.45 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}} \times \frac{165.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=1.6 \mathrm{~g}$ chloral hydrate
f. $\quad 500$ molecules $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }} \times \frac{165.39 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=1.373 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
72. As we shall see in later chapters, the formula written as $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ tries to tell us something about how the atoms are attached to each other. For our purposes in this problem, we can write the formula as $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
a. $2(12.01)+6(1.008)+2(14.01)+1(16.00)=74.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\quad 250 \mathrm{mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{74.09 \mathrm{~g}}=3.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \quad$ c. $\quad 0.050 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{74.09 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=3.7 \mathrm{~g}$
d. $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{6 \text { atoms of } \mathrm{H}}{\text { molecule } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$

$$
=3.6 \times 10^{24} \text { atoms of hydrogen }
$$

e. $\quad 1.0 \times 10^{6}$ molecules $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }} \times \frac{74.09 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=1.2 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{~g}$
f. 1 molecule $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }} \times \frac{74.09 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=1.230 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

## Percent Composition

73. a. $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}:$ Molar mass $=3(12.01)+4(1.008)+2(16.00)=36.03+4.032+32.00$ $=72.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Mass \% C $=\frac{36.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{72.06 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=50.00 \% \mathrm{C}$
Mass \% H $=\frac{4.032 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{72.06 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=5.595 \% \mathrm{H}$
Mass \% O = 100.00-(50.00 + 5.595) $=44.41 \% \mathrm{O}$ or:

$$
\% \mathrm{O}=\frac{32.00 \mathrm{~g}}{72.06 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=44.41 \% \mathrm{O}
$$

b. $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : Molar mass $=4(12.01)+6(1.008)+2(16.00)=48.04+6.048+32.00$

$$
=86.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Mass \% C $=\frac{48.04 \mathrm{~g}}{86.09 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=55.80 \% \mathrm{C}$; mass $\% \mathrm{H}=\frac{6.048 \mathrm{~g}}{86.09 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=7.025 \% \mathrm{H}$
Mass \% O $=100.00-(55.80+7.025)=37.18 \% \mathrm{O}$
c. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}:$ Molar mass $=3(12.01)+3(1.008)+1(14.01)=36.03+3.024+14.01$

$$
=53.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mass } \% \mathrm{C}=\frac{36.03 \mathrm{~g}}{53.06 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=67.90 \% \mathrm{C} ; \quad \text { mass } \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{3.024 \mathrm{~g}}{53.06 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=5.699 \% \mathrm{H} \\
& \text { Mass } \% \mathrm{~N}=\frac{14.01 \mathrm{~g}}{53.06 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=26.40 \% \mathrm{~N} \text { or } \% \mathrm{~N}=100.00-(67.90+5.699) \\
& =26.40 \% \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

74. In 1 mole of $\mathrm{YBa}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, there are 1 mole of $\mathrm{Y}, 2$ moles of $\mathrm{Ba}, 3$ moles of Cu , and 7 moles of O .

Molar mass $=1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Y}\left(\frac{88.91 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Y}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Y}}\right)+2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}\left(\frac{137.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ba}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ba}}\right)$

$$
+3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\frac{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}\right)+7 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}\right)
$$

Molar mass $=88.91+274.6+190.65+112.00=666.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{Y}=\frac{88.91 \mathrm{~g}}{666.2 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=13.35 \% \mathrm{Y} ;$ mass $\% \mathrm{Ba}=\frac{274.6 \mathrm{~g}}{666.2 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=41.22 \% \mathrm{Ba}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{Cu}=\frac{190.65 \mathrm{~g}}{666.2 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=28.62 \% \mathrm{Cu} ;$ mass $\% \mathrm{O}=\frac{112.0 \mathrm{~g}}{666.2 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=16.81 \% \mathrm{O}$
75. $\mathrm{NO}:$ Mass $\% \mathrm{~N}=\frac{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{30.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NO}} \times 100=46.68 \% \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{NO}_{2}:$ Mass \% N $=\frac{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{46.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NO}_{2}} \times 100=30.45 \% \mathrm{~N}$
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}:$ Mass \% $\mathrm{N}=\frac{2(14.01) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{N}}{44.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times 100=63.65 \% \mathrm{~N}$
From the calculated mass percents, only NO is $46.7 \% \mathrm{~N}$ by mass, so NO could be this species. Any other compound having NO as an empirical formula could also be the compound.
76. a. $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}:$ Molar mass $=8(12.01)+10(1.008)+4(14.0 \mathrm{l})+2(16.00)=194.20 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\text { Mass \% C }=\frac{8(12.01) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{C}^{2}}{194.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times 100=\frac{96.08 \mathrm{~g}}{194.20 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=49.47 \% \mathrm{C}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}:$ Molar mass $=12(12.01)+22(1.008)+11(16.00)=342.30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\text { Mass \% C }=\frac{12(12.01) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{C}^{2}}{342.30 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}} \times 100=42.10 \% \mathrm{C}
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}:$ Molar mass $=2(12.01)+6(1.008)+1(16.00)=46.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ Mass \% C $=\frac{2(12.01) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{C}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}} \times 100=52.14 \% \mathrm{C}$

The order from lowest to highest mass percentage of carbon is:

$$
\text { sucrose }\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}\right)<\text { caffeine }\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)<\text { ethanol }\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right)
$$

77. There are 0.390 g Cu for every 100.000 g of fungal laccase. Assuming 100.00 g fungal laccase:
Mol fungal laccase $=0.390 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { fungal laccase }}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}=1.53 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$
$\frac{x \mathrm{~g} \text { fungal laccase }}{\text { mol fungal laccase }}=\frac{100.000 \mathrm{~g}}{1.53 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}, x=$ molar mass $=6.54 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
78. There are 0.347 g Fe for every 100.000 g hemoglobin (Hb). Assuming 100.000 g hemoglobin:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Hb}=0.347 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hb}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}=1.55 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hb} \\
\frac{x \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hb}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hb}}=\frac{100.000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hb}}{1.55 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hb}}, x=\text { molar mass }=6.45 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Empirical and Molecular Formulas

79. a. Molar mass of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}\left(\frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}}\right)+2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}\left(\frac{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}}\right)$

$$
+1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}\left(\frac{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}\right)=30.03 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$$
\% \mathrm{C}=\frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}^{2}}{30.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times 100=39.99 \% \mathrm{C} ; \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{30.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times 100=6.713 \% \mathrm{H}
$$

$$
\% \mathrm{O}=\frac{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}^{3}}{30.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times 100=53.28 \% \mathrm{O} \text { or } \% \mathrm{O}=100.00-(39.99+6.713)=53.30 \%
$$

b. Molar mass of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}=6(12.01)+12(1.008)+6(16.00)=180.16 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \% \mathrm{C}=\frac{76.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{180.16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}} \times 100=40.00 \% ; \quad \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{12 .(1.008) \mathrm{g}}{180.16 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=6.714 \% \\
& \% \mathrm{O}=100.00-(40.00+6.714)=53.29 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Molar mass of $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=2(12.01)+4(1.008)+2(16.00)=60.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \% \mathrm{C}=\frac{24.02 \mathrm{~g}}{60.05 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=40.00 \% ; \quad \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{4.032 \mathrm{~g}}{60.05 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=6.714 \% \\
& \% \mathrm{O}=100.00-(40.00+6.714)=53.29 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

80. All three compounds have the same empirical formula, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and different molecular formulas. The composition of all three in mass percent is also the same (within rounding differences). Therefore, elemental analysis will give us only the empirical formula.
81. a. The molecular formula is $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. The smallest whole number ratio of the atoms (the empirical formula) is $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$.
b. Molecular formula: $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$; empirical formula: $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$
c. Molecular formula: $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$; empirical formula: $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
d. Molecular formula: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$; empirical formula: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
82. a. SNH: Empirical formula mass $=32.07+14.01+1.008=47.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\frac{188.35 \mathrm{~g}}{47.09 \mathrm{~g}}=4.000$; so the molecular formula is $(\mathrm{SNH})_{4}$ or $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.
b. $\mathrm{NPCl}_{2}:$ Empirical formula mass $=14.01+30.97+2(35.45)=115.88 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\frac{347.64 \mathrm{~g}}{115.88 \mathrm{~g}}=3.0000 ;$ molecular formula is $\left(\mathrm{NPCl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{N}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$.
c. $\mathrm{CoC}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}: 58.93+4(12.01)+4(16.00)=170.97 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\frac{341.94 \mathrm{~g}}{170.97 \mathrm{~g}}=2.0000 ;$ molecular formula: $\mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{8}$
d. $\quad \mathrm{SN}: 32.07+14.01=46.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \frac{184.32 \mathrm{~g}}{46.08 \mathrm{~g}}=4.000 ;$ molecular formula: $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$
83. Out of 100.00 g of compound, there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 48.64 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=4.050 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 8.16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=8.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& \% \mathrm{O}=100.00-48.64-8.16=43.20 \% ; 43.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.700 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing each mole value by the smallest number:

$$
\frac{4.050}{2.700}=1.500 ; \frac{8.10}{2.700}=3.00 ; \frac{2.700}{2.700}=1.000
$$

Because a whole number ratio is required, the $\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{O}$ ratio is $1.5: 3: 1$ or $3: 6: 2$. So the empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
84. Assuming 100.00 g of nylon-6:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 63.68 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=5.302 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 12.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=0.8837 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} \\
& 9.80 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=9.72 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; 14.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=0.8838 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing each mole value by the smallest number:

$$
\frac{5.302}{0.8837}=6.000 ; \quad \frac{9.72}{0.8837}=11.0 ; \frac{0.8838}{0.8837}=1.000
$$

The empirical formula for nylon-6 is $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}$
85. Compound I: Mass $\mathrm{O}=0.6498 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{O}_{y}-0.6018 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}=0.0480 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}$
$0.6018 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg}}{200.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}}=3.000 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg}$
$0.0480 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=3.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}$
The mole ratio between Hg and O is $1: 1$, so the empirical formula of compound I is HgO .
Compound II: Mass $\mathrm{Hg}=0.4172 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}_{x} \mathrm{O}_{y}-0.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}=0.401 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}$
$0.401 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg}}{200.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}}=2.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg} ; 0.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}$
The mole ratio between Hg and O is $2: 1$, so the empirical formula is $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
86. $1.121 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=8.001 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} ; 0.161 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=1.60 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}$
$0.480 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=4.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 0.640 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=4.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}$
Dividing all mole values by the smallest number:

$$
\frac{8.001 \times 10^{-2}}{4.00 \times 10^{-2}}=2.00 ; \frac{1.60 \times 10^{-1}}{4.00 \times 10^{-2}}=4.00 ; \frac{4.00 \times 10^{-2}}{4.00 \times 10^{-2}}=1.00
$$

The empirical formula is $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}$.
87. Out of 100.0 g , there are:

$$
69.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~S} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~S}}{32.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~S}}=2.17 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~S} ; 30.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=2.17 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}
$$

The empirical formula is SN because the mole values are in a $1: 1$ mole ratio.
The empirical formula mass of SN is $\sim 46 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. Because $184 / 46=4.0$, the molecular formula is $\mathrm{S}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{4}$.
88. Assuming 100.0 g of compound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 26.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}}{30.97 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}}=0.862 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P} ; \quad 12.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=0.864 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} \\
& 61.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{35.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}}=1.73 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{1.73}{0.862}=2.01$; the empirical formula is $\mathrm{PNCl}_{2}$.
The empirical formula mass is $\approx 31.0+14.0+2(35.5)=116 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
$\frac{\text { Molar mass }}{\text { Empirical formula mass }}=\frac{580}{116}=5.0$; the molecular formula is $\left(\mathrm{PNCl}_{2}\right)_{5}=\mathrm{P}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}_{10}$.
89. Assuming 100.00 g of compound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 47.08 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=3.920 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 6.59 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=6.54 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 46.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{35.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}}=1.307 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing all mole values by 1.307 gives:

$$
\frac{3.920}{1.307}=2.999 ; \frac{6.54}{1.307}=5.00 ; \frac{1.307}{1.307}=1.000
$$

The empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$.
The empirical formula mass is $3(12.01)+5(1.008)+1(35.45)=76.52 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
$\frac{\text { Molar mass }}{\text { Empirical formula mass }}=\frac{153}{76.52}=2.00$; the molecular formula is $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right)_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
90. Assuming 100.00 g of compound (mass oxygen $=100.00 \mathrm{~g}-41.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}-3.47 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}$

$$
=55.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}):
$$

$41.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=3.446 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 3.47 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=3.44 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}$
$55.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=3.446 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}$
All are the same mole values, so the empirical formula is CHO. The empirical formula mass is $12.01+1.008+16.00=29.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Molar mass $=\frac{15.0 \mathrm{~g}}{0.129 \mathrm{~mol}}=116 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\frac{\text { Molar mass }}{\text { Empirical mass }}=\frac{116}{29.02}=4.00 ;$ molecular formula $=(\mathrm{CHO})_{4}=\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
91. When combustion data are given, it is assumed that all the carbon in the compound ends up as carbon in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and all the hydrogen in the compound ends up as hydrogen in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. In the sample of fructose combusted, the masses of C and H are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mass C }=2.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}=0.600 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \\
& \text { mass } \mathrm{H}=0.900 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}=0.101 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

Mass O = 1.50 g fructose $-0.600 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}-0.101 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}=0.799 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}$
So, in 1.50 g of the fructose, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.600 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=0.0500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 0.101 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 0.799 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=0.0499 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by the smallest number: $\frac{0.100}{0.0499}=2.00$; the empirical formula is $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
92. This compound contains nitrogen, and one way to determine the amount of nitrogen in the compound is to calculate composition by mass percent. We assume that all the carbon in $33.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ came from the 35.0 mg of compound and all the hydrogen in $41.1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ came from the 35.0 mg of compound.
$3.35 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}}=9.14 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{C}=\frac{9.14 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{3.50 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=26.1 \% \mathrm{C}$
$4.11 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}}=\frac{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{mol}}=4.60 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{H}=\frac{4.60 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{3.50 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=13.1 \% \mathrm{H}$
The mass percent of nitrogen is obtained by difference:

$$
\text { Mass \% N = } 100.0-(26.1+13.1)=60.8 \% \mathrm{~N}
$$

Now perform the empirical formula determination by first assuming 100.0 g of compound. Out of 100.0 g of compound, there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 26.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=2.17 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 13.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=13.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 60.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=4.34 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing all mole values by 2.17 gives: $\frac{2.17}{2.17}=1.00 ; \frac{13.0}{2.17}=5.99 ; \frac{4.34}{2.17}=2.00$
The empirical formula is $\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$.
93. The combustion data allow determination of the amount of hydrogen in cumene. One way to determine the amount of carbon in cumene is to determine the mass percent of hydrogen in the compound from the data in the problem; then determine the mass percent of carbon by difference ( 100.0 - mass $\% \mathrm{H}=$ mass $\% \mathrm{C}$ ).
$42.8 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{mg}}{\mathrm{g}}=4.79 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{H}=\frac{4.79 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}}{47.6 \mathrm{mg} \text { cumene }} \times 100=10.1 \% \mathrm{H}$; mass $\% \mathrm{C}=100.0-10.1=89.9 \% \mathrm{C}$
Now solve the empirical formula problem. Out of 100.0 g cumene, we have:

$$
89.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=7.49 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 10.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=10.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}
$$

$$
\frac{10.0}{7.49}=1.34 \approx \frac{4}{3} ; \text { the mole } \mathrm{H} \text { to mole } \mathrm{C} \text { ratio is } 4: 3 . \text { The empirical formula is } \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \text {. }
$$

Empirical formula mass $\approx 3(12)+4(1)=40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
The molecular formula must be $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{3}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ because the molar mass of this formula will be between 115 and $125 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ (molar mass $\approx 3 \times 40 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}=120 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).
94. There are several ways to do this problem. We will determine composition by mass percent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 16.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{mg}}{\mathrm{~g}}=4.369 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} \\
& \text { \% C }=\frac{4.369 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}}{10.68 \mathrm{mg} \text { compound }} \times 100=40.91 \% \mathrm{C} \\
& 4.37 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{mg}}{\mathrm{~g}}=0.489 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H} \\
& \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{0.489 \mathrm{mg}}{10.68 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=4.58 \% \mathrm{H} ; \% \mathrm{O}=100.00-(40.91+4.58)=54.51 \% \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, in 100.00 g of the compound, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 40.91 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=3.406 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 4.58 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=4.54 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 54.51 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=3.407 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by the smallest number: $\frac{4.54}{3.406}=1.33 \approx \frac{4}{3}$; the empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.
The empirical formula mass of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ is $\approx 3(12)+4(1)+3(16)=88 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
Because $\frac{176.1}{88}=2.0$, the molecular formula is $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}$.

## Balancing Chemical Equations

95. When balancing reactions, start with elements that appear in only one of the reactants and one of the products, and then go on to balance the remaining elements.
a. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$

Balance C atoms: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Balance H atoms: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Lastly, balance O atoms: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
b. $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})$

Balance Fe atoms: $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}+\mathrm{HCl} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$
Balance S atoms: $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}+\mathrm{HCl} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$
There are 6 H and 6 Cl on right, so balance with 6 HCl on left:

$$
\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g}) .
$$

c. $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN}(\mathrm{s})$

C and S balanced; balance N :

$$
\mathrm{CS}_{2}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN}
$$

H is also balanced. $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN}(\mathrm{s})$
96. An important part to this problem is writing out correct formulas. If the formulas are incorrect, then the balanced reaction is incorrect.
a. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
b. $3 \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pb}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
c. $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
d. $\operatorname{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{SrBr}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
97. $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \xrightarrow{\substack{\mathrm{MnO}_{2} \\ \text { catalyst }}} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
98. $\quad \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
$\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
99. a. $3 \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$
b. $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
c. $2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
100. a. $2 \mathrm{KO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ or

$$
4 \mathrm{KO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

b. $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
c. $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
d. $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{l})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+5 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$
e. $2 \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+5 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CaC}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
f. $2 \mathrm{MoS}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+7 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{MoO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
g. $\mathrm{FeCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
101. a. The formulas of the reactants and products are $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$. To balance this combustion reaction, notice that all of the carbon in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ has to end up as carbon in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and all of the hydrogen in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ has to end up as hydrogen in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. To balance C and H , we need $6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecules and $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules for every 1 molecule of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. We do oxygen last. Because we have 15 oxygen atoms in $6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecules and 3 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules, we need $15 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules in order to have 15 oxygen atoms on the reactant side.
$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})+\frac{15}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) ;$ multiply by two to give whole numbers.
$2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})+15 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
b. The formulas of the reactants and products are $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$.
$\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{~g})+\frac{13}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$; multiply by two to give whole numbers.
$2 \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{~g})+13 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 8 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
c. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{~s})+12 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
d. $2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$; for whole numbers: $4 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
e. $2 \mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{s})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$; for whole numbers, multiply by two.
$4 \mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
102. a. $16 \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{~S}_{8}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 8 \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
b. $2 \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
c. $2 \mathrm{KClO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
d. $2 \mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{s})+6 \mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{EuF}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
103. a. $\quad \mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$; Si is balanced.

Balance oxygen atoms: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}+\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}+2 \mathrm{CO}$
Balance carbon atoms: $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$
b. $\quad \mathrm{SiCl}_{4}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{Si}$ is balanced.

Balance Cl atoms: $\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}+\mathrm{Mg} \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}+2 \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$
Balance Mg atoms: $\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$
c. $\quad \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SiF}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{NaF}(\mathrm{s}) ; \mathrm{Si}$ is balanced.

Balance F atoms: $\quad \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SiF}_{6}+\mathrm{Na} \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}+6 \mathrm{NaF}$
Balance Na atoms: $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SiF}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+6 \mathrm{NaF}(\mathrm{s})$
104. $\mathrm{CaSiO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SiF}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

## Reaction Stoichiometry

105. The stepwise method to solve stoichiometry problems is outlined in the text. Instead of calculating intermediate answers for each step, we will combine conversion factors into one calculation. This practice reduces round-off error and saves time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& 15.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}}=0.269 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe} ; 0.269 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{26.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}=7.26 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al} \\
& 0.269 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{159.70 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}=21.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \\
& 0.269 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{101.96 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}=13.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

106. $\quad 10 \mathrm{KClO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{~s})+10 \mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{s})$
$52.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KClO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KClO}_{3}}{122.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KClO}_{3}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}}{10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KClO}_{3}} \times \frac{283.88 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}}=36.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$
107. $1.000 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{Al} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}}{\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{Al}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{26.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}^{2 l}} \times \frac{117.49 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}}$

$$
=4355 \mathrm{~g}=4.355 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}
$$

108. a. $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{SCN})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$
b. $6.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{315.4 \mathrm{~g}}=0.0206 \mathrm{~mol}=0.021 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.021 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \cdot 8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{76.13 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{SCN}} \\
=3.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH} 44 \mathrm{SCN}
\end{array}
$$

109. 

a. $\begin{aligned} 1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} & \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}{84.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{molC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}} \\ & \times \frac{192.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}}=0.076 \mathrm{~g} \text { or } 76 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}\end{aligned}$
b. $\quad 0.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}{84.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}} \times \frac{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}$

$$
=0.052 \mathrm{~g} \text { or } 52 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO} 2
$$

110. a. $1.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{138.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}} \times \frac{102.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}}$

$$
=73.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}
$$

b. $1.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{138.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}} \times \frac{180.15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}}$

$$
=1.30 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \text { aspirin }
$$

111. $1.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~kg}$ waste $\times \frac{3.0 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}}{100 \mathrm{~kg} \text { waste }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}}{18.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}}{55 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}}$

$$
\times \frac{113.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}}=3.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \text { tissue if all } \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \text {converted }
$$

Because only $95 \%$ of the $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ions react:

$$
\text { mass of tissue }=(0.95)\left(3.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}\right)=3.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \text { or } 32 \mathrm{~kg} \text { bacterial tissue }
$$

112. $1.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}$ phosphorite $\times \frac{75 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}{100 \mathrm{~g} \text { phosphorite }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}{310.18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}$

$$
\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{123.88 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P}_{4}}=150 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}_{4}
$$

113. 1.0 ton $\mathrm{CuO} \times \frac{907 \mathrm{~kg}}{\text { ton }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CuO}}{79.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CuO}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CuO}} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{100 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{coke}}{95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}$

$$
=7.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \text { or } 72 \mathrm{~kg} \text { coke }
$$

114. $2 \mathrm{LiOH}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

The total volume of air exhaled each minute for the 7 astronauts is $7 \times 20=140 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 25,000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{LiOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{LiOH}}{23.95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{LiOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{LiOH}} \times \frac{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{100 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{air}}{4.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \\
& \quad \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL} \text { air }}{0.0010 \text { g air }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~min}}{140 \mathrm{~L} \text { air }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{60 \mathrm{~min}}=68 \mathrm{~h}=2.8 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Limiting Reactants and Percent Yield

115. The product formed in the reaction is $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$; the other species present in the product representtation is excess $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Therefore, NO is the limiting reactant. In the pictures, 6 NO molecules react with $3 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules to form $6 \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ molecules.

$$
6 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

For smallest whole numbers, the balanced reaction is:

$$
2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

116. In the following table we have listed three rows of information. The "Initial" row is the number of molecules present initially, the "Change" row is the number of molecules that react to reach completion, and the "Final" row is the number of molecules present at completion. To determine the limiting reactant, let's calculate how much of one reactant is necessary to react with the other.

$$
10 \text { molecules } \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{4 \text { molecules } \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{5 \text { molecules } \mathrm{O}_{2}}=8 \text { molecules } \mathrm{NH}_{3} \text { to react with all of the } \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

Because we have 10 molecules of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and only 8 molecules of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ are necessary to react with all of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting. Now use the 10 molecules of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and the molecule relationships given in the balanced equation to determine the number of molecules of each product formed, then complete the table.

|  | $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | + | $5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightarrow$ | $4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$ | + |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | 10 molecules | 10 molecules |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Change | -8 molecules | -10 molecules |  | +8 molecules | +12 molecules |  |
| Final | 2 molecules | 0 |  | 8 molecules | 12 molecules |  |

The total number of molecules present after completion $=2$ molecules $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+0$ molecules $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ +8 molecules $\mathrm{NO}+12$ molecules $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=22$ molecules.
117. a. The strategy we will generally use to solve limiting reactant problems is to assume each reactant is limiting, and then calculate the quantity of product each reactant could produce if it were limiting. The reactant that produces the smallest quantity of product is the limiting reactant (runs out first) and therefore determines the mass of product that can be produced.

Assuming $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{28.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \times \frac{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=1.22 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}
$$

Assuming $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
5.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=2.82 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}
$$

Because $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ produces the smaller mass of product ( 1220 g vs. $2820 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ), $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is limiting and $1220 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ can be produced. As soon as 1220 g of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is produced, all of the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ has run out. Even though we have enough $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to produce more product, there is no more $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ present as soon as 1220 g of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ have been produced.
b. $\quad 1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{28.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=216 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ reacted

Excess $\mathrm{H}_{2}=500$. g H $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ initially $-216 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ reacted $=284 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ in excess (unreacted)
118. $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$

Assuming $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}{310.18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{136.15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}} \\
=1300 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}
\end{array}
$$

Assuming concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ reagent is limiting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.0 \times 10^{3} \text { g conc. } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \times \frac{98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{100 \mathrm{~g} \text { conc. } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{98.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}} \\
& \quad \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}} \times \frac{136.15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}}=1400 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ produces the smaller quantity of product, $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ is limiting and $1300 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ can be produced.

$$
\begin{aligned}
1.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}}{310.18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}} & \times \frac{97.99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}} \\
& =630 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \text { produced }
\end{aligned}
$$

119. Assuming $\mathrm{BaO}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
1.50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaO}_{2}}{169.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaO}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaO}_{2}} \times \frac{34.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.301 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

Assuming HCl is limiting:

$$
25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.0272 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{36.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{34.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.317 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

$\mathrm{BaO}_{2}$ produces the smaller amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, so it is limiting and a mass of 0.301 g of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ can be produced.

Initial mol HCl present: $25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.0272 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{36.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}}=1.87 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}$
The amount of HCl reacted:

$$
1.50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaO}_{2}}{169.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaO}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}^{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{BaO}_{2}}}{}=1.77 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}
$$

Excess mol HCl $=1.87 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}-1.77 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}$
Mass of excess $\mathrm{HCl}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{36.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HCl}}=3.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}$ unreacted
120. Assuming $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is limiting:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
25.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{231.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{357.18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}} \\
=77.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Assuming $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 50.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}{250.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}} \\
& \times \frac{357.18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}=71.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgC}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ produces the smaller amount of product, it is limiting and 71.4 g of silver sulfadiazine can be produced.
121. To solve limiting-reagent problems, we will generally assume each reactant is limiting and then calculate how much product could be produced from each reactant. The reactant that produces the smallest amount of product will run out first and is the limiting reagent.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5.00 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN}_{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}}{}=2.94 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN} \\
& 5.00 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=1.04 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN} \\
& 5.00 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}{16.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN}_{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}}{2}=3.12 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting because it produces the smallest amount of HCN. Although more product could be produced from $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, only enough $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is present to produce $1.04 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN}$. The mass of HCN produced is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.04 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN} \times \frac{27.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCN}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN}}=2.81 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCN} \\
& 5.00 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=5.63 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

122. If $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ is limiting:

$$
15.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{42.08 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}} \times \frac{53.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}=18.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

If $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is limiting:

$$
5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}} \times \frac{53.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}=15.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

If $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{53.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}=11.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ produces the smallest amount of product; thus $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting, and $11.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ can be produced.
123. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$

If $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ is limiting:

$$
\text { 300. } \mathrm{g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{30.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}} \times \frac{64.51 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}=644 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}
$$

If $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
\text { 650. } \mathrm{g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{70.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \times \frac{64.51 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}=591 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}
$$

$\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is limiting because it produces the smaller quantity of product. Hence, the theoretical yield for this reaction is $591 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$. The percent yield is:

$$
\text { percent yield }=\frac{\text { actual }}{\text { theoretical }} \times 100=\frac{490 . \mathrm{g}}{591 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=82.9 \%
$$

124. a. $1142 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}{112.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}} \times \frac{354.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}}$

$$
=1798 \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
485 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3}}{147.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3}} \times \frac{354.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}} \\
=1170 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}
\end{array}
$$

From the masses of product calculated, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3}$ is limiting and $1170 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{5}$ can be produced.
b. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3}$ is limiting, and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$ is in excess.
c. $485 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3}}{147.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOCl}_{3}} \times \frac{112.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}}$ $=741 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$ reacted $1142 \mathrm{~g}-741 \mathrm{~g}=401 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$ in excess
d. Percent yield $=\frac{200.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { DDT }}{1170 \mathrm{~g} \text { DDT }} \times 100=17.1 \%$
125. 2.50 metric tons $\mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{FeS}_{3} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~kg}}{\text { metric ton }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{FeS}_{3}}{342.71 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{FeS}_{3}}$

$$
\times \frac{63.55 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}=1.39 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \text { (theoretical) }
$$

$1.39 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}$ (theoretical) $\times \frac{86.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \text { (actual) }}{100 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{Cu} \text { (theoretical) }}=1.20 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}=1.20 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{Cu}$ $=1.20$ metric tons Cu (actual)
126. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{~F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{PF}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$; the theoretical yield of $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ is:
120. $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ (actual) $\times \frac{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \text { (theoretical) }}{78.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \text { (actual) }}=154 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PF}_{3}$ (theoretical)
$154 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PF}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{PF}_{3}}{87.97 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PF}_{3}} \times \frac{6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}_{2}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{PF}_{3}} \times \frac{38.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}_{2}}=99.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$
$99.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ is needed to actually produce 120 . g of $\mathrm{PF}_{3}$ if the percent yield is $78.1 \%$.

## Additional Exercises

127. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{6}: 2(12.000000)+6(1.007825)=30.046950 \mathrm{u}$
${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}: 1(12.000000)+2(1.007825)+1(15.994915)=30.010565 \mathrm{u}$
${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}^{16} \mathrm{O}: 1(14.003074)+1(15.994915)=29.997989 \mathrm{u}$
The peak results from ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$.
128. We would see the peaks corresponding to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{10} \mathrm{~B}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}[\text { mass } \approx 10+3(35)=115 \mathrm{u}],{ }^{10} \mathrm{~B}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}(117),{ }^{10} \mathrm{~B}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(119), \\
& { }^{10} \mathrm{~B}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(121),{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(116),{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}(118),{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}^{35} \mathrm{Cl}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(120),{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}^{37} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(122)
\end{aligned}
$$

We would see a total of eight peaks at approximate masses of $115,116,117,118,119,120$, 121, and 122.
129. Molar mass $\mathrm{XeF}_{n}=\frac{0.368 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{XeF}_{n}}{9.03 \times 10^{20}{\text { molecules } \mathrm{XeF}_{n} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{XeF}_{n}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}}^{2}}=245 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$245 \mathrm{~g}=131.3 \mathrm{~g}+n(19.00 \mathrm{~g}), n=5.98 ;$ formula $=\mathrm{XeF}_{6}$
130. a. $14 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}+18 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1.008 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}+2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{14.01 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}$

$$
+5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{16.00 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}=294.30 \mathrm{~g}
$$

b. $\quad 10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}}{294.30 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}}=3.40 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
c. $\quad 1.56 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{294.3 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=459 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
d. $\quad 5.0 \mathrm{mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{294.30 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}$

$$
=1.0 \times 10^{19} \text { molecules } \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}
$$

e. The chemical formula tells us that 1 molecule of $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ contains 2 atoms of N . If we have 1 mole of $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ molecules, then 2 moles of N atoms are present.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}}{294.30 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}} \\
& \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}=4.9 \times 10^{21} \text { atoms } \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

f. $\quad 1.0 \times 10^{9}$ molecules $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{294.30 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=4.9 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~g}$
g. $\quad 1$ molecule $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{294.30 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=4.887 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$
131. Molar mass $=20(12.01)+29(1.008)+19.00+3(16.00)=336.43 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Mass \% C $=\frac{20(12.01) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{C}}{336.43 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=71.40 \% \mathrm{C}$

Mass \% H $=\frac{29(1.008) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{H}}{336.43 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=8.689 \% \mathrm{H}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{~F}=\frac{19.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F}}{336.43 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=5.648 \% \mathrm{~F}$
Mass \% O = 100.00 $-(71.40+8.689+5.648)=14.26 \% \mathrm{O}$ or:

$$
\% \mathrm{O}=\frac{3(16.00) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{O}}{336.43 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=14.27 \% \mathrm{O}
$$

132. In 1 hour, the 1000 . kg of wet cereal produced contains $580 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and 420 kg of cereal. We want the final product to contain $20 . \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Let $x=$ mass of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in final product.

$$
\frac{x}{420+x}=0.20, x=84+(0.20) x, x=105 \approx 110 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

The amount of water to be removed is $580-110=470 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}$.
133. Out of 100.00 g of adrenaline, there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 56.79 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=4.729 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 6.56 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=6.51 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 28.37 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=1.773 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} ; 8.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=0.591 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing each mole value by the smallest number:

$$
\frac{4.729}{0.591}=8.00 ; \frac{6.51}{0.591}=11.0 ; \frac{1.773}{0.591}=3.00 ; \frac{0.591}{0.591}=1.00
$$

This gives adrenaline an empirical formula of $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.
134. Assuming 100.00 g of compound (mass hydrogen $=100.00 \mathrm{~g}-49.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}-43.79 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}$

$$
=6.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}):
$$

$49.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=4.106 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 6.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=6.85 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}$

$$
43.79 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.737 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
$$

Dividing all mole values by 2.737 gives:

$$
\frac{4.106}{2.737}=1.500 ; \frac{6.85}{2.737}=2.50 ; \frac{2.737}{2.737}=1.000
$$

Because a whole number ratio is required, the empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

Empirical formula mass: $3(12.01)+5(1.008)+2(16.00)=73.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\frac{\text { Molar mass }}{\text { Empirical formula mass }}=\frac{146.1}{73.07}=1.999 ;$ molecular formula $=\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
135. There are many valid methods to solve this problem. We will assume 100.00 g of compound, and then determine from the information in the problem how many moles of compound equals 100.00 g of compound. From this information, we can determine the mass of one mole of compound (the molar mass) by setting up a ratio. Assuming 100.00 g cyanocobala$\min$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { mol cyanocobalamin }=4.34 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Co}}{58.93 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co}} \times & \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { cyanocobalamin }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Co}} \\
& =7.36 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \text { cyanocobalamin }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{x \mathrm{~g} \text { cyanocobalamin }}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { cyanocobalamin }}=\frac{100.00 \mathrm{~g}}{7.36 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}, x=$ molar mass $=1.36 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
136. 2 tablets $\times \frac{0.262 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{BiO}_{4}}{\text { tablet }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{BiO}_{4}}{362.11 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{BiO}_{4}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Bi}^{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{BiO}_{4}} \times \frac{209.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Bi}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Bi}}}{\text { min }}$

$$
=0.302 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Bi} \text { consumed }
$$

137. Empirical formula mass $=12.01+1.008=13.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; because $104.14 / 13.02=7.998 \approx 8$, the molecular formula for styrene is $(\mathrm{CH})_{8}=\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}$.
$2.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}}{104.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}} \times \frac{8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms } \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}}=9.25 \times 10^{22}$ atoms H
138. $41.98 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}}{44.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=11.46 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} ; \% \mathrm{C}=\frac{11.46 \mathrm{mg}}{19.81 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=57.85 \% \mathrm{C}$
$6.45 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}}{18.02 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.722 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H} ; \quad \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{0.772 \mathrm{mg}}{19.81 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=3.64 \% \mathrm{H}$
$\% \mathrm{O}=100.00-(57.85+3.64)=38.51 \% \mathrm{O}$
Out of 100.00 g terephthalic acid, there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 57.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=4.817 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 3.64 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=3.61 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 38.51 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.407 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \\
& \frac{4.817}{2.407}=2.001 ; \frac{3.61}{2.407}=1.50 ; \quad \frac{2.407}{2.407}=1.000
\end{aligned}
$$

The C $: \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{O}$ mole ratio is $2: 1.5: 1$ or $4: 3: 2$. The empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
Mass of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \approx 4(12)+3(1)+2(16)=83 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
Molar mass $=\frac{41.5 \mathrm{~g}}{0.250 \mathrm{~mol}}=166 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \frac{166}{83}=2.0$; the molecular formula is $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.
139. $\quad 17.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=17.2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; 82.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=6.89 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}$
$\frac{17.2}{6.89}=2.50$; the empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$.
The empirical formula mass is $\sim 29 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, so two times the empirical formula would put the compound in the correct range of the molar mass. Molecular formula $=\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$.

$4.30 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \times \frac{58.12 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{molC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}}=2.50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}$
140. Assuming $100.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{E}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ :

$$
\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{E}=8.73 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{E}}{8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}=3.25 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{E}
$$

$\frac{x \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{E}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{E}}=\frac{91.27 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{E}}{3.25 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{E}}, x=$ molar mass of $\mathrm{E}=28.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ;$ atomic mass of $\mathrm{E}=28.1 \mathrm{u}$
Note: From the periodic table, element E is silicon, Si.
141. Mass of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.755 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot \times \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-0.483 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}=0.272 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.483 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}}{159.62 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}}=0.00303 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \\
& 0.272 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.0151 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \frac{0.0151 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{0.00303 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}}=\frac{4.98 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}} \text {; compound formula }=\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, x=5
\end{aligned}
$$

142. a. Only acrylonitrile contains nitrogen. If we have 100.00 g of polymer:

$$
8.80 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}} \times \frac{53.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}=33.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

$$
\% \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=\frac{33.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{100.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{polymer}^{2}}=33.3 \% \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

Only butadiene in the polymer reacts with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.605 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Br}_{2}}{159.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Br}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Br}_{2}} \times \frac{54.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=0.205 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \\
& \% \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}=\frac{0.205 \mathrm{~g}}{1.20 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=17.1 \% \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. If we have 100.0 g of polymer:
$33.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{53.06 \mathrm{~g}}=0.628 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$17.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{54.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=0.316 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$
$49.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}}{104.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}}=0.476 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}$
Dividing by $0.316: \quad \frac{0.628}{0.316}=1.99 ; \quad \frac{0.316}{0.316}=1.00 ; \quad \frac{0.476}{0.316}=1.51$
This is close to a mole ratio of $4: 2: 3$. Thus there are 4 acrylonitrile to 2 butadiene to 3 styrene molecules in the polymer, or $\left(\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)_{n}$.
143. $1.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}}{24 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{376.51 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}}$

$$
=0.428 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}
$$

$$
\frac{0.428 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}}{1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{sample}} \times 100=42.8 \% \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}(\text { LSD })
$$

144. a. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CS}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})$ or $2 \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{S}_{8}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CS}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})$
b. $120 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}{16.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{molCS}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}} \times \frac{76.15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CS}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CS}_{2}}=570 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{CS}_{2}$
145. $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{S} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{molS}}{32.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~S}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CS}_{2}}{4 \mathrm{molS}} \times \frac{76.15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CS}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CS}_{2}}=71.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CS}_{2}$

Because S produces the smaller quantity of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$, sulfur is the limiting reactant and 71.2 g $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ can be produced. The same amount of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ would be produced using the balanced equation with $\mathrm{S}_{8}$.
145. $126 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}}{63.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}} \times \frac{9 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=162 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$192 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{9 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=81.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Because $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ produces the smallest quantity of product, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting and $81.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be produced.
146. $2 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$; the amount of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}$ in the impure sample is:

$$
0.2864 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}}{69.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}} \times \frac{85.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}}
$$

$$
=0.3528 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}
$$

Mass percent $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}=\frac{0.3528 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}}{0.4230 \mathrm{~g} \text { sample }} \times 100=83.40 \%$
147. $453 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{159.70 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}=648 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$

Mass percent $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}=\frac{648 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{752 \mathrm{~g} \text { ore }} \times 100=86.2 \%$
148. a. Mass of Zn in alloy $=0.0985 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2} \times \frac{65.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}}{136.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}}=0.0473 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}$

$$
\% \mathrm{Zn}=\frac{0.0473 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}}{0.5065 \mathrm{~g} \text { brass }} \times 100=9.34 \% \mathrm{Zn} ; \% \mathrm{Cu}=100.00-9.34=90.66 \% \mathrm{Cu}
$$

b. The Cu remains unreacted. After filtering, washing, and drying, the mass of the unreacted copper could be measured.
149. Assuming 1 mole of vitamin A ( 286.4 g vitamin A):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}=286.4 \mathrm{~g} \text { vitamin } \mathrm{A} \times \frac{0.8386 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~g} \text { vitamin } \mathrm{A}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=20.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}=286.4 \mathrm{~g} \text { vitamin } \mathrm{A} \times \frac{0.1056 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~g} \text { vitamin } \mathrm{A}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=30.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because 1 mole of vitamin A contains 20 mol C and 30 mol H , the molecular formula of vitamin A is $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{E}$. To determine E , let's calculate the molar mass of E :

$$
286.4 \mathrm{~g}=20(12.01)+30(1.008)+\text { molar mass } \mathrm{E}, \text { molar mass } \mathrm{E}=16.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

From the periodic table, $\mathrm{E}=$ oxygen, and the molecular formula of vitamin A is $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}$.
150. a. At 40.0 g of Na added, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and Na both run out at the same time (both are limiting reactants). Past 40.0 g of Na added, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is limiting, and because the amount of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ present in each experiment was the same quantity, no more NaCl can be produced. Before 40.0 g of Na added, Na was limiting. As more Na was added (up to 40.0 g Na ), more NaCl was produced.
b. $20.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}{22.99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}} \times \frac{58.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}=50.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}$
c. At 40.0 g Na added, both $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and Na are present in stoichiometric amounts.
$40.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}{22.99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}} \times \frac{70.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}=61.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$61.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was present at 40.0 g Na added, and from the problem, the same $61.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was present in each experiment.
d. At 50.0 g Na added, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
61.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{70.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \times \frac{58.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}=101.7 \mathrm{~g}=102 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}
$$

e. $\quad 20.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}{22.99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}} \times \frac{70.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}=30.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ reacted

Excess $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}=61.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ initially $-30.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ reacted $=30.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in excess
Note: We know that 40.0 g Na is the point where Na and the 61.7 g of Cl 2 run out at the same time. So if 20.0 g of Na are reacted, one-half of the $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ that was present at 40.0 g Na reacted will be in excess. The previous calculation confirms this.

For 50.0 g Na reacted, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is limiting and 40.0 g Na will react as determined previously.
Excess $\mathrm{Na}=50.0 \mathrm{~g}$ Na initially -40.0 g Na reacted $=10.0 \mathrm{~g}$ Na in excess.
151. $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Z}: 40.0 \% \mathrm{X}$ and $60.0 \% \mathrm{Z}$ by mass; $\frac{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Z}}=2=\frac{40.0 / \mathrm{A}_{x}}{60.0 / \mathrm{A}_{z}}=\frac{(40.0) \mathrm{A}_{z}}{(60.0) \mathrm{A}_{x}}$ or $\mathrm{A}_{z}=3 \mathrm{~A}_{x}$, where $\mathrm{A}=$ molar mass.

For $\mathrm{XZ}_{2}$, molar mass $=\mathrm{A}_{x}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{z}=\mathrm{A}_{x}+2\left(3 \mathrm{~A}_{x}\right)=7 \mathrm{~A}_{x}$.
Mass percent $\mathrm{X}=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{x}}{7 \mathrm{~A}_{x}} \times 100=14.3 \% \mathrm{X} ; \% \mathrm{Z}=100.0-14.3=85.7 \% \mathrm{Z}$

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 152-159 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

160. GaAs can be either ${ }^{69} \mathrm{GaAs}$ or ${ }^{71} \mathrm{GaAs}$. The mass spectrum for GaAs will have 2 peaks at 144 $(=69+75)$ and $146(=71+75)$ with intensities in the ratio of $60: 40$ or $3: 2$.

$\mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{As}_{2}$ can be ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{As}_{2},{ }^{69} \mathrm{Ga}^{71} \mathrm{GaAs}_{2}$, or ${ }^{71} \mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{As}_{2}$. The mass spectrum will have 3 peaks at 288, 290, and 292 with intensities in the ratio of $36: 48: 16$ or $9: 12: 4$. We get this ratio from the following probability table:

|  | ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Ga}(0.60)$ | ${ }^{71} \mathrm{Ga}(0.40)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{69} \mathrm{Ga}(0.60)$ | 0.36 | 0.24 |
| ${ }^{71} \mathrm{Ga}(0.40)$ | 0.24 | 0.16 |


161. The volume of a gas is proportional to the number of molecules of gas. Thus the formulas are:
I: $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$;
II: $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$;
III: $\mathrm{HN}_{3}$

The mass ratios are:
I: $\frac{82.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{17.75 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=\frac{4.634 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}$;
II: $\frac{6.949 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}$;
III: $\frac{41.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}$

If we set the atomic mass of H equal to 1.008 , then the atomic mass, A , for nitrogen is:
I: 14.01;
II: 14.01;
III. 14.0

For example, for compound I: $\frac{\mathrm{A}}{3(1.008)}=\frac{4.634}{1}, \mathrm{~A}=14.01$
162. $\frac{{ }^{85} \mathrm{Rb} \text { atoms }}{{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb} \text { atoms }}=2.591 ; \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { if we had exactly } 100 \text { atoms, } x=\text { number of }{ }^{85} \mathrm{Rb} \text { atoms, and } \\ & 100-x=\text { number of }{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb} \text { atoms. }\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x}{100-x}=2.591, x=259.1-(2.591) x, \quad x=\frac{259.1}{3.591}=72.15 ; 72.15 \%{ }^{85} \mathrm{Rb} \\
& 0.7215(84.9117)+0.2785(\mathrm{~A})=85.4678, \quad \mathrm{~A}=\frac{85.4678-61.26}{0.2785}=86.92 \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

163. First, we will determine composition in mass percent. We assume that all the carbon in the $0.213 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ came from the 0.157 g of the compound and that all the hydrogen in the 0.0310 $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ came from the 0.157 g of the compound.
$0.213 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}^{2}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=0.0581 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} ; \% \mathrm{C}=\frac{0.0581 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{0.157 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=37.0 \% \mathrm{C}$
$0.0310 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=3.47 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} ; \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{3.47 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}}{0.157 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=2.21 \% \mathrm{H}$
We get the mass percent of N from the second experiment:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.0230 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{H} \frac{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=1.89 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \\
& \% \mathrm{~N}=\frac{1.89 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}}{0.103 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=18.3 \% \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

The mass percent of oxygen is obtained by difference:

$$
\% \mathrm{O}=100.00-(37.0+2.21+18.3)=42.5 \% \mathrm{O}
$$

So, out of 100.00 g of compound, there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 37.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=3.08 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 2.21 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=2.19 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 18.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=1.31 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} ; 42.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.66 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lastly, and often the hardest part, we need to find simple whole-number ratios. Divide all mole values by the smallest number:

$$
\frac{3.08}{1.31}=2.35 ; \frac{2.19}{1.31}=1.67 ; \frac{1.31}{1.31}=1.00 ; \quad \frac{2.66}{1.31}=2.03
$$

Multiplying all these ratios by 3 gives an empirical formula of $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}$.
164. $1.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{63.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}=1.6 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$

We need to get the relationship between moles of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ and moles of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. We have to use all three equations.

$$
\frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}} 33=\frac{16 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{24 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}
$$

Thus we can produce $16 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ for every $24 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, we begin with:

$$
1.6 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \times \frac{24 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{16 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}} \times \frac{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=4.1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~g} \text { or } 4.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}
$$

This is an oversimplified answer. In practice, the NO produced in the third step is recycled back continuously into the process in the second step. If this is taken into consideration, then the conversion factor between $\mathrm{mol}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}$ and $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ turns out to be $1: 1$; that is, 1 mole of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ produces 1 mole of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. Taking into consideration that NO is recycled back gives an answer of $2.7 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reacted.
165. $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{s}) ; 2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
$20.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g}}=0.3581 \mathrm{~mol}$
$(11.20-3.24)$ g O $_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g}}=0.2488 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ consumed (1 extra sig. fig.)
Let's assume $x$ moles of Fe reacts to form $x$ moles of FeO. Then $0.3581-x$, the remaining moles of Fe , reacts to form $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. Balancing the two equations in terms of $x$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \mathrm{Fe}+\frac{1}{2} x \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow x \mathrm{FeO} \\
& (0.3581-x) \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Fe}+\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{0.3581-x}{2}\right) \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow\left(\frac{0.3581-x}{2}\right) \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting up an equation for total moles of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ consumed:

$$
\frac{1}{2} x+\frac{3}{4}(0.3581-x)=0.2488 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \quad x=0.0791=0.079 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{FeO}
$$

$0.079 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{FeO} \times \frac{71.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{FeO}}{\mathrm{mol}}=5.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{FeO}$ produced
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Fe} 2 \mathrm{O}_{3}$ produced $=\frac{0.3581-0.079}{2}=0.140 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
$0.140 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{159.70 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=22.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ produced
166. $2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})+7 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ $30.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \quad 44.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Let $x=$ mass $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, so $9.780-x=$ mass $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$. Use the balanced equation to set up a mathematical expression for the moles of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ required.

$$
\frac{x}{30.07} \times \frac{7}{2}+\frac{9.780-x}{44.09} \times \frac{5}{1}=1.120 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

Solving: $x=3.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} ; \quad \frac{3.7 \mathrm{~g}}{9.780 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=38 \% \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ by mass
167. The two relevant equations are:

$$
\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \text { and } \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Let $x=$ mass Mg , so $10.00-x=$ mass Zn . From the balanced equations, moles $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ produced $=$ moles Zn reacted + moles Mg reacted.
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}=0.5171 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=0.2565 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
$0.2565=\frac{x}{24.31}+\frac{10.00-x}{65.38}$; solving: $x=4.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}$
$\frac{4.008 \mathrm{~g}}{10.00 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=40.08 \% \mathrm{Mg}$
168. $a \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+b \mathrm{NH}_{3}+(10.00-4.062) \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow c \mathrm{NO}_{2}+d \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Setting up four equations to solve for the four unknowns:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
2 a+b=c & (\mathrm{~N} \text { mol balance) } \\
2 c+d=2(10.00-4.062) & (\mathrm{O} \text { mol balance) } \\
4 a+3 b=2 d & (\mathrm{H} \text { mol balance }) \\
a(32.05)+b(17.03)=61.00 & \text { (mass balance) }
\end{array}
$$

Solving the simultaneous equations gives $a=1.12=1.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.

$$
\frac{1.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \times 32.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}{61.00 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=58 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}
$$

169. We know that water is a product, so one of the elements in the compound is hydrogen.
$\mathrm{X}_{a} \mathrm{H}_{b}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+?$

To balance the H atoms, the mole ratio between $\mathrm{X}_{a} \mathrm{H}_{b}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\frac{2}{b}$.
Mol compound $=\frac{1.39 \mathrm{~g}}{62.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.0224 \mathrm{~mol} ; \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=\frac{1.21 \mathrm{~g}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.0671 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\frac{2}{b}=\frac{0.0224}{0.0671}, b=6 ; \mathrm{X}_{a} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ has a molar mass of $62.09 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
$62.09=a($ molar mass of X$)+6(1.008), a($ molar mass of X$)=56.04$
Some possible identities for X could be $\mathrm{Fe}(a=1), \mathrm{Si}(a=2), \mathrm{N}(a=4)$, and $\operatorname{Li}(a=8) . \mathrm{N}$ fits the data best, so $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ is the most likely formula.
170. The balanced equation is $2 \mathrm{Sc}(\mathrm{s})+2 x \operatorname{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{ScCl}_{x}(\mathrm{aq})+x \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

The mole ratio of Sc : $\mathrm{H}_{2}=\frac{2}{x}$.
Mol Sc $=2.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Sc} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Sc}}{44.96 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Sc}}=0.0500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Sc}$
Mol $\mathrm{H}_{2}=0.1502 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=0.07450 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
$\frac{2}{x}=\frac{0.0500}{0.07450}, x=3$; the formula is $\mathrm{ScCl}_{3}$.
171. Total mass of copper used:

$$
10,000 \text { boards } \times \frac{(8.0 \mathrm{~cm} \times 16.0 \mathrm{~cm} \times 0.060 \mathrm{~cm})}{\text { board }} \times \frac{8.96 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=6.9 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}
$$

Amount of Cu to be recovered $=0.80 \times\left(6.9 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g}\right)=5.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}} \times \frac{202.59 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \\
& =1.8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\
& 5.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}} \times \frac{17.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=5.9 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

172. a. From the reaction stoichiometry we would expect to produce 4 mol of acetaminophen for every 4 mol of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ reacted. The actual yield is 3 mol of acetaminophen compared to a theoretical yield of 4 mol of acetaminophen. Solving for percent yield by mass (where $\mathrm{M}=$ molar mass acetaminophen):

$$
\text { percent yield }=\frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \times \mathrm{M}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \times \mathrm{M}} \times 100=75 \%
$$

b. The product of the percent yields of the individual steps must equal the overall yield, 75\%.
$(0.87)(0.98)(x)=0.75, x=0.88$; step III has a percent yield of $88 \%$.
173. $\quad 10.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}+$ excess $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 12.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{XCl}_{4} ; 2.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}$ reacted with $\mathrm{XCl}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{XCl}_{4} . \mathrm{XCl}_{4}$ contains 2.55 g Cl and $10.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}$. From the mole ratios, $10.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}$ must also contain 2.55 g Cl ; mass X in $\mathrm{XCl}_{2}=10.00-2.55=7.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}$.
$2.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{35.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}}=3.60 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}$
So $3.60 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}$ has a mass equal to 7.45 g X . The molar mass of X is:

$$
\frac{7.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}}{3.60 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}=207 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{X} \text {; atomic mass }=207 \mathrm{u} \text {, so } \mathrm{X} \text { is } \mathrm{Pb} \text {. }
$$

174. $\quad 4.000 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \rightarrow 3.723 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MO}_{2}$

There must be twice as many moles of $\mathrm{MO}_{2}$ as moles of $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ in order to balance M in the reaction. Setting up an equation for $2\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{MO}_{2}$ where $\mathrm{A}=$ molar mass M :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left[\frac{4.000 \mathrm{~g}}{2 \mathrm{~A}+3(32.07)}\right]=\frac{3.723 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~A}+2(16.00)}, \frac{8.000}{2 \mathrm{~A}+96.21}=\frac{3.723}{\mathrm{~A}+32.00} \\
& (8.000) \mathrm{A}+256.0=(7.446) \mathrm{A}+358.2,(0.554) \mathrm{A}=102.2, \mathrm{~A}=184 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \text { atomic mass } \\
& =184 \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: From the periodic table, M is tungsten, W .
175. Consider the case of aluminum plus oxygen. Aluminum forms $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ions; oxygen forms $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ anions. The simplest compound between the two elements is $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. Similarly, we would expect the formula of any Group 6A element with Al to be $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}$. Assuming this, out of 100.00 g of compound, there are 18.56 g Al and 81.44 g of the unknown element, X. Let's use this information to determine the molar mass of X , which will allow us to identify X from the periodic table.
$18.56 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{26.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}=1.032 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}$
81.44 g of X must contain 1.032 mol of X .

Molar mass of $\mathrm{X}=\frac{81.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}}{1.032 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}=78.91 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{X}$.
From the periodic table, the unknown element is selenium, and the formula is $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$.
176. Let $x=$ mass KCl and $y=$ mass $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}$. Assuming 100.0 g of mixture, $x+y=100.0 \mathrm{~g}$.

Molar mass $\mathrm{KCl}=74.55 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; molar mass $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}=101.11 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{KCl}=\frac{x}{74.55} ; \operatorname{mol~} \mathrm{KNO}_{3}=\frac{y}{101.11}$

Knowing that the mixture is $43.2 \% \mathrm{~K}$, then in the 100.0 g mixture, an expression for the mass of K is:

$$
39.10\left(\frac{x}{74.55}+\frac{y}{101.11}\right)=43.2
$$

We have two equations and two unknowns:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(0.5245) x+(0.3867) y & =43.2 \\
x+\quad y & =100.0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving, $x=32.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl} ; \frac{32.9 \mathrm{~g}}{100.0 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=32.9 \% \mathrm{KCl}$
177. The balanced equations are:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \text { and } 4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+7 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
\end{array}
$$

Let $4 x=$ number of moles of NO formed, and let $4 y=$ number of moles of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ formed.
Then:

$$
4 x \mathrm{NH}_{3}+5 x \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 4 x \mathrm{NO}+6 x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { and } 4 y \mathrm{NH}_{3}+7 y \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 4 y \mathrm{NO}_{2}+6 y \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

All the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reacted, so $4 x+4 y=2.00 .10 .00-6.75=3.25 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacted, so $5 x+7 y$
Solving by the method of simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
20 x+28 y & =13.0 \\
-20 x-20 y & =-10.0 \\
8 y & =3.0, \quad y=0.38 ; 4 x+4 \times 0.38=2.00, x=0.12
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{NO}=4 x=4 \times 0.12=0.48 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}$ formed
178. $\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y} \mathrm{O}_{z}+$ oxygen $\rightarrow x \mathrm{CO}_{2}+y / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Mass \% C in aspirin $=\frac{2.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}}}{1.00 \mathrm{~g} \text { aspirin }}=60.0 \% \mathrm{C}$

Mass \% H in aspirin $=\frac{0.400 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}}}{1.00 \mathrm{~g} \text { aspirin }}=4.48 \% \mathrm{H}$
Mass \% O = $100.00-(60.0+4.48)=35.5 \% \mathrm{O}$
Assuming 100.00 g aspirin:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 60.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=5.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 4.48 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=4.44 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 35.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.22 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by the smallest number: $\frac{5.00}{2.22}=2.25 ; \frac{4.44}{2.22}=2.00$
Empirical formula: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2.25} \mathrm{H}_{2.00} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4}=\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. Empirical mass $\approx 9(12)+8(1)+4(16)$
$=180 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; this is in the $170-190 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ range, so the molecular formula is also $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.
Balance the aspirin synthesis reaction to determine the formula for salicylic acid.
$\mathrm{C}_{a} \mathrm{H}_{b} \mathrm{O}_{c}+\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{a} \mathrm{H}_{b} \mathrm{O}_{c}=$ salicylic acid $=\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$

## Integrative Problems

179. a. $1.05 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms Fe }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Fe}}=113$ atoms Fe
b. The total number of platinum atoms is $14 \times 20=280$ atoms (exact number). The mass of these atoms is:

280 atoms $\mathrm{Pt} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Pt}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms Pt }} \times \frac{195.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Pt}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Pt}}=9.071 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Pt}$
c. $9.071 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ru} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ru}}{101.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ru}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms Ru }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ru}}=540.3=540$ atoms Ru
180. Assuming 100.00 g of tetrodotoxin:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 41.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=3.445 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 13.16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=0.9393 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} \\
& 5.37 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=5.33 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; 40.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.506 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Divide by the smallest number:

$$
\frac{3.445}{0.9393}=3.668 ; \frac{5.33}{0.9393}=5.67 ; \quad \frac{2.506}{0.9393}=2.668
$$

To get whole numbers for each element, multiply through by 3 .
Empirical formula: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3.668} \mathrm{H}_{5.67} \mathrm{NO}_{2.668}\right)_{3}=\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$; the mass of the empirical formula is $319.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Molar mass tetrodotoxin $=\frac{1.59 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~g}}{3 \text { molecules } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}}=319 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Because the empirical mass and molar mass are the same, the molecular formula is the same as the empirical formula, $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{8}$.
$165 \mathrm{lb} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{2.2046 \mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{10 . \mu \mathrm{g}}{\mathrm{kg}} \times \frac{1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g}}{\mu \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{319.3 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{1 \mathrm{~mol}}$ $=1.4 \times 10^{18}$ molecules tetrodotoxin is the $\mathrm{LD}_{50}$ dosage
181. Molar mass $\mathrm{X}_{2}=\frac{0.105 \mathrm{~g}}{8.92 \times 10^{20} \text { molecules } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{molecules}}}=70.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The mass of $X=1 / 2(70.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})=35.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. This is the element chlorine.
Assuming 100.00 g of $\mathrm{MX}_{3}\left(=\mathrm{MCl}_{3}\right)$ compound:

$$
54.47 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{35.45 \mathrm{~g}}=1.537 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}
$$

$1.537 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}=0.5123 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}$
Molar mass of $\mathrm{M}=\frac{45.53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{0.5123 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}=88.87 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{M}$
M is the element yttrium $(\mathrm{Y})$, and the name of $\mathrm{YCl}_{3}$ is yttrium(III) chloride.
The balanced equation is $2 \mathrm{Y}+3 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{YCl}_{3}$.
Assuming $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{70.90 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \times \frac{195.26 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}}=1.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}
$$

Assuming Y is limiting:

$$
1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Y} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Y}}{88.91 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Y}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Y}} \times \frac{195.26 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}}=2.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}
$$

Because $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, when it all reacts, produces the smaller amount of product, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is the limiting reagent, and the theoretical yield is $1.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{YCl}_{3}$.
182. $2 \mathrm{As}+4 \mathrm{AsI}_{3} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}$

Volume of As cube $=(3.00 \mathrm{~cm})^{3}=27.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
$27.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \times \frac{5.72 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{As}}{74.92 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{As}} \times \frac{657.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}}=2030 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}$
$1.01 \times 10^{24}$ molecules $\mathrm{AsI}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AsI}_{3}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{molecules} \mathrm{AsI}_{3}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AsI}_{3}}$

$$
\times \frac{657.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}}=827 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}
$$

Because the reactant $\mathrm{AsI}_{3}$ produces the smaller quantity of product, then $\mathrm{AsI}_{3}$ is the limiting reactant and $827 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ is the theoretical yield.
$0.756=\frac{\text { actual yield }}{827 \mathrm{~g}}$, actual yield $=0.756 \times 827 \mathrm{~g}=625 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{4}$

## Marathon Problems

183. Let $\mathrm{M}=$ unknown element; mass O in oxide $=3.708 \mathrm{~g}-2.077 \mathrm{~g}=1.631 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}$

In 3.708 g of compound:
$1.631 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=0.1019 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}$
If MO is the formula of the oxide, then M has a molar mass of $\frac{2.077 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{0.1019 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}=20.38 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
This is too low for the molar mass. We must have fewer moles of M than moles O present in the formula. Some possibilities are $\mathrm{MO}_{2}, \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{MO}_{3}$, etc. It is a guessing game as to which to try. Let's assume an $\mathrm{MO}_{2}$ formula. Then the molar mass of M is:

$$
\frac{2.077 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{0.1019 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}}=40.77 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

This is close to calcium, but calcium forms an oxide having the CaO formula, not $\mathrm{CaO}_{2}$.

If $\mathrm{MO}_{3}$ is assumed to be the formula, then the molar mass of M calculates to be $61.10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is too large. Therefore, the mol O to mol M ratio must be between 2 and 3 . Some reasonable possibilities are $2.25,2.33,2.5,2.67$, and 2.75 (these are reasonable because they will lead to whole number formulas). Trying a mol O to mol M ratio of $2.5: 1$ gives a molar mass of:

$$
\frac{2.077 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{0.1019 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}{2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}}=50.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

This is the molar mass of vanadium, and $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is a reasonable formula for an oxide of vanadium. The other choices for the $\mathrm{O}: \mathrm{M}$ mole ratios between 2 and 3 do not give as reasonable results. Therefore, $M$ is most likely vanadium, and the formula is $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.
184. a. i. If the molar mass of $A$ is greater than the molar mass of $B$, then we cannot determine the limiting reactant because, while we have a fewer number of moles of $A$, we also need fewer moles of A (from the balanced reaction).
ii. If the molar mass of $B$ is greater than the molar mass of $A$, then $B$ is the limiting reactant because we have a fewer number of moles of $B$ and we need more $B$ (from the balanced reaction).
b. $\mathrm{A}+5 \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

To conserve mass: $44.01+5(B)=3(44.01)+4(18.02)$; solving: $B=32.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Because B is diatomic, the best choice for B is $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.
c. We can solve this without mass percent data simply by balancing the equation:

$$
\mathrm{A}+5 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

A must be $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (which has a similar molar mass to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ). This is also the empirical formula.

Note: $\frac{3(12.01)}{3(12.01)+8(1.008)} \times 100=81.71 \%$ C. So this checks.

## CHAPTER 4

## TYPES OF CHEMICAL REACTIONS AND SOLUTION STOICHIOMETRY

## Questions

13. a. Polarity is a term applied to covalent compounds. Polar covalent compounds have an unequal sharing of electrons in bonds that results in unequal charge distribution in the overall molecule. Polar molecules have a partial negative end and a partial positive end. These are not full charges as in ionic compounds but are charges much smaller in magnitude. Water is a polar molecule and dissolves other polar solutes readily. The oxygen end of water (the partial negative end of the polar water molecule) aligns with the partial positive end of the polar solute, whereas the hydrogens of water (the partial positive end of the polar water molecule) align with the partial negative end of the solute. These opposite charge attractions stabilize polar solutes in water. This process is called hydration. Nonpolar solutes do not have permanent partial negative and partial positive ends; nonpolar solutes are not stabilized in water and do not dissolve.
b. KF is a soluble ionic compound, so it is a strong electrolyte. $\mathrm{KF}(\mathrm{aq})$ actually exists as separate hydrated $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions and hydrated $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions in solution: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is a polar covalent molecule that is a nonelectrolyte. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is hydrated as described in part a.
c. RbCl is a soluble ionic compound, so it exists as separate hydrated $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$ions and hydrated $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions in solution. AgCl is an insoluble ionic compound, so the ions stay together in solution and fall to the bottom of the container as a precipitate.
d. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is a strong acid and exists as separate hydrated $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions and hydrated $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions in solution. CO is a polar covalent molecule and is hydrated as explained in part a.
14. $2.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}$; the 2.0 L of solution contains 6.0 mol of the solute. HCl is a strong acid; it exists in aqueous solution as separate hydrated $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions and hydrated $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ ions. So the solution will contain 6.0 mol of $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $6.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{of}_{\mathrm{Cl}}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. For the acetic acid solution, $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is a weak acid instead of a strong acid. Only some of the 6.0 moles of $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules will dissociate into $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. The 2.0 L of 3.0 M $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution will contain mostly hydrated $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules but will also contain some hydrated $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions and hydrated $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$ions.
15. Only statement b is true. A concentrated solution can also contain a nonelectrolyte dissolved in water, e.g., concentrated sugar water. Acids are either strong or weak electrolytes. Some ionic compounds are not soluble in water, so they are not labeled as a specific type of electrolyte.
16. One mole of NaOH dissolved in 1.00 L of solution will produce 1.00 M NaOH . First, weigh out 40.00 g of $\mathrm{NaOH}(1.000 \mathrm{~mol})$. Next, add some water to a $1-\mathrm{L}$ volumetric flask (an instrument that is precise to 1.000 L ). Dissolve the NaOH in the flask, add some more water, mix, add more water, mix, etc. until water has been added to $1.000-\mathrm{L}$ mark of the volumetric flask. The result is 1.000 L of a 1.000 M NaOH solution. Because we know the volume to four significant figures as well as the mass, the molarity will be known to four significant figures. This is good practice, if you need a three-significant-figure molarity, your measurements should be taken to four significant figures.

When you need to dilute a more concentrated solution with water to prepare a solution, again make all measurements to four significant figures to ensure three significant figures in the molarity. Here, we need to cut the molarity in half from 2.00 M to 1.00 M . We would start with 1 mole of NaOH from the concentrated solution. This would be 500.0 mL of 2.00 M NaOH . Add this to a 1-L volumetric flask with addition of more water and mixing until the $1.000-\mathrm{L}$ mark is reached. The resulting solution would be 1.00 M .
17. Use the solubility rules in Table 4.1. Some soluble bromides by Rule 2 would be $\mathrm{NaBr}, \mathrm{KBr}$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ (there are others). The insoluble bromides by Rule 3 would be $\mathrm{AgBr}, \mathrm{PbBr}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$. Similar reasoning is used for the other parts to this problem.

Sulfates: $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (and others) would be soluble, and $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}, \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}$ (or $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ) would be insoluble.

Hydroxides: $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (and others) would be soluble, and $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}, \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (and others) would be insoluble.

Phosphates: $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4},\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (and others) would be soluble, and $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, and $\mathrm{FePO}_{4}$ (and others) would be insoluble.

Lead: $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}, \mathrm{PbBr}_{2}, \mathrm{PbI}_{2}, \mathrm{~Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}$, and PbS (and others) would be insoluble. $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ would be a soluble $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ salt.
18. $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{KI}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad$ (formula equation)

$$
\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

(complete ionic equation)
The 1.0 mol of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ ions would react with the 2.0 mol of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions to form 1.0 mol of the $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}$ precipitate. Even though the $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions are removed, the spectator ions $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$are still present. The solution above the precipitate will conduct electricity because there are plenty of charge carriers present in solution.
19. The Brønsted-Lowry definitions are best for our purposes. An acid is a proton donor, and a base is a proton acceptor. A proton is an $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ion. Neutral hydrogen has 1 electron and 1 proton, so an $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ion is just a proton. An acid-base reaction is the transfer of an $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ion (a proton) from an acid to a base.
20. The acid is a diprotic acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}\right)$, meaning that it has two $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions in the formula to donate to a base. The reaction is $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{aq})$, where $\mathrm{A}^{2-}$ is what is left over from the acid formula when the two protons ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions) are reacted.

For the HCl reaction, the base has the ability to accept two protons. The most common examples are $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. A possible reaction would be $2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+$ $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$.
21. a. The species reduced is the element that gains electrons. The reducing agent causes reduction to occur by itself being oxidized. The reducing agent generally refers to the entire formula of the compound/ion that contains the element oxidized.
b. The species oxidized is the element that loses electrons. The oxidizing agent causes oxidation to occur by itself being reduced. The oxidizing agent generally refers to the entire formula of the compound/ion that contains the element reduced.
c. For simple binary ionic compounds, the actual charges on the ions are the same as the oxidation states. For covalent compounds, nonzero oxidation states are imaginary charges the elements would have if they were held together by ionic bonds (assuming the bond is between two different nonmetals). Nonzero oxidation states for elements in covalent compounds are not actual charges. Oxidation states for covalent compounds are a bookkeeping method to keep track of electrons in a reaction.
22. Reference the Problem Solving Strategy box in Section 4.10 of the text for the steps involved in balancing redox reactions by oxidation states. The key to the oxidation states method is to balance the electrons gained by the species reduced with the number of electrons lost from the species oxidized. This is done by assigning oxidation states and, from the change in oxidation states, determining the coefficients necessary to balance electrons gained with electrons lost. After the loss and gain of electrons is balanced, the remainder of the equation is balanced by inspection.

## Exercises

## Aqueous Solutions: Strong and Weak Electrolytes

23. 

Your drawing should show equal number of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ions.
b. $\quad \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$


Your drawing should show twice the number of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions as $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions.
c. $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$

d. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$

| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ |

For e-i, your drawings should show equal numbers of the cations and anions present because each salt is a $1: 1$ salt. The ions present are listed in the following dissolution reactions.
e. $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
f. $\quad \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$
g. $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
h. $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
i. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
24. a. $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ;$ picture iv represents the $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$ions present in $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$.
b. $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ;$ picture ii represents $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$.
c. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$; picture iii represents $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$.
d. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$; picture i represents $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$.
$\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. Picture ii best represents the strong acid $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. Strong acids are strong electrolytes. $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ only partially dissociates in water; acetic acid is a weak electrolyte. None of the pictures represent weak electrolyte solutions; they all are representations of strong electrolytes.
25. $\quad \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
26. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$

## Solution Concentration: Molarity

27. a. $5.623 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}{84.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}=6.693 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$

$$
M=\frac{6.693 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{250.0 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.2677 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}
$$

b. $0.1846 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{294.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}=6.275 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$

$$
M=\frac{6.275 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}{500.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=1.255 \times 10^{-3} M \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}
$$

c. $\quad 0.1025 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}}=1.613 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}=1.613 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$

$$
M=\frac{1.613 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}}{200.0 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=8.065 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}
$$

28. $75.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.79 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g}}=1.3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$; molarity $=\frac{1.3 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.250 \mathrm{~L}}=5.2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$
29. a. $\quad M_{\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}=\frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{0.100 \mathrm{~L}}=1.00 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \quad M_{\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}=1.00 \mathrm{M} ; M_{\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}}=2(1.00)=2.00 \mathrm{M}
$$

b. $\quad M_{\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}=\frac{2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{1.25 \mathrm{~L}}=2.0 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \quad M_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}=2(2.0)=4.0 \mathrm{M} ; \quad M_{\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}}=2.0 \mathrm{M}
$$

c. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}}{53.49 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}}=0.0935 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}}=\frac{0.0935 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}}{4} \mathrm{Cl} \\
& 0.5000 \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned}=0.187 \mathrm{M} .
$$

d. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{212.27 \mathrm{~g}}=4.71 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$

$$
M_{\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}=\frac{4.71 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{0.2500 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0188 \mathrm{M}
$$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \quad M_{\mathrm{K}^{+}}=3(0.0188)=0.0564 \mathrm{M} ; \quad M_{\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}}=0.0188 \mathrm{M}
$$

30. $\quad$ a. $\quad M_{\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}=\frac{0.0200 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0100 \mathrm{~L}}=2.00 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \quad M_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}=3(2.00)=6.00 \mathrm{M} ; \quad M_{\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}}=2.00 \mathrm{M}
$$

b. $\quad M_{\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}=\frac{0.300 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.6000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.500 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; M_{\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}}=0.500 \mathrm{M} ; M_{\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}}=2(0.500)=1.00 \mathrm{M}
$$

c. $\quad M_{\mathrm{KCl}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KCl}}{74.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl}}}{0.5000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0268 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \quad M_{\mathrm{K}^{+}}=M_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=0.0268 \mathrm{M}
$$

d. $\quad M_{\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}=\frac{132 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{132.15 \mathrm{~g}}}{1.50 \mathrm{~L}}=0.666 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

$$
M_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}=2(0.666)=1.33 \mathrm{M} ; M_{\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}}=0.666 \mathrm{M}
$$

31. $\quad$ Mol solute $=$ volume $(\mathrm{L}) \times \operatorname{molarity}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{L}}\right) ; \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}=0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.30 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}}=9.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
$\operatorname{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}=0.0500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.60 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}}=6.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
$\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cl}{ }^{-}=0.2000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.40 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}=8.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
100.0 mL of $0.30 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ contains the most moles of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions.
32. $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), 2$ total mol of ions ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}+$ and $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$) per mol NaOH .
$0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \text { ions }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=2.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}$ ions
$\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), 3$ total mol of ions per mol $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$.
$0.0500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{molions}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}}=3.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}$ ions
$\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}), 4$ total mol of ions per mol $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$.
$0.0750 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.150 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~mol} \text { ions }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}=4.50 \times \mathrm{mol} 10^{-2}$ ions
75.0 mL of $0.150 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ contains the largest number of ions.
33. Molar mass of $\mathrm{NaOH}=22.99+16.00+1.008=40.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Mass $\mathrm{NaOH}=0.2500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.400 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{40.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=4.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH}$
34. 10. $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}}{169.9 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}}=0.24 \mathrm{~L}=240 \mathrm{~mL}$
35. a. $2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{40.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=20.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH}$

Place 20.0 g NaOH in a 2-L volumetric flask; add water to dissolve the NaOH , and fill to the mark with water, mixing several times along the way.
b. $\quad 2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L} \text { stock }}{1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=0.500 \mathrm{~L}$

Add 500. mL of 1.00 M NaOH stock solution to a 2-L volumetric flask; fill to the mark with water, mixing several times along the way.
c. $2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{194.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}=38.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$

Similar to the solution made in part a, instead using $38.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$.
d. $\quad 2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L} \text { stock }}{1.75 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}=0.114 \mathrm{~L}$

Similar to the solution made in part b, instead using 114 mL of the $1.75 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$ stock solution.
36. a. 1.00 L solution $\times \frac{0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
$0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{18 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}=2.8 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}$ conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ or 28 mL
Dilute 28 mL of concentrated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to a total volume of 1.00 L with water. The resulting 1.00 L of solution will be a $0.50 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution.
b. We will need 0.50 mol HCl .
$0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}=4.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}=42 \mathrm{~mL}$
Dilute 42 mL of concentrated HCl to a final volume of 1.00 L .
c. We need $0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \bullet 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}} \times \frac{237.69 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \bullet 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \bullet 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \\
&=118.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \approx 120 \mathrm{~g}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dissolve $120 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in water, and add water until the total volume of the solution is 1.00 L .
d. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO} 3$
$0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{16 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}=0.031 \mathrm{~L}=31 \mathrm{~mL}$
Dissolve 31 mL of concentrated reagent in water. Dilute to a total volume of 1.00 L .
e. We need $0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$.
$0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \times \frac{105.99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
Dissolve $53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in water, dilute to 1.00 L .
37.

$$
10.8 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{132.15 \mathrm{~g}}=8.17 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}
$$

Molarity $=\frac{8.17 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.817 \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$

Moles of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in final solution:

$$
10.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.817 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=8.17 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}
$$

Molarity of final solution $=\frac{8.17 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{(10.00+50.00) \mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.136 \mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
$\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; M_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}}=2(0.136)=0.272 \mathrm{M} ; M_{\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}}=0.136 \mathrm{M}$

Total $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}=0.05000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}}{3}$ $+0.10000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
Total $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}=5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}+2.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}=2.50 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$
Molarity $=\frac{2.50 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{0.15000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.167 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$
As expected, the molarity of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is between 0.100 M and 0.200 M .
39. $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}=0.0700 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{2}=0.21 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
$\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}^{+}=2(0.21 \mathrm{~mol})=0.42 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}=0.0300 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.030 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$
$\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}^{+}=0.030 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
M_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}=\frac{\text { total mol Na}}{}{ }^{+} \text {total volume }^{2}=\frac{0.42 \mathrm{~mol}+0.030 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0700 \mathrm{~L}+0.0300 \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{0.45 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.1000 \mathrm{~L}}=4.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}^{+}
$$

40. $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{CoCl}_{2}=0.0500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CoCl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.0125 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}=0.0250 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.350 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.00875 \mathrm{~mol}$
Both $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ are soluble chloride salts by the solubility rules. A $0.0125-\mathrm{mol}$ aqueous sample of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}$ is actually $0.0125 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ and $2(0.0125 \mathrm{~mol})=0.0250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. A $0.00875-\mathrm{mol}$ aqueous sample of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ is actually $0.00875 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ and $2(0.00875)=$ $0.0175 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. The total volume of solution that these ions are in is $0.0500 \mathrm{~L}+0.0250 \mathrm{~L}=$ 0.0750 L .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{\mathrm{Co}^{2+}}=\frac{0.0125 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Co}^{2+}}{0.0750 \mathrm{~L}}=0.167 \mathrm{M} ; M_{\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}}=\frac{0.00875 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}}{0.0750 \mathrm{~L}}=0.117 \mathrm{M} \\
& M_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=\frac{0.0250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+0.0175 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{0.0750 \mathrm{~L}}=0.567 \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

41. Stock solution $=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{mg}}{500.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=\frac{10.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}}{500.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=\frac{2.00 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~g} \text { steroid }}{\mathrm{mL}}$

$$
100.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~L} \text { stock } \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2.00 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~g} \text { steroid }}{\mathrm{mL}}=2.00 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g} \text { steroid }
$$

This is diluted to a final volume of 100.0 mL .

$$
\frac{2.00 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g} \text { steroid }}{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { steroid }}{336.43 \mathrm{~g} \text { steroid }}=5.94 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M} \text { steroid }
$$

42. Stock solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.584 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}}{54.94 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}}=2.883 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \\
& \text { Molarity }=\frac{2.833 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}}{1.000 \mathrm{~L}}=2.883 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 50.00 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{2.833 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=1.442 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \\
& \text { Molarity }=\frac{1.442 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{1000.0 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{1 \mathrm{~L}}=1.442 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution B:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1.442 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=1.442 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \\
& \text { Molarity }=\frac{1.442 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}}{0.2500 \mathrm{~L}}=5.768 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution C:

$$
10.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{5.768 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=5.768 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}
$$

$$
\text { Molarity }=\frac{5.768 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol}}{0.5000 \mathrm{~L}}=1.154 \times 10^{-6} M
$$

## Precipitation Reactions

43. The solubility rules referenced in the following answers are outlined in Table 4.1 of the text.
a. Soluble: Most nitrate salts are soluble (Rule 1).
b. Soluble: Most chloride salts are soluble except for $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}, \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ (Rule 3).
c. Soluble: Most sulfate salts are soluble except for $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}, \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}, \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ (Rule 4.)
d. Insoluble: Most hydroxide salts are only slightly soluble (Rule 5).

Note: We will interpret the phrase "slightly soluble" as meaning insoluble and the phrase "marginally soluble" as meaning soluble. So the marginally soluble hydroxides $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ will be assumed soluble unless noted otherwise.
e. Insoluble: Most sulfide salts are only slightly soluble (Rule 6). Again, "slightly soluble" is interpreted as "insoluble" in problems like these.
f. Insoluble: Rule 5 (see answer d).
g. Insoluble: Most phosphate salts are only slightly soluble (Rule 6).
44. The solubility rules referenced in the following answers are from Table 4.1 of the text. The phrase "slightly soluble" is interpreted to mean insoluble, and the phrase "marginally soluble" is interpreted to mean soluble.
a. Soluble (Rule 3)
b. Soluble (Rule 1)
c. Inoluble (Rule 4)
d. Soluble (Rules 2 and 3)
e. Insoluble (Rule 6)
f. Insoluble (Rule 5)
g. Insoluble (Rule 6)
h. Soluble (Rule 2)
45. In these reactions, soluble ionic compounds are mixed together. To predict the precipitate, switch the anions and cations in the two reactant compounds to predict possible products; then use the solubility rules in Table 4.1 to predict if any of these possible products are insoluble (are the precipitate). Note that the phrase "slightly soluble" in Table 4.1 is interpreted to mean insoluble, and the phrase "marginally soluble" is interpreted to mean soluble.
a. Possible products $=\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; both salts are soluble, so no precipitate forms.
b. Possible products $=\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$; precipitate $=\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
c. Possible products $=\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ and NaCl ; precipitate $=\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$
d. Possible products $=\mathrm{KNO}_{3}$ and NiS ; precipitate $=\mathrm{NiS}(\mathrm{s})$
46. Use Table 4.1 to predict the solubility of the possible products.
a. Possible products $=\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$; precipitate $=\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
b. Possible products $=\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$; both salts are soluble so no precipitate forms.
c. $\quad$ Possible products $=\mathrm{KI}$ and $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$; precipitate $=\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$
d. Possible products $=\mathrm{NaBr}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3} ;$ precipitate $=\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}$
47. For the following answers, the balanced formula equation is first, followed by the complete ionic equation, then the net ionic equation.
a. No reaction occurs since all possible products are soluble salts.
b. $2 \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \\
& \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned} \quad 2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), l
$$

c. $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$
d. $\quad \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NiS}(\mathrm{s})$
$2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NiS}(\mathrm{s})$
$\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NiS}(\mathrm{s})$
48.
a. $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$
b. No reaction occurs since both possible products are soluble.
c. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{MgI}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{KI}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
$2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
$\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
d. $3 \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{Br})_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{NaBr}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
$6 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+$ $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
$2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
49. a. When $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ is added to $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq})$, the precipitate that forms is $\mathrm{CuS}(\mathrm{s})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$(the gray spheres) and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ (the bluish green spheres) are the spectator ions.
$\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CuS}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CuS}(\mathrm{s})$
b. When $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ is added to $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})$, the precipitate that forms is $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$(the gray spheres) and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(the green spheres) are the spectator ions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NaOH}_{(\mathrm{aq})} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& \mathrm{Co}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

c. When $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ is added to $\mathrm{KI}(\mathrm{aq})$, the precipitate that forms is $\mathrm{AgI}(\mathrm{s})$. Therefore, $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ (the red spheres) and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$(the blue spheres) are the spectator ions.

$$
\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{KI}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgI}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgI}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

50. There are many acceptable choices for spectator ions. We will generally choose $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$as the spectator ions because sodium salts and nitrate salts are usually soluble in water.
a. $\quad \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
b. $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
c. $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
d. $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BaCrO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$
51. a. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$

$$
\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

b. $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

c. Potassium phosphate and sodium nitrate are both soluble in water. No reaction occurs.
d. No reaction occurs because all possible products are soluble.
e. $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

52. a. $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

b. $2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

c. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Hg}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$

$$
\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

d. No reaction occurs because all possible products $\left(\mathrm{SrI}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{KNO}_{3}\right)$ are soluble.
53. Because a precipitate formed with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, the possible cations are $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}, \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}, \mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ (from the solubility rules). Because no precipitate formed with $\mathrm{KCl}, \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ cannot be present. Because both $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ form soluble chlorides and soluble hydroxides, both these cations could be present. Therefore, the cations could be $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ (by the solubility rules in Table 4.1). For students who do a more rigorous study of solubility, $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ could also be a possible cation (it forms an insoluble sulfate salt, whereas the chloride and hydroxide salts of strontium are soluble).
54. Because no precipitates formed upon addition of NaCl or $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, we can conclude that $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ are not present in the sample because $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ are insoluble salts. However, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ may be present since $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ does not form a precipitate with either NaCl or $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. A precipitate formed with NaOH ; the solution must contain $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ because it forms a precipitate with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}\left[\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})\right]$.
55. $2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
0.0750 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}} \times \frac{161.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}=0.607 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}
$$

56. $2 \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pb}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.1500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}=0.250 \mathrm{~L} \\
=250 . \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}
\end{array}
$$

57. $\mathrm{A} 1\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

Assuming $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}$ is limiting:

$$
0.0500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}^{\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}} \times \frac{78.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}
$$

$$
=0.780 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}
$$

Assuming KOH is limiting:

$$
0.2000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}} \times \frac{78.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}=0.520 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}
$$

Because KOH produces the smaller mass of the $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ precipitate, KOH is the limiting reagent and $0.520 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ can form.
58. The balanced equation is $3 \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$.
$100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{BaCl} 2 \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}} \times \frac{233.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}$

$$
=2.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} & \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}} \\
& \times \frac{233.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}=7.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ reagent produces the smaller quantity of the $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ precipitate, so $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ is limiting and $2.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ can form.
59. The reaction is $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaBr}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$.

Assuming $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ is limiting:

$$
100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{0.150 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgBr}^{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}} \times \frac{187.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgBr}}}{\text { 别 }}
$$

$$
=2.82 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}
$$

Assuming NaBr is limiting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
20.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{NaBr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaBr}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaBr}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgBr}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaBr}} \times \frac{187.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgBr}} & \\
& =3.76 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ reagent produces the smaller quantity of AgBr , so $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ is limiting and 2.82 g AgBr can form.
60. $2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
$0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.20 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}} \times \frac{143.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}=2.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}$
$0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}} \times \frac{143.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}=4.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}$
$\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ is limiting (it produces the smaller mass of AgCl ) and 2.9 g AgCl can form.
The net ionic equation is $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$. The ions remaining in solution are the unreacted $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions and the spectator ions $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ (all $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is used up in forming $\mathrm{AgCl})$. The moles of each ion present initially (before reaction) can be easily determined from the moles of each reactant. We have $0.1000 \mathrm{~L}\left(0.20 \mathrm{~mol}_{\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}} / \mathrm{L}\right)=0.020 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$, which dissolves to form $0.020 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$and $0.020 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. We also have $0.1000 \mathrm{~L}\left(0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} / \mathrm{L}\right)=0.015 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$, which dissolves to form $0.015 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $2(0.015)=0.030 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. To form the 2.9 g of AgCl precipitate, $0.020 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}{ }^{+}$will react with 0.020 mol of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to form 0.020 mol AgCl (which has a mass of 2.9 g ).

Mol unreacted $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}=0.030 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$initially $-0.020 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$reacted
Mol unreacted $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}=0.010 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$

$$
M_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=\frac{0.010 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\text {total volume }}=\frac{0.010 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{0.1000 \mathrm{~L}+0.1000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.050 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}
$$

The molarities of the spectator ions are:

$$
\frac{0.020 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} ; \quad \frac{0.015 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.075 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}
$$

61. a. The balanced reaction is $2 \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$.
b. The precipitate is magnesium hydroxide.
c. Assuming KOH is limiting:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{KOH} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{KOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}} \times \frac{58.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}} \\
=0.583 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Assuming $\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Mg}_{\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}} & \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}} \\
& \times \frac{58.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}=1.17 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The KOH reagent is limiting because it produces the smaller quantity of the $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ precipitate. So $0.583 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ can form.
d. The net ionic equation for this reaction is $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$.

Because KOH is the limiting reagent, all of the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is used up in the reaction. So $M_{\mathrm{OH}^{-}}$ $=0 \mathrm{M}$. Note that $\mathrm{K}^{+}$is a spectator ion, so it is still present in solution after precipitation was complete. Also present will be the excess $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$(the other spectator ion).

Total $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}=0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}$

$$
=0.0200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}
$$

Mol $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ reacted $=0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{KOH} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{L} \mathrm{KOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}$ $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}{\operatorname{mol~Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}=0.0100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$
$M_{\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}=\frac{\text { mol excess } \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}{\text { total volume }}=\frac{(0.0200-0.0100) \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}{0.1000 \mathrm{~L}+0.1000 \mathrm{~L}}=5.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$

The spectator ions are $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. The moles of each are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned} \mathrm{~K}^{+} 0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{KOH} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{KOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}^{+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{KOH}}=0.0200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}^{+}, \begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}=0.1000{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}}^{=0.0400 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}}
\end{array}
$$

The concentrations are:

$$
\frac{0.0200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}^{+}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.100 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~K}^{+} ; \frac{0.0400 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.200 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}
$$

62. a. $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

Solution A contains $2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=4.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, and solution B contains $2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times 3.00 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=6.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}$. In the picture in the problem, we have 4 formula units of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}\left(4 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right.$ ions and $8 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$ions) and 6 formula units of $\mathrm{KOH}\left(6 \mathrm{~K}^{+}\right.$ions and $6 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions). With $4 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions and $6 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions present, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is limiting (when all 6 molecules of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$react, we only need 3 of the $4 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions to react with all of the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ present). After reaction, one $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ion remains as $3 \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ formula units form as precipitate. The following drawing summarizes the ions that remain in solution and the relative amount of precipitate that forms. Note that $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions are spectator ions. In the drawing, $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is the volume of solution A or B , and $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ is the volume of the combined solutions, with $\mathrm{V}_{2}=2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}$. The drawing exaggerates the amount of precipitate that would actually form.

b. The spectator ion concentrations will be one-half the original spectator ion concentrations in the individual beakers because the volume was doubled. Or using moles, $M_{\mathrm{K}^{+}}=$ $\frac{6.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}^{+}}{4.00 \mathrm{~L}}=1.50 \mathrm{M}$ and $M_{\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}}=\frac{8.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}}{4.00 \mathrm{~L}}=2.00 \mathrm{M}$. The concentration of
$\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions will be zero because $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is the limiting reagent. From the drawing, the number of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions will decrease by a factor of four as the precipitate forms. Because the
volume of solution doubled, the concentration of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ions will decrease by a factor of eight after the two beakers are mixed:

$$
M_{\mathrm{Cu}^{+}}=2.00 \mathrm{M}\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)=0.250 \mathrm{M}
$$

Alternately, one could certainly use moles to solve for $M_{\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}}$ :
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ reacted $=2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}=3.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ reacted $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ present initially $=2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{2.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}}{\mathrm{L}}=4.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ present initially Excess $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ present after reaction $=4.00 \mathrm{~mol}-3.00 \mathrm{~mol}=1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ excess $M_{\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}}{2.00 \mathrm{~L}+2.00 \mathrm{~L}}=0.250 \mathrm{M}$

Mass of precipitate $=6.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}} \times \frac{97.57 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}$

$$
=293 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}
$$

63. $\quad \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{MCl}(\mathrm{aq})$
$1.36 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}}{136.15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}}=9.99 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
From the problem, $1.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was reacted, so:

$$
\text { molar mass }=\frac{1.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{9.99 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}=142 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$142 \mathrm{u}=2$ (atomic mass M$)+32.07+4(16.00)$, atomic mass $\mathrm{M}=23 \mathrm{u}$
From periodic table, $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Na}$ (sodium).
64. a. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions are present before any reaction occurs. The excess $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ added will remove all of the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions present. Therefore, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$, and the excess $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ ions will all be present after precipitation of AgCl is complete.
b. $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$
c. $\quad$ Mass $\mathrm{NaCl}=0.641 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}{143.4 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgCl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}} \times \frac{58.44 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}$

$$
=0.261 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}
$$

Mass $\% \mathrm{NaCl}=\frac{0.261 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}}{1.50 \mathrm{~g} \text { mixture }} \times 100=17.4 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$

## Acid-Base Reactions

65. All the bases in this problem are ionic compounds containing $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. The acids are either strong or weak electrolytes. The best way to determine if an acid is a strong or weak electrolyte is to memorize all the strong electrolytes (strong acids). Any other acid you encounter that is not a strong acid will be a weak electrolyte (a weak acid), and the formula should be left unaltered in the complete ionic and net ionic equations. The strong acids to recognize are $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. For the following answers, the order of the equations are formula, complete ionic, and net ionic.
a. $2 \mathrm{HClO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaCN}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
c. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

66. a. $3 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& 3 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{KC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
c. $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ or $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
67. All the acids in this problem are strong electrolytes (strong acids). The acids to recognize as strong electrolytes are $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
a. $\mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
b. $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
c. $3 \mathrm{HClO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
d. $\mathrm{AgOH}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{HBr}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
e. $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{SrI}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
68. a. Perchloric acid plus potassium hydroxide is a possibility.

$$
\mathrm{HClO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{KClO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

b. Nitric acid plus cesium hydroxide is a possibility.

$$
\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CsOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CsNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

c. Hydroiodic acid plus calcium hydroxide is a possibility.

$$
2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CaI}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

69. If we begin with 50.00 mL of 0.200 M NaOH , then:

$$
50.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=1.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH} \text { is to be neutralized }
$$

a. $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
1.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.100 \mathrm{~mol}}=0.100 \mathrm{~L} \text { or } 100 . \mathrm{mL}
$$

b. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
1.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.150 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}=6.67 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} \text { or } 66.7 \mathrm{~mL}
$$

c. $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=5.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} \\
&=50.0 \mathrm{~mL}
\end{aligned}
$$

70. We begin with 25.00 mL of 0.200 M HCl or $25.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \times 0.200 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

$$
=5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}
$$

a. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=5.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} \text { or } 50.0 \mathrm{~mL}
$$

b. $2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{SrCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.0500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}} & =5.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} \\
& =50.0 \mathrm{~mL}
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}=2.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}=20.0 \mathrm{~mL}
$$

71. $\quad \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 75.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~L}}=1.88 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}=1.88 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
&+1.88 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
225.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.0550 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} & =1.24 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\
& =1.24 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}+2.48 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

The net ionic equation requires a $1: 1$ mole ratio between $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. The actual mole $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ to mole $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ratio is greater than $1: 1$, so $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is in excess. Because $1.88 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$will be neutralized by the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, we have $(2.48-1.88) \times 10^{-2}=0.60 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$in excess.

$$
M_{\mathrm{OH}^{-}}=\frac{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-} \text {excess }}{\text { total volume }}=\frac{6.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{0.0750 \mathrm{~L}+0.2250 \mathrm{~L}}=2.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

72. HCl and $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ are strong acids; $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and RbOH are strong bases. The net ionic equation that occurs is $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}= & 0.0500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HCl}} \\
& +0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}=0.00500+0.0200=0.0250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+0.2000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{RbOH}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{RbOH}}=0.0100+0.0200=0.0300 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

We have an excess of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, so the solution is basic (not neutral). The moles of excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}=$ $0.0300 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$initially $-0.0250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacted $\left(\right.$with $\left.\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)=0.0050 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$excess.

$$
M_{\mathrm{OH}^{-}}=\frac{0.0050 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{(0.0500+0.1000+0.5000+0.2000) \mathrm{L}}=\frac{0.0050 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.8500 \mathrm{~L}}=5.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}
$$

73. $\quad \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
24.16 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{0.106 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=2.56 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}
$$

$$
\text { Molarity of } \mathrm{HCl}=\frac{2.56 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{25.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=0.102 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}
$$

74. $\quad \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
a. $16.58 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}$ soln $\times \frac{0.5062 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L} \mathrm{soln}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}$

$$
=8.393 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

Concentration of $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})=\frac{8.393 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{0.01000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.8393 \mathrm{M}$
b. If we have 1.000 L of solution: Total mass $=1000 . \mathrm{mL} \times \frac{1.006 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=1006 \mathrm{~g}$ solution

Mass of $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=0.8393 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{60.05 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=50.40 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Mass \% acetic acid $=\frac{50.40 \mathrm{~g}}{1006 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=5.010 \%$
75. $2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
35.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \times \frac{0.0500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}} & \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{0.0200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}} \\
& =0.0438 \mathrm{~L}=43.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

76. Strong bases contain the hydroxide ion $\left(\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)$. The reaction that occurs is $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

$$
0.0120 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.150 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}=1.80 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

The 30.0 mL of the unknown strong base contains $1.80 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$.

$$
\frac{1.80 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{0.0300 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0600 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

The unknown base concentration is one-half the concentration of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions produced from the base, so the base must contain $2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$in each formula unit. The three soluble strong bases that have two $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions in the formula are $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. These are all possible identities for the strong base.
77. KHP is a monoprotic acid: $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{KHP}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaKP}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mass KHP }=0.02046 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{0.1000 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KHP}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{204.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KHP}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KHP}} \\
&=0.4178 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KHP}
\end{aligned}
$$

78. Because KHP is a monoprotic acid, the reaction is (KHP is an abbreviation for potassium hydrogen phthalate):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{KHP}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NaKP}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
0.1082 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KHP} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KHP}}{204.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KHP}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KHP}}=5.298 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}
\end{gathered}
$$

There are $5.298 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}$ of sodium hydroxide in 34.67 mL of solution. Therefore, the concentration of sodium hydroxide is:

$$
\frac{5.298 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}{34.67 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=1.528 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}
$$

## Oxidation-Reduction Reactions

79. Apply the rules in Table 4.2.
a. $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ is composed of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}$ions. Assign oxygen an oxidation state of -2 , which gives manganese a +7 oxidation state because the sum of oxidation states for all atoms in $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$must equal the $1-$ charge on $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} . \mathrm{K},+1 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{Mn},+7$.
b. Assign O a -2 oxidation state, which gives nickel $\mathrm{a}+4$ oxidation state. $\mathrm{Ni},+4 ; \mathrm{O},-2$.
c. $\mathrm{Na}_{4} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}$ is composed of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$cations and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}{ }^{4-}$ anions. $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}{ }^{4-}$ is composed of an iron cation and $6 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$anions. For an overall anion charge of $4-$, iron must have a +2 oxidation state. As is usually the case in compounds, assign O a -2 oxidation state and H $\mathrm{a}+1$ oxidation state. $\mathrm{Na},+1 ; \mathrm{Fe},+2 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{H},+1$.
d. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ is made of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$cations and $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ anions. Assign +1 as the oxidation state of H and -2 as the oxidation state of O . In $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, x+4(+1)=+1, x=-3=$ oxidation state of N . In $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-},+1+y+4(-2)=-2, y=+5=$ oxidation state of P .
e. $O,-2 ; P,+3$
f. $\mathrm{O},-2 ; 3 x+4(-2)=0, x=+8 / 3=$ oxidation state of Fe ; this is the average oxidation state of the three iron ions in $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. In the actual formula unit, there are two $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions and one $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ion.
g. $\mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{F},-1 ; \mathrm{Xe},+6$
h. F, $-1 ; \mathrm{S},+4$
i. $\mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{C},+2$
j. $H,+1 ; O,-2 ; C, 0$
80. a. $\mathrm{UO}_{2}{ }^{2+}: \mathrm{O},-2$; for $\mathrm{U}, x+2(-2)=+2, x=+\underline{6}$
b. $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{O},-2$; for As, $2(x)+3(-2)=0, x=+3$
c. $\mathrm{NaBiO}_{3}: \mathrm{Na},+1 ; \mathrm{O},-2$; for $\mathrm{Bi},+1+x+3(-2)=0, x=+\underline{5}$
d. $\mathrm{As}_{4}: \mathrm{As}, 0$
e. $\mathrm{HAsO}_{2}$ : Assign $\mathrm{H}=+1$ and $\mathrm{O}=-2$; for $\mathrm{As},+1+x+2(-2)=0, x=+\underline{3}$
f. $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : Composed of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{4-}$ ions. $\mathrm{Mg},+2 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{P},+5$
g. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : Composed of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions and $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions. $\mathrm{Na},+1 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{S},+2$
h. $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{Hg},+1 ; \mathrm{Cl},-1$
i. $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ : Composed of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ions and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$ions. $\mathrm{Ca},+2 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{N},+5$
81. 

a. -3
b. -3
c. $2(x)+4(+1)=0, x=-2$
d. +2
e. +1
f. +4
g. +3
h. +5
i. 0
82. a. $\mathrm{SrCr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : Composed of $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}$ ions. $\mathrm{Sr},+2 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{Cr}, 2 x+7(-2)=-2, x=+6$
b. $\mathrm{Cu},+2 ; \mathrm{Cl},-1$
c. $\mathrm{O}, 0$;
d. $\mathrm{H},+1 ; \mathrm{O},-1$
e. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions present. $\mathrm{Mg},+2 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{C},+4$;
f. $\mathrm{Ag}, 0$
g. $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions present. $\mathrm{Pb},+2 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{S},+4$;
h. $\mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{Pb},+4$
i. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ions present. $\mathrm{Na},+1$; $\mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{C}, 2 x+4(-2)=-2, x=+3$
j. $\mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{C},+4$
k. Ammonium ion has a $1+$ charge $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$, and sulfate ion has a $2-$ charge $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right)$.

Therefore, the oxidation state of cerium must be $+4\left(\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}\right) . \mathrm{H},+1 ; \mathrm{N},-3 ; \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{S},+6$
l. $\mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{Cr},+3$
83. To determine if the reaction is an oxidation-reduction reaction, assign oxidation states. If the oxidation states change for some elements, then the reaction is a redox reaction. If the oxidation states do not change, then the reaction is not a redox reaction. In redox reactions, the species oxidized (called the reducing agent) shows an increase in oxidation states, and the species reduced (called the oxidizing agent) shows a decrease in oxidation states.

| Redox? | Oxidizing <br> Agent | Reducing <br> Agent | Substance <br> Oxidized | Substance <br> Reduced |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ | Cu | Cu | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ |
| No | - | - | - | - |
| No | - | - | - | - |
| Yes | $\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}$ | Mg | Mg | $\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}(\mathrm{Si})$ |
| No | - | - | - | - |

In $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$, and e , no oxidation numbers change.
84. The species oxidized shows an increase in oxidation states and is called the reducing agent. The species reduced shows a decrease in oxidation states and is called the oxidizing agent. The pertinent oxidation states are listed by the substance oxidized and the substance reduced.

| Redox? | Oxidizing <br> Agent | Reducing <br> Agent | Substance <br> Oxidized | Substance <br> Reduced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| a.Yes <br> b | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{C},-4 \rightarrow+2)$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{H},+1 \rightarrow 0)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| c. Yes | $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ | Cu | $\mathrm{Cu}(0 \rightarrow+2)$ | $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{Ag},+1 \rightarrow 0)$ |
| HCl | Zn | $\mathrm{Zn}(0 \rightarrow+2)$ | $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{H},+1 \rightarrow 0)$ |  |

d. No; there is no change in any of the oxidation numbers.
85. Each sodium atom goes from the 0 oxidation state in Na to the +1 oxidation state in NaF . Each Na atom loses one electron. Each fluorine atom goes from the 0 oxidation state in $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ to the -1 state in NaF. In order to match electrons gained by fluorine with electrons lost by sodium, 1 F atom is needed for every Na atom in the balanced equation. Because $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ contains two fluorine atoms, two sodium atoms will be needed to balance the electrons. The following balanced equation makes sense from an atom standpoint but also makes sense from an electron standpoint.

$$
2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NaF}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

86. Each oxygen atom goes from the 0 oxidation state in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the -2 oxidation state in MgO. Each magnesium atom goes from the 0 oxidation state in Mg to the +2 oxidation state in MgO . To match electron gain with electron loss, 1 atom of O is needed for each atom of Mg in the balanced equation. Because two oxygen atoms are in each $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule, we will need two Mg atoms for every $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule. The balanced equation below balances atoms but also balances electrons, which must always be the case in any correctly balanced equation.

$$
2 \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{MgO}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

87. a. The first step is to assign oxidation states to all atoms (see numbers above the atoms).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -3+1 \\
& \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}
\end{aligned}+\stackrel{0}{\mathrm{O}_{2}} \rightarrow \stackrel{+4-2}{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}+\stackrel{+1-2}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}
$$

Each carbon atom changes from -3 to +4 , an increase of 7. Each oxygen atom changes from 0 to -2 , a decrease of 2 . We need $7 / 2 \mathrm{O}$ atoms for every C atom in order to balance electron gain with electron loss.
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+7 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Balancing the remainder of the equation by inspection:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})+7 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

or

$$
2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})+7 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

b. The oxidation state of magnesium changes from 0 to +2 , an increase of 2 . The oxidation state of hydrogen changes from +1 to 0 , a decrease of 1 . We need 2 H atoms for every Mg atom in order to balance the electrons transferred. The balanced equation is:

$$
\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

c. The oxidation state of nickel increases by $2(0$ to +2$)$, and the oxidation state of cobalt decreases by $1(+3$ to +2$)$. We need $2 \mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ ions for every Ni atom in order to balance electron gain with electron loss. The balanced equation is:

$$
\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Co}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Co}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

d. The equation is balanced (mass and charge balanced). Each hydrogen atom gains one electron $(+1 \rightarrow 0)$, and each zinc atom loses two electrons $(0 \rightarrow+2)$. We need 2 H atoms for every Zn atom in order to balance the electrons transferred. This is the ratio in the given equation:

$$
\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

88. a. The first step is to assign oxidation states to all atoms (see numbers above the atoms).
$\begin{gathered}0 \\ \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\end{gathered} \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{Al}} \rightarrow \stackrel{+3}{\mathrm{Al}^{3+}}+\begin{gathered}-1 \\ \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\end{gathered}$
Each aluminum atom changes in oxidation state from 0 to +3 , an increase of 3 . Each chlorine atom changes from 0 to -1 , a decrease of 1 . We need 3 Cl atoms for every Al atom in the balanced equation in order to balance electron gain with electron loss.

$$
3 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}
$$

For whole numbers, multiply through by two. The balanced equation is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad 3 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& \text { b. } \quad \begin{array}{l}
0 \\
\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \\
+\mathrm{Pb} \rightarrow \mathrm{~Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the oxidation states written above the elements, lead is oxidized, and oxygen in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is reduced. Each lead atom changes from 0 to +2 , an increase of 2 , and each O atom in
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ changes from 0 to -2 , a decrease of 2 . We need 1 Pb atom for each O atom in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to balance the electrons transferred. Balancing the electrons:

$$
\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{~Pb} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}
$$

The last step is to balance the rest of the equation by inspection. In this reaction, when the H atoms become balanced, the entire equation is balanced. The balanced overall equation is:

```
    \(\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{~Pb}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})\)
\(\begin{aligned} & +1 \\
& \mathrm{H}^{+}\end{aligned} \stackrel{+7-2}{\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}}+\stackrel{+2}{\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}} \rightarrow \stackrel{+2}{\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}}+\stackrel{+3}{\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}}+\)\begin{tabular}{|}
\(+1-2\) \\
\(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\)
\end{tabular}
```

From the oxidation states written above each element, manganese is reduced (goes from +7 to +3 ), and Fe is oxidized (goes from +2 to +3 ). In order to balance the electrons transferred, we need 5 Fe atoms for every Mn atom. Balancing the electrons gives:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+5 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+5 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Balancing the O atoms, then the H atoms by inspection, leads to the following overall balanced equation.

$$
8 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+5 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+5 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

## Additional Exercises

89. Desired uncertainty is $1 \%$ of 0.02 , or $\pm 0.0002$. So we want the solution to be $0.0200 \pm$ 0.0002 M , or the concentration should be between 0.0198 and 0.0202 M . We should use a 1 L volumetric flask to make the solution. They are good to $\pm 0.1 \%$. We want to weigh out between 0.0198 mol and 0.0202 mol of $\mathrm{KIO}_{3}$.

Molar mass of $\mathrm{KIO}_{3}=39.10+126.9+3(16.00)=214.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$0.0198 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{214.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=4.237 \mathrm{~g} ; \quad 0.0202 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{214.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=4.323 \mathrm{~g}$ (carrying extra sig. figs.)
We should weigh out between 4.24 and 4.32 g of $\mathrm{KIO}_{3}$. We should weigh it to the nearest milligram, or nearest 0.1 mg . Dissolve the $\mathrm{KIO}_{3}$ in water, and dilute (with mixing along the way) to the mark in a 1-L volumetric flask. This will produce a solution whose concentration is within the limits and is known to at least the fourth decimal place.
90. Solution A: $\frac{4 \text { molecules }}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}$; solution B: $\frac{6 \text { molecules }}{4.0 \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{1.5 \text { molecules }}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}$

Solution C: $\frac{4 \text { molecules }}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{2 \text { molecules }}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}$; solution D: $\frac{6 \text { molecules }}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{3 \text { molecules }}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}$

Solution A has the most molecules per unit volume so solution A is most concentrated. This is followed by solution D, then solution C. Solution B has the fewest molecules per unit volume, so solution B is least concentrated.
91. $\quad 32.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}}{342.30 \mathrm{~g}}=0.0935 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}$ added to blood

The blood sugar level would increase by:

$$
\frac{0.0935 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}}{5.0 \mathrm{~L}}=0.019 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

92. $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ present $=0.230 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \times \frac{0.275 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}=6.33 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$

The volume of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution after evaporation is:

$$
6.33 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}{1.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}=5.75 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}=57.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}
$$

Volume $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ evaporated $=230 . \mathrm{mL}-57.5 \mathrm{~mL}=173 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ evaporated
93. There are other possible correct choices for most of the following answers. We have listed only three possible reactants in each case.
a. $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}, \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ would form precipitates with the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& \mathrm{Hg}_{2}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ would form precipitates with the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& 3 \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ would form precipitates with the $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) ; 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{~S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

d. $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}, \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ would form precipitates with the $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

e. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaCl}$, and NaI would form precipitates with the $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ ion.
$\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$
$\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$
f. $\mathrm{NaBr}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ would form precipitates with the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \quad 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& 3 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

94. a. $\operatorname{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
$0.641 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}{143.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}} \times \frac{95.21 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}}=0.213 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$
$\frac{0.213 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}}{1.50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mixture}} \times 100=14.2 \% \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$
b. $\quad 0.213 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}}{95.21 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{1 \mathrm{~L}}$ $=8.95 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{AgNO} 3$
95. $\quad \mathrm{XCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$
$1.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}{143.4 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}=4.81 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}$
$\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}}{4.91 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{XCl}_{2}}=208 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; x+2(35.45)=208, x=137 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
From the periodic table, the metal X is barium ( Ba ).
96. From the periodic table, use aluminum in the formulas to convert from mass of $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ to mass of $\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ in the mixture.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.107 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}{78.00 \mathrm{~g}} & \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}^{3+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}^{3+}} \\
& \times \frac{342.17 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}}=0.235 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Mass $\% \mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}=\frac{0.235 \mathrm{~g}}{1.45 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=16.2 \%$
97. All the Tl in TlI came from Tl in $\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The conversion from TlI to $\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ uses the molar masses and formulas of each compound.
$0.1824 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{TlI} \times \frac{204.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Tl}}{331.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{TlI}} \times \frac{504.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{408.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Tl}}=0.1390 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}=\frac{0.1390 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{9.486 \mathrm{~g} \text { pesticide }} \times 100=1.465 \% \mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
98. a. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
$\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}: 55.85+3(16.00)+3(1.008)=106.87 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
0.107 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \times \frac{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}}{106.87 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}=0.0559 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}
$$

b. $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}: 55.85+3(14.01)+9(16.00)=241.86 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
0.0559 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{241.86 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}}=0.242 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}
$$

c. Mass $\% \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}=\frac{0.242 \mathrm{~g}}{0.456 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=53.1 \%$
99. With the ions present, the only possible precipitate is $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$.
$\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
Mol NaOH used $=2.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}{103.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}=6.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}$
to form precipitate
$\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
Mol NaOH used $=0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.400 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HCl}}=4.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}$ to react with HCl

$$
M_{\mathrm{NaOH}}=\frac{\text { total mol NaOH }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{6.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}+4.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0500 \mathrm{~L}}=2.00 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaOH}
$$

100. a. $\mathrm{MgO}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

$$
\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

b. Let's calculate the number of moles of HCl neutralized per gram of substance. We can get these directly from the balanced equations and the molar masses of the substances.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgO}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgO}}{40.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgO}}=\frac{4.962 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgO}} \\
& \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{58.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}=\frac{3.429 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}{78.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}=\frac{3.846 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}}
$$

Therefore, 1 gram of magnesium oxide would neutralize the most 0.10 M HCl .
101. Using HA as an abbreviation for the monoprotic acid acetylsalicylic acid:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaA}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{HA}=0.03517 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{0.5065 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=1.781 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}
\end{aligned}
$$

Fom the problem, 3.210 g HA was reacted, so:

$$
\text { molar mass }=\frac{3.210 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HA}}{1.781 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}}=180.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

102. $\operatorname{Mg}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \operatorname{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
$3.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}}{24.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{5.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}=0.049 \mathrm{~L}=49 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{HCl}$
103. Let $\mathrm{HA}=$ unknown monoprotic acid; $\mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NaA}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

Mol HA present $=0.0250 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=0.0125 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}$

$$
\frac{x \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HA}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}}=\frac{2.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HA}}{0.0125 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}}, x=\text { molar mass of HA }=176 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Empirical formula weight $\approx 3(12)+4(1)+3(16)=88 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
Because $176 / 88=2.0$, the molecular formula is $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}$.
104. We get the empirical formula from the elemental analysis. Out of 100.00 g carminic acid, there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 53.66 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=4.468 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 4.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=4.06 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 42.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.641 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing the moles by the smallest number gives:

$$
\frac{4.468}{2.641}=1.692 ; \quad \frac{4.06}{2.641}=1.54
$$

These numbers don't give obvious mole ratios. Let's determine the mol C to mol H ratio:

$$
\frac{4.468}{4.06}=1.10=\frac{11}{10}
$$

So let's try $\frac{4.06}{10}=0.406$ as a common factor: $\frac{4.468}{0.406}=11.0 ; \frac{4.06}{0.406}=10.0 ; \quad \frac{2.641}{0.406}=6.50$
Therefore, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{13}$ is the empirical formula.
We can get molar mass from the titration data. The balanced reaction is $\mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{A}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$, where HA is an abbreviation for carminic acid, an acid with one acidic proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.
$18.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}$ soln $\times \frac{0.0406 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L} \text { soln }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{carminic} \mathrm{acid}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}$
$=7.32 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}$ carminic acid
Molar mass $=\frac{0.3602 \mathrm{~g}}{7.32 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}=\frac{492 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}$
The empirical formula mass of $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{13} \approx 22(12)+20(1)+13(16)=492 \mathrm{~g}$.
Therefore, the molecular formula of carminic acid is also $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{13}$.
105. $0.104 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}{143.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgCl}} \times \frac{35.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=2.57 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$

All of the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in the AgCl precipitate came from the chlorisondamine chloride compound in the medication. So we need to calculate the quantity of $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ which contains $2.57 \times$ $10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$.

Molar mass of $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}=14(12.01)+20(1.008)+6(35.45)+2(14.01)=429.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
There are $6(35.45)=212.70 \mathrm{~g}$ chlorine for every mole $(429.02 \mathrm{~g})$ of $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$.
$2.57 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \times \frac{429.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{212.70 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=5.18 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Cl}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
Mass $\%$ chlorisondamine chloride $=\frac{5.18 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}}{1.28 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=4.05 \%$
106. All the sulfur in $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ came from the saccharin. The conversion from $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ to saccharin utilizes the molar masses of each compound.
$0.5032 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4} \times \frac{32.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~S}}{233.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}} \times \frac{183.19 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}}{32.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~S}}=0.3949 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$
$\frac{\text { Average mass }}{\text { Tablet }}=\frac{0.3949 \mathrm{~g}}{10 \text { tablets }}=\frac{3.949 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}}{\text { tablet }}=\frac{39.49 \mathrm{mg}}{\text { tablet }}$

Average mass $\%=\frac{0.3949 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}}{0.5894 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=67.00 \%$ saccharin by mass
107. Use the silver nitrate data to calculate the $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$present, then use the formula of douglasite ( $2 \mathrm{KCl} \cdot \mathrm{FeCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) to convert from $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to douglasite ( 1 mole of douglasite contains 4 moles of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$). The net ionic equation is $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
0.03720 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.1000 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{douglasite}_{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}} \times \frac{311.88 \mathrm{~g} \text { douglasite }}{\mathrm{mol}}}{} \begin{array}{r}
0.2900 \mathrm{~g} \text { douglasite }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Mass \% douglasite $=\frac{0.2900 \mathrm{~g}}{0.4550 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=63.74 \%$
108. a. $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \operatorname{AlCl}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ or $2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s})+6 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+$

Hydrogen is reduced (goes from the +1 oxidation state to the 0 oxidation state), and aluminum Al is oxidized $(0 \rightarrow+3)$.
b. Balancing S is most complicated since sulfur is in both products. Balance C and H first; then worry about $S$.
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CS}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})$
Sulfur is reduced ( $0 \rightarrow-2$ ), and carbon is oxidized $(-4 \rightarrow+4)$.
c. Balance C and H first; then balance O .
$\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
Oxygen is reduced $(0 \rightarrow-2)$, and carbon is oxidized $(-8 / 3 \rightarrow+4)$.
d. Although this reaction is mass balanced, it is not charge balanced. We need 2 moles of silver on each side to balance the charge.
$\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$
Silver is reduced $(+1 \rightarrow 0)$, and copper is oxidized ( $0 \rightarrow+2$ ).
109. $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}: 2(x)+7(-2)=-2, x=+6$
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}\right): 2(y)+6(+1)+(-2)=0, y=-2$
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}: z+2(-2)=0, \quad z=+4$
Each chromium atom goes from the oxidation state of +6 in $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}$ to +3 in $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$. Each chromium atom gains three electrons; chromium is the species reduced. Each carbon atom goes from the oxidation state of -2 in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ to +4 in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Each carbon atom loses six
electrons; carbon is the species oxidized. From the balanced equation, we have four chromium atoms and two carbon atoms. With each chromium atom gaining three electrons, a total of $4(3)=12$ electrons are transferred in the balanced reaction. This is confirmed from the 2 carbon atoms in the balanced equation, where each carbon atom loses six electrons [2(6) $=12$ electrons transferred].

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 110-119 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

120. Let $x=$ mass of NaCl , and let $y=$ mass $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. So $x+y=10.00$.

Two reactions occur: $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ and

$$
\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

Molar mass of $\mathrm{NaCl}=58.44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; molar mass of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}=174.27 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; molar mass of $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}=278.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; molar mass of $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}=303.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\frac{x}{58.44}=\text { moles } \mathrm{NaCl} ; \frac{y}{174.27}=\text { moles } \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}
$$

mass of $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}+$ mass $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}=$ total mass of solid
$\frac{x}{58.44}(1 / 2)(278.1)+\frac{y}{174.27}(303.3)=21.75$

We have two equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (2.379) x+(1.740) y=21.75 \text { and } x+y=10.00 . \text { Solving: } \\
& x=6.81 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl} ; \frac{6.81 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}}{10.00 \mathrm{~g} \text { mixture }} \times 100=68.1 \% \mathrm{NaCl}
\end{aligned}
$$

121. a. 5.0 ppb Hg in water $=\frac{5.0 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{Hg}}{\mathrm{g} \mathrm{soln}}=\frac{5.0 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}}{\mathrm{mL} \operatorname{soln}}$

$$
\frac{5.0 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg}}{200.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hg}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=2.5 \times 10^{-8} M \mathrm{Hg}
$$

b. $\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}}{119.37 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=8.4 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$
c. $\quad 10.0 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{As}=\frac{10.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}}{\mathrm{g} \operatorname{soln}}=\frac{10.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}}{\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{soln}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{10.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{As}}{74.92 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{As}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=1.33 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{As} \\
& \text { d. } \quad \frac{0.10 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{DDT}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{DDT}}{354.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{DDT}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=2.8 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M} \text { DDT }
\end{aligned}
$$

122. We want 100.0 mL of each standard. To make the 100. ppm standard:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{100 . \mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{Cu}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times 100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \text { solution }=1.00 \times 10^{4} \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \text { needed } \\
& 1.00 \times 10^{4} \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL} \text { stock }}{1000.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}}=10.0 \mathrm{~mL} \text { of stock solution }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, to make 100.0 mL of 100. ppm solution, transfer 10.0 mL of the 1000.0 ppm stock solution to a $100-\mathrm{mL}$ volumetric flask, and dilute to the mark.

Similarly:
75.0 ppm standard, dilute 7.50 mL of the 1000.0 ppm stock to 100.0 mL .
50.0 ppm standard, dilute 5.00 mL of the 1000.0 ppm stock to 100.0 mL .
25.0 ppm standard, dilute 2.50 mL of the 1000.0 ppm stock to 100.0 mL .
10.0 ppm standard, dilute 1.00 mL of the 1000.0 ppm stock to 100.0 mL .
123. a. $\quad 0.308 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl} \times \frac{35.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}}{143.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}}=0.0761 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl} ; \% \mathrm{Cl}=\frac{0.0761 \mathrm{~g}}{0.256 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=29.7 \% \mathrm{Cl}$

Cobalt(III) oxide, $\mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}: 2(58.93)+3(16.00)=165.86 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$0.145 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{117.86 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co}}{165.86 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}=0.103 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co} ; \% \mathrm{Co}=\frac{0.103 \mathrm{~g}}{0.416 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=24.8 \% \mathrm{Co}$
The remainder, $100.0-(29.7+24.8)=45.5 \%$, is water.
Assuming 100.0 g of compound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 45.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=5.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} ; \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{5.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=5.09 \% \mathrm{H} \\
& 45.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=40.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} ; \% \mathrm{O}=\frac{40.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=40.4 \% \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

The mass percent composition is $24.8 \% \mathrm{Co}, 29.7 \% \mathrm{Cl}, 5.09 \% \mathrm{H}$, and $40.4 \% \mathrm{O}$.
b. Out of 100.0 g of compound, there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 24.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co} \mathrm{H} \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{58.93 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co}}=0.421 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Co} ; 29.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{35.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}}=0.838 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl} \\
& 5.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=5.05 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; 40.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.53 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing all results by 0.421 , we get $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \bullet 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for the empirical formula, which is also the actual formula given the information in the problem. The $\cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ represent six waters of hydration in the chemical formula.
c. $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

$\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \quad$ This is an oxidation-reduction reaction. Thus we also need to include an oxidizing agent. The obvious choice is $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

$$
4 \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

124. a. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10-n} \mathrm{Cl}_{n}+n \mathrm{Ag}^{+} \rightarrow n \mathrm{AgCl} ;$ molar mass of $\mathrm{AgCl}=143.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Molar mass of PCB $=12(12.01)+(10-n)(1.008)+n(35.45)=154.20+(34.44) n$
Because $n \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}$ is produced for every 1 mol PCB reacted, $n(143.4) \mathrm{g}$ of AgCl will be produced for every [154.20 + 34.44 )n] g of PCB reacted.

$$
\frac{\text { Mass of } \mathrm{AgCl}}{\text { Mass of PCB }}=\frac{(143.4) n}{154.20+(34.44) n} \text { or } \operatorname{mass}_{\mathrm{AgCl}}[154.20+(34.44) n]=\operatorname{mass}_{\mathrm{PCB}}(143.4) n
$$

b. $0.4971[154.20+(34.44) n]=0.1947(143.4) n, 76.65+(17.12) n=(27.92) n$

$$
76.65=(10.80) n, n=7.097
$$

125. $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$

Let $x=$ mass of Ag and $y=$ mass of Zn after the reaction has stopped. Then $x+y=29.0 \mathrm{~g}$. Because the moles of Ag produced will equal two times the moles of Zn reacted:

$$
(19.0-y) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{Zn} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}}{65.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}}=x \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ag} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}}{107.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ag}}
$$

Simplifying:

$$
3.059 \times 10^{-2}(19.0-y)=\left(9.268 \times 10^{-3}\right) x
$$

Substituting $x=29.0-y$ into the equation gives:

$$
3.059 \times 10^{-2}(19.0-y)=9.268 \times 10^{-3}(29.0-y)
$$

Solving:

$$
0.581-\left(3.059 \times 10^{-2}\right) y=0.269-\left(9.268 \times 10^{-3}\right) y,\left(2.132 \times 10^{-2}\right) y=0.312, y=14.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}
$$

14.6 g Zn is present, and $29.0-14.6=14.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ag}$ is also present after the reaction is stopped.
126. $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$; let $x=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaCl}$ and $y=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{KCl}$.
$\left(22.90 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}\right) \times 0.1000 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=2.290 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}=2.290 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$total
$x+y=2.290 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, x=2.290 \times 10^{-3}-y$
Because the molar mass of NaCl is $58.44 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ and the molar mass of KCl is $74.55 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ :

$$
(58.44) x+(74.55) y=0.1586 \mathrm{~g}
$$

58.44 $\left(2.290 \times 10^{-3}-y\right)+(74.55) y=0.1586,(16.11) y=0.0248, y=1.54 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KCl}$

Mass $\% \mathrm{KCl}=\frac{1.54 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \times 74.55 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}{0.1586 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=72.4 \% \mathrm{KCl}$
$\% \mathrm{NaCl}=100.0-72.4=27.6 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$
127. $0.298 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4} \times \frac{96.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}}{233.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}=0.123 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-} ; \%$ sulfate $=\frac{0.123 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}}{0.205 \mathrm{~g}}=60.0 \%$

Assume we have 100.0 g of the mixture of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. There are:

$$
60.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{96.07 \mathrm{~g}}=0.625 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}
$$

There must be $2 \times 0.625=1.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ of $1+$ cations to balance the $2-$ charge of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$.
Let $x=$ number of moles of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $y=$ number of moles of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$; then $x+y=1.25$.
The total mass of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$must be 40.0 g in the assumed 100.0 g of mixture. Setting up an equation:

$$
x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}+\frac{39.10 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}+y \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}^{+} \times \frac{22.99 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=40.0 \mathrm{~g}
$$

So we have two equations with two unknowns: $x+y=1.25$ and (39.10) $x+(22.99) y=40.0$
$x=1.25-y$, so $39.10(1.25-y)+(22.99) y=40.0$
$48.9-(39.10) y+(22.99) y=40.0,-(16.11) y=-8.9$
$y=0.55 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $x=1.25-0.55=0.70 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}^{+}$

Therefore:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.70 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}^{+} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}^{+}}=0.35 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ; 0.35 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \times \frac{174.27 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \\
&=61 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

We assumed 100.0 g ; therefore, the mixture is $61 \% \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $39 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
128. a. Let $x=$ mass of Mg , so $10.00-x=$ mass of $\mathrm{Zn} . \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$.

From the given balanced equations, there is a $2: 1$ mole ratio between mol Mg and mol $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. The same is true for Zn . Because $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$present, one can set up an equation relating mol Cl${ }^{-}$present to mol $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$added.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}}{24.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Mg}}+(10.00-x) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{Zn} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}_{65.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}}^{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Zn}}}{} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol}} \\
& =0.156 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}}=0.468 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\
& \frac{2 x}{24.31}+\frac{2(10.00-x)}{65.38}=0.468,24.31 \times 65.38\left(\frac{2 x}{24.31}+\frac{20.00-2 x}{65.38}=0.468\right) \\
& (130.8) x+486.2-(48.62) x=743.8 \text { (carrying } 1 \text { extra sig. fig.) } \\
& (82.2) x=257.6, \quad x=3.13 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg} ; \quad \% \mathrm{Mg}=\frac{3.13 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}}{10.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{mixture}} \times 100=31.3 \% \mathrm{Mg}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\quad 0.156 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}}=0.468 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}=0.468 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}$ added

$$
M_{\mathrm{HCl}}=\frac{0.468 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0780 \mathrm{~L}}=6.00 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}
$$

129. $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$
$3.407 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PbCl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}}{278.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{PbCl}} 2 \mathrm{Cl}, 0.01225 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~Pb}{ }^{2+}$

$$
\frac{0.01225 \mathrm{~mol}}{2.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=6.13 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}=6.13 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}
$$

This is also the $\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ concentration in the 80.0 mL of evaporated solution.
Original concentration $=\frac{\text { moles } \mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{\text { original volume }}=\frac{0.0800 \mathrm{~L} \times 6.13 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{0.1000 \mathrm{~L}}=4.90 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$
130. $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}=87.7 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{0.500 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.0439 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{MolFe}=2.00 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g}}=0.0358 \mathrm{~mol}$
The two possible reactions are:
I. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$
II. $3 \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$

If reaction I occurs, Fe is limiting, and we can produce:

$$
0.0358 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}=2.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}
$$

If reaction II occurs, $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ is limiting, and we can produce:

$$
0.0439 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CuSO}_{4}} \times \frac{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}=2.79 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}
$$

Assuming $100 \%$ yield, reaction I occurs because it fits the data best.
131. $\quad 0.2750 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.300 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=0.0825 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$; let $y=$ volume ( L ) delivered by Y and $z$ = volume ( L ) delivered by Z .
$\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \quad y(0.150 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})+z(0.250 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})=0.0825 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$
$0.2750 \mathrm{~L}+y+z=0.655 \mathrm{~L}, \quad y+z=0.380, \quad z=0.380-y$
$y(0.150)+(0.380-y)(0.250)=0.0825$, solving: $y=0.125 \mathrm{~L}, z=0.255 \mathrm{~L}$
Flow rate for $\mathrm{Y}=\frac{125 \mathrm{~mL}}{60.65 \mathrm{~min}}=2.06 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; flow rate for $\mathrm{Z}=\frac{255 \mathrm{~mL}}{60.65 \mathrm{~min}}=4.20 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
132. a. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$
b. $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Al}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$
c. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{BaSe}(\mathrm{s})$
d. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$
133. $2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Ba}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$
$0.01420 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.141 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{0.0521 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}=0.0576 \mathrm{~L}$

$$
=57.6 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}
$$

134. $\quad 35.08 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{2.12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=3.72 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$

Molarity $=\frac{3.72 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{10.00 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=3.72 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
135. The pertinent equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{aligned}
$$

Amount of NaOH added $=0.0500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.213 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=1.07 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}$
Amount of NaOH neutralized by HCl :

$$
0.01321 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{H} \frac{0.103 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}=1.36 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}
$$

The difference, $9.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$, is the amount of NaOH neutralized by the sulfuric acid.
$9.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=4.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
Concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}=\frac{4.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{0.1000 \mathrm{~L}}=4.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
136. Let $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}=$ formula for the unknown diprotic acid.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}=0.1375 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.750 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=0.0516 \mathrm{~mol}$
Molar mass of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}=\frac{6.50 \mathrm{~g}}{0.0516 \mathrm{~mol}}=126 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
137. $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}=0.250 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{192.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}}=1.30 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{7}$

Let $\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{~A}$ represent citric acid, where $x$ is the number of acidic hydrogens. The balanced neutralization reaction is:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{aq})+x \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{A}^{x-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{OH}{ }^{-}$reacted $=0.0372 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.105 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{L}}=3.91 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
$x=\frac{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\text {mol citric acid }}=\frac{3.91 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{1.30 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}=3.01$
Therefore, the general acid formula for citric acid is $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~A}$, meaning that citric acid has three acidic hydrogens per citric acid molecule (citric acid is a triprotic acid).
138. a. Flow rate $=5.00 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}+3.50 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}=5.35 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$
b. $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{HCl}}=\frac{3.50 \times 10^{3}(65.0)}{5.35 \times 10^{4}}=4.25 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{HCl}$
c. $1 \mathrm{ppm}=1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ (assuming density $=1.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8.00 \mathrm{~h} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{1.80 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{4.25 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}}=2.20 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl} \\
& 2.20 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{36.46 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaO}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{56.08 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaO}}=1.69 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaO}
\end{aligned}
$$

d. The concentration of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ going into the second plant was:

$$
\frac{5.00 \times 10^{4}(10.2)}{5.35 \times 10^{4}}=9.53 \mathrm{ppm}
$$

The second plant used: $1.80 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s} \times(8.00 \times 60 \times 60) \mathrm{s}=5.18 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~L}$ of water. $1.69 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaO} \times \frac{40.08 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}{56.08 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaO}}=1.21 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ was added to this water.
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}$ (plant water) $=9.53+\frac{1.21 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{mg}}{5.18 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~L}}=9.53+2.34=11.87 \mathrm{ppm}$
Because $90.0 \%$ of this water is returned, $\left(1.80 \times 10^{4}\right) \times 0.900=1.62 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water with $11.87 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ is mixed with $(5.35-1.80) \times 10^{4}=3.55 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}$ of water containing $9.53 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}(\text { final })=\frac{\left(1.62 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}\right)(11.87 \mathrm{ppm})+\left(3.55 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}\right)(9.53 \mathrm{ppm})}{1.62 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}+3.55 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{s}}=10.3 \mathrm{ppm}
$$

139. Mol KHP used $=0.4016 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{204.22 \mathrm{~g}}=1.967 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KHP}$

Because 1 mole of NaOH reacts completely with 1 mole of KHP , the NaOH solution contains $1.967 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}$.

Molarity of $\mathrm{NaOH}=\frac{1.967 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{25.06 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{7.849 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$

Maximum molarity $=\frac{1.967 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{25.01 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{7.865 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
Minimum molarity $=\frac{1.967 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{25.11 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{7.834 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$
We can express this as $0.07849 \pm 0.00016 M$. An alternative way is to express the molarity as $0.0785 \pm 0.0002 \mathrm{M}$. This second way shows the actual number of significant figures in the molarity. The advantage of the first method is that it shows that we made all our individual measurements to four significant figures.

## Integrative Problems

140. a. Assume 100.00 g of material.
$42.23 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=3.516 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 55.66 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}}{19.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F}}=2.929 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}$
$2.11 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~B} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~B}}{10.81 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~B}}=0.195 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~B}$
Dividing by the smallest number: $\frac{3.516}{0.195}=18.0 ; \frac{2.929}{0.195}=15.0$
The empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~B}$.
b. $\quad 0.3470 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.01267 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=4.396 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BARF}$

Molar mass of BARF $=\frac{2.251 \mathrm{~g}}{4.396 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}=512.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The empirical formula mass of BARF is 511.99 g . Therefore, the molecular formula is the same as the empirical formula, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{15} \mathrm{~B}$.
141. $3\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.203 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.307 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}} \times \frac{452.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}} \\
=9.39 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}
\end{array}
$$



$$
=8.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}
$$

The $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}$ reagent produces the smaller amount of product, $\operatorname{so} \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{3}$ is limiting and the theoretical yield of $\mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ is 8.33 g .
$0.880=\frac{\text { actual yield }}{8.33 \mathrm{~g}}$, actual yield $=(8.33 \mathrm{~g})(0.880)=7.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ isolated
142. The oxidation states of the elements in the various ions are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{VO}^{2+}: \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{V}, x+(-2)=+2, x=+4 \\
& \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}: \mathrm{O},-2 ; \mathrm{Mn}, x+4(-2)=-1, x=+7 \\
& \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{+}: \mathrm{O},-2, \mathrm{H},+1 ; \mathrm{V}, x+4(-2)+4(+1)=+1, x=+5 \\
& \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}: \mathrm{Mn},+2
\end{aligned}
$$

Vanadium goes from the +4 oxidation state in $\mathrm{VO}^{2+}$ to the +5 oxidation state in $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{+}$. Manganese goes from the +7 oxidation state in $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$to the +2 oxidation state in $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$. We need 5 V atoms for every Mn atom in order to balance the electrons transferred. Balancing the electrons transferred, then balancing the rest by inspection gives:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+5 \mathrm{VO}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+22 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
0.02645 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.02250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{VO}^{2+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{VO}^{2+}} \times \frac{50.94 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~V}}=0.1516 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~V} \\
0.581=\frac{0.1516 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~V}}{\text { mass of ore sample }}, \text { mass of ore sample }=0.1516 / 0.581=0.261 \mathrm{~g}
\end{gathered}
$$

143. $\mathrm{X}^{2-}$ contains 36 electrons, so $\mathrm{X}^{2-}$ has 34 protons, which identifies X as selenium (Se). The name of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ would be hydroselenic acid following the conventions described in Chapter 2.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Se}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& 0.0356 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.175 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}} \times \frac{80.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}}=0.252 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Marathon Problems

144. $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}=0.2327 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{233.4 \mathrm{~g}}=9.970 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$

The moles of the sulfate salt depend on the formula of the salt. The general equation is:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{x}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{y}(\mathrm{aq})+y \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow y \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+x \mathrm{M}^{2+}
$$

Depending on the value of $y$, the mole ratio between the unknown sulfate salt and $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ varies. For example, if Pat thinks the formula is $\mathrm{TiSO}_{4}$, the equation becomes:

$$
\mathrm{TiSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Ti}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Because there is a $1: 1$ mole ratio between $\mathrm{mol}_{\mathrm{BaSO}}^{4}$ and $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{TiSO}_{4}$, you need $9.970 \times$ $10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathrm{TiSO}_{4}$. Because 0.1472 g of salt was used, the compound would have a molar mass of (assuming the $\mathrm{TiSO}_{4}$ formula):

$$
0.1472 \mathrm{~g} / 9.970 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}=147.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

From atomic masses in the periodic table, the molar mass of $\mathrm{TiSO}_{4}$ is $143.95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. From just these data, $\mathrm{TiSO}_{4}$ seems reasonable.

Chris thinks the salt is sodium sulfate, which would have the formula $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The equation is:

$$
\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

As with $\mathrm{TiSO}_{4}$, there is a $1: 1$ mole ratio between mol $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. For sodium sulfate to be a reasonable choice, it must have a molar mass of about $147.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. Using atomic masses, the molar mass of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ is $142.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. Thus $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ is also reasonable.

Randy, who chose gallium, deduces that gallium should have a 3+ charge (because it in in column 3A), and the formula of the sulfate would be $\mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$. The equation would be:

$$
\mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Ga}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

The calculated molar mass of $\mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ would be:

$$
\frac{0.1472 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}}{9.970 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}}=442.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Using atomic masses, the molar mass of $\mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ is $427.65 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. Thus $\mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ is also reasonable.

Looking in references, sodium sulfate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ exists as a white solid with orthorhombic crystals, whereas gallium sulfate $\left[\mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}\right]$ is a white powder. Titanium sulfate exists as a green powder, but its formula is $\mathrm{Ti}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$. Because this has the same formula as gallium sulfate, the calculated molar mass should be around $443 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. However, the molar mass of $\mathrm{Ti}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ is $383.97 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. It is unlikely, then, that the salt is titanium sulfate.
To distinguish between $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Ga}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$, one could dissolve the sulfate salt in water and add NaOH . $\mathrm{Ga}^{3+}$ would form a precipitate with the hydroxide, whereas $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ would not. References confirm that gallium hydroxide is insoluble in water.
145. a. Compound $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{x}$; in 100.00 g of compd.: $8.246 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{48.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=28.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}$

Thus the mass of nitrate in the compound $=8.246+28.25 \mathrm{~g}=36.50 \mathrm{~g}($ if $x=1)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } x=1: \text { mass of } \mathrm{M}=100.00-36.50 \mathrm{~g}=63.50 \mathrm{~g} \\
& \qquad \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{~N}=\frac{8.246 \mathrm{~g}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.5886 \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Molar mass of metal } M=\frac{63.50 \mathrm{~g}}{0.5886 \mathrm{~mol}}=107.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \text { (This is silver, Ag.) } \\
& \text { If } x=2: \text { mass of } M=100.00-2(36.50)=27.00 \mathrm{~g} \\
& \text { Mol } M=1 / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}=\frac{0.5886 \mathrm{~mol}}{2}=0.2943 \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \text { Molar mass of metal } M=\frac{27.00 \mathrm{~g}}{0.2943 \mathrm{~mol}}=91.74 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is close to Zr , but Zr does not form stable $2+$ ions in solution; it forms stable $4+$ ions. Because we cannot have $x=3$ or more nitrates (three nitrates would have a mass greater than 100.00 g ), compound A must be $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$.

Compound $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{x}$ is the formula. This salt is composed of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CrO}_{x}{ }^{2-}$ ions. Using oxidation states, $6+x(-2)=-2, x=4$. Compound B is $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$ (potassium chromate).
b. The reaction is:

$$
2 \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

The blood red precipitate is $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$.
c. $331.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$ formed; this is equal to the molar mass of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$, so 1 mole of precipitate formed. From the balanced reaction, we need $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ to react with $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$ to produce $1 \mathrm{~mol}(331.8 \mathrm{~g})$ of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$.
$2.000 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3} \times \frac{169.9 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=339.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$
$1.000 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4} \times \frac{194.2 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=194.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$
The problem says that we have equal masses of reactants. Our two choices are 339.8 g $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}+339.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$ or $194.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}+194.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$. If we assume the $194.2-\mathrm{g}$ quantities are correct, then when $194.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{~mol})$ reacts, $339.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(2.0$ mol ) must be present to react with all the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$. We only have 194.2 g AgNO present; this cannot be correct. Instead of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$ limiting, $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ must be limiting, and we have reacted $339.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ and $339.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$.

Solution A: $\frac{2.000 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}}{0.5000 \mathrm{~L}}=4.000 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ag}^{+} ; \frac{2.000 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}}{0.5000 \mathrm{~L}}=4.000 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$
Solution B: $339.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{194.2 \mathrm{~g}}=1.750 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}$

$$
\frac{2 \times 1.750 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}^{+}}{0.5000 \mathrm{~L}}=7.000 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{~K}^{+} ; \frac{1.750 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}}{0.5000 \mathrm{~L}}=3.500 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}
$$

d. After the reaction, moles of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and moles of $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$remain unchanged because they are spectator ions. Because $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is limiting, its concentration will be $0 M$ after precipitation is complete. The following summarizes the changes that occur as the precipitate forms.


## CHAPTER 5

## GASES

## Questions

20. Molecules in the condensed phases (liquids and solids) are very close together. Molecules in the gaseous phase are very far apart. A sample of gas is mostly empty space. Therefore, one would expect 1 mole of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ to occupy a huge volume as compared to 1 mole of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$.
21. The column of water would have to be 13.6 times taller than a column of mercury. When the pressure of the column of liquid standing on the surface of the liquid is equal to the pressure of air on the rest of the surface of the liquid, then the height of the column of liquid is a measure of atmospheric pressure. Because water is 13.6 times less dense than mercury, the column of water must be 13.6 times longer than that of mercury to match the force exerted by the columns of liquid standing on the surface.
22. A bag of potato chips is a constant-pressure container. The volume of the bag increases or decreases in order to keep the internal pressure equal to the external (atmospheric) pressure. The volume of the bag increased because the external pressure decreased. This seems reasonable as atmospheric pressure is lower at higher altitudes than at sea level. We ignored n (moles) as a possibility because the question said to concentrate on external conditions. It is possible that a chemical reaction occurred that would increase the number of gas molecules inside the bag. This would result in a larger volume for the bag of potato chips. The last factor to consider is temperature. During ski season, one would expect the temperature of Lake Tahoe to be colder than Los Angeles. A decrease in $T$ would result in a decrease in the volume of the potato chip bag. This is the exact opposite of what actually happened, so apparently the temperature effect is not dominant.
23. The P versus $1 / \mathrm{V}$ plot is incorrect. The plot should be linear with positive slope and a $y$ intercept of zero. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{k}$, so $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{k}(1 / \mathrm{V})$. This is in the form of the straight-line equation $y=$ $m x+b$. The $y$-axis is pressure, and the $x$-axis is $1 / \mathrm{V}$.
24. The decrease in temperature causes the balloon to contract ( V and T are directly related). Because weather balloons do expand, the effect of the decrease in pressure must be dominant.
25. $\mathrm{d}=($ molar mass)P/RT; density is directly proportional to the molar mass of a gas. Helium, with the smallest molar mass of all the noble gases, will have the smallest density.
26. Rigid container: As temperature is increased, the gas molecules move with a faster average velocity. This results in more frequent and more forceful collisions, resulting in an increase in pressure. Density = mass/volume; the moles of gas are constant, and the volume of the container is constant, so density in this case must be temperature-independent (density is constant).

Flexible container: The flexible container is a constant-pressure container. Therefore, the final internal pressure will be unaffected by an increase in temperature. The density of the gas, however, will be affected because the container volume is affected. As T increases, there is an immediate increase in P inside the container. The container expands its volume to reduce the internal pressure back to the external pressure. We have the same mass of gas in a larger volume. Gas density will decrease in the flexible container as T increases.
27. At STP $(T=273.2 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{P}=1.000 \mathrm{~atm})$, the volume of 1.000 mol of gas is:

$$
\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{1.000 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273.2 \mathrm{~K}}{1.000 \mathrm{~atm}}=22.42 \mathrm{~L}
$$

At STP, the volume of 1.000 mole of any gas is 22.42 L , assuming the gas behaves ideally. Therefore, the molar volume of $\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{g})$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ at STP both equal $22.42 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$. If the temperature increases to $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(298.2 \mathrm{~K})$, the volume of 1.000 mole of a gas will be larger than $22.42 \mathrm{~L} /$ mole because molar volume is directly related to the temperature at constant pressure. If 1.000 mole of a gas is collected over water at a total pressure of 1.000 atm , the partial pressure of the collected gas will be less than 1.000 atm because water vapor is present ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {gas }}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ ). At some partial pressure below 1.000 atm , the volume of 1.000 mole of a gas will be larger than $22.42 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$ because molar volume is inversely related to the pressure at constant temperature.
28. For the first diagram, there is a total volume of 3 X after the stopcock is open. The six total gas particles will be equally distributed (on average) over the entire volume (3X). So per X volume, there will be two gas particles. Your first drawing should have four gas particles in the 2 X volume flask and two gas particles in the X volume flask.

Applying Boyle's law, the pressure in the two flasks after the stopcock is opened is:

$$
P_{1} V_{1}=P_{2} V_{2}, P_{2}=\frac{P_{1} V_{1}}{V_{2}}=\frac{P_{1} \times 2 X}{3 X}=\frac{2}{3} P_{1}
$$

The final pressure in both flasks will be two-thirds that of the initial pressure in the left flask.
For the second diagram, there is a total volume of 2 X after the stopcock is opened. The gas particles will be equally distributed (on average) so that your drawing should have three gas particles in each flask. The final pressure is:

$$
P_{2}=\frac{P_{1} V_{1}}{V_{2}}=\frac{P_{1} \times X}{2 X}=\frac{P_{1}}{2}
$$

The final pressure in both flasks will be one-half that of the initial pressure in the left flask.
29. No; at any nonzero Kelvin temperature, there is a distribution of kinetic energies. Similarly, there is a distribution of velocities at any nonzero Kelvin temperature. The reason there is a distribution of kinetic energies at any specific temperature is because there is a distribution of velocities for any gas sample at any specific temperature.
30. a. Containers ii, iv, vi, and viii have volumes twice those of containers i, iii, v, and vii. Containers iii, iv, vii, and viii have twice the number of molecules present than containers i, ii, v, and vi. The container with the lowest pressure will be the one that has the fewest moles of gas present in the largest volume (containers ii and vi both have the lowest P). The smallest container with the most moles of gas present will have the highest pressure (containers iii and vii both have the highest P ). All the other containers ( i , iv, v , and viii) will have the same pressure between the two extremes. The order is $\mathrm{ii}=$ vi < i = iv = v= viii < iii = vii.
b. All have the same average kinetic energy because the temperature is the same in each container. Only temperature determines the average kinetic energy.
c. The least dense gas will be in container ii because it has the fewest of the lighter Ne atoms present in the largest volume. Container vii has the most dense gas because the largest number of the heavier Ar atoms are present in the smallest volume. To determine the ordering for the other containers, we will calculate the relative density of each. In the table below, $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ equals the mass of Ne in container $\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{V}_{1}$ equals the volume of container i , and $d_{1}$ equals the density of the gas in container i.

| Container | i | ii | iii | iv | v | vi | vii | viii |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mass, <br> volume | $\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~m}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $4 \mathrm{~m}_{1}, \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $4 \mathrm{~m}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { density } \\ \left(\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}\right.\end{array}\right)$ | $\frac{\mathrm{m}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{~m}_{1}}{2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}_{1}$ | $\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=2 \mathrm{~d}_{1}$ | $\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}}{2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}}=\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | $\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=2 \mathrm{~d}_{1}$ | $\frac{2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}}{2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}}=\mathrm{d}_{1}$ | $\frac{4 \mathrm{~m}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=4 \mathrm{~d}_{1}$ | $\frac{4 \mathrm{~m}_{1}}{2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}}=2 \mathrm{~d}_{1}$ |

From the table, the order of gas density is $\mathrm{ii}<\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{iv}=\mathrm{vi}<\mathrm{iii}=\mathrm{v}=$ viii $<$ vii.
d. $\quad \mu_{\mathrm{ms}}=(3 R T / M)^{1 / 2}$; the root mean square velocity only depends on the temperature and the molar mass. Because T is constant, the heavier argon molecules will have a slower root mean square velocity than the neon molecules. The order is $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{vi}=\mathrm{vii}=\mathrm{viii}<\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{ii}=\mathrm{iii}$ $=\mathrm{iv}$.
31. $2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$; as reactants are converted into products, we go from 2 moles of gaseous reactants to 4 moles of gaseous products ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}+3 \mathrm{~mol}_{2}$ ). Because the moles of gas doubles as reactants are converted into products, the volume of the gases will double (at constant P and T ).
$\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{P}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{V}}\right) \mathrm{n}=$ (constant) n ; pressure is directly related to n at constant T and V .
As the reaction occurs, the moles of gas will double, so the pressure will double. Because 1 mole of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is produced for every 2 moles of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reacted, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=(1 / 2) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{0}$. Owing to the 3 : 2 mole ratio in the balanced equation, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=(3 / 2) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{0}$.

Note: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=(3 / 2) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{0}+(1 / 2) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{\mathrm{o}}=2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{0}$. As we said earlier, the total pressure will double from the initial pressure of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ as reactants are completely converted into products.
32. Statements a, c, and e are true. For statement b, if temperature is constant, then the average kinetic energy will be constant no matter what the identity of the gas $\left(K E E_{\text {ave }}=3 / 2 R T\right)$. For statement d , as T increases, the average velocity of the gas particles increases. When gas particles are moving faster, the effect of interparticle interactions is minimized. For statement f , the KMT predicts that P is directly related to T at constant V and n . As T increases, the gas molecules move faster, on average, resulting in more frequent and more forceful collisions. This leads to an increase in P.
33. The values of $a$ are: $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \frac{0.244 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{~L}}{} \mathrm{~mol}^{2} ~ ; \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 3.59 ; \mathrm{N}_{2}, 1.39 ; \mathrm{CH}_{4}, 2.25$

Because $a$ is a measure of intermolecular attractions, the attractions are greatest for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
34. The van der Waals constant $b$ is a measure of the size of the molecule. Thus $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ should have the largest value of $b$ because it has the largest molar mass (size).
35. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}$; Figure 5.6 is illustrating how well Boyle’s law works. Boyle's law studies the pressure-volume relationship for a gas at constant moles of gas ( n ) and constant temperature ( T ). At constant n and T , the PV product for an ideal gas equals a constant value of nRT, no matter what the pressure of the gas. Figure 5.6 plots the PV product versus $P$ for three different gases. The ideal value for the PV product is shown with a dotted line at about a value of 22.41 L atm . From the plot, it looks like the plot for Ne is closest to the dotted line, so we can conclude that of the three gases in the plot, Ne behaves most ideally. The $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ plot is also fairly close to the dotted line, so $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ also behaves fairly ideally. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, on the other hand, has a plot farthest from the ideal plot; hence $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ behaves least ideally.
36. Dalton's law of partial pressures holds if the total pressure of a mixture of gases depends only on the total moles of gas particles present and not on the identity of the gases in the mixtures. If the total pressure of a mixture of gases were to depend on the identities of the gases, then each gas would behave differently at a certain set of conditions, and determining the pressure of a mixture of gases would be very difficult. All ideal gases are assumed volumeless and are assumed to exert no forces among the individual gas particles. Only in this scenario can Dalton's law of partial pressure hold true for an ideal gas. If gas particles did have a volume and/or did exert forces among themselves, then each gas, with its own identity and size, would behave differently. This is not observed for ideal gases.

## Exercises

## Pressure

37. a. $4.8 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{760 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}}{\mathrm{atm}}=3.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$
b. $\begin{aligned} 3.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg} \times & \frac{1 \text { torr }}{\mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg}} \\ & =3.6 \times 10^{3} \text { torr }\end{aligned}$
c. $\quad 4.8 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}{\mathrm{~atm}}=4.9 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$
d. $\quad 4.8 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{14.7 \mathrm{psi}}{\mathrm{atm}}=71 \mathrm{psi}$
38. a. $2200 \mathrm{psi} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{14.7 \mathrm{psi}}=150 \mathrm{~atm}$
b. $\quad 150 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}{\mathrm{~atm}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{MPa}}{1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~Pa}}=15 \mathrm{MPa}$
c. $\quad 150 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{760 \text { torr }}{\mathrm{atm}}=1.1 \times 10^{5}$ torr
39. $\quad 6.5 \mathrm{~cm} \times \frac{10 \mathrm{~mm}}{\mathrm{~cm}}=65 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}=65$ torr; 65 torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}=8.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm}$
$8.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}{\mathrm{~atm}}=8.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~Pa}$
40. 20.0 in $\mathrm{Hg} \times \frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\text { in }} \times \frac{10 \mathrm{~mm}}{\mathrm{~cm}}=508 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}=508 \mathrm{torr} ; 508 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}=0.668 \mathrm{~atm}$
41. If the levels of mercury in each arm of the manometer are equal, then the pressure in the flask is equal to atmospheric pressure. When they are unequal, the difference in height in millimeters will be equal to the difference in pressure in millimeters of mercury between the flask and the atmosphere. Which level is higher will tell us whether the pressure in the flask is less than or greater than atmospheric.
a. $\mathrm{P}_{\text {flask }}<\mathrm{P}_{\text {atm }} ; \mathrm{P}_{\text {flask }}=760 .-118=642$ torr
$642 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}=0.845 \mathrm{~atm}$
$0.845 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}{\mathrm{~atm}}=8.56 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~Pa}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {flask }}>\mathrm{P}_{\text {atm }} ; \mathrm{P}_{\text {flask }}=760$. torr +215 torr $=975$ torr

975 torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}=1.28 \mathrm{~atm}$
$1.28 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}{\mathrm{~atm}}=1.30 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}$
c. $\mathrm{P}_{\text {flask }}=635-118=517$ torr; $\mathrm{P}_{\text {flask }}=635+215=850$. torr
42. a. The pressure is proportional to the mass of the fluid. The mass is proportional to the volume of the column of fluid (or to the height of the column assuming the area of the column of fluid is constant).
$\mathrm{d}=$ density $=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }} ; \begin{aligned} & \text { in this case, the volume of silicon oil will be the same as the } \\ & \text { volume of mercury in Exercise } 41 .\end{aligned}$

$$
\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~d}} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Hg}}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {oil }} ; \frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Hg}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{Hg}}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\text {oil }}}{\mathrm{d}_{\text {oil }}}, \mathrm{m}_{\text {oil }}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Hg}} \mathrm{~d}_{\text {oil }}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Hg}}}
$$

Because P is proportional to the mass of liquid:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {oil }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Hg}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}_{\text {oil }}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{Hg}}}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Hg}}\left(\frac{1.30}{13.6}\right)=(0.0956) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Hg}}
$$

This conversion applies only to the column of silicon oil.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {flask }}=760 . \text { torr }-(0.0956 \times 118) \text { torr }=760 .-11.3=749 \text { torr } \\
& 749 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}=0.986 \mathrm{~atm} ; 0.986 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}{\mathrm{~atm}}=9.99 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~Pa} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {flask }}=760 . \text { torr }+(0.0956 \times 215) \text { torr }=760 .+20.6=781 \mathrm{torr} \\
& 781 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}=1.03 \mathrm{~atm} ; 1.03 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}{\mathrm{~atm}}=1.04 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. If we are measuring the same pressure, the height of the silicon oil column would be 13.6 $\div 1.30=10.5$ times the height of a mercury column. The advantage of using a less dense fluid than mercury is in measuring small pressures. The height difference measured will be larger for the less dense fluid. Thus the measurement will be more precise.

## Gas Laws

43. At constant n and $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}=$ constant, so $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$; at sea level, $\mathrm{P}=1.00$ atm $=760 . \mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg}$.
$\mathrm{V}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}=\frac{760 . \mathrm{mm} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~L}}{500 . \mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg}}=3.0 \mathrm{~L}$
The balloon will burst at this pressure because the volume must expand beyond the 2.5 L limit of the balloon.

Note: To solve this problem, we did not have to convert the pressure units into atm; the units of mm Hg canceled each other. In general, only convert units if you have to. Whenever the gas constant R is not used to solve a problem, pressure and volume units must only be consistent and not necessarily in units of atm and L . The exception is temperature, which must always be converted to the Kelvin scale.
44. The pressure exerted on the balloon is constant, and the moles of gas present is constant. From Charles's law, $\mathrm{V}_{1} / \mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{V}_{2} / \mathrm{T}_{2}$ at constant P and n .
$\mathrm{V}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{700 . \mathrm{mL} \times 100 . \mathrm{K}}{(273.2+20.0) \mathrm{K}}=239 \mathrm{~mL}$
As expected, as temperature decreases, the volume decreases.
45. At constant T and P , Avogadro's law holds $(\mathrm{V} \propto \mathrm{n})$.
$\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}, \mathrm{n}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=\frac{20 . \mathrm{L} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~mol}}{11.2 \mathrm{~L}}=0.89 \mathrm{~mol}$
As expected, as V increases, n increases.
46. As $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is converted completely into $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, the moles of gas present will decrease by one-half (from the $2: 1$ mole ratio in the balanced equation). Using Avogadro's law:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=\mathrm{V}_{1} \times \frac{\mathrm{n}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}=25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1}{2}=12.5 \mathrm{~mL}
$$

$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ will occupy one-half the original volume of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$. This is expected because the moles of gas present decrease by one-half when $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is converted into $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.
47.
a. $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \quad \mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{2.00 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(155+273) \mathrm{K}}{5.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=14.0 \mathrm{~L}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.300 \mathrm{~atm} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 155 \mathrm{~K}}=4.72 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}$
c. $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{T}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{nR}}=\frac{4.47 \mathrm{~atm} \times 25.0 \mathrm{~L}}{2.01 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}}=678 \mathrm{~K}=405^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
d. $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \quad \mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{10.5 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(273+75) \mathrm{K}}{2.25 \mathrm{~L}}=133 \mathrm{~atm}$
48.
a. $\quad \mathrm{P}=7.74 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~Pa} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}=0.0764 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{T}=25+273=298 \mathrm{~K}$

$$
\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.0764 \mathrm{~atm} \times 0.0122 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=3.81 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}
$$

b. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{0.421 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 223 \mathrm{~K}}{0.0430 \mathrm{~L}}=179 \mathrm{~atm}$
c. $\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{4.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(331+273) \mathrm{K}}{455 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}}=3.6 \mathrm{~L}$
d. $\quad \mathrm{T}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{nR}}=\frac{\left(745 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}}\right) \times 11.2 \mathrm{~L}}{0.401 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}}=334 \mathrm{~K}=61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
49. $\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{2.70 \mathrm{~atm} \times 200.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(273+24) \mathrm{K}}=22.2 \mathrm{~mol}$

For He: $22.2 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{4.003 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{He}}{\mathrm{mol}}=88.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{He}$
For $\mathrm{H}_{2}: 22.2 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=44.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
50. a. $\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$ air
b. $\quad \mathrm{n}=\frac{1.97 \mathrm{~atm} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~L}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.48 \mathrm{~mol}$ air
c. $\quad \mathrm{n}=\frac{0.296 \mathrm{~atm} \times 6.0 \mathrm{~L}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol} \times 200 . \mathrm{K}}=0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ air

Air is indeed "thinner" at high elevations.
51. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{14.5 \mathrm{~atm} \times\left(75.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}\right)}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 295 \mathrm{~K}}=0.0449 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
52. $\mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\left(0.60 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g}}\right) \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(273+22) \mathrm{K}}{5.0 \mathrm{~L}}=0.091 \mathrm{~atm}$
53.
a. $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT} ; 175 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ar} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar}}{39.95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ar}}=4.38 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar}$

$$
\mathrm{T}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{nR}}=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{~atm} \times 2.50 \mathrm{~L}}{4.38 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}}=69.6 \mathrm{~K}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{4.38 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 255 \mathrm{~K}}{2.50 \mathrm{~L}}=32.3 \mathrm{~atm}$
54. $0.050 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1.149 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g}}=1.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{1.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 310 . \mathrm{K}}{1.0 \mathrm{~atm}}=4.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}=46 \mathrm{~mL}$
55. For a gas at two conditions: $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}$

Because $V$ is constant: $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}, \quad \mathrm{n}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}$
$\mathrm{n}_{2}=\frac{1.50 \mathrm{~mol} \times 800 . \operatorname{torr} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}{400 . \text { torr } \times 323 \mathrm{~K}}=2.77 \mathrm{~mol}$

Moles of gas added $=n_{2}-n_{1}=2.77-1.50=1.27 \mathrm{~mol}$

For two-condition problems, units for P and V just need to be the same units for both conditions, not necessarily atm and L . The unit conversions from other P or V units would cancel when applied to both conditions. However, temperature always must be converted to the Kelvin scale. The temperature conversions between other units and Kelvin will not cancel each other.
56. $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{n}$ is constant. $\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{nR}=$ constant, $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}$
$\mathrm{V}_{2}=(1.040) \mathrm{V}_{1}, \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}=\frac{1.000}{1.040}$
$\mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=75 \mathrm{psi} \times \frac{1.000}{1.040} \times \frac{(273+58) \mathrm{K}}{(273+19) \mathrm{K}}=82 \mathrm{psi}$
57. At two conditions: $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}$; all gases are assumed to follow the ideal gas law. The identity of the gas in container $B$ is unimportant as long as we know the moles of gas present.

$$
\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{A}}}=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \times 560 . \mathrm{K}}{2.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \times 280 . \mathrm{K}}=2.0
$$

The pressure of the gas in container B is twice the pressure of the gas in container A .
58. The pressure is doubled so $\mathrm{P}_{2}=2 \mathrm{P}_{1}$ and the absolute temperature is halved so $\mathrm{T}_{2}=1 / 2 \mathrm{~T}_{1}$ (or $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ $=2 T_{2}$ ). The moles of gas did not change, so $n_{2}=n_{1}$. The volume effect of these changes is:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}, \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \times \mathrm{T}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{P}_{1} \times 2 \mathrm{~T}_{2}}=1 / 4
$$

The volume of the gas decreases by a factor of four when the pressure is doubled and the absolute temperature is halved.
59. a. At constant n and $\mathrm{V}, \frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}, \mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=11.0 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{318 \mathrm{~K}}{273 \mathrm{~K}}=12.8 \mathrm{~atm}$
b. $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}=273 \mathrm{~K} \times \frac{6.50 \mathrm{~atm}}{11.0 \mathrm{~atm}}=161 \mathrm{~K}$
c. $\quad \mathrm{T}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}=273 \mathrm{~K} \times \frac{25.0 \mathrm{~atm}}{11.0 \mathrm{~atm}}=620 . \mathrm{K}$
60. Because the container is flexible, P is assumed constant. The moles of gas present are also constant.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{P_{1} V_{1}}{n_{1} T_{1}}=\frac{P_{2} V_{2}}{n_{2} T_{2}}, \frac{V_{1}}{T_{1}}=\frac{V_{2}}{T_{2}} ; V_{\text {sphere }}=4 / 3 \pi r^{3} \\
& V_{2}=\frac{V_{1} T_{2}}{T_{1}}, 4 / 3 \pi\left(r_{2}\right)^{3}=\frac{4 / 3 \pi(1.00 \mathrm{~cm})^{3} \times 361 \mathrm{~K}}{280 . \mathrm{K}} \\
& r_{2}^{3}=\frac{361 \mathrm{~K}}{280 . \mathrm{K}}=1.29, r_{2}=(1.29)^{1 / 3}=1.09 \mathrm{~cm}=\text { radius of sphere after heating }
\end{aligned}
$$

61. $\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{nR}=$ constant, $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}$
$\mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=710$. torr $\times \frac{5.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mL}}{25 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{(273+820 .) \mathrm{K}}{(273+30 .) \mathrm{K}}=5.1 \times 10^{4}$ torr
62. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \frac{\mathrm{nT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{R}}=$ constant, $\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}$; moles $\times$ molar mass $=$ mass
$\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}(\text { molar mass }) \mathrm{T}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2}(\text { molar mass }) \mathrm{T}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}, \frac{\text { mass }_{1} \times \mathrm{T}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}=\frac{\text { mass }_{2} \times \mathrm{T}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}$

Mass $_{2}=\frac{\text { mass }_{1} \times \mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{1}}=\frac{1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \times 291 \mathrm{~K} \times 650 . \mathrm{psi}}{299 \mathrm{~K} \times 2050 . \mathrm{psi}}=309 \mathrm{~g}$
63. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{n}$ is constant. $\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{nR}=$ constant, $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}, \mathrm{~V}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}$
$\mathrm{V}_{2}=1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{760 . \text { torr }}{220 . \text { torr }} \times \frac{(273-31) \mathrm{K}}{(273+23) \mathrm{K}}=2.82 \mathrm{~L} ; \Delta \mathrm{V}=2.82-1.00=1.82 \mathrm{~L}$
64. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{P}$ is constant. $\frac{\mathrm{nT}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{R}}=$ constant, $\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}$
$\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}=\frac{294 \mathrm{~K}}{335 \mathrm{~K}} \times \frac{4.20 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}}{4.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}}=0.921$

## Gas Density, Molar Mass, and Reaction Stoichiometry

65. STP: $\mathrm{T}=273 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{P}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}$; at STP , the molar volume of a gas is 22.42 L .
$2.00 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{22.42 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{26.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Al}}=3.21 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}$
Note: We could also solve this problem using $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}$, where $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\mathrm{PV} / \mathrm{RT}$. You don't have to memorize $22.42 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$ at STP.
66. $\quad \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; 4.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=9.09 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$

At STP, the molar volume of a gas is $22.42 \mathrm{~L} .9 .09 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{22.42 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=2.04 \mathrm{~L}$
67. $2 \mathrm{NaN}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 70.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}=3.12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ needed to fill air bag.
Mass $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ reacted $=3.12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaN}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \times \frac{65.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaN}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaN}_{3}}=135 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaN}_{3}$
68. Because the solution is $50.0 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ by mass, the mass of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ decomposed is $125 / 2=$ 62.5 g .
$62.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{34.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.919 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}{ }_{2}$

$$
\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{0.919 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 300 . \mathrm{K}}{746 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}}=23.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

69. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~atm} \times\left[4800 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \times\left(\frac{100 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~m}}\right)^{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}\right]}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}=2.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol}$
$2.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is in the balloon. This is $80 . \%$ of the total amount of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ that had to be generated:
$0.80\left(\right.$ total $\left.\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)=2.1 \times 10^{5}$, total $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}=2.6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol}$
$2.6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Fe}}=1.5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}$
$2.6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{98.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}} \times \frac{100 \text { g reagent }}{98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}$
$=2.6 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~g}$ of $98 \%$ sulfuric acid
70. $\quad 5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~S} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~S}}{32.07 \mathrm{~g}}=0.156 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~S}$
0.156 mol S will react with $0.156 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to produce $0.156 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$. More $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is required to convert $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$.
$0.156 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}=0.0780 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Total mol $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacted $=0.156+0.0780=0.234 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$

$$
\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{0.234 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 623 \mathrm{~K}}{5.25 \mathrm{~atm}}=2.28 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

71. $\mathrm{Kr}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{KrCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Kr}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.500 \mathrm{~atm} \times 15.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 623 \mathrm{~K}}=0.147 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Kr}$

We could do the same calculation for $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. However, the only variable that changed is the pressure. Because the partial pressure of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is triple that of Kr , moles of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}=3(0.147)=$ $0.441 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The balanced equation requires 2 moles of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to react with every mole of Kr . However, we actually have three times as many moles of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as we have of Kr . $\mathrm{So}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is in excess and Kr is the limiting reagent.
$0.147 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Kr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KrCl}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Kr}} \times \frac{225.60 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KrCl}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Kr}}=33.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KrCl}_{4}$
72. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{V}$ and T are constant. $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}, \frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}$

Let's calculate the partial pressure of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ that can be produced from each of the starting materials assuming each reactant is limiting. The reactant that produces the smallest amount of product will run out first and is the limiting reagent.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}=0.500 \mathrm{MPa} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{MPa} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{2 \mathrm{MPa} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=0.500 \mathrm{MPa} \text { if } \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \text { is limiting } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}=0.800 \mathrm{MPa} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{MPa} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{2 \mathrm{MPa} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=0.800 \mathrm{MPa} \text { if } \mathrm{NH}_{3} \text { is limiting } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}=1.500 \mathrm{MPa} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{MPa} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{3 \mathrm{MPa} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=1.000 \mathrm{MPa} \text { if } \mathrm{O}_{2} \text { is limiting }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ is limiting. Although more product could be produced from $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, there is only enough $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ to produce 0.500 MPa of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$. The partial pressure of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in atmospheres after the reaction is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.500 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~Pa} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{1.013 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~Pa}}=4.94 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{n}= \frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{4.94 \mathrm{~atm} \times 150 . \mathrm{L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=30.3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
30.3 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{53.06 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=1.61 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \text { can be produced. }
$$

73. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
$50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.850 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{32.04 \mathrm{~g}}=1.33 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})$ available

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{2.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 22.8 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 300 . \mathrm{K}}=1.85 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{2} \text { available }
$$

Assuming $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ is limiting:

$$
1.33 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}=2.66 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Assuming $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
1.85 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=2.47 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Because the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reactant produces the smaller quantity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting and 2.47 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be produced.
74. For ammonia (in 1 minute):

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{90 . \mathrm{atm} \times 500 . \mathrm{L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 496 \mathrm{~K}}=1.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}
$$

$\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ flows into the reactor at a rate of $1.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{min}$.
For $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ (in 1 minute):

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{45 \mathrm{~atm} \times 600 . \mathrm{L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 496 \mathrm{~K}}=6.6 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}
$$

$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ flows into the reactor at $6.6 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{min}$.
If $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is limiting:

$$
\frac{1.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\min } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { urea }}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}} \times \frac{60.06 \mathrm{~g} \text { urea }}{\text { mol urea }}=3.3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \text { urea } / \mathrm{min}
$$

If $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
\frac{660 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{urea}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{60.06 \mathrm{~g} \text { urea }}{\mathrm{mol} \text { urea }}=4.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \text { urea } / \mathrm{min}
$$

Because the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reactant produces the smaller quantity of product, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is limiting and $3.3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}$ urea $/ \mathrm{min}$ can be formed.
75. a. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$; balancing H first, then O , gives:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCN}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { or } 2 \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \\
2 \mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
\end{array}
$$

b. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{T}$ and P constant; $\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}, \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}$

The volumes are all measured at constant T and P , so the volumes of gas present are directly proportional to the moles of gas present (Avogadro's law). Because Avogadro's law applies, the balanced reaction gives mole relationships as well as volume relationships.

If $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is limiting: $\quad 20.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HCN}}{2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}=20.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HCN}^{2}$
If $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is limiting: $\quad 20.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HCN}}{2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=20.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HCN}$
If $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting: $20.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HCN}}{3 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=13.3 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{HCN}$
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ produces the smallest quantity of product, so $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting and 13.3 L HCN can be produced.
76. From the balanced equation, ethene reacts with hydrogen in a $1: 1$ mole ratio. Because T and P are constant, a greater volume of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and thus more moles of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are flowing into the reaction container than moles of ethene. So ethene is the limiting reagent.

In 1 minute:

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{25.0 \mathrm{~atm} \times 1000 . \mathrm{L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 573 \mathrm{~K}}=532 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \text { reacted }
$$

Theoretical yield $=\frac{532 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}{\min } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}} \times \frac{30.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}}$ $=16.0 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} / \mathrm{min}$

Percent yield $=\frac{15.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{min}}{16.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{min}} \times 100=93.8 \%$
77. Molar mass $=\frac{d R T}{P}$, where $d=$ density of gas in units of $g / L$.

Molar mass $=\frac{3.164 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273.2 \mathrm{~K}}{1.000 \mathrm{~atm}}=70.98 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

The gas is diatomic, so the average atomic mass $=70.93 / 2=35.47$ u. From the periodic table, this is chlorine, and the identity of the gas is $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
78. $\mathrm{P} \times($ molar mass $)=\mathrm{dRT}, \mathrm{d}=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}, \mathrm{P} \times($ molar mass $)=\frac{\text { mass }}{\mathrm{V}} \times \mathrm{RT}$

Molar mass $=\frac{\text { mass } \times \text { RT }}{P V}=\frac{0.800 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 373 \mathrm{~K}}{\left(750 . \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}\right) \times 0.256 \mathrm{~L}}=96.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Mass of $\mathrm{CHCl} \approx 12.0+1.0+35.5=48.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \frac{96.9}{48.5}=2.00 ;$ molecular formula is $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
79. $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{UF}_{6}}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \times(\text { molar mass })}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\left(745 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}\right) \times 352.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 333 \mathrm{~K}}=12.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$
80. $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{P} \times$ (molar mass)/RT; we need to determine the average molar mass of air. We get this by using the mole fraction information to determine the weighted value for the molar mass. If we have 1.000 mol of air:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { average molar mass }=0.78 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{28.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}+0.21 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \\
& \\
& \quad+0.010 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar} \times \frac{39.95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ar}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar}}=28.98=29 \mathrm{~g} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{d}_{\text {air }}= \\
\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 29 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}=1.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Partial Pressure

81. The container has 5 He atoms, 3 Ne atoms, and 2 Ar atoms for a total of 10 atoms. The mole fractions of the various gases will be equal to the molecule fractions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\mathrm{He}}=\frac{5 \mathrm{He} \text { atoms }}{10 \text { total atoms }}=0.50 ; \chi_{\mathrm{Ne}}=\frac{3 \mathrm{Ne} \text { atoms }}{10 \text { total atoms }}=0.30 \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{Ar}}=1.00-0.50-0.30=0.20 \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}=\chi_{\mathrm{He}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=0.50(1.00 \mathrm{~atm})=0.50 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ne}}=\chi_{\mathrm{Ne}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\text {Total }}=0.30(1.00 \mathrm{~atm})=0.30 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}-0.50 \mathrm{~atm}-0.30 \mathrm{~atm}=0.20 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

82. a. There are 6 He atoms and 4 Ne atoms, and each flask has the same volume. The He flask has 1.5 times as many atoms of gas present as the Ne flask, so the pressure in the He flask will be 1.5 times greater (assuming a constant temperature).
b. Because the flask volumes are the same, your drawing should have the various atoms equally distributed between the two flasks. So each flask should have 3 He atoms and 2 Ne atoms.
c. After the stopcock is opened, each flask will have 5 total atoms and the pressures will be equal. If six atoms of He gave an initial pressure of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He} \text {, initial, }}$, then 5 total atoms will have a pressure of $5 / 6 \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He} \text {, initial }}$.

Using similar reasoning, 4 atoms of Ne gave an initial pressure of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ne} \text {, initial, so }} 5$ total atoms will have a pressure of $5 / 4 \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ne} \text {, initial. }}$. Summarizing:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {final }}=\frac{5}{6} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}, \text { initial }}=\frac{5}{4} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ne}, \text { initial }}
$$

d. For the partial pressures, treat each gas separately. For helium, when the stopcock is opened, the six atoms of gas are now distributed over a larger volume. To solve for the final partial pressures, use Boyle's law for each gas.

For He: $P_{2}=\frac{P_{1} V_{1}}{V_{2}}=P_{H e, \text { initial }} \times \frac{X}{2 X}=\frac{P_{H e, \text { initial }}}{2}$
The partial pressure of helium is exactly halved. The same result occurs with neon so that when the volume is doubled, the partial pressure is halved. Summarizing:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}, \text { final }}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}, \text { initial }}}{2} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ne}, \text { final }}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ne}, \text { initial }}}{2}
$$

83. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\left(7.8 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g}}\right) \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 300 . \mathrm{K}}{4.0 \mathrm{~L}}=1.1 \mathrm{~atm}$

With air present, the partial pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ will still be 1.1 atm . The total pressure will be the sum of the partial pressures, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\text {air }}$.

$$
P_{\text {total }}=1.1 \mathrm{~atm}+\left(740 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}\right)=1.1+0.97=2.1 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

84. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=0.496 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{He}}=1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{He} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{He}}{4.003 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{He}}$

$$
=0.250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{He}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{0.496 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(273+27) \mathrm{K}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}=12.2 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{He}} \times \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=6.15 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}=12.2 \mathrm{~atm}+6.15 \mathrm{~atm}=18.4 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

85. Treat each gas separately and determine how the partial pressure of each gas changes when the container volume increases. Once the partial pressures of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ are determined, the total pressure will be the sum of these two partial pressures. At constant n and T , the relationship $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ holds for each gas.

For $\mathrm{H}_{2}: \mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}=475$ torr $\times \frac{2.00 \mathrm{~L}}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}}=317$ torr
For $\mathrm{N}_{2}: \mathrm{P}_{2}=0.200 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0667 \mathrm{~atm} ; 0.0667 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{760 \mathrm{torr}}{\mathrm{atm}}=50.7 \mathrm{torr}$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=317+50.7=368 \text { torr }
$$

86. For $\mathrm{H}_{2}: \mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}=360$. torr $\times \frac{2.00 \mathrm{~L}}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}}=240$. torr
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}, \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=320$. torr -240 . torr $=80$. torr
For $\mathrm{N}_{2}: \mathrm{P}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=80$. torr $\times \frac{3.00 \mathrm{~L}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}=240$ torr
87. $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$; the total volume is $1.00 \mathrm{~L}+1.00 \mathrm{~L}+2.00 \mathrm{~L}=4.00 \mathrm{~L}$.

For He: $\mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}=200$. torr $\times \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}{4.00 \mathrm{~L}}=50.0$ torr He
For Ne: $\mathrm{P}_{2}=0.400 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}{4.00 \mathrm{~L}}=0.100 \mathrm{~atm} ; 0.100 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{760 \mathrm{torr}}{\mathrm{atm}}=76.0$ torr Ne
For Ar: $\mathrm{P}_{2}=24.0 \mathrm{kPa} \times \frac{2.00 \mathrm{~L}}{4.00 \mathrm{~L}}=12.0 \mathrm{kPa} ; 12.0 \mathrm{kPa} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{101.3 \mathrm{kPa}} \times \frac{760 \mathrm{torr}}{\mathrm{atm}}$

$$
=90.0 \text { torr } \mathrm{Ar}
$$

$P_{\text {total }}=50.0+76.0+90.0=216.0$ torr
88. We can use the ideal gas law to calculate the partial pressure of each gas or to calculate the total pressure. There will be less math if we calculate the total pressure from the ideal gas law.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=1.5 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=4.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=5.0 \times 10^{21} \text { molecules } \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules } \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=8.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \\
& \mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=5.0 \times 10^{-2}+4.7 \times 10^{-3}+8.3 \times 10^{-3}=6.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \text { total }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {total }} \times \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{6.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}=1.4 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\chi_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}, \chi_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{6.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 1.4 \mathrm{~atm}=1.1 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\frac{4.7 \times 10^{-3}}{6.3 \times 10^{-2}} \times 1.4 \mathrm{~atm}=0.10 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\frac{8.3 \times 10^{-3}}{6.3 \times 10^{-2}} \times 1.4 \mathrm{~atm}=0.18 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

89. a. Mole fraction $\mathrm{CH}_{4}=\chi_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{0.175 \mathrm{~atm}}{0.175 \mathrm{~atm}+0.250 \mathrm{~atm}}=0.412$

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=1.000-0.412=0.588
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }} \times \mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.425 \mathrm{~atm} \times 10.5 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 338 \mathrm{~K}}=0.161 \mathrm{~mol}$
c. $\quad \chi_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}=\chi_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}} \times \mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}=0.412 \times 0.161 \mathrm{~mol}=6.63 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6.63 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \frac{16.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}=1.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.588 \times 0.161 \mathrm{~mol}=9.47 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; 9.47 \times \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=3.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

90. $52.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=1.64 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; 65.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=1.48 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{1.64 \mathrm{~mol}}{(1.64+1.48) \mathrm{mol}}=0.526 \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\chi_{\mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=0.526 \times 9.21 \mathrm{~atm}=4.84 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=9.21-4.84=4.37 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

91. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}, 1.032 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}+32$ torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}, \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=1.032-0.042=0.990 \mathrm{~atm}$

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.990 \mathrm{~atm} \times 0.240 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 303 \mathrm{~K}}=9.56 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}
$$

$$
9.56 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{65.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}}=0.625 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}
$$

92. To calculate the volume of gas, we can use $P_{\text {total }}$ and $n_{\text {total }}\left(V=n_{\text {total }} R T / P_{\text {total }}\right)$, or we can use $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{He}}\left(\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{He}} R T / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}\right)$. Because $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ is unknown, we will use $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{He}}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}=760$. torr, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}+23.8$ torr $=760$. torr, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}=736$ torr
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{He}}=0.586 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{4.003 \mathrm{~g}}=0.146 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{He}$
$\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{He}} \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{He}}}=\frac{0.146 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}{736 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}}=3.69 \mathrm{~L}$
93. $\quad 2 \mathrm{NaClO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=734$ torr -19.8 torr $=714$ torr
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\left(714 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \text { atm }}{760 \text { torr }}\right) \times 0.0572 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(273+22) \mathrm{K}}=2.22 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Mass $\mathrm{NaClO}_{3}$ decomposed $=2.22 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaClO}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{106.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaClO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaClO}_{3}}$ $=0.158 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaClO}_{3}$

Mass $\% \mathrm{NaClO}_{3}=\frac{0.158 \mathrm{~g}}{0.8765 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=18.0 \%$
94. $10.10 \mathrm{~atm}-7.62 \mathrm{~atm}=2.48 \mathrm{~atm}$ is the pressure of the amount of $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ reacted.
$\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{V}$ and T are constant. $\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{n}}=$ constant, $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}$ or $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}$
$\frac{\text { Moles } \mathrm{F}_{2} \text { reacted }}{\text { Moles Xe reacted }}=\frac{2.48 \mathrm{~atm}}{1.24 \mathrm{~atm}}=2.00 ;$ so $\mathrm{Xe}+2 \mathrm{~F}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{XeF}_{4}$
95. Because P and T are constant, V and n are directly proportional. The balanced equation requires 2 L of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to react with 1 L of $\mathrm{CO}(2: 1$ volume ratio due to $2: 1$ mole ratio in the balanced equation). If in 1 minute all 16.0 L of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ react, only 8.0 L of CO are required to react with it. Because we have 25.0 L of CO present in that 1 minute, CO is in excess and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is the limiting reactant. The volume of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ produced at STP will be one-half the volume of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ reacted due to the $1: 2$ mole ratio in the balanced equation. In 1 minute, $16.0 \mathrm{~L} / 2=$ $8.00 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ is produced (theoretical yield).
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 8.00 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}=0.357 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ in 1 minute
$0.357 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{32.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}=11.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ (theoretical yield per minute)
Percent yield $=\frac{\text { actual yield }}{\text { theoretical yield }} \times 100=\frac{5.30 \mathrm{~g}}{11.4 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=46.5 \%$ yield
96. 750. mL juice $\times \frac{12 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{100 \mathrm{~mL} \text { juice }}=90 . \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ present
90. $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{0.79 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}=1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$

The $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ will occupy ( $825-750$. =) 75 mL not occupied by the liquid (headspace).

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}} \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}{75 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=490 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

Actually, enough $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ will dissolve in the wine to lower the pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to a much more reasonable value.
97. $2 \mathrm{HN}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$; at constant V and $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P}$ is directly proportional to n . In the reaction, we go from 2 moles of gaseous reactants to 4 moles of gaseous products. Because moles doubled, the final pressure will double ( $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=6.0 \mathrm{~atm}$ ). Similarly, from the $2: 1$ mole ratio between $\mathrm{HN}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, the partial pressure of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ will be $3.0 / 2=1.5 \mathrm{~atm}$. The partial pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ will be $(3 / 2) 3.0 \mathrm{~atm}=4.5 \mathrm{~atm}$. This is from the $2: 3$ mole ratio between $\mathrm{HN}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.
98. $\quad 2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$; because P and T are constant, volume ratios will equal mole ratios $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. Let $x=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ present initially. From the balanced equation, 2 mol of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ react for every 1 mol of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ that reacts. Because we have equal moles of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ present initially, and because $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is used up twice as fast as $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is the limiting reagent. Therefore, no $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ will be present after the reaction goes to completion. However, excess $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ will be present as well as the $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ produced.
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacted $=x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}=x / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}{ }_{2}$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ remaining $=x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ initially $-x / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacted $=x / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ produced $=x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{3}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2}}=x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$

Total moles gas initially $=x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2}+x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}=2 x$
Total moles gas after reaction $=x / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}+x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{3}=(3 / 2) x=(1.5) x$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{(1.5) x}{2 x}=\frac{1.5}{2}=0.75 ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=0.75: 1 \text { or } 3: 4
$$

The volume of the reaction container shrinks to $75 \%$ of the initial volume.
99. $150 \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{60.10 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}$ 2 produced

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 400 . \mathrm{K}}{250 \mathrm{~L}}=0.98 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

We could do a similar calculation for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$ and then calculate $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}\left(=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}\right.$ $+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$ ). Or we can recognize that 9 total moles of gaseous products form for every mole of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ reacted (from the balanced equation given in the problem). This is three times the moles of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ produced. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$ will be three times larger than $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}$.

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=3 \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=3 \times 0.98 \mathrm{~atm}=2.9 \mathrm{~atm} .
$$

100. The partial pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ that reacted is 740. $-390 .=350$. torr. Thus the number of moles of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ that react is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\frac{350 .}{760} \mathrm{~atm} \times 3.00 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 293 \mathrm{~K}}=5.75 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\
& 5.75 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgO}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{40.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgO}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgO}}=2.32 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgO} \\
& \text { Mass } \% \mathrm{MgO}=\frac{2.32 \mathrm{~g}}{2.85 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=81.4 \% \mathrm{MgO}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Kinetic Molecular Theory and Real Gases

101. $\mathrm{KE}_{\text {avg }}=(3 / 2) \mathrm{RT}$; the average kinetic energy depends only on temperature. At each temperature, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ will have the same average KE. For energy units of joules (J), use $\mathrm{R}=$ $8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}$. To determine average KE per molecule, divide the molar $\mathrm{KE}_{\text {avg }}$ by Avogadro's number, $6.022 \times 10^{23}$ molecules $/ \mathrm{mol}$.

At $273 \mathrm{~K}: \mathrm{KE}_{\text {avg }}=\frac{3}{2} \times \frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}=3.40 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=5.65 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~J} /$ molecule

At $546 \mathrm{~K}: \mathrm{KE}_{\text {avg }}=\frac{3}{2} \times \frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 546 \mathrm{~K}=6.81 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=1.13 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J} /$ molecule
102. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ar}}=\frac{228 \mathrm{~g}}{39.95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}=5.71 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar} ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Ar}}}=0.650=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}+5.71}$
$0.650\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}+5.71\right)=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}, 3.71=(0.350) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}, \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}=10.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$
$\mathrm{KE}_{\mathrm{avg}}=\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{RT}$ for 1 mole of gas
$\mathrm{KE}_{\text {total }}=(10.6+5.71) \mathrm{mol} \times 3 / 2 \times 8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}=6.06 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=60.6 \mathrm{~kJ}$
103. $\mu_{\mathrm{rms}}=\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $\mathrm{R}=\frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}$ and $\mathrm{M}=$ molar mass in kg.

For $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{M}=1.604 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~kg}$, and for $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{M}=2.802 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~kg}$.
For $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ at $273 \mathrm{~K}: \mu_{\mathrm{rms}}=\left(\frac{3 \times \frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}{1.604 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}}\right)^{1 / 2}=652 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
Similarly, $\mu_{\mathrm{rms}}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ at 546 K is $921 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.
For $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at $273 \mathrm{~K}: \mu_{\mathrm{rms}}=\left(\frac{3 \times \frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}{2.802 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}}\right)^{1 / 2}=493 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
Similarly, for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at 546 K , $\mu_{\mathrm{rms}}=697 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.
104.

$$
\mu_{\mathrm{rms}}=\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{1 / 2} ; \frac{\mu_{\mathrm{UF}_{6}}}{\mu_{\mathrm{He}}}=\frac{\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{RT}_{\mathrm{UF}_{6}}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{UF}_{6}}}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{RT}_{\mathrm{He}}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{He}}}\right)^{1 / 2}}=\left(\frac{\left.\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{He} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{UF}_{6}}}^{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{UF}_{6}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{He}}}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\text { 限 }}\right.
$$

We want the root mean square velocities to be equal, and this occurs when:

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{He}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{UF}_{6}}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{UF}_{6}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{He}}
$$

The ratio of the temperatures is: $\frac{T_{U F_{6}}}{T_{H e}}=\frac{M_{U F_{6}}}{M_{H e}}=\frac{352.0}{4.003}=87.93$
The heavier $\mathrm{UF}_{6}$ molecules would need a temperature 87.93 times that of the He atoms in order for the root mean square velocities to be equal.
105. The number of gas particles is constant, so at constant moles of gas, either a temperature change or a pressure change results in the smaller volume. If the temperature is constant, an increase in the external pressure would cause the volume to decrease. Gases are mostly empty space so gases are easily compressible.

If the pressure is constant, a decrease in temperature would cause the volume to decrease. As the temperature is lowered, the gas particles move with a slower average velocity and don't collide with the container walls as frequently and as forcefully. As a result, the internal pressure decreases. In order to keep the pressure constant, the volume of the container must decrease in order to increase the gas particle collisions per unit area.
106. In this situation, the volume has increased by a factor of two. One way to double the volume of a container at constant pressure and temperature is to double the number of moles of gas particles present. As gas particles are added, more collisions per unit area occur and the internal pressure increases. In order to keep the pressure constant, the container volume must increase.

Another way to double the volume of a container at constant pressure and moles of gas is to double the absolute temperature. As temperature increases, the gas molecules collide more frequently with the walls of the container. In order to keep pressure constant, the container volume must increase.

The last variable which can be changed is pressure. If the external pressure exerted on the container is halved, the volume will double (assuming constant temperature and moles). As the external pressure applied is reduced, the volume of the container must increase in order to equalize the higher internal pressure with the lower external applied pressure.

| Avg. KE | increase | decrease | same $(\mathrm{KE} \propto \mathrm{T})$ | same |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Avg. velocity | increase | decrease | same $\left(\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mv}^{2}=\mathrm{KE} \propto \mathrm{T}\right)$ | same |
| Wall coll. freq | increase | decrease | increase | increase |

Average kinetic energy and average velocity depend on T . As T increases, both average kinetic energy and average velocity increase. At constant T, both average kinetic energy and average velocity are constant. The collision frequency is proportional to the average velocity (as velocity increases, it takes less time to move to the next collision) and to the quantity $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{V}$ (as molecules per volume increase, collision frequency increases).
108. V, T, and P are all constant, so n must be constant. Because we have equal moles of gas in each container, gas B molecules must be heavier than gas A molecules.
a. Both gas samples have the same number of molecules present ( n is constant).
b. Because $T$ is constant, $\mathrm{KE}_{\text {avg }}$ must be the same for both gases $\left[\mathrm{KE}_{\text {avg }}=(3 / 2) R T\right]$.
c. The lighter gas A molecules will have the faster average velocity.
d. The heavier gas B molecules do collide more forcefully, but gas A molecules, with the faster average velocity, collide more frequently. The end result is that $P$ is constant between the two containers.
109. a. They will all have the same average kinetic energy because they are all at the same temperature $\left[\mathrm{KE}_{\text {avg }}=(3 / 2) \mathrm{RT}\right]$.
b. Flask $\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{H}_{2}$ has the smallest molar mass. At constant T, the lighter molecules have the faster average velocity. This must be true for the average kinetic energies to be the same.
110. a. All the gases have the same average kinetic energy since they are all at the same temperature $\left[\mathrm{KE}_{\text {avg }}=(3 / 2) \mathrm{RT}\right]$.
b. At constant $T$, the lighter the gas molecule, the faster the average velocity [ $\mu_{\text {avg }} \propto \mu_{\mathrm{ms}} \propto$ $\left.(1 / M)^{1 / 2}\right]$.
$\mathrm{Xe}(131.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})<\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(70.90 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})<\mathrm{O}_{2}(32.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})<\mathrm{H}_{2}(2.016 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$
slowest fastest
c. At constant T, the lighter $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules have a faster average velocity than the heavier $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules. As temperature increases, the average velocity of the gas molecules increases. Separate samples of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ can only have the same average velocities if the temperature of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ sample is greater than the temperature of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ sample.
111. Graham's law of effusion: $\frac{\text { Rate }_{1}}{\text { Rate }_{2}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}$

Let Freon-12 = gas 1 and Freon- 11 = gas 2:

$$
\frac{1.07}{1.00}=\left(\frac{137.4}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}, 1.14=\frac{137.4}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}, \quad \mathrm{M}_{1}=121 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

The molar mass of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is equal to $121 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, so Freon- 12 is $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
112. $\frac{\text { Rate }_{1}}{\text { Rate }_{2}}=\left(\frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} ;$ rate $_{1}=\frac{24.0 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~min}} ;$ rate $_{2}=\frac{47.8 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~min}} ; \mathrm{M}_{2}=\frac{16.04 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}} ; \mathrm{M}_{1}=$ ?
$\frac{24.0}{47.8}=\left(\frac{16.04}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}=0.502,16.04=(0.502)^{2} \times \mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{1}=\frac{16.04}{0.252}=\frac{63.7 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}$
113. $\frac{\text { Rate }_{1}}{\operatorname{Rate}_{2}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \frac{\operatorname{rate}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{17} \mathrm{O}\right)}{\operatorname{rate}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)}=\left(\frac{30.0}{29.0}\right)^{1 / 2}=1.02 ; \frac{\operatorname{Rate}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{16} \mathrm{O}\right)}{\operatorname{Rate}\left({ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)}=\left(\frac{30.0}{28.0}\right)^{1 / 2}=1.04$

The relative rates of effusion of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{16} \mathrm{O}$ to ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{17} \mathrm{O}$ to ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ are $1.04: 1.02: 1.00$.

Advantage: $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ isn't as toxic as CO .
Major disadvantages of using $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ instead of CO :

1. Can get a mixture of oxygen isotopes in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
2. Some species, for example, ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{17} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, would effuse (gaseously diffuse) at about the same rate because the masses are about equal. Thus some species cannot be separated from each other.
3. $\frac{\text { Rate }_{1}}{\text { Rate }_{2}}=\left(\frac{M_{2}}{M_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $M=$ molar mass; let gas (1) $=\mathrm{He}$ and gas $(2)=\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

Effusion rates in this problem are equal to the volume of gas that effuses per unit time $(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min})$. Let $\mathrm{t}=$ time in the following expression.

$$
\frac{\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}{4.5 \mathrm{~min}}}{\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{t}}}=\left(\frac{70.90}{4.003}\right)^{1 / 2}, \frac{\mathrm{t}}{4.5 \mathrm{~min}}=4.209, \mathrm{t}=19 \mathrm{~min}
$$

115. a. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}$

$$
\mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{0.5000 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(25.0+273.2) \mathrm{K}}{1.0000 \mathrm{~L}}=12.24 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

b. $\quad\left[\mathrm{P}+a\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{2}\right](\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{n} b)=\mathrm{nRT}$; for $\mathrm{N}_{2}: a=1.39 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2}$ and $b=0.0391 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\left[\mathrm{P}+1.39\left(\frac{0.5000}{1.0000}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~atm}\right](1.0000 \mathrm{~L}-0.5000 \times 0.0391 \mathrm{~L})=12.24 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}
$$

$$
(\mathrm{P}+0.348 \mathrm{~atm})(0.9805 \mathrm{~L})=12.24 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}=\frac{12.24 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{0.9805 \mathrm{~L}}-0.348 \mathrm{~atm}=12.48-0.348=12.13 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

c. The ideal gas law is high by 0.11 atm , or $\frac{0.11}{12.13} \times 100=0.91 \%$.
116. a. $P V=n R T$

$$
\mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{0.5000 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298.2 \mathrm{~K}}{10.000 \mathrm{~L}}=1.224 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

b. $\left[\mathrm{P}+a\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{2}\right](\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{n} b)=\mathrm{nRT}$; for $\mathrm{N}_{2}: a=1.39 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2}$ and $b=0.0391 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{P}+1.39\left(\frac{0.5000}{10.000}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~atm}\right](10.000 \mathrm{~L}-0.5000 \times 0.0391 \mathrm{~L})=12.24 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}} \\
& (\mathrm{P}+0.00348 \mathrm{~atm})(10.000 \mathrm{~L}-0.0196 \mathrm{~L})=12.24 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}+0.00348 \mathrm{~atm}=\frac{12.24 \mathrm{~L} \text { atm }}{9.980 \mathrm{~L}}=1.226 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{P}=1.226-0.00348=1.223 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

c. The results agree to $\pm 0.001 \mathrm{~atm}(0.08 \%)$.
d. In Exercise 115, the pressure is relatively high, and there is significant disagreement. In Exercise 116, the pressure is around 1 atm , and both gas laws show better agreement. The ideal gas law is valid at relatively low pressures.

## Atmospheric Chemistry

117. $\chi_{\text {He }}=5.24 \times 10^{-6}$ from Table 5.4. $\mathrm{P}_{\text {He }}=\chi_{\text {He }} \times \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=5.24 \times 10^{-6} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~atm}=5.2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~atm}$
$\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{5.2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~atm}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=2.1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{He} / \mathrm{L}$
$\frac{2.1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}{\mathrm{mol}}=1.3 \times 10^{14}$ atoms $\mathrm{He} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
118. At $15 \mathrm{~km}, \mathrm{~T} \approx-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{P}=0.1 \mathrm{~atm}$. Use $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}$ since n is constant.
$\mathrm{V}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~T}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~T}_{1}}=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 213 \mathrm{~K}}{0.1 \mathrm{~atm} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=7 \mathrm{~L}$
119. $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$, combustion of coal
$2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$, reaction with atmospheric $\mathrm{O}_{2}$
$\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$, reaction with atmospheric $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
120. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
121. a. If we have $1.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L}$ of air, then there are $3.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L}$ of CO.

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}}=\chi_{\mathrm{CO}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {totala }} ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{CO}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CO}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\text {total }}} \text { because } \mathrm{V} \propto \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}}=\frac{3.0 \times 10^{2}}{1.0 \times 10^{6}} \times 628 \text { torr }=0.19 \text { torr }
$$

b. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}} \mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{RT}} ; \quad$ assuming $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ air, $1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}=1000 \mathrm{~L}$ :

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}}=\frac{\frac{0.19}{760} \mathrm{~atm} \times\left(1.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}=1.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}
$$

$1.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}=6.6 \times 10^{21} \mathrm{CO}$ molecules in $1.0 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ of air
c. $\frac{6.6 \times 10^{21} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{m}^{3}} \times\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}\right)^{3}=\frac{6.6 \times 10^{15} \text { molecules } \mathrm{CO}}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}}$
122. For benzene:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 89.6 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{78.11 \mathrm{~g}}=1.15 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} \text { benzene } \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\text {benzene }}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {benzene }} \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{1.15 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 296 \mathrm{~K}}{748 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}}=2.84 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~L} \\
& \text { Mixing ratio }=\frac{2.84 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~L}}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}} \times 10^{6}=9.47 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{ppmv} \\
& \text { Or ppbv }=\frac{\text { vol. of } \mathrm{X} \times 10^{9}}{\text { total vol. }}=\frac{2.84 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~L}}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}} \times 10^{9}=9.47 \mathrm{ppbv} \\
& \frac{1.15 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} \text { benzene }}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{molecules}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \\
& =2.31 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{molecules} \text { benzene } / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

For toluene:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 153 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{92.13 \mathrm{~g}}=1.66 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} \text { toluene } \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\text {toluene }}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {toluene }} \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{1.66 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 296 \mathrm{~K}}{748 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}}=4.10 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned}
$$

Mixing ratio $=\frac{4.10 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~L}}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}} \times 10^{6}=1.37 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{ppmv}($ or 13.7 ppbv$)$
$\frac{1.66 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} \text { toluene }}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}$
$=3.33 \times 10^{11}$ molecules toluene $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

## Additional Exercises

123. 

a. $\quad P V=n R T$
b. $\quad P V=n R T$
c. $P V=n R T$

PV = constant
$\mathrm{P}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{nR}}{\mathrm{V}}\right) \times \mathrm{T}=$ const $\times \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{T}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{nR}}\right) \times \mathrm{V}=$ const $\times \mathrm{V}$



d. $\quad P V=n R T$
e. $\quad \mathrm{P}=\frac{\mathrm{nR}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\text { constant }}{\mathrm{V}}$
f. $\quad P V=n R T$

> PV = constant
$\mathrm{P}=$ constant $\times \frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}}$
$\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{T}}=\mathrm{nR}=$ constant


1/V

P

Note: The equation for a straight line is $y=m x+b$, where $y$ is the $y$-axis and $x$ is the $x$-axis. Any equation that has this form will produce a straight line with slope equal to $m$ and a $y$ intercept equal to $b$. Plots $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$, and e have this straight-line form.
124. At constant T and P, Avogadro's law applies; that is, equal volumes contain equal moles of molecules. In terms of balanced equations, we can say that mole ratios and volume ratios between the various reactants and products will be equal to each other. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}+3 \mathrm{~F}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{X} ; 2$ moles of X must contain 2 moles of Br and 6 moles of F ; X must have the formula $\mathrm{BrF}_{3}$ for a balanced equation.
125. $14.1 \times 10^{2}$ in $\mathrm{Hg} \cdot \mathrm{in}^{3} \times \frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\text { in }} \times \frac{10 \mathrm{~mm}}{1 \mathrm{~cm}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{~mm}} \times\left(\frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\text { in }}\right)^{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}$

Boyle's law: PV $=\mathrm{k}$, where $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{nRT}$; from Example 5.3, the k values are around $22 \mathrm{~atm} \cdot \mathrm{~L}$. Because $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{nRT}$, we can assume that Boyle's data and the Example 5.3 data were taken at different temperatures and/or had different sample sizes (different moles).
126. $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{s})+x \operatorname{HCl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \operatorname{MnCl}_{x}(\mathrm{~s})+\frac{x}{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.951 \mathrm{~atm} \times 3.22 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 373 \mathrm{~K}}=0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
Mol Cl in compound $=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HCl}=0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{\frac{x}{2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Mn}}=\frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{2.747 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}}{54.94 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}}}=\frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{0.05000 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}}=4.00
$$

The formula of compound is $\mathrm{MnCl}_{4}$.
127. Assume some mass of the mixture. If we had 100.0 g of the gas, we would have 50.0 g He and 50.0 g Xe .

$$
\chi_{\text {Нe }}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {Нe }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {Нe }}+\mathrm{n}_{\text {Хe }}}=\frac{\frac{50.0 \mathrm{~g}}{4.003 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}}{\frac{50.0 \mathrm{~g}}{4.003 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}+\frac{50.0 \mathrm{~g}}{131.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}}=\frac{12.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{He}}{12.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{He}+0.381 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Xe}}=0.970
$$

No matter what the initial mass of mixture is assumed, the mole fraction of helium will always be 0.970 .
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {He }}=\chi_{\text {He }} \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=0.970 \times 600$. torr $=582$ torr; $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Xe}}=600 .-582=18$ torr
128. Assuming 100.0 g of cyclopropane:
$85.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g}}=7.14 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}$
$14.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g}}=14.2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; \frac{14.2}{7.14}=1.99$
The empirical formula for cyclopropane is $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, which has an empirical mass $\approx 12.0+2(1.0)$ $=14.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.

$$
\mathrm{P} \times(\text { molar mass })=\mathrm{dRT}, \text { molar mass }=\frac{\mathrm{dRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{1.88 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}}{} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}
$$

Because $42.1 / 14.0 \approx 3.0$, the molecular formula for cyclopropane is $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\times 3}=\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.
129. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=726$ torr -23.8 torr $=702$ torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}=0.924 \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.924 \mathrm{~atm} \times 31.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=1.20 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
Mass of N in compound $=1.20 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{28.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}{2} \mathrm{~mol}=3.36 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}$ nitrogen
Mass \% $\mathrm{N}=\frac{3.36 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}}{0.253 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=13.3 \% \mathrm{~N}$
130. $33.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}}{44.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=9.14 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} ; \% \mathrm{C}=\frac{9.14 \mathrm{mg}}{35.0 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=26.1 \% \mathrm{C}$
$41.1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}}{18.02 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=4.60 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H} ; \quad \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{4.60 \mathrm{mg}}{35.0 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=13.1 \% \mathrm{H}$
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\frac{740 .}{760} \mathrm{~atm} \times 35.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=1.42 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
$1.42 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{28.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}=3.98 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}$ nitrogen $=39.8 \mathrm{mg}$ nitrogen
Mass \% $\mathrm{N}=\frac{39.8 \mathrm{mg}}{65.2 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=61.0 \% \mathrm{~N}$
Or we can get $\% \mathrm{~N}$ by difference: $\% \mathrm{~N}=100.0-(26.1+13.1)=60.8 \%$
Out of 100.0 g :
$26.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g}}=2.17 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; \quad \frac{2.17}{2.17}=1.00$
$13.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g}}=13.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; \frac{13.0}{2.17}=5.99$
$60.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g}}=4.34 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} ; \frac{4.34}{2.17}=2.00$; empirical formula is $\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$.
$\frac{\text { Rate }_{1}}{\text { Rate }_{2}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}}{39.95}\right)^{1 / 2}=\frac{26.4}{24.6}=1.07, \mathrm{M}=(1.07)^{2} \times 39.95=45.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Empirical formula mass of $\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \approx 12+6+28=46 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. Thus the molecular formula is also $\mathrm{CH}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$.
131. We will apply Boyle's law to solve. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}=$ constant, $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$

Let condition (1) correspond to He from the tank that can be used to fill balloons. We must leave 1.0 atm of He in the tank, so $\mathrm{P}_{1}=200 .-1.00=199 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{1}=15.0 \mathrm{~L}$. Condition (2) will correspond to the filled balloons with $\mathrm{P}_{2}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}=\mathrm{N}(2.00 \mathrm{~L})$, where N is the number of filled balloons, each at a volume of 2.00 L .
$199 \mathrm{~atm} \times 15.0 \mathrm{~L}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times \mathrm{N}(2.00 \mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{N}=1492.5$; we can't fill 0.5 of a balloon, so $\mathrm{N}=$ 1492 balloons or, to 3 significant figures, 1490 balloons.
132. Mol of He removed $=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 1.75 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=7.16 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$

In the original flask, $7.16 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$ of He exerted a partial pressure of $1.960-1.710$ $=0.250 \mathrm{~atm}$.
$\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{7.16 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \times 0.08206 \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}{0.250 \mathrm{~atm}}=7.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}=7.00 \mathrm{~mL}$
133. For $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, n and T are constant, so $\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$.
$\mathrm{P}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{\mathrm{~V}_{1}}=785$ torr $\times \frac{1.94 \mathrm{~L}}{2.00 \mathrm{~L}}=761$ torr $=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=785-761=24$ torr
134. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{V}$ and T are constant. $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}$ or $\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{1}}{\mathrm{n}_{2}}$

When V and T are constant, then pressure is directly proportional to moles of gas present, and pressure ratios are identical to mole ratios.

At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ is produced at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The balanced equation requires $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ for every mol $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacted. The same ratio (2:1) holds true for pressure units. So if all 2.00 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ react, only 1.00 atm of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ will react with it. Because we have 3.00 atm of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ present, oxygen is in excess and hydrogen is the limiting reactant. The only gas present at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after the reaction goes to completion will be the excess $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}(\text { reacted })=2.00 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O}}{2} 2 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}
\end{aligned}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{2} .
$$

At $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ is produced at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The major difference in the problem at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ versus $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is that gaseous water is now a product (instead of liquid $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), which will increase the total pressure because an additional gas is present. Note: For this problem, it is assumed that 2.00 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and 3.00 atm of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are reacted at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ instead of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}(\text { produced })=2.00 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{2 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=2.00 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}(\text { excess })+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}(\text { produced })=2.00 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O} \\
& 2
\end{aligned}+2.00 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4.00 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }} .
$$

135. $1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{Mo} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mo}}{95.94 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mo}}=1.04 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mo}$
$1.04 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mo} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MoO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mo}} \times \frac{7 / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MoO}_{3}}=3.64 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}} \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{3.64 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 290 . \mathrm{K}}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=8.66 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$
$8.66 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{100 \mathrm{~L} \text { air }}{21 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=4.1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L}$ air
$1.04 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mo} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mo}}=3.12 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=\frac{3.12 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 290 . \mathrm{K}}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=7.42 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$
136. For $\mathrm{NH}_{3}: \mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}=0.500 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{2.00 \mathrm{~L}}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}}=0.333 \mathrm{~atm}$

For $\mathrm{O}_{2}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}}{\mathrm{~V}_{2}}=1.50 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}{3.00 \mathrm{~L}}=0.500 \mathrm{~atm}$
After the stopcock is opened, V and T will be constant, so $\mathrm{P} \propto \mathrm{n}$.
Assuming $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is limiting: $0.333 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{NO}}{4 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=0.333 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{NO}$

Assuming $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting: $0.500 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{NO}}{5 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.400 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{NO}$
$\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ produces the smaller amount of product, so $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is limiting and 0.333 atm of NO can be produced.
137. Out of 100.00 g of compound there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 58.51 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=4.872 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; \frac{4.872}{2.435}=2.001 \\
& 7.37 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=7.31 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; \frac{7.31}{2.435}=3.00 \\
& 34.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=2.435 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} ; \frac{2.435}{2.435}=1.000
\end{aligned}
$$

The empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.

$$
\frac{\text { Rate }_{1}}{\text { Rate }_{2}}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}\right)^{1 / 2} ; \text { let gas }(1)=\mathrm{He} ; 3.20=\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}}{4.003}\right)^{1 / 2}, \mathrm{M}_{2}=41.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

The empirical formula mass of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \approx 2(12.0)+3(1.0)+1(14.0)=41.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. So the molecular formula is also $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$.
138. If $\mathrm{Be}^{3+}$, the formula is $\mathrm{Be}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{3}$ and molar mass $\approx 13.5+15(12)+21(1)+6(16)$
$=311 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. If $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$, the formula is $\mathrm{Be}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}$ and molar mass $\approx 9.0+10(12)+14(1)+$ $4(16)=207 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Data set I (molar mass $=\mathrm{dRT} / \mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{d}=$ mass/V):

$$
\text { molar mass }=\frac{\text { mass } \times \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{PV}}=\frac{0.2022 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 286 \mathrm{~K}}{\left(765.2 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}\right) \times\left(22.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}\right)}=209 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Data set II:

$$
\text { molar mass }=\frac{\text { mass } \times \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{PV}}=\frac{0.2224 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 290 . \mathrm{K}}{\left(764.6 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}\right) \times\left(26.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}\right)}=202 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

These results are close to the expected value of $207 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{Be}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{2}$. Thus we conclude from these data that beryllium is a divalent element with an atomic weight (mass) of 9.0 u.
139. $\quad 0.2766 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=7.548 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} ; \% \mathrm{C}=\frac{7.548 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}}{0.1023 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=73.78 \% \mathrm{C}$
$0.0991 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1.11 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} ; \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{1.11 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}}{0.1023 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=10.9 \% \mathrm{H}$
$\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 27.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273 \mathrm{~K}}=1.23 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
$1.23 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{28.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}=3.45 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}$ nitrogen
Mass \% $\mathrm{N}=\frac{3.45 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}}{0.4831 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=7.14 \% \mathrm{~N}$
Mass \% O = $100.00-(73.78+10.9+7.14)=8.2 \% \mathrm{O}$
Out of 100.00 g of compound, there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 73.78 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g}}=6.143 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 7.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g}}=0.510 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} \\
& 10.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g}}=10.8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; 8.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g}}=0.51 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing all values by 0.51 gives an empirical formula of $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}$.

$$
\text { Molar mass }=\frac{\mathrm{dRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\frac{4.02 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 400 . \mathrm{K}}{256 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}}=392 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Empirical formula mass of $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO} \approx 195 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \frac{392}{195} \approx 2$
Thus the molecular formula is $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
140. At constant $T$, the lighter the gas molecules, the faster the average velocity. Therefore, the pressure will increase initially because the lighter $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules will effuse into container A faster than air will escape. However, the pressures will eventually equalize once the gases have had time to mix thoroughly.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 141-148 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

149. $\quad \mathrm{BaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=$ initial moles of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}=\frac{\frac{750 .}{760} \mathrm{~atm} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 303.2 \mathrm{~K}}=0.0595 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=$ final moles of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}=\frac{\frac{230}{760} \mathrm{~atm} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 303.2 \mathrm{~K}}=0.0182 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$
$0.0595-0.0182=0.0413 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reacted
Because each metal reacts $1: 1$ with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, the mixture contains a total of 0.0413 mol of BaO and CaO . The molar masses of BaO and CaO are 153.3 and $56.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively.

Let $x=$ mass of BaO and $y=$ mass of CaO , so:

$$
x+y=5.14 \mathrm{~g} \text { and } \frac{x}{153.3}+\frac{y}{56.08}=0.0413 \mathrm{~mol} \text { or } x+(2.734) y=6.33
$$

Solving by simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x+(2.734) y & =6.33 \\
-x \quad-y & =-5.14 \\
\hline(1.734) y & =1.19, y-1.19 / 1.734=0.686
\end{aligned}
$$

$y=0.686 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaO}$ and $5.14-y=x=4.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaO}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{BaO}=\frac{4.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaO}}{5.14 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=86.6 \% \mathrm{BaO} ; \% \mathrm{CaO}=100.0-86.6=13.4 \% \mathrm{CaO}$
150.
$\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CrCl}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
Mol $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ produced $=\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\left(750 . \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}\right) \times 0.225 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(273+27) \mathrm{K}}=9.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
$9.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ from Cr reaction $+\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ from Zn reaction
From the balanced equation: $9.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cr} \times(3 / 2)+\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Zn} \times 1$
Let $x=$ mass of Cr and $y=$ mass of Zn , then:

$$
x+y=0.362 \mathrm{~g} \text { and } 9.02 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{(1.5) x}{52.00}+\frac{y}{65.38}
$$

We have two equations and two unknowns. Solving by simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
9.02 \times 10^{-3} & =(0.02885) x+(0.01530) y \\
-0.01530 \times 0.362 & =-(0.01530) x-(0.01530) y
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
3.48 \times 10^{-3}=(0.01355) x, \quad x=\text { mass of } \mathrm{Cr}=\frac{3.48 \times 10^{-3}}{0.01355}=0.257 \mathrm{~g} \\
y=\text { mass of } \mathrm{Zn}=0.362 \mathrm{~g}-0.257 \mathrm{~g}=0.105 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn} ; \text { mass } \% \mathrm{Zn}=\frac{0.105 \mathrm{~g}}{0.362 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100 \\
=29.0 \% \mathrm{Zn}
\end{gathered}
$$

151. Assuming 1.000 L of the hydrocarbon $\left(\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}\right)$, then the volume of products will be 4.000 L , and the mass of products $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ will be:
$1.391 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \times 4.000 \mathrm{~L}=5.564 \mathrm{~g}$ products
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.959 \mathrm{~atm} \times 1.000 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.0392 \mathrm{~mol}$
Mol products $=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.51 \mathrm{~atm} \times 4.000 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 375 \mathrm{~K}}=0.196 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}+$ oxygen $\rightarrow x \mathrm{CO}_{2}+y / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Setting up two equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (0.0392) x+0.0392(y / 2)=0.196 \quad \text { (moles of products) } \\
& (0.0392) x(44.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})+0.0392(y / 2)(18.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})=5.564 \mathrm{~g} \quad \text { (mass of products) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving: $x=2$ and $y=6$, so the formula of the hydrocarbon is $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.
152. a. Let $x=$ moles $\mathrm{SO}_{2}=$ moles $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $z=$ moles He .
$\frac{\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{MM}}{\mathrm{RT}}$, where $\mathrm{MM}=$ molar mass
$1.924 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}=\frac{1.000 \mathrm{~atm} \times \mathrm{MM}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 273.2 \mathrm{~K}}, \quad \mathrm{MM}_{\text {mixture }}=43.13 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Assuming 1.000 total moles of mixture is present, then: $x+x+z=1.000$ and:
$64.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \times x+32.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \times x+4.003 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \times \mathrm{z}=43.13 \mathrm{~g}$
$2 x+z=1.000$ and $(96.07) x+(4.003) z=43.13$
Solving: $x=0.4443 \mathrm{~mol}$ and $z=0.1114 \mathrm{~mol}$
Thus: $\chi_{\text {He }}=0.1114 \mathrm{~mol} / 1.000 \mathrm{~mol}=0.1114$
b. $2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$

Initially, assume $0.4443 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2}, 0.4443 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and 0.1114 mol He . Because $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is limiting, we end up with $0.2222 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 0.4443 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$, and 0.1114 mol He in the gaseous product mixture. This gives $\mathrm{n}_{\text {initial }}=1.0000 \mathrm{~mol}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\text {final }}=0.7779 \mathrm{~mol}$.

$$
\text { In a reaction, mass is constant. } \mathrm{d}=\frac{\text { mass }}{\mathrm{V}} \text { and } \mathrm{V} \propto \mathrm{n} \text { at constant } \mathrm{P} \text { and } \mathrm{T} \text {, so } \mathrm{d} \propto \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \text {. }
$$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {initial }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {final }}}=\frac{1.0000}{0.7779}=\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\text {final }}}{\mathrm{d}_{\text {initial }}}, \mathrm{d}_{\text {final }}=\left(\frac{1.0000}{0.7779}\right) \times 1.924 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{~d}_{\text {final }}=2.473 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}
$$

153. a. The reaction is $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$.

$$
\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{RT}=\text { constant, } \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {air }} \mathrm{V}_{\text {air }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {air }}}
$$

The balanced equation requires $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ for every mole of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ that reacts. For three times as much oxygen, we would need $6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ per mole of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ reacted ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=6 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}$ ). Air is $21 \%$ mole percent $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, so $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=(0.21) \mathrm{n}_{\text {air. }}$. Therefore, the moles of air we would need to deliver the excess $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are:

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=(0.21) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{air}}=6 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}, \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{air}}=29 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}, \frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{air}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}}=29
$$

In 1 minute:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\text {air }}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}} \times \frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {air }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}} \times \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH}_{4}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {air }}}=200 . \mathrm{L} \times 29 \times \frac{1.50 \mathrm{~atm}}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=8.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} \text { air } / \mathrm{min}
$$

b. If $x \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ were reacted, then $6 x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ were added, producing ( 0.950 ) $x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and ( 0.050 ) $x \mathrm{~mol}$ of CO. In addition, $2 x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ must be produced to balance the hydrogens.

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Amount $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacted:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (0.950) \times \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=(1.90) \times \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\
& (0.050) \times \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO} \times \frac{1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}}=(0.075) \times \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Amount of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ left in reaction mixture $=(6.00) x-(1.90) x-(0.075) x=(4.03) x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Amount of $\mathrm{N}_{2}=(6.00) x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{79 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{21 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=(22.6) x \approx 23 x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}$
The reaction mixture contains:
(0.950) $x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}+(0.050) x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}+(4.03) x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}+(2.00) x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $+23 x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}=(30) x$.mol of gas total

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\mathrm{CO}}=\frac{(0.050) x}{(30 .) x}=0.0017 ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{(0.950) x}{(30 .) x}=0.032 ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\frac{(4.03) x}{(30 .) x}=0.13 \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=\frac{(2.00) x}{(30 .) x}=0.067 ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{23 x}{(30 .) x}=0.77
\end{aligned}
$$

154. The reactions are:

$$
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \text { and } \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

$\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{V}}\right)=\mathrm{n}$ (constant)
Because the pressure has increased by $17.0 \%$, the number of moles of gas has also increased by $17.0 \%$.
$\mathrm{n}_{\text {final }}=(1.170) \mathrm{n}_{\text {initial }}=1.170(5.00)=5.85 \mathrm{~mol}$ gas $=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=5.00$ (balancing moles of C ). Solving by simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=5.85 \\
&-\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}\right.=5.00) \\
& \hline \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.85
\end{aligned}
$$

If all C were converted to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, no $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ would be left. If all C were converted to CO , we would get 5 mol CO and 2.5 mol excess $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the reaction mixture. In the final mixture, moles of CO equals twice the moles of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ present $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}}=2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}}=2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=1.70 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO} ; 1.70+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=5.00, \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=3.30 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{CO}}=\frac{1.70}{5.85}=0.291 ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{3.30}{5.85}=0.564 ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\frac{0.85}{5.85}=0.145 \approx 0.15
\end{aligned}
$$

155. a. Volume of hot air: $\mathrm{V}=\frac{4}{3} \pi \mathrm{r}^{3}=\frac{4}{3} \pi(2.50 \mathrm{~m})^{3}=65.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
(Note: Radius $=$ diameter $/ 2=5.00 / 2=2.50 \mathrm{~m})$
$65.4 \mathrm{~m}^{3} \times\left(\frac{10 \mathrm{dm}}{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{dm}^{3}}=6.54 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L}$
$\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\left(745 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}\right) \times 6.54 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(273+65) \mathrm{K}}=2.31 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{air}$
Mass of hot air $=2.31 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{29.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=6.70 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}$
Air displaced: $\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\frac{745}{760} \mathrm{~atm} \times 6.54 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(273+21) \mathrm{K}}=2.66 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol}$ air
Mass of air displaced $=2.66 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{29.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=7.71 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}$
Lift $=7.71 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}-6.70 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}=1.01 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}$
b. Mass of air displaced is the same, $7.71 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}$. Moles of He in balloon will be the same as moles of air displaced, $2.66 \times 10^{3}$ mol, because $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{V}$, and T are the same.

Mass of $\mathrm{He}=2.66 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{4.003 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=1.06 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}$
Lift $=7.71 \times 10^{4} g-1.06 \times 10^{4} g=6.65 \times 10^{4} g$
c. Hot air: $\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\frac{630 .}{760} \mathrm{~atm} \times\left(6.54 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L}\right)}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 338 \mathrm{~K}}=1.95 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol}$ air

$$
1.95 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{29.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=5.66 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \text { of hot air }
$$

Air displaced: $\quad \mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\frac{630 .}{760} \mathrm{~atm} \times\left(6.54 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L}\right)}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 294 \mathrm{~K}}=2.25 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol}$ air
$2.25 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{29.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=6.53 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}$ of air displaced
Lift $=6.53 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}-5.66 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}=8.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}$
156. a. When the balloon is heated, the balloon will expand ( P and n remain constant). The mass of the balloon is the same, but the volume increases, so the density of the argon in the balloon decreases. When the density is less than that of air, the balloon will rise.
b. Assuming the balloon has no mass, when the density of the argon equals the density of air, the balloon will float in air. Above this temperature, the balloon will rise.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}_{\text {air }}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{MM}_{\text {air }}}{\mathrm{RT}}, \text { where } \mathrm{MM}_{\text {air }}=\text { average molar mass of air } \\
& \mathrm{MM}_{\text {air }}=0.790 \times 28.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}+0.210 \times 32.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}=28.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{\text {air }}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 28.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=1.18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{\text {argon }}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 39.95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times \mathrm{T}}=1.18 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}, \mathrm{~T}=413 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

Heat the Ar above 413 K or $140 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the balloon would float.
157. a. Average molar mass of air $=0.790 \times 28.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}+0.210 \times 32.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}=28.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

Molar mass of helium $=4.003 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
A given volume of air at a given set of conditions has a larger density than helium at those conditions due to the larger average molar mass of air. We need to heat the air to a temperature greater than $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in order to lower the air density (by driving air molecules out of the hot air balloon) until the density is the same as that for helium (at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1.00 atm ).
b. To provide the same lift as the helium balloon (assume $\mathrm{V}=1.00 \mathrm{~L}$ ), the mass of air in the hot air balloon ( $\mathrm{V}=1.00 \mathrm{~L}$ ) must be the same as that in the helium balloon. Let $\mathrm{MM}=$ molar mass:

$$
\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{MM}=\mathrm{dRT} \text {, mass }=\frac{\mathrm{MM} \cdot \mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}} ; \text { solving: mass } \mathrm{He}=0.164 \mathrm{~g}
$$

Mass air $=0.164 \mathrm{~g}=\frac{28.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times \mathrm{T}}$
$\mathrm{T}=2150 \mathrm{~K}$ (a very high temperature)
158. $\left(\mathrm{P}+\frac{\mathrm{an}^{2}}{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}\right) \times(\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{nb})=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{PV}+\frac{\mathrm{an}^{2} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}-\mathrm{nbP}-\frac{\mathrm{an}^{3} \mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}=\mathrm{nRT}$

$$
\mathrm{PV}+\frac{\mathrm{an}^{2}}{\mathrm{~V}}-\mathrm{nbP}-\frac{\mathrm{an}^{3} \mathrm{~b}}{\mathrm{~V}^{2}}=\mathrm{nRT}
$$

At low P and high T , the molar volume of a gas will be relatively large. Thus the $\mathrm{an}^{2} / \mathrm{V}$ and $\mathrm{an}^{3} \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{V}^{2}$ terms become negligible at low P and high T because V is large. Because nb is the actual volume of the gas molecules themselves, $\mathrm{nb} \ll \mathrm{V}$ and the -nbP term will be negligible as compared to PV. Thus PV $=\mathrm{nRT}$.
159. $d$ = molar mass( $\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{RT}$ ); at constant P and T , the density of gas is directly proportional to the molar mass of the gas. Thus the molar mass of the gas has a value which is 1.38 times that of the molar mass of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

Molar mass $=1.38(32.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})=44.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Because $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is produced when the unknown binary compound is combusted, the unknown must contain hydrogen. Let $\mathrm{A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}$ be the formula for unknown compound.

Mol $\mathrm{A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}=10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}}{44.2 \mathrm{~g}}=0.226 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}$
Mol H $=16.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{}=1.81 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}$
$\frac{1.81 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{0}}{0.226 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}}=8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y} ; \mathrm{A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}=\mathrm{A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{8}$
The mass of the $x$ moles of $A$ in the $A_{x} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ formula is:

$$
44.2 \mathrm{~g}-8(1.008 \mathrm{~g})=36.1 \mathrm{~g}
$$

From the periodic table and by trial and error, some possibilities for $\mathrm{A}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ are $\mathrm{ClH}_{8}, \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$, and $\mathrm{Be}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$. $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{Be}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ fit the data best, and because $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ (propane) is a known substance, $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ is the best possible identity from the data in this problem.
160. a. Initially $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=1.00$ atm, and the total pressure is $2.00 \operatorname{atm}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)$. The total pressure after reaction will also be 2.00 atm because we have a constant-pressure container. Because V and T are constant before the reaction takes place, there must be equal moles of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ present initially. Let $x=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{N}_{2}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ that are present initially. From the balanced equation, $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}), \mathrm{H}_{2}$ will be limiting because three times as many moles of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are required to react as compared to moles of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. After the reaction occurs, none of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ remains (it is the limiting reagent).

Mol NH ${ }_{3}$ produced $=x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=2 x / 3$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{N} \mathrm{N}_{2}$ reacted $=x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=x / 3$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{N}_{2}$ remaining $=x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ present initially $-x / 3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ reacted $=2 x / 3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
After the reaction goes to completion, equal moles of $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ are present ( $2 x / 3$ ). Because equal moles are present, the partial pressure of each gas must be equal $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}\right)$.

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=2.00 \text { atm }=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}} ; \text { solving: } \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=1.00 \text { atm }=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}
$$

b. $\mathrm{V} \propto \mathrm{n}$ because P and T are constant. The moles of gas present initially are:

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=x+x=2 x \mathrm{~mol}
$$

After reaction, the moles of gas present are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\frac{2 x}{3}+\frac{2 x}{3}=4 x / 3 \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{~V}_{\text {after }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\text {initial }}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {after }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {initial }}}=\frac{4 x / 3}{2 x}=\frac{2}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The volume of the container will be two-thirds the original volume, so:

$$
\mathrm{V}=2 / 3(15.0 \mathrm{~L})=10.0 \mathrm{~L}
$$

## Integrative Problems

161. The redox equation must be balanced. Each uranium atom changes oxidation sates from +4 in $\mathrm{UO}^{2+}$ to +6 in $\mathrm{UO}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ (a loss of two electrons for each uranium atom). Each nitrogen atom changes oxidation states from +5 in $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$to +2 in NO (a gain of three electrons for each nitrogen atom). To balance the electrons transferred, we need two N atoms for every three U atoms. The balanced equation is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{UO}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{UO}_{2}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NO}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.5 \mathrm{~atm} \times 0.255 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 302 \mathrm{~K}}=0.015 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO} \\
& 0.015 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{UO}^{2+}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}^{2+}}=0.023 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{UO}^{2+}
\end{aligned}
$$

162. a. $156 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1.34 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=209 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}=$ actual yield of $\mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{HCl}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{~atm} \times 15.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 308 \mathrm{~K}}=5.93 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \\
& 5.93 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{135.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}}=268 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3} \\
& \text { Percent yield }=\frac{\text { actual yield }}{\text { theoretical yield }} \times 100=\frac{209 \mathrm{~g}}{268 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=78.0 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $209 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HiSCl}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}}{135.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SiH}_{4}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HSiCl}_{3}}=0.386 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SiH}_{4}$

This is the theoretical yield. If the percent yield is $93.1 \%$, then the actual yield is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.386 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SiH}_{4} \times 0.931=0.359 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SiH}_{4} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{SiH}_{4}}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{0.359 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 308 \mathrm{~K}}{10.0 \mathrm{~atm}}=0.907 \mathrm{~L}=907 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{SiH}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

163. $\mathrm{ThF}_{4}, 232.0+4(19.00)=308.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$

$$
\mathrm{d}=\frac{\text { molar mass } \times \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{308.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \times 2.5 \mathrm{~atm}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(1680+273) \mathrm{K}}=4.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}
$$

The gas with the smaller molar mass will effuse faster. Molar mass of $\mathrm{ThF}_{4}=308.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; molar mass of $\mathrm{UF}_{3}=238.0+3(19.00)=295.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{UF}_{3}$ will effuse faster.
$\frac{\text { Rate of effusion of } \mathrm{UF}_{3}}{\text { Rate of effusion of } \mathrm{ThF}_{4}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{molar} \text { mass of } \mathrm{ThF}_{4}}{\text { molar mass of } \mathrm{UF}_{3}}}=\sqrt{\frac{308.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}{295.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}}=1.02$
$\mathrm{UF}_{3}$ effuses 1.02 times faster than $\mathrm{ThF}_{4}$.
164. The partial pressures can be determined by using the mole fractions.

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {methane }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }} \times \chi_{\text {methane }}=1.44 \mathrm{~atm} \times 0.915=1.32 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\text {ethane }}=1.44-1.32=0.12 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

Determining the number of moles of natural gas combusted:

$$
\mathrm{n}_{\text {natural gas }}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.44 \mathrm{~atm} \times 15.00 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 293 \mathrm{~K}}=0.898 \mathrm{~mol} \text { natural gas }
$$

$\mathrm{n}_{\text {methane }}=\mathrm{n}_{\text {natural gas }} \times \chi_{\text {methane }}=0.898 \mathrm{~mol} \times 0.915=0.822$ mol methane $\mathrm{n}_{\text {ethane }}=0.898-0.822=0.076 \mathrm{~mol}$ ethane
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \quad 2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+7 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
$0.822 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=29.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$0.076 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=4.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

The total mass of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ produced $=29.6 \mathrm{~g}+4.1 \mathrm{~g}=33.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{O}$.

## Marathon Problem

165. a. The formula of the compound $\mathrm{A}_{x} \mathrm{~B}_{y}$ depends on which gas is limiting, $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{B}_{2}$. We need to determine both possible products. The procedure we will use is to assume one reactant is limiting, and then determine what happens to the initial total moles of gas as it is converted into the product. Because P and T are constant, volume $\propto \mathrm{n}$. Because mass is conserved in a chemical reaction, any change in density must be due to a change in volume of the container as the reaction goes to completion.

Density $=\mathrm{d} \propto \frac{1}{\mathrm{~V}}$ and $\mathrm{V} \propto \mathrm{n}$, so: $\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\text {after }}}{\mathrm{d}_{\text {initial }}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {initial }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {after }}}$
Assume the molecular formula of the product is $\mathrm{A}_{x} \mathrm{~B}_{y}$ where $x$ and $y$ are whole numbers. First, let's consider when $A_{2}$ is limiting with $x$ moles each of $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ in our equimolar mixture. Note that the coefficient in front of $A_{x} B_{y}$ in the equation must be 2 for a balanced reaction.

|  | $x \mathrm{~A}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $+y \mathrm{~B}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 \mathrm{~A}_{x} \mathrm{~B}_{y}(\mathrm{~g})$ |  |  |
| Initial | $x \mathrm{~mol}$ | $x \mathrm{~mol}$ | 0 mol |
| Change | $-x \mathrm{~mol}$ | $-y \mathrm{~mol}$ | +2 mol |
| Final | 0 | $(x-y) \mathrm{mol}$ | 2 mol |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\mathrm{~d}_{\text {after }}}{\mathrm{d}_{\text {initial }}}=1.50=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {initial }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {after }}}=\frac{2 x}{x-y+2}$ |  |  |  |

$(1.50) x-(1.50) y+3.00=2 x, 3.00-(1.50) y=(0.50) x$
Because $x$ and $y$ are whole numbers, $y$ must be 1 because the above equation does not allow $y$ to be 2 or greater. When $y=1, x=3$ giving a formula of $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{~B}$ if $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ is limiting.

Assuming $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ is limiting with $y$ moles in the equimolar mixture:


Solving gives $x=1$ and $y=3$ for a molecular formula of $\mathrm{AB}_{3}$ when $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ is limiting.
b. In both possible products, the equations dictated that only one mole of either A or B had to be present in the formula. Any number larger than 1 would not fit the data given in the problem. Thus the two formulas determined are both molecular formulas and not just empirical formulas.

## CHAPTER 6

## THERMOCHEMISTRY

## Questions

11. Path-dependent functions for a trip from Chicago to Denver are those quantities that depend on the route taken. One can fly directly from Chicago to Denver, or one could fly from Chicago to Atlanta to Los Angeles and then to Denver. Some path-dependent quantities are miles traveled, fuel consumption of the airplane, time traveling, airplane snacks eaten, etc. State functions are path-independent; they only depend on the initial and final states. Some state functions for an airplane trip from Chicago to Denver would be longitude change, latitude change, elevation change, and overall time zone change.
12. Products have a lower potential energy than reactants when the bonds in the products are stronger (on average) than in the reactants. This occurs generally in exothermic processes. Products have a higher potential energy than reactants when the reactants have the stronger bonds (on average). This is typified by endothermic reactions.
13. $2 \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{18}(\mathrm{l})+25 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 16 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$; the combustion of gasoline is exothermic (as is typical of combustion reactions). For exothermic reactions, heat is released into the surroundings giving a negative $q$ value. To determine the sign of w , concentrate on the moles of gaseous reactants versus the moles of gaseous products. In this combustion reaction, we go from 25 moles of reactant gas molecules to $16+18=34$ moles of product gas molecules. As reactants are converted to products, an expansion will occur because the moles of gas increase. When a gas expands, the system does work on the surroundings, and w is a negative value.
14. $\Delta H=\Delta E+P \Delta V$ at constant $P$; from the definition of enthalpy, the difference between $\Delta H$ and $\Delta \mathrm{E}$, at constant P , is the quantity $\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}$. Thus, when a system at constant P can do pressure-volume work, then $\Delta \mathrm{H} \neq \Delta \mathrm{E}$. When the system cannot do PV work, then $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{E}$ at constant pressure. An important way to differentiate $\Delta H$ from $\Delta E$ is to concentrate on $q$, the heat flow; the heat flow by a system at constant pressure equals $\Delta \mathrm{H}$, and the heat flow by a system at constant volume equals $\Delta \mathrm{E}$.
15. a. The $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ value for a reaction is specific to the coefficients in the balanced equation. Because the coefficient in front of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a $2,891 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat is released when 2 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is produced. For 1 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ formed, $891 / 2=446 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat is released.
b. $891 / 2=446 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released for each mol of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacted.
16. Use the coefficients in the balanced rection to determine the heat required for the various quantities.
a. $\quad 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg} \times \frac{90.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg}}=90.7 \mathrm{~kJ}$ required
b. $\quad 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{90.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1 / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=181.4 \mathrm{~kJ}$ required
c. When an equation is reversed, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {new }}=-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {old }}$. When an equation is multiplied by some integer $n$, then $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {new }}=n\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {old }}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{l})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{HgO}(\mathrm{~s}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-90.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
2 \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HgO}(\mathrm{~s}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=2(-90.7 \mathrm{~kJ})=-181.4 \mathrm{~kJ} ; 181.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \text { released }
\end{aligned}
$$

17. Given:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-891 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-803 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

Using Hess's law:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+1 / 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}=-1 / 2(-891 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
1 / 2 \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}=1 / 2(-803 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}=44 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

The enthalpy of vaporization of water is $44 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
Note: When an equation is reversed, the sign on $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is reversed. When the coefficients in a balanced equation are multiplied by an integer, then the value of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is multiplied by the same integer.
18. A state function is a function whose change depends only on the initial and final states and not on how one got from the initial to the final state. An extensive property depends on the amount of substance. Enthalpy changes for a reaction are path-independent, but they do depend on the quantity of reactants consumed in the reaction. Therefore, enthalpy changes are a state function and an extensive property.
19. The zero point for $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}$ values are elements in their standard state. All substances are measured in relationship to this zero point.
20. a. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=$ ?

Utilizing Hess's law:

| Reactants $\rightarrow$ Standard State Elements $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}=75+0=75 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Standard State Elements $\rightarrow$ Products | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}=-394-572=-966 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| Reactants $\rightarrow$ Products | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=75-966=-891 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

b. The standard enthalpy of formation for an element in its standard state is given a value of zero. To assign standard enthalpy of formation values for all other substances, there needs to be a reference point from which all enthalpy changes are determined. This reference point is the elements in their standard state which is defined as the zero point.

So when using standard enthalpy values, a reaction is broken up into two steps. The first step is to calculate the enthalpy change necessary to convert the reactants to the elements in their standard state. The second step is to determine the enthalpy change that occurs when the elements in their standard state go to form the products. When these two steps are added together, the reference point (the elements in their standard state) cancels out and we are left with the enthalpy change for the reaction.
c. This overall reaction is just the reverse of all the steps in the part a answer. So $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=$ $+966-75=891 \mathrm{~kJ}$. Products are first converted to the elements in their standard state which requires 966 kJ of heat. Next, the elements in the standard states go to form the original reactants $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$ which has an enthalpy change of -75 kJ . All of the signs are reversed because the entire process is reversed.
21. No matter how insulated your thermos bottle, some heat will always escape into the surroundings. If the temperature of the thermos bottle (the surroundings) is high, less heat initially will escape from the coffee (the system); this results in your coffee staying hotter for a longer period of time.
22. From the photosynthesis reaction, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ is used by plants to convert water into glucose and oxygen. If the plant population is significantly reduced, not as much $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ will be consumed in the photosynthesis reaction. As the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels of the atmosphere increase, the greenhouse effect due to excess $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the atmosphere will become worse.
23. Fossil fuels contain carbon; the incomplete combustion of fossil fuels produces $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$ instead of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$. This occurs when the amount of oxygen reacting is not sufficient to convert all the carbon to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Carbon monoxide is a poisonous gas to humans.
24. Advantages: $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ burns cleanly (less pollution) and gives a lot of energy per gram of fuel. Water as a source of hydrogen is abundant and cheap.

Disadvantages: Expensive and gas storage and safety issues

## Exercises

## Potential and Kinetic Energy

25. $\mathrm{KE}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mv}^{2}$; convert mass and velocity to SI units. $1 \mathrm{~J}=\frac{1 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}$

Mass $=5.25 \mathrm{oz} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{16 \mathrm{oz}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{2.205 \mathrm{lb}}=0.149 \mathrm{~kg}$
Velocity $=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{mi}}{\mathrm{h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{60 \mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~min}}{60 \mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1760 \mathrm{yd}}{\mathrm{mi}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1.094 \mathrm{yd}}=\frac{45 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$
$\mathrm{KE}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mv}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \times 0.149 \mathrm{~kg} \times\left(\frac{45 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}=150 \mathrm{~J}$
26. $\mathrm{KE}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mv}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~kg} \times\left(\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}=1.0 \mathrm{~J} ; \mathrm{KE}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mv}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~kg} \times\left(\frac{2.0 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}$

$$
=2.0 \mathrm{~J}
$$

The $1.0-\mathrm{kg}$ object with a velocity of $2.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ has the greater kinetic energy.
27. a. Potential energy is energy due to position. Initially, ball A has a higher potential energy than ball B because the position of ball A is higher than the position of ball B . In the final position, ball B has the higher position so ball B has the higher potential energy.
b. As ball A rolled down the hill, some of the potential energy lost by A has been converted to random motion of the components of the hill (frictional heating). The remainder of the lost potential energy was added to $B$ to initially increase its kinetic energy and then to increase its potential energy.
28. Ball A: $\mathrm{PE}=\mathrm{mgz}=2.00 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{9.81 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~m}=\frac{196 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}=196 \mathrm{~J}$

At point I: All this energy is transferred to ball B. All of B's energy is kinetic energy at this point. $\mathrm{E}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{KE}=196 \mathrm{~J}$. At point II, the sum of the total energy will equal 196 J.

At point II: $\mathrm{PE}=\mathrm{mgz}=4.00 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{9.81 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \times 3.00 \mathrm{~m}=118 \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\mathrm{KE}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {total }}-\mathrm{PE}=196 \mathrm{~J}-118 \mathrm{~J}=78 \mathrm{~J}
$$

## Heat and Work

29. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=45 \mathrm{~kJ}+(-29 \mathrm{~kJ})=16 \mathrm{~kJ}$
30. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=-125+104=-21 \mathrm{~kJ}$
31. a. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=-47 \mathrm{~kJ}+88 \mathrm{~kJ}=41 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=82-47=35 \mathrm{~kJ}$
c. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=47+0=47 \mathrm{~kJ}$
d. When the surroundings do work on the system, $\mathrm{w}>0$. This is the case for a .
32. Step 1: $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=72 \mathrm{~J}+35 \mathrm{~J}=107 \mathrm{~J} ; \quad$ step 2: $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{2}=35 \mathrm{~J}-72 \mathrm{~J}=-37 \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {overall }}=\Delta \mathrm{E}_{1}+\Delta \mathrm{E}_{2}=107 \mathrm{~J}-37 \mathrm{~J}=70 . \mathrm{J}$
33. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}$; work is done by the system on the surroundings in a gas expansion; w is negative.
34. $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{q}-75 \mathrm{~J}, \mathrm{q}=375 \mathrm{~J}$ of heat transferred to the system
35. a. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=-23 \mathrm{~J}+100 . \mathrm{J}=77 \mathrm{~J}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-1.90 \operatorname{atm}(2.80 \mathrm{~L}-8.30 \mathrm{~L})=10.5 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \text { atm }}=1060 \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\Delta E=q+w=350 . J+1060=1410 J
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-1.00 \mathrm{~atm}(29.1 \mathrm{~L}-11.2 \mathrm{~L})=-17.9 \mathrm{~L} \operatorname{atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{Latm}}=-1810 \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=1037 \mathrm{~J}-1810 \mathrm{~J}=-770 \mathrm{~J}
$$

35. $w=-P \Delta V$; we need the final volume of the gas. Because $T$ and $n$ are constant, $P_{1} V_{1}=P_{2} V_{2}$.
$\mathrm{V}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{~L}(15.0 \mathrm{~atm})}{2.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=75.0 \mathrm{~L}$
$\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-2.00 \operatorname{atm}(75.0 \mathrm{~L}-10.0 \mathrm{~L})=-130 . \mathrm{L} \operatorname{atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{Latm}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}$

$$
=-13.2 \mathrm{~kJ}=\text { work }
$$

36. $\mathrm{w}=-210 . \mathrm{J}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V},-210 \mathrm{~J}=-\mathrm{P}(25 \mathrm{~L}-10 . \mathrm{L}), \mathrm{P}=14 \mathrm{~atm}$
37. In this problem, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{w}=-950 . \mathrm{J}$.
$-950 . \mathrm{J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L} \text { atm }}{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}=-9.38 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}$ of work done by the gases
$\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V},-9.38 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}=\frac{-650 .}{760} \operatorname{atm} \times\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}-0.040 \mathrm{~L}\right), \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}-0.040=11.0 \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{f}}=11.0 \mathrm{~L}$
38. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w},-102.5 \mathrm{~J}=52.5 \mathrm{~J}+\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}=-155.0 \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}=-1.530 \mathrm{~L}$ atm $\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V},-1.530 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}=-0.500 \mathrm{~atm} \times \Delta \mathrm{V}, \Delta \mathrm{V}=3.06 \mathrm{~L}$
$\Delta \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad 3.06 \mathrm{~L}=58.0 \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}, \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}=54.9 \mathrm{~L}=$ initial volume
39. $\mathrm{q}=$ molar heat capacity $\times \mathrm{mol} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{20.8 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{mol}} \times 39.1 \mathrm{~mol} \times(38.0-0.0)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=30,900 \mathrm{~J}$

$$
=30.9 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times(998 \mathrm{~L}-876 \mathrm{~L})=-122 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \text { atm }}=-12,400 \mathrm{~J}=-12.4 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=30.9 \mathrm{~kJ}+(-12.4 \mathrm{~kJ})=18.5 \mathrm{~kJ}$
40. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) ; \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w} ; \mathrm{q}=-40.66 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Volume of } 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})=1.000 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{0.996 \mathrm{~g}}=18.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=18.1 \mathrm{~mL} \\
& \mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{~V}=-1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times(0.0181 \mathrm{~L}-30.6 \mathrm{~L})=30.6 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}=3.10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=3.10 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=-40.66 \mathrm{~kJ}+3.10 \mathrm{~kJ}=-37.56 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

## Properties of Enthalpy

41. This is an endothermic reaction, so heat must be absorbed in order to convert reactants into products. The high-temperature environment of internal combustion engines provides the heat.
42. One should try to cool the reaction mixture or provide some means of removing heat because the reaction is very exothermic (heat is released). The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$ will get very hot and possibly boil unless cooling is provided.
43. a. Heat is absorbed from the water (it gets colder) as KBr dissolves, so this is an endothermic process.
b. Heat is released as $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is burned, so this is an exothermic process.
c. Heat is released to the water (it gets hot) as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ is added, so this is an exothermic process.
d. Heat must be added (absorbed) to boil water, so this is an endothermic process.
44. a. The combustion of gasoline releases heat, so this is an exothermic process.
b. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$; heat is released when water vapor condenses, so this is an exothermic process.
c. To convert a solid to a gas, heat must be absorbed, so this is an endothermic process.
d. Heat must be added (absorbed) in order to break a bond, so this is an endothermic process.
45. $\quad 4 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \Delta \mathrm{H}=-1652 \mathrm{~kJ}$; note that 1652 kJ of heat is released when 4 mol Fe reacts with $3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to produce $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.
a. $\quad 4.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{-1652 \mathrm{~kJ}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}=-1650 \mathrm{~kJ} ; 1650 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released
b. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{-1652 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}=-826 \mathrm{~kJ} ; 826 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released
c. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{-1652 \mathrm{~kJ}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}=-7.39 \mathrm{~kJ} ; 7.39 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released
d. $\quad 10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}} \times \frac{-1652 \mathrm{~kJ}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}=-73.9 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
2.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{-1652 \mathrm{~kJ}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=-34.4 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

Because $2.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ releases the smaller quantity of heat, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is the limiting reactant and 34.4 kJ of heat can be released from this mixture.
46. a. $1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{-572 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=-286 \mathrm{~kJ} ; 286 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released
b. $4.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{-572 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=-572 \mathrm{~kJ} ; 572 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released
c. $186 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{32.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{-572 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=-3320 \mathrm{~kJ} ; 3320 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released
d. $\quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~atm} \times 2.0 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=8.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
$8.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{-572 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=-2.3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~kJ} ; 2.3 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released
47. From Example 6.3, $\mathrm{q}=1.3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~J}$. Because the heat transfer process is only $60 . \%$ efficient, the total energy required is $1.3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{100 \mathrm{~J}}{60 \mathrm{~J}}=2.2 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~J}$.

Mass $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}=2.2 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}}{2221 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}} \times \frac{44.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}}=4.4 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$
48.

> a. $1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}{16.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4}} \times \frac{-891 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}=-55.5 \mathrm{~kJ}$
> b. $\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{740 . \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}} \times 1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=39.8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$
$39.8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \frac{-891 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}=-3.55 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~kJ}$
49. When a liquid is converted into gas, there is an increase in volume. The $2.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ quantity is the work done by the vaporization process in pushing back the atmosphere.
50. $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}$; from this equation, $\Delta \mathrm{H}>\Delta \mathrm{E}$ when $\Delta \mathrm{V}>0, \Delta \mathrm{H}<\Delta \mathrm{E}$ when $\Delta \mathrm{V}<0$, and $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ $=\Delta \mathrm{E}$ when $\Delta \mathrm{V}=0$. Concentrate on the moles of gaseous products versus the moles of gaseous reactants to predict $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ for a reaction.
a. There are 2 moles of gaseous reactants converting to 2 moles of gaseous products, so $\Delta V=0$. For this reaction, $\Delta H=\Delta E$.
b. There are 4 moles of gaseous reactants converting to 2 moles of gaseous products, so $\Delta \mathrm{V}<0$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}<\Delta \mathrm{E}$.
c. There are 9 moles of gaseous reactants converting to 10 moles of gaseous products, so $\Delta V>0$ and $\Delta H>\Delta E$.

## Calorimetry and Heat Capacity

51. Specific heat capacity is defined as the amount of heat necessary to raise the temperature of one gram of substance by one degree Celsius. Therefore, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ with the largest heat capacity value requires the largest amount of heat for this process. The amount of heat for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ is:

$$
\text { energy }=\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} g} \times 25.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(37.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-15.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2.30 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}
$$

The largest temperature change when a certain amount of energy is added to a certain mass of substance will occur for the substance with the smallest specific heat capacity. This is $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{l})$, and the temperature change for this process is:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{\text { energy }}{\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m}}=\frac{10.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~kJ}}}{\frac{0.14 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 550 . \mathrm{g}}=140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

52. a. $\quad \mathrm{s}=$ specific heat capacity $=\frac{0.24 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}}=\frac{0.24 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{Kg}}$ since $\Delta \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})=\Delta \mathrm{T}\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

$$
\text { Energy }=\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{0.24 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 150.0 \mathrm{~g} \times(298 \mathrm{~K}-273 \mathrm{~K})=9.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~J}
$$

b. Molar heat capacity $=\frac{0.24 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times \frac{107.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ag}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ag}}=\frac{26 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{mol}}$
c. $\quad 1250 \mathrm{~J}=\frac{0.24 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times \mathrm{m} \times\left(15.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-12.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{m}=\frac{1250}{0.24 \times 3.2}=1.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ag}$
53. $\mathrm{s}=$ specific heat capacity $=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}}=\frac{133 \mathrm{~J}}{5.00 \mathrm{~g} \times(55.1-25.2)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=0.890 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{g}$

From Table 6.1, the substance is solid aluminum.
54. $\quad \mathrm{s}=\frac{585 \mathrm{~J}}{125.6 \mathrm{~g} \times(53.5-20.0)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=0.139 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{g}$

Molar heat capacity $=\frac{0.139 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{g}} \times \frac{200.6 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{molHg}}=\frac{27.9 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{mol}}$
55. | Heat loss by hot water | = | heat gain by cooler water |

The magnitudes of heat loss and heat gain are equal in calorimetry problems. The only difference is the sign (positive or negative). To avoid sign errors, keep all quantities positive and, if necessary, deduce the correct signs at the end of the problem. Water has a specific heat capacity $=\mathrm{s}=4.18 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{g}=4.18 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{g}\left(\Delta \mathrm{T}\right.$ in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\Delta \mathrm{T}$ in K$)$.

Heat loss by hot water $=\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{Kg}} \times 50.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(330 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$
Heat gain by cooler water $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{Kg}} \times 30.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-280 . \mathrm{K}\right)$; heat loss $=$ heat gain, so:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{209 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K}} \times\left(330 . \mathrm{K}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=\frac{125 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K}} \times\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-280 . \mathrm{K}\right) \\
& 6.90 \times 10^{4}-209 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=125 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}-3.50 \times 10^{4}, 334 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.040 \times 10^{5}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=311 \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the final temperature is closer to the temperature of the more massive hot water, which is as it should be.
56. Heat loss by hot water = heat gain by cold water; keeping all quantities positive helps to avoid sign errors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~g}} \times \mathrm{m}_{\text {hot }} \times\left(55.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-37.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 90.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(37.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& \mathrm{m}_{\text {hot }}=\frac{90.0 \mathrm{~g} \times 15.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{18.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=75.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { hot water needed }
\end{aligned}
$$

57. Heat loss by $\mathrm{Al}+$ heat loss by $\mathrm{Fe}=$ heat gain by water; keeping all quantities positive to avoid sign error:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{0.89 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al} \times\left(100.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)+\frac{0.45 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 10.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe} \times\left(100.0-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) \\
&=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 97.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-22.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& 4.5\left(100.0-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)+4.5\left(100.0-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=407\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}-22.0\right), 450-(4.5) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}+450-(4.5) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}} \\
&=407 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}-8950
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
416 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=9850, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=23.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

58. Heat released to water $=5.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{120 . \mathrm{J}}{\mathrm{g} \mathrm{H}_{2}}+10 . \mathrm{g}$ methane $\times \frac{50 . \mathrm{J}}{\mathrm{g} \text { methane }}=1.10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}$

Heat gain by water $=1.10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 50.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}=5.26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5.26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=30.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
59. Heat gain by water $=$ heat loss by metal $=\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}$, where $\mathrm{s}=$ specific heat capacity.

Heat gain $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 150.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(18.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-15.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=2100 \mathrm{~J}$
A common error in calorimetry problems is sign errors. Keeping all quantities positive helps to eliminate sign errors.

Heat loss $=2100 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{s} \times 150.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(75.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-18.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{s}=\frac{2100 \mathrm{~J}}{150.0 \mathrm{~g} \times 56.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=0.25 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{g}$
60. Heat gain by water = heat loss by Cu ; keeping all quantities positive helps to avoid sign errors:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times \text { mass } \times\left(24.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-22.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=\frac{0.20 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~g}} \times 110 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{Cu} \times\left(82.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-24.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
& 11(\text { mass })=1300, \text { mass }=120 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

61. $50.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \times 0.100 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$ of both $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ and HCl are reacted. Thus $5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$ of AgCl will be produced because there is a $1: 1$ mole ratio between reactants.

Heat lost by chemicals = heat gained by solution
Heat gain $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{g}} \times 100.0 \mathrm{~g} \times(23.40-22.60)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=330 \mathrm{~J}$
Heat loss $=330 \mathrm{~J}$; this is the heat evolved (exothermic reaction) when $5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$ of AgCl is produced. So $\mathrm{q}=-330 \mathrm{~J}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ (heat per mol AgCl formed) is negative with a value of:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=\frac{-330 \mathrm{~J}}{5.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=-66 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Note: Sign errors are common with calorimetry problems. However, the correct sign for $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ can be determined easily from the $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ data; i.e., if $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ of the solution increases, then the reaction is exothermic because heat was released, and if $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ of the solution decreases, then the reaction is endothermic because the reaction absorbed heat from the water. For calorimetry problems, keep all quantities positive until the end of the calculation and then decide the sign for $\Delta \mathrm{H}$. This will help eliminate sign errors.
62. $\quad \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

We have a stoichiometric mixture. All of the NaOH and HCl will react.
$0.10 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.10 \mathrm{~mol}$ of HCl is neutralized by 0.10 mol NaOH .
Heat lost by chemicals $=$ heat gained by solution
Volume of solution $=100.0+100.0=200.0 \mathrm{~mL}$
Heat gain $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times\left(200.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}\right) \times(31.3-24.6)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=5.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=5.6 \mathrm{~kJ}$
Heat loss $=5.6 \mathrm{~kJ}$; this is the heat released by the neutralization of 0.10 mol HCl . Because the temperature increased, the sign for $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ must be negative, i.e., the reaction is exothermic. For calorimetry problems, keep all quantities positive until the end of the calculation and then decide the sign for $\Delta \mathrm{H}$. This will help eliminate sign errors.
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=\frac{-5.6 \mathrm{~kJ}}{0.10 \mathrm{~mol}}=-56 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
63. Heat lost by solution = heat gained by KBr ; mass of solution $=125 \mathrm{~g}+10.5 \mathrm{~g}=136 \mathrm{~g}$

Note: Sign errors are common with calorimetry problems. However, the correct sign for $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ can easily be obtained from the $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ data. When working calorimetry problems, keep all quantities positive (ignore signs). When finished, deduce the correct sign for $\Delta \mathrm{H}$. For this problem, T decreases as KBr dissolves, so $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is positive; the dissolution of KBr is endothermic (absorbs heat).

Heat lost by solution $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 136 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(24.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-21.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1800 \mathrm{~J}=$ heat gained by KBr
$\Delta \mathrm{H}$ in units of $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{g}=\frac{1800 \mathrm{~J}}{10.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KBr}}=170 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g}$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}$ in units of $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=\frac{170 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KBr}} \times \frac{119.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KBr}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{KBr}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=20 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
64. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \Delta \mathrm{H}=$ ?; mass of solution $=75.0 \mathrm{~g}+1.60 \mathrm{~g}=76.6 \mathrm{~g}$

Heat lost by solution $=$ heat gained as $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ dissolves. To help eliminate sign errors, we will keep all quantities positive ( $q$ and $\Delta T$ ) and then deduce the correct sign for $\Delta H$ at the end of the problem. Here, because temperature decreases as $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ dissolves, heat is absorbed as $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ dissolves, so this is an endothermic process ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is positive).

Heat lost by solution $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 76.6 \mathrm{~g} \times(25.00-23.34)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=532 \mathrm{~J}=$ heat gained as $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ dissolves

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=\frac{532 \mathrm{~J}}{1.60 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}} \times \frac{80.05 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=26.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \text { dissolving }
$$

65. Because $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is exothermic, the temperature of the solution will increase as $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves. Keeping all quantities positive:
heat loss as $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ dissolves $=11.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}{110.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}} \times \frac{81.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}=8.08 \mathrm{~kJ}$
heat gained by solution $=8.08 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times(125+11.0) \mathrm{g} \times\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\frac{8.08 \times 10^{3}}{4.18 \times 136}=14.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=14.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=39.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

66. $\quad 0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{118 \mathrm{~kJ} \text { heat released }}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}=2.95 \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat released if HCl limiting $0.3000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{118 \mathrm{~kJ} \text { heat released }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}=3.54 \mathrm{~kJ}$ heat released if
$\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ Because the HCl reagent produces the smaller amount of heat released, HCl is limiting and 2.95 kJ of heat are released by this reaction.

Heat gained by solution $=2.95 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{g}} \times 400.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}=1.76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=26.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
67. a. Heat gain by calorimeter $=$ heat loss by $\mathrm{CH}_{4}=6.79 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}{16.04 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{802 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}$

$$
=340 . \mathrm{kJ}
$$

Heat capacity of calorimeter $=\frac{340 . \mathrm{kJ}}{10.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=31.5 \mathrm{~kJ} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
b. Heat loss by $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}=$ heat gain by calorimeter $=16.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{31.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=532 \mathrm{~kJ}$

A bomb calorimeter is at constant volume, so the heat released/gained $=q_{v}=\Delta \mathrm{E}$ :

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {comb }}=\frac{-532 \mathrm{~kJ}}{12.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{26.04 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=-1.10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

68. First, we need to get the heat capacity of the calorimeter from the combustion of benzoic acid. Heat lost by combustion = heat gained by calorimeter.

Heat loss $=0.1584 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{26.42 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~g}}=4.185 \mathrm{~kJ}$

Heat gain $=4.185 \mathrm{~kJ}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {cal }} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {cal }}=\frac{4.185 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2.54^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=1.65 \mathrm{~kJ} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Now we can calculate the heat of combustion of vanillin. Heat loss = heat gain.
Heat gain by calorimeter $=\frac{1.65 \mathrm{~kJ}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 3.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=5.36 \mathrm{~kJ}$
Heat loss $=5.36 \mathrm{~kJ}$, which is the heat evolved by combustion of the vanillin.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {comb }}=\frac{-5.36 \mathrm{~kJ}}{0.2130 \mathrm{~g}}=-25.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{g} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{comb}}=\frac{-25.2 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{152.14 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=-3830 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

## Hess's Law

69. Information given:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-393.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-283.3 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Hess's law:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}=2(-393.7 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}=-2(-283.3 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}=-220.8 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

Note: When an equation is reversed, the sign on $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is reversed. When the coefficients in a balanced equation are multiplied by an integer, then the value of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is multiplied by the same integer.
70. Given:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {comb }}=-2341 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {comb }}=-2755 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {comb }}=-286 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

By convention, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ is produced when enthalpies of combustion are given, and because per-mole quantities are given, the combustion reaction refers to 1 mole of that quantity reacting with $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$.

Using Hess's law to solve:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}=-2341 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}=-(-2755 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}=2(-286 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}=-158 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

71. $2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=-2(92 \mathrm{~kJ})$

$$
6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=-3(-484 \mathrm{~kJ})
$$

$2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=1268 \mathrm{~kJ}$
No, because the reaction is very endothermic (requires a lot of heat to react), it would not be a practical way of making ammonia because of the high energy costs required.
72.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{ClF}+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}+1 / 2 \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(167.4 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}+3 / 2 \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{ClF}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-1 / 2(341.4 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\mathrm{F}_{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(-43.4 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline \mathrm{ClF}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ClF}_{3} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-108.7 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

73. 

| $\mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-199 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-1 / 2(-427 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $\mathrm{O} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-1 / 2(495 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-233 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

74. We want $\Delta H$ for $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$. It will be easier to calculate $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for the combustion of four moles of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ because we will avoid fractions.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
9 \mathrm{H}_{2}+9 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=9(-286 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
3 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}+9 \mathrm{H}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-3(-317 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+3 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-1010 . \mathrm{kJ} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-(-143 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{l})+4 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-2490 . \mathrm{kJ} \\
\text { For } \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\frac{-2490 . \mathrm{kJ}}{4}=-623 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

Note: By the significant figure rules, we could report this answer to four significant figures. However, because the $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ values given in the problem are only known to $\pm 1 \mathrm{~kJ}$, our final answer will at best be $\pm 1 \mathrm{~kJ}$.
75.

| $\mathrm{CaC}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}+2 \mathrm{C}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-(-62.8 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CaO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-653.1 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+5 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-(-1300 . \mathrm{kJ})$ |
| $\mathrm{Ca}+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{CaO}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-635.5 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{C}+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=2(-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $\mathrm{CaC}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-713 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

76. | $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{4}+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-(-2967.3 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $10 \mathrm{PCl}_{3}+5 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 10 \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=10(-285.7 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $6 \mathrm{PCl}_{5} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{PCC}_{3}+6 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-6(-84.2 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4}+6 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-1225.6$ |
| $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 10 \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-610.1 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

## Standard Enthalpies of Formation

77. The change in enthalpy that accompanies the formation of 1 mole of a compound from its elements, with all substances in their standard states, is the standard enthalpy of formation for a compound. The reactions that refer to $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{o}}$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{~s})+1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& 6 \mathrm{C}(\text { graphite, } \mathrm{s})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{S}\left(\text { rhombic, s) }+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

78. a. Aluminum oxide $=\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} ; 2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s})+3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
b. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
c. $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$
d. 2 C (graphite, s$)+3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$
e. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})+15 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

Note: $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{comb}}$ values assume 1 mole of compound combusted.
f. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
79. In general, $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} \text {, products }}^{\circ}-\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}$, reactants , and all elements in their standard state have $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}=0$ by definition.
a. The balanced equation is $2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCN} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{HCN}}^{\circ}+6\right. & \left.\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{\circ}\right) \\
& -\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{\circ}+2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}}^{\circ}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=[2(135.1)+6(-242)]-[2(-46)+2(-75)]=-940 . \mathrm{kJ}
$$

b. $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})\left(\frac{-1433 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{l})\left(\frac{-1267 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right] \\
&-\left[1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})\left(\frac{-4126 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{l})\left(\frac{-814 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-6833 \mathrm{~kJ}-(-6568 \mathrm{~kJ})=-265 \mathrm{~kJ}$
c. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{s})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left(1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}}^{\circ}\right)-\left(1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{\circ}+1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \times \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{HCl}}^{\circ}\right) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-314 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-46 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-92 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right] \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-314 \mathrm{~kJ}+138 \mathrm{~kJ}=-176 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

80. a. The balanced equation is $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+3 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-242 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-278 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-1513 \mathrm{~kJ}-(-278 \mathrm{~kJ})=-1235 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{SiCl}_{4}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$

Because $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ is $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}=0-167=-167 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[4 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-167 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-911 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-687 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-286 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right] \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-1579 \mathrm{~kJ}-(-1259 \mathrm{~kJ})=-320 . \mathrm{kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\mathrm{MgO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-925 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-602 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-286 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right] \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-925 \mathrm{~kJ}-(-888 \mathrm{~kJ})=-37 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

81. a. $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}$, products $-\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} \text {, reactants }}^{\circ}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[4 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{90 . \mathrm{kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+6 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-242 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[4 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-46 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]=-908 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{34 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{90 . \mathrm{kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]=-112 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& 3 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-207 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{90 . \mathrm{kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[3 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{34 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-286 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-140 . \mathrm{kJ}
$$

Note: All $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}$ values are assumed $\pm 1 \mathrm{~kJ}$.
b. $12 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+15 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+18 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
$12 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
$12 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 8 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$
$4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
$12 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+21 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 8 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+14 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
The overall reaction is exothermic because each step is exothermic.
82. $4 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-416 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)=-832 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-470 . \mathrm{kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-286 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]=-368 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-416 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-110.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]-\left[1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]=-133 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

In Reactions 2 and 3, sodium metal reacts with the "extinguishing agent." Both reactions are exothermic, and each reaction produces a flammable gas, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and CO , respectively.
83. $\quad 3 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[6 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-242 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+3 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{90 . \mathrm{kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-704 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-1676 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right] \\
&- {\left[3 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-295 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]=-2677 \mathrm{~kJ} }
\end{aligned}
$$

84. $5 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{l})+4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})+9 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left[12 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-242 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+4 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right] \\
&-\left[5 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-20 . \mathrm{kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+4 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{54 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)\right]=-4594 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

85. $\quad 2 \mathrm{ClF}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-1196 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\left(6 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{HF}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)-\left(2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{ClF}_{3}}^{\mathrm{o}}+2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right) \\
& -1196 \mathrm{~kJ}=6 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-271 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)-2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{ClF}_{3}}^{\mathrm{o}}-2 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\frac{-46 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right) \\
& -1196 \mathrm{~kJ}=-1626 \mathrm{~kJ}-2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{ClF}}^{3}
\end{aligned}+92 \mathrm{~kJ}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{CIF}}^{3} \mathrm{o}=\frac{(-1626+92+1196) \mathrm{kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol}}=\frac{-169 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} .
$$

86. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-1411.1 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-1411.1 \mathrm{~kJ}=2(-393.5) \mathrm{kJ}+2(-285.8) \mathrm{kJ}-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}^{\circ}
$$

$$
-1411.1 \mathrm{~kJ}=-1358.6 \mathrm{~kJ}-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}^{\circ}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}^{\circ}=52.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

## Energy Consumption and Sources

87. $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-110.5 \mathrm{~kJ}-(-242 \mathrm{~kJ})=132 \mathrm{~kJ}$
88. $\quad \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-239 \mathrm{~kJ}-(-110.5 \mathrm{~kJ})=-129 \mathrm{~kJ}$
89. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=[2(-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ})+3(-286 \mathrm{~kJ})]-(-278 \mathrm{~kJ})=-1367 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \text { ethanol } \\
& \frac{-1367 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g}}=-29.67 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

90. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=[-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ}+2(-286 \mathrm{~kJ})]-(-239 \mathrm{~kJ})=-727 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \\
& \frac{-727 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{32.04 \mathrm{~g}}=-22.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{g} \text { versus }-29.67 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{g} \text { for ethanol (from Exercise 89) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Ethanol has a slightly higher fuel value per gram than methanol.
91. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=[3(-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ})+4(-286 \mathrm{~kJ})]-(-104 \mathrm{~kJ})=-2221 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \\
& \frac{-2221 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{44.09 \mathrm{~g}}=\frac{-50.37 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~g}} \text { versus }-47.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{g} \text { for octane (Example 6.11) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The fuel values are very close. An advantage of propane is that it burns more cleanly. The boiling point of propane is $-42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus it is more difficult to store propane, and there are extra safety hazards associated with using high-pressure compressed-gas tanks.
92. 1 mole of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and 1 mole of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}(\mathrm{~g})$ have equivalent volumes at the same T and P .
$\frac{\text { Enthalpy of combustion per volume of } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\text { Enthalpy of combustion per volume of } \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}}=\frac{\text { enthalpy of combustion per mol of } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\text { enthalpy of combustion per mol of } \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}}$
$\frac{\text { Enthalpy of combustion per volume of } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\text { Enthalpy of combustion per volume of } \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}}=\frac{\frac{-49.9 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{26.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}}{\frac{-49.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}} \times \frac{58.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}}}=0.452$
More than twice the volume of acetylene is needed to furnish the same energy as a given volume of butane.
93. The molar volume of a gas at STP is 22.42 L (from Chapter 5).

$$
4.19 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~kJ} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}{891 \mathrm{~kJ}} \times \frac{22.42 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{4}}=1.05 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{CH}_{4}
$$

94. Mass of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1.00 \mathrm{gal} \times \frac{3.785 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{gal}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=3790 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Energy required (theoretical) $=\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 3790 \mathrm{~g} \times 10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=1.58 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}$
For an actual (80.0\% efficient) process, more than this quantity of energy is needed since heat is always lost in any transfer of energy. The energy required is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.58 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{100 . \mathrm{J}}{80.0 \mathrm{~J}}=1.98 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \text { Mass of } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}=1.98 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{1300 . \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}} \times \frac{26.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=3.97 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Additional Exercises

95. $\quad 2.0 \mathrm{~h} \times \frac{5500 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{40.6 \mathrm{~kJ}} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{mol}}=4900 \mathrm{~g}=4.9 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
96. From the problem, walking 4.0 miles consumes 400 kcal of energy.

1 lb fat $\times \frac{454 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{7.7 \mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{g}} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{mi}}{400 \mathrm{kcal}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{4 \mathrm{mi}}=8.7 \mathrm{~h}=9 \mathrm{~h}$
97. a. $2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}$; because the volume of the piston apparatus decreased as reactants were converted to products ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}<0$ ), w is positive ( $\mathrm{w}>0$ ).
b. $\quad \mathrm{COCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$; because the volume increased $(\Delta \mathrm{V}>0)$, w is negative ( $\mathrm{w}<0$ ).
c. $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$; because the volume did not change $(\Delta \mathrm{V}=0)$, no PV work is done ( $\mathrm{w}=0$ ).

In order to predict the sign of w for a reaction, compare the coefficients of all the product gases in the balanced equation to the coefficients of all the reactant gases. When a balanced reaction has more moles of product gases than moles of reactant gases (as in b), the reaction will expand in volume ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ positive), and the system does work on the surroundings. When a balanced reaction has a decrease in the moles of gas from reactants to products (as in a), the reaction will contract in volume ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ negative), and the surroundings will do compression work on the system. When there is no change in the moles of gas from reactants to products (as in c), $\Delta \mathrm{V}=0$ and $\mathrm{w}=0$.
98. a. $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$; from the balanced equation, 1 molecule of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ will react with 3 molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to produce 2 molecules of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. So the picture after the reaction should only have 2 molecules of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ present. Another important part of your drawing will be the relative volume of the product container. The volume of a gas is directly proportional to the number of gas molecules present (at constant T and P ). In this problem, 4 total molecules of gas were present initially ( $1 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ). After reaction, only 2 molecules are present $\left(2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$. Because the number of gas molecules decreases by a factor of 2 (from 4 total to 2 total), the volume of the product gas must decrease by a factor of 2 as compared to the initial volume of the reactant gases. Summarizing, the picture of the product container should have 2 molecules of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and should be at a volume which is one-half the original reactant container volume.
b. $\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}$; here the volume decreased, so $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ is negative. When $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ is negative, w is positive. As the reactants were converted to products, a compression occurred which is associated with work flowing into the system ( w is positive).
99. a. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{~s})+12 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
b. A bomb calorimeter is at constant volume, so heat released $=q_{v}=\Delta E$ :

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=\frac{-24.00 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1.46 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{342.30 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=-5630 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}
$$

c. $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}$; at constant P and $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{RT} \Delta \mathrm{n}$, where $\Delta \mathrm{n}=$ moles of gaseous products moles of gaseous reactants.
At constant $P$ and $T: \Delta H=\Delta E+P \Delta V=\Delta E+R T \Delta n$
For this reaction, $\Delta \mathrm{n}=12-12=0$, so $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{E}=-5630 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
100. $\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V} ; \Delta \mathrm{n}=$ moles of gaseous products - moles of gaseous reactants. Only gases can do PV work (we ignore solids and liquids). When a balanced reaction has more moles of product gases than moles of reactant gases ( $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ positive), the reaction will expand in volume ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ positive), and the system will do work on the surroundings. For example, in reaction c , $\Delta \mathrm{n}=2-0=2$ moles, and this reaction would do expansion work against the surroundings. When a balanced reaction has a decrease in the moles of gas from reactants to products ( $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ negative), the reaction will contract in volume ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ negative), and the surroundings will do compression work on the system, e.g., reaction a, where $\Delta \mathrm{n}=0-1=-1$. When there is no change in the moles of gas from reactants to products, $\Delta \mathrm{V}=0$ and $\mathrm{w}=0$, e.g., reaction b , where $\Delta \mathrm{n}=2-2=0$.

When $\Delta \mathrm{V}>0(\Delta \mathrm{n}>0)$, then $\mathrm{w}<0$, and the system does work on the surroundings ( c and e).
When $\Delta \mathrm{V}<0(\Delta \mathrm{n}<0)$, then $\mathrm{w}>0$, and the surroundings do work on the system (a and d).
When $\Delta \mathrm{V}=0(\Delta \mathrm{n}=0)$, then $\mathrm{w}=0(\mathrm{~b})$.
101. $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {overall }}=\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {step } 1}+\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {step } 2}$; this is a cyclic process, which means that the overall initial state and final state are the same. Because $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ is a state function, $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {overall }}=0$ and $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {step } 1}=-$ $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {step 2 }}$.
$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {step } 1}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=45 \mathrm{~J}+(-10 . \mathrm{J})=35 \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {step } 2}=-\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {step } 1}=-35 \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w},-35 \mathrm{~J}=-60 \mathrm{~J}+\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{w}=25 \mathrm{~J}$
102. $2 \mathrm{~K}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(-481 \mathrm{~kJ})-2(-286 \mathrm{~kJ})=-390 . \mathrm{kJ}$
$5.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}}{39.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}} \times \frac{-390 . \mathrm{kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}}=-24.9 \mathrm{~kJ}$
24.9 kJ of heat is released on reaction of 5.00 g K .
$24,900 \mathrm{~J}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times\left(1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}\right) \times \Delta \mathrm{T}, \Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{24,900}{4.18 \times 1.00 \times 10^{3}}=5.96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Final temperature $=24.0+5.96=30.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
103. $\quad \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{KOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{KNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=-56 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$0.2000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.400 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{56 \mathrm{~kJ} \text { heat released }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HNO}} 33 \mathrm{~L} .5 \mathrm{~kJ}$ heat released if $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ limiting
$0.1500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{56 \mathrm{~kJ} \text { heat released }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{KOH}}=4.2 \mathrm{~kJ}$ heat released if KOH limiting
Because the KOH reagent produces the smaller quantity of heat released, KOH is limiting and 4.2 kJ of heat released.
104. $\quad \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=$ ?
$1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{2.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}=2.00 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ if $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ limiting
$2.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.750 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}=1.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ if $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ limiting
The $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ reagent produces the smaller quantity of product, so $\mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is limiting and $1.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ can form.

Heat gain by solution $=$ heat loss by reaction
Mass of solution $=3.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2.00 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=6.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}$
Heat gain by solution $=\frac{6.37 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{0} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 6.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \times(42.0-30.0)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=4.59 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}$
Because the solution gained heat, the reaction is exothermic; $\mathrm{q}=-4.59 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}$ for the reaction.
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=\frac{-4.59 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}}{1.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}=-3.06 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=-306 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
105. $\left|\mathrm{q}_{\text {surr }}\right|=\left|\mathrm{q}_{\text {solution }}+\mathrm{q}_{\text {cal }}\right|$; we normally assume that $\mathrm{q}_{\text {cal }}$ is zero (no heat gain/loss by the calorimeter). However, if the calorimeter has a nonzero heat capacity, then some of the heat absorbed by the endothermic reaction came from the calorimeter. If we ignore $\mathrm{q}_{\text {cal }}$, then $\mathrm{q}_{\text {surr }}$ is too small, giving a calculated $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ value that is less positive (smaller) than it should be.
106. The specific heat of water is $4.18 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{g}$, which is equal to $4.18 \mathrm{~kJ} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{kg}$.

We have 1.00 kg of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, so: $1.00 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{4.18 \mathrm{~kJ}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg}}=4.18 \mathrm{~kJ} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
This is the portion of the heat capacity that can be attributed to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
Total heat capacity $=\mathrm{C}_{\text {cal }}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}, \quad \mathrm{C}_{\text {cal }}=10.84-4.18=6.66 \mathrm{~kJ} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
107. Heat released $=1.056 \mathrm{~g} \times 26.42 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{g}=27.90 \mathrm{~kJ}=$ heat gain by water and calorimeter

Heat gain $=27.90 \mathrm{~kJ}=\left(\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~kJ}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg}} \times 0.987 \mathrm{~kg} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}\right)+\left(\frac{6.66 \mathrm{~kJ}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}\right)$
$27.90=(4.13+6.66) \Delta \mathrm{T}=(10.79) \Delta \mathrm{T}, \Delta \mathrm{T}=2.586^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$2.586^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-23.32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=25.91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
108. For Exercise 83, a mixture of 3 mol Al and $3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ yields 2677 kJ of energy. The mass of the stoichiometric reactant mixture is:

$$
\left(3 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{26.98 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+\left(3 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{117.49 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)=433.41 \mathrm{~g}
$$

For 1.000 kg of fuel: $1.000 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{-2677 \mathrm{~kJ}}{433.41 \mathrm{~g}}=-6177 \mathrm{~kJ}$
In Exercise 84, we get 4594 kJ of energy from 5 mol of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and 4 mol of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$. The mass is $\left(5 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{92.02 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)+\left(4 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{46.08 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}\right)=644.42 \mathrm{~kJ}$.

For 1.000 kg of fuel: $1.000 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{-4594 \mathrm{~kJ}}{644.42 \mathrm{~g}}=-7129 \mathrm{~kJ}$
Thus we get more energy per kilogram from the $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} / \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ mixture.
109.

| $1 / 2 \mathrm{D}$ | $\rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-1 / 6(-403 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{E}+\mathrm{F}$ | $\rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{~A}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(-105.2 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{C}$ | $\rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{E}+3 / 2 \mathrm{D}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(64.8 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $\mathrm{F}+1 / 2 \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{D}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=47.0 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |  |

110. To avoid fractions, let's first calculate $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for the reaction:

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
6 \mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & & \\
6 \mathrm{FeO}+2 \mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+2 \mathrm{CO} & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-2(18 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
2 \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{CO} & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-(-39 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
3 \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+9 \mathrm{CO} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{Fe}+9 \mathrm{CO}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=3(-23 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline & \mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-66 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

So for $\mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}), \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\frac{-66 \mathrm{~kJ}}{6}=-11 \mathrm{~kJ}$.
111. a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=3 \mathrm{~mol}(227 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-1 \mathrm{~mol}(49 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})=632 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b. Because $3 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ is higher in energy than $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})$, acetylene will release more energy per gram when burned in air. Note that 3 moles of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ has the same mass as 1 mole of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$.
112.

| $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ICl}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-(211.3 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(242.3 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(151.0 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(62.8 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ICl}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=16.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Cl}}^{0}$ |

113. Heat gained by water $=$ heat lost by nickel $=\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}$, where $\mathrm{s}=$ specific heat capacity.

Heat gain $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 150.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=940 \mathrm{~J}$
A common error in calorimetry problems is sign errors. Keeping all quantities positive helps to eliminate sign errors.

Heat loss $=940 \mathrm{~J}=\frac{0.444 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times$ mass $\times(99.8-25.0)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, mass $=\frac{940}{0.444 \times 74.8}=28 \mathrm{~g}$
114. Heat gain by calorimeter $=\frac{1.56 \mathrm{~kJ}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 3.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=5.0 \mathrm{~kJ}=$ heat loss by quinine

Heat loss $=5.0 \mathrm{~kJ}$, which is the heat evolved (exothermic reaction) by the combustion of 0.1964 g of quinone. Because we are at constant volume, $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}}=\Delta \mathrm{E}$.
$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {comb }}=\frac{-5.0 \mathrm{~kJ}}{0.1964 \mathrm{~g}}=-25 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{g} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{E}_{\text {comb }}=\frac{-25 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{108.09 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=-2700 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
115. a. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-166 \mathrm{~kJ}-[143 \mathrm{~kJ}+52 \mathrm{~kJ}]=-361 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b. $\mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=34 \mathrm{~kJ}-[90 . \mathrm{kJ}+143 \mathrm{~kJ}]=-199 \mathrm{~kJ}$
c. $\quad \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-909 \mathrm{~kJ}-[-396 \mathrm{~kJ}+(-286 \mathrm{~kJ})]=-227 \mathrm{~kJ}$
d. $2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(34) \mathrm{kJ}-2(90) \mathrm{kJ}=.-112 \mathrm{~kJ}$

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 116-123 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

124. Only when there is a volume change can PV work be done. In pathway 1 (steps $1+2$ ), only the first step does PV work (step 2 has a constant volume of 30.0 L ). In pathway 2 (steps $3+$ 4), only step 4 does PV work (step 3 has a constant volume of 10.0 L ).

Pathway 1: $\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-2.00 \mathrm{~atm}(30.0 \mathrm{~L}-10.0 \mathrm{~L})=-40.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \text { atm }}$

$$
=-4.05 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}
$$

Pathway 2: $\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-1.00 \operatorname{atm}(30.0 \mathrm{~L}-10.0 \mathrm{~L})=-20.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \text { atm }}$

$$
=-2.03 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}
$$

Note: The sign is negative because the system is doing work on the surroundings (an expansion). We get different values of work for the two pathways; both pathways have the same initial and final states. Because w depends on the pathway, work cannot be a state function.
125. $\quad \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=30.7 \mathrm{~kJ}$; at constant pressure, $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}}=30.7 \mathrm{~kJ}$

Because PV $=\mathrm{nRT}$, at constant pressure and temperature: $\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-\mathrm{RT} \Delta \mathrm{n}$, where:
$\Delta \mathrm{n}=$ moles of gaseous products - moles of gaseous reactants $=1-0=1$
$\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{RT} \Delta \mathrm{n}=-8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}(80 .+273 \mathrm{~K})(1 \mathrm{~mol})=-2940 \mathrm{~J}=-2.94 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=30.7 \mathrm{~kJ}+(-2.94 \mathrm{~kJ})=27.8 \mathrm{~kJ}$
126. $\quad$ Energy needed $=\frac{20 . \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}}{342.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}} \times \frac{5640 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=3.3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}$

Energy from sun $=1.0 \mathrm{~kW} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=1000 \mathrm{~W} / \mathrm{m}^{2}=\frac{1000 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}$
$10,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~m}^{2}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}}=3.6 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{h}$
Percent efficiency $=\frac{\text { energy used per hour }}{\text { total energy per hour }} \times 100=\frac{3.3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kJ}}{3.6 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~kJ}} \times 100=0.92 \%$
127. Energy used in 8.0 hours $=40 . \mathrm{kWh}=\frac{40 . \mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{3600 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~h}}=1.4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kJ}$

Energy from the sun in 8.0 hours $=\frac{10 . \mathrm{kJ}}{\mathrm{sm}^{2}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times 8.0 \mathrm{~h}=2.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$
Only $19 \%$ of the sunlight is converted into electricity:

$$
0.19 \times\left(2.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right) \times \text { area }=1.4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kJ}, \quad \text { area }=25 \mathrm{~m}^{2}
$$

128. a. $2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=[2(-467 \mathrm{~kJ})+(-286 \mathrm{~kJ})+(-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ})]-[2(-207 \mathrm{~kJ})+(-1131 \mathrm{~kJ})]=-69 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$2.0 \times 10^{4}$ gallons $\times \frac{4 \mathrm{qt}}{\mathrm{gal}} \times \frac{946 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{qt}} \times \frac{1.42 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=1.1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~g}$ of concentrated nitric $\quad$ acid solution
$1.1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~g}$ solution $\times \frac{70.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { solution }}=7.7 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$
$7.7 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{63.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}} \times \frac{105.99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}}$ $=6.5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
$7.7 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{63.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}} \times \frac{-69 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}=-4.2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~kJ}$
$4.2 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~kJ}$ of heat was released.
b. They feared the heat generated by the neutralization reaction would vaporize the unreacted nitric acid, causing widespread airborne contamination.
129. $400 \mathrm{kcal} \times \frac{4.18 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{kcal}}=1.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kJ} \approx 2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{PE}=\mathrm{mgz}=\left(180 \mathrm{lb} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{2.205 \mathrm{lb}}\right) \times \frac{9.81 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \times\left(8 \mathrm{in} \times \frac{2.54 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{in}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{100 \mathrm{~cm}}\right)=160 \mathrm{~J} \approx 200 \mathrm{~J}$
200 J of energy is needed to climb one step. The total number of steps to climb are:

$$
2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \text { step }}{200 \mathrm{~J}}=1 \times 10^{4} \text { steps }
$$

130. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})}^{\mathrm{o}}=-285.8 \mathrm{~kJ}$; we want the reverse reaction:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=285.8 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}$; because $\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}$, at constant $T$ and $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{RT} \Delta \mathrm{n}$, where $\Delta \mathrm{n}=$ moles of gaseous products - moles of gaseous reactants. Here, $\Delta \mathrm{n}=\left(1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}+0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)-(0)=$ 1.50 mol .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{~V}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{RT} \Delta \mathrm{n} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=285.8 \mathrm{~kJ}-\left(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~mol}\right) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=285.8 \mathrm{~kJ}-3.72 \mathrm{~kJ}=282.1 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

131. There are five parts to this problem. We need to calculate:
(1) q required to heat $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (s) from $-30 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; use the specific heat capacity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (s)
(2) q required to convert $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ into $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; use $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {fusion }}$
(3) q required to heat $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ from $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; use the specific heat capacity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
(4) q required to convert $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ at $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ into $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H} \mathbf{O}(\mathrm{g})$ at $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
use $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vaporization }}$
(5) q required to heat $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ from $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $140 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; use the specific heat capacity of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$

We will sum up the heat required for all five parts, and this will be the total amount of heat required to convert 1.00 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ at $-30 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ at $140 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{q}_{1}=2.03 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{~g} \times 18.02 \mathrm{~g} \times[0-(-30 .)]^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=1.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{2}=1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \times 6.02 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=6.02 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{3}=4.18 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{~g} \times 18.02 \mathrm{~g} \times(100 .-0)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=7.53 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{4}=1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \times 40.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=4.07 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{5}=2.02 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{~g} \times 18.02 \mathrm{~g} \times(140 .-100 .)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=1.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \mathrm{q}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{q}_{1}+\mathrm{q}_{2}+\mathrm{q}_{3}+\mathrm{q}_{4}+\mathrm{q}_{5}=5.69 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=56.9 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

132. When a mixture of ice and water exists, the temperature of the mixture remains at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until all of the ice has melted. Because an ice-water mixture exists at the end of the process, the temperature remains at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All of the energy released by the element goes to convert ice into water. The energy required to do this is related to $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {fusion }}=6.02 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ (from Exercise 131).

Heat loss by element $=$ heat gain by ice cubes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Heat gain $=109.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.02 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=36.6 \mathrm{~kJ}$
Specific heat of element $=\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\text { mass } \times \Delta \mathrm{T}}=\frac{36,600 \mathrm{~J}}{500.0 \mathrm{~g} \times(195-0)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}=0.375 \mathrm{~J} /{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{g}$

## Integrative Problems

133. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=67.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{3.50 \mathrm{~atm} \times 0.250 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 373 \mathrm{~K}}=2.86 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

$2.86 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}=5.72 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ produced if $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is limiting.
$5.15 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=5.15 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ produced if $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting.
$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is limiting because it produces the smaller quantity of product. The heat required is:

$$
5.15 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \times \frac{67.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}=1.74 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

134. 

a. $4 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}^{0}=-1288.5 \mathrm{~kJ}=[4 \mathrm{~mol}(-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+6 \mathrm{~mol}(-242 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})]- \\
& {\left[4 \mathrm{~mol}_{( }\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving: $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}=-434 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=950$. torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}=1.25 \mathrm{~atm} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }} \times \chi_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=1.25 \mathrm{~atm} \times 0.134$

$$
=0.168 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{0.168 \mathrm{~atm} \times 15.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 373 \mathrm{~K}}=0.0823 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
$0.0823 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{28.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}=2.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
135. Heat loss by $\mathrm{U}=$ heat gain by heavy water; volume of cube $=(\text { cube edge })^{3}$

Mass of heavy water $=1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1.11 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=1110 \mathrm{~g}$
Heat gain by heavy water $=\frac{4.211 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times 1110 \mathrm{~g} \times(28.5-25.5)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=1.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}$
Heat loss by $\mathrm{U}=1.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=\frac{0.117 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cg}} \times$ mass $\times(200.0-28.5)^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, mass $=7.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{U}$
$7.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{U} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{19.05 \mathrm{~g}}=37 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} ;$ cube edge $=\left(37 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)^{1 / 3}=3.3 \mathrm{~cm}$

## Marathon Problems

## 136. Pathway I:

Step 1: $(5.00 \mathrm{~mol}, 3.00 \mathrm{~atm}, 15.0 \mathrm{~L}) \rightarrow(5.00 \mathrm{~mol}, 3.00 \mathrm{~atm}, 55.0 \mathrm{~L})$
$\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-(3.00 \mathrm{~atm})(55.0 \mathrm{~L}-15.0 \mathrm{~L})=-120 . \mathrm{Latm}$
$\mathrm{w}=-120 . \mathrm{L} \mathrm{atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=-12.2 \mathrm{~kJ}$
Step 1 is at constant pressure. The heat released/gained at constant pressure $=q_{p}=\Delta H$. From the problem, $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ for an ideal gas. Using the ideal gas law, let's substitute for $\Delta T$.
$\Delta(\mathrm{PV})=\Delta(\mathrm{nRT})=\mathrm{nR} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ for a specific gas sample. So: $\Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta(\mathrm{PV})}{\mathrm{nR}}$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{p}} \times \frac{\Delta(\mathrm{PV})}{\mathrm{nR}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta(\mathrm{PV})}{\mathrm{R}} ;$ Note: $\Delta(\mathrm{PV})=\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}-\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}\right)$
For an ideal monatomic gas, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{5}{2} \mathrm{R}$; substituting into the above equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=\left(\frac{5}{2} \mathrm{R}\right) \frac{\Delta(\mathrm{PV})}{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{5}{2} \Delta(\mathrm{PV}) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{5}{2} \Delta(\mathrm{PV})=\frac{5}{2}(3.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 55.0 \mathrm{~L}-3.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 15.0 \mathrm{~L})=300 . \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}}=300 . \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \text { atm }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=30.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=30.4 \mathrm{~kJ}-12.2 \mathrm{~kJ}=18.2 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: We could have used $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{v}} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ to calculate the same answer $(\Delta \mathrm{E}=18.2 \mathrm{~kJ})$.
Step 2: $(5.00 \mathrm{~mol}, 3.00 \mathrm{~atm}, 55.0 \mathrm{~L}) \rightarrow(5.00 \mathrm{~mol}, 6.00 \mathrm{~atm}, 20.0 \mathrm{~L})$
In this step, neither pressure nor volume are constant. We will need to determine q in a different way. However, it will always hold for an ideal gas that $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{v}} \Delta \mathrm{T}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}=$ $\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{T}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{v}} \Delta \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{n}\left(\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{R}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta(\mathrm{PV})}{\mathrm{nR}}\right)=\frac{3}{2} \Delta \mathrm{PV} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\frac{3}{2}(120 .-165) \mathrm{L} \text { atm }=-67.5 \mathrm{~L} \text { atm (Carry an extra significant figure.) } \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-67.5 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=-6.8 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{n}\left(\frac{5}{2} \mathrm{R}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta(\mathrm{PV})}{\mathrm{nR}}\right)=\frac{5}{2} \Delta \mathrm{PV} \\
& \left.\Delta \mathrm{H}=\frac{5}{2}(120 .-165) \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~atm}=-113 \mathrm{~L} \text { atm } \quad \text { (Carry an extra significant figure. }\right) \\
& \mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{~V}=-(6.00 \mathrm{~atm})(20.0-55.0) \mathrm{L}=210 . \mathrm{L} \text { atm } \\
& \mathrm{w}=210 . \mathrm{L} \text { atm } \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \text { atm }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=21.3 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w},-6.8 \mathrm{~kJ}=\mathrm{q}+21.3 \mathrm{~kJ}, \mathrm{q}=-28.1 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summary: Path I Step 1 Step 2 Total

| q | 30.4 kJ | -28.1 kJ | 2.3 kJ |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| w | -12.2 kJ | 21.3 kJ | 9.1 kJ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ | 18.2 kJ | -6.8 kJ | 11.4 kJ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ | 30.4 kJ | -11 kJ | 19 kJ |

## Pathway II:

Step 3: $(5.00 \mathrm{~mol}, 3.00 \mathrm{~atm}, 15.0 \mathrm{~L}) \rightarrow(5.00 \mathrm{~mol}, 6.00 \mathrm{~atm}, 15.0 \mathrm{~L})$
Step 3 is at constant volume. The heat released/gained at constant volume $=q_{v}=\Delta \mathrm{E}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{v}} \Delta \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{n}\left(\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{R}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta(\mathrm{PV})}{\mathrm{nR}}\right)=\frac{3}{2} \Delta \mathrm{PV} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{3}{2} \Delta(\mathrm{PV})=\frac{3}{2}(6.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 15.0 \mathrm{~L}-3.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 15.0 \mathrm{~L}) \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{3}{2}(90.0-45.0) \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~atm}=67.5 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}}=67.5 \mathrm{~L} \text { atm } \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=6.84 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{~V}=0 \text { because } \Delta \mathrm{V}=0 \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{E}+\Delta(\mathrm{PV})=67.5 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}+45.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}=112.5 \mathrm{~L} \text { atm }=11.40 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 4: $(5.00 \mathrm{~mol}, 6.00 \mathrm{~atm}, 15.0 \mathrm{~L}) \rightarrow(5.00 \mathrm{~mol}, 6.00 \mathrm{~atm}, 20.0 \mathrm{~L})$
Step 4 is at constant pressure so $q_{p}=\Delta H$.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{nC}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{~T}=\left(\frac{5}{2} \mathrm{R}\right)\left(\frac{\Delta(\mathrm{PV})}{\mathrm{nR}}\right)=\frac{5}{2} \Delta \mathrm{PV}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=\frac{5}{2}(120 .-90.0) \mathrm{L} \text { atm }=75 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}}=75 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \text { atm }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=7.6 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{~V}=-(6.00 \mathrm{~atm})(20.0-15.0) \mathrm{L}=-30 . \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{w}=-30 . \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=-3.0 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=7.6 \mathrm{~kJ}-3.0 \mathrm{~kJ}=4.6 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Summary: Path II Step 3 Step 4 Total

| q | 6.84 kJ | 7.6 kJ | 14.4 kJ |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| w | 0 | -3.0 kJ | -3.0 kJ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ | 6.84 kJ | 4.6 kJ | 11.4 kJ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ | 11.40 kJ | 7.6 kJ | 19.0 kJ |

State functions are independent of the particular pathway taken between two states; path functions are dependent on the particular pathway. In this problem, the overall values of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ for the two pathways are the same (see the two summaries of results); hence $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ are state functions. However, the overall values of q and w for the two pathways are different; hence $q$ and $w$ are path functions.
137. $\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}+\left(\frac{2 x+y / 2}{2}\right) \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow x \mathrm{CO}_{2}+y / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$[x(-393.5)+y / 2(-242)]-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}}^{0}=-2044.5,-(393.5) x-121 y-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}}=-2044.5$
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{gas}}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \cdot \mathrm{MM}}{\mathrm{RT}}$, where $\mathrm{MM}=$ average molar mass of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture
$0.751 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times \mathrm{MM}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 473 \mathrm{~K}}, \mathrm{MM}$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture $=29.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Let $a=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $1.00-a=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (assuming 1.00 total moles of mixture)
$(44.01) a+(1.00-a) \times 18.02=29.1 ;$ solving: $a=0.426 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} ; \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.574 \mathrm{~mol}$
Thus: $\frac{0.574}{0.426}=\frac{\frac{y}{2}}{x}, \quad 2.69=\frac{y}{x}, \quad y=(2.69) x$
For whole numbers, multiply by three, which gives $y=8, x=3$. Note that $y=16, x=6$ is possible, along with other combinations. Because the hydrocarbon has a lower density than Kr , the molar mass of $\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}$ must be less than the molar mass of $\mathrm{Kr}(83.80 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$. Only $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ works.

$$
-2044.5=-393.5(3)-121(8)-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}}^{0}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}}^{0}=-104 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

## CHAPTER 7

## ATOMIC STRUCTURE AND PERIODICITY

## Questions

19. The equations relating the terms are $v \lambda=c, E=h \nu$, and $E=h c / \lambda$. From the equations, wavelength and frequency are inversely related, photon energy and frequency are directly related, and photon energy and wavelength are inversely related. The unit of 1 Joule (J) = 1 $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}{ }^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$. This is why you must change mass units to kg when using the deBroglie equation.
20. Frequency is the number of waves (cycles) of electromagnetic radiation per second that pass a given point in space. Speed refers to the distance a wave travels per unit time. All electromagnetic radiation (EMR) travels at the same speed (c, the speed of light $=2.998 \times 10^{8}$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ). However, each wavelength of EMR has its own unique frequency,
21. The photoelectric effect refers to the phenomenon in which electrons are emitted from the surface of a metal when light strikes it. The light must have a certain minimum frequency (energy) in order to remove electrons from the surface of a metal. Light having a frequency below the minimum value results in no electrons being emitted, whereas light at or higher than the minimum frequency does cause electrons to be emitted. For light having a frequency higher than the minimum frequency, the excess energy is transferred into kinetic energy for the emitted electron. Albert Einstein explained the photoelectric effect by applying quantum theory.
22. The emission of light by excited atoms has been the key interconnection between the macroscopic world we can observe and measure, and what is happening on a microscopic basis within an atom. Excited atoms emit light (which we can observe and measure) because of changes in the microscopic structure of the atom. By studying the emissions of atoms, we can trace back to what happened inside the atom. Specifically, our current model of the atom relates the energy of light emitted to electrons in the atom moving from higher allowed energy states to lower allowed energy states.
23. Example 7.3 calculates the deBroglie wavelength of a ball and of an electron. The ball has a wavelength on the order of $10^{-34} \mathrm{~m}$. This is incredibly short and, as far as the wave- particle duality is concerned, the wave properties of large objects are insignificant. The electron, with its tiny mass, also has a short wavelength; on the order of $10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$. However, this wavelength is significant because it is on the same order as the spacing between atoms in a typical crystal. For very tiny objects like electrons, the wave properties are important. The wave properties must be considered, along with the particle properties, when hypothesizing about the electron motion in an atom.
24. a. For hydrogen $(Z=1)$, the energy levels in units of joules are given by the equation $\mathrm{E}_{n}=$ $-2.178 \times 10^{-18}\left(1 / n^{2}\right)$. As $n$ increases, the differences between $1 / n^{2}$ for consecutive energy levels becomes smaller and smaller. Consider the difference between $1 / n^{2}$ values for $n=1$ and $n=2$ as compared to $n=3$ and $n=4$.

For $n=1$ and $n=2$ :

$$
\frac{1}{1^{2}}-\frac{1}{2^{2}}=1-0.25=0.75
$$

For $n=3$ and $n=4$ :

$$
\frac{1}{3^{2}}-\frac{1}{4^{2}}=0.1111-0.0625=0.0486
$$

Because the energy differences between $1 / n^{2}$ values for consecutive energy levels decrease as $n$ increases, the energy levels get closer together as $n$ increases.
b. For a spectral transition for hydrogen, $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{n_{f}^{2}}-\frac{1}{n_{i}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $n_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the levels of the initial and final states, respectively. A positive value of $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ always corresponds to an absorption of light, and a negative value of $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ always corresponds to an emission of light.

In the diagram, the red line is for the $n_{i}=3$ to $n_{f}=2$ transition.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{3^{2}}\right)=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{9}\right)
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} \times(0.2500-0.1111)=-3.025 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}
$$

The photon of light must have precisely this energy ( $3.025 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\Delta \mathrm{E}|=\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\mathrm{h} \nu=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda} \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{3.025 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=6.567 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=656.7 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Figure 7.2, $\lambda=656.7 \mathrm{~nm}$ is red light so the diagram is correct for the red line.
In the diagram, the green line is for the $n_{i}=4$ to $n_{\mathrm{f}}=2$ transition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{4^{2}}\right)=-4.084 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.084 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=4.864 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=486.4 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Figure 7.2, $\lambda=486.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ is green-blue light. The diagram is consistent with this line.

In the diagram, the blue line is for the $n_{\mathrm{i}}=5$ to $n_{\mathrm{f}}=2$ transition.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{5^{2}}\right)=-4.574 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.574 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=4.343 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=434.3 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Figure 7.2, $\lambda=434.3 \mathrm{~nm}$ is blue or blue-violet light. The diagram is consistent with this line also.
25. The Bohr model was an important step in the development of the current quantum mechanical model of the atom. The idea that electrons can only occupy certain, allowed energy levels is illustrated nicely (and relatively easily). We talk about the Bohr model to present the idea of quantized energy levels.
26. The figure on the left tells us that the probability of finding the electron in the 1 s orbital at points along a line drawn outward from the nucleus in any direction. This probability is greatest close to the nucleus and drops off rapidly as the distance from the nucleus increases.

The figure on the right represents the total probability of finding the electron at a particular distance from the nucleus for a 1 s hydrogen orbital. For this distribution, the hydrogen 1 s orbital is divided into successive thin spherical shells and the total probability of finding the electron in each spherical shell is plotted versus distance from the nucleus. This graph is called the radial probability distribution.

The radial probability distribution initially shows a steady increase with distance from the nucleus, reaches a maximum, then shows a steady decrease. Even though it is likely to find an electron near the nucleus, the volume of the spherical shell close to the nucleus is tiny, resulting in a low radial probability. The maximum radial probability distribution occurs at a distance of $5.29 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~nm}$ from the nucleus; the electron is most likely to be found in the volume of the shell centered at this distance from the nucleus. The $5.29 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~nm}$ distance is the exact radius of innermost $(n=1)$ orbit in the Bohr model.
27. When the p and d orbital functions are evaluated at various points in space, the results sometimes have positive values and sometimes have negative values. The term phase is often associated with the + and - signs. For example, a sine wave has alternating positive and negative phases. This is analogous to the positive and negative values (phases) in the p and d orbitals.
28. The widths of the various blocks in the periodic table are determined by the number of electrons that can occupy the specific orbital(s). In the s block, we have one orbital ( $\ell=0, m_{\ell}$ $=0$ ) that can hold two electrons; the $s$ block is two elements wide. For the $f$ block, there are 7 degenerate f orbitals ( $\ell=3, m_{\ell}=-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3$ ), so the f block is 14 elements wide. The g block corresponds to $\ell=4$. The number of degenerate g orbitals is 9 . This comes from the 9 possible $m_{\ell}$ values when $\ell=4\left(m_{\ell}=-4,-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3,4\right)$. With 9 orbitals, each orbital holding two electrons, the g block would be 18 elements wide. The h block has $\ell=5$, $m_{\ell}=-5,-4,-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3,4,5$. With 11 degenerate $h$ orbitals, the $h$ block would be 22 elements wide.
29. If one more electron is added to a half-filled subshell, electron-electron repulsions will increase because two electrons must now occupy the same atomic orbital. This may slightly decrease the stability of the atom.
30. Size decreases from left to right and increases going down the periodic table. Thus, going one element right and one element down would result in a similar size for the two elements diagonal to each other. The ionization energies will be similar for the diagonal elements since the periodic trends also oppose each other. Electron affinities are harder to predict, but atoms with similar sizes and ionization energies should also have similar electron affinities.
31. The valence electrons are strongly attracted to the nucleus for elements with large ionization energies. One would expect these species to readily accept another electron and have very exothermic electron affinities. The noble gases are an exception; they have a large ionization energy but have an endothermic electron affinity. Noble gases have a filled valence shell of electrons. The added electron in a noble gas must go into a higher $n$ value atomic orbital, having a significantly higher energy, and this is very unfavorable.
32. Electron-electron repulsions become more important when we try to add electrons to an atom. From the standpoint of electron-electron repulsions, larger atoms would have more favorable (more exothermic) electron affinities. Considering only electron-nucleus attractions, smaller atoms would be expected to have the more favorable (more exothermic) electron affinities. These trends are exactly the opposite of each other. Thus the overall variation in electron affinity is not as great as ionization energy in which attractions to the nucleus dominate.
33. For hydrogen and hydrogen-like (one-electron ions), all atomic orbitals with the same $n$ value have the same energy. For polyatomic atoms/ions, the energy of the atomic orbitals also depends on $\ell$. Because there are more nondegenerate energy levels for polyatomic atoms/ions as compared to hydrogen, there are many more possible electronic transitions resulting in more complicated line spectra.
34. Each element has a characteristic spectrum because each element has unique energy levels. Thus the presence of the characteristic spectral lines of an element confirms its presence in any particular sample.
35. Yes, the maximum number of unpaired electrons in any configuration corresponds to a minimum in electron-electron repulsions.
36. The electron is no longer part of that atom. The proton and electron are completely separated.
37. Ionization energy applies to the removal of the electron from an atom in the gas phase. The work function applies to the removal of an electron from the surface of a solid element.
$\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ionization energy; $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{+}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$work function
38. $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ions are the smallest of the alkali metal cations and will be most strongly attracted to the water molecules.

## Exercises

## Light and Matter

39. $\quad v=\frac{c}{\lambda}=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{780 . \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=3.84 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
40. $\quad 99.5 \mathrm{MHz}=99.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~Hz}=99.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; \quad \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{v}=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{99.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=3.01 \mathrm{~m}$
41. $\quad v=\frac{c}{\lambda}=\frac{3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}}=3.0 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{h} v=6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 3.0 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=2.0 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \text { photon }
$$

$$
\frac{2.0 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J}}{\text { photon }} \times \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { photons }}{\mathrm{mol}}=12 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

42. $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{h} v=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{25 \mathrm{~nm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~nm}}}=8.0 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} /$ photon

$$
\frac{8.0 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}{\text { photon }} \times \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { photons }}{\mathrm{mol}}=4.8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

43. $280 \mathrm{~nm}: v=\frac{c}{\lambda}=\frac{3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{280 \mathrm{~nm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~nm}}}=1.1 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$320 \mathrm{~nm}: v=\frac{3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{320 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~nm}}=9.4 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
The compounds in the sunscreen absorb ultraviolet B (UVB) electromagnetic radiation having a frequency from $9.4 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to $1.1 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.
44. S-type cone receptors: $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{v}=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{6.00 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=5.00 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=500 . \mathrm{nm}$

$$
\lambda=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{7.49 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=4.00 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=400 . \mathrm{nm}
$$

S-type cone receptors detect $400-500 \mathrm{~nm}$ light. From Figure 7.2 in the text, this is violet to green light, respectively.

M-type cone receptors: $\lambda=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.76 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=6.30 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=630 . \mathrm{nm}$

$$
\lambda=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{6.62 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=4.53 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=453 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

M-type cone receptors detect 450-630 nm light. From Figure 7.2 in the text, this is blue to orange light, respectively.

L-type cone receptors: $\lambda=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.28 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=7.00 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=700 . \mathrm{nm}$

$$
\lambda=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{6.00 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=5.00 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=500 . \mathrm{nm}
$$

L-type cone receptors detect 500-700 nm light. This represents green to red light, respectively.
45. The wavelength is the distance between consecutive wave peaks. Wave $a$ shows 4 wavelengths, and wave $b$ shows 8 wavelengths.

Wave $a: \lambda=\frac{1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}}{4}=4.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}$
Wave $b: \lambda=\frac{1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}}{8}=2.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}$
Wave $a$ has the longer wavelength. Because frequency and photon energy are both inversely proportional to wavelength, wave $b$ will have the higher frequency and larger photon energy since it has the shorter wavelength.
$v=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\lambda}=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{2.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}}=1.5 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{2.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}}=9.9 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~J}$
Because both waves are examples of electromagnetic radiation, both waves travel at the same speed, c, the speed of light. From Figure 7.2 of the text, both of these waves represent infrared electromagnetic radiation.
46. Referencing Figure 7.2 of the text, $2.12 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$ electromagnetic radiation is X rays.
$\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{107.1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=2.799 \mathrm{~m}$
From the wavelength calculated above, 107.1 MHz electromagnetic radiation is FM radiowaves.

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{3.97 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=5.00 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}
$$

The $3.97 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} /$ photon electromagnetic radiation is visible (green) light.
The photon energy and frequency order will be the exact opposite of the wavelength ordering because E and $v$ are both inversely related to $\lambda$. From the previously calculated wavelengths, the order of photon energy and frequency is:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\text { FM radiowaves }<\text { visible (green) light }<\mathrm{X} \text { rays } \\
\text { longest } \lambda & \text { shortest } \lambda \\
\text { lowest } v & \text { highest } v \\
\text { smallest } \mathrm{E} & \text { largest } \mathrm{E}
\end{array}
$$

47. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{150 . \mathrm{nm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{1 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~nm}}}=1.32 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}$
$1.98 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \text { photon }}{1.32 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}} \times \frac{1 \text { atom } \mathrm{C}}{\text { photon }}=1.50 \times 10^{23}$ atoms C
48. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\mathrm{h} v=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.0 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}}=2.0 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~J}$
$\frac{2.0 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~J}}{\text { photon }} \times \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { photons }}{\mathrm{mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=1.2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~m}}=2.0 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~J}$
$\frac{2.0 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~J}}{\text { photon }} \times \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { photons }}{\mathrm{mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=1.2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
X rays do have an energy greater than the carbon-carbon bond energy. Therefore, X rays could conceivably break carbon-carbon bonds in organic compounds and thereby disrupt the function of an organic molecule. Radiowaves, however, do not have sufficient energy to break carbon-carbon bonds and are therefore relatively harmless.
49. The energy needed to remove a single electron is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{279.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.0221 \times 10^{23}}=4.645 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~kJ}=4.645 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \\
\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}, \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.645 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=4.277 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=427.7 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{gathered}
$$

50. $\quad \frac{208.4 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.0221 \times 10^{23}}=3.461 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~kJ}=3.461 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ to remove one electron

$$
\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}, \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{3.461 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=5.739 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=573.9 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

51. Ionization energy $=$ energy to remove an electron $=7.21 \times 10^{-19}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\mathrm{h} v$ and $\lambda v=\mathrm{c}$. So $v=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\lambda}$ and $\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}$.
$\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{7.21 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=2.76 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=276 \mathrm{~nm}$
52. $\frac{890.1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.0221 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}=\frac{1.478 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~kJ}}{\text { atom }}=\frac{1.478 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}{\text { atom }}$ = ionization energy per atom
$\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}, \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.478 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}=1.344 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=134.4 \mathrm{~nm}$
No, it will take light having a wavelength of 134.4 nm or less to ionize gold. A photon of light having a wavelength of 225 nm is longer wavelength and thus lower energy than 134.4 nm light.
53. a. $10 . \%$ of speed of light $=0.10 \times 3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=3.0 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{mv}}, \lambda=\frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg} \times 3.0 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}=2.4 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}=2.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~nm}
$$

Note: For units to come out, the mass must be in kg because $1 \mathrm{~J}=\frac{1 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}}$.
b. $\quad \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{mv}}=\frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{0.055 \mathrm{~kg} \times 35 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}=3.4 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~m}=3.4 \times 10^{-25} \mathrm{~nm}$

This number is so small that it is insignificant. We cannot detect a wavelength this small. The meaning of this number is that we do not have to worry about the wave properties of large objects.
54. $\quad$ a. $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{mv}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{1.675 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} \times\left(0.0100 \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}=1.32 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~m}$
b. $\quad \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{mv}}, \mathrm{v}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\lambda \mathrm{m}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{75 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m} \times 1.675 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}=5.3 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
55. $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{mv}}, \mathrm{m}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\lambda \mathrm{v}}=\frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{1.5 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m} \times\left(0.90 \times 3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}=1.6 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}$

This particle is probably a proton or a neutron.
56. $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{mv}}, \mathrm{v}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\lambda \mathrm{m}}$; for $\lambda=1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~nm}=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}$ :

$$
\mathrm{v}=\frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg} \times 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}}=7.3 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}
$$

For $\lambda=1.0 \mathrm{~nm}=1.0 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}: \quad \mathrm{v}=\frac{6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{9.11 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg} \times 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=7.3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$

## Hydrogen Atom: The Bohr Model

57. For the H atom $(\mathrm{Z}=1): \mathrm{E}_{n}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} / n^{2}$; for a spectral transition, $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}-\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}\right)
$$

where $n_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $n_{\mathrm{f}}$ are the levels of the initial and final states, respectively. A positive value of $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ always corresponds to an absorption of light, and a negative value of $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ always corresponds to an emission of light.
a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{3^{2}}\right)=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{9}\right)$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} \times(0.2500-0.1111)=-3.025 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}
$$

The photon of light must have precisely this energy ( $3.025 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\Delta \mathrm{E}|=\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\mathrm{h} \nu=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}, \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{3.025} \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \\
&=6.567 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=656.7 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Figure 7.2, this is visible electromagnetic radiation (red light).
b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{4^{2}}\right)=-4.084 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.084 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=4.864 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=486.4 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

This is visible electromagnetic radiation (green-blue light).
c. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{1^{2}}-\frac{1}{2^{2}}\right)=-1.634 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\lambda=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.634 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}=1.216 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=121.6 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

This is ultraviolet electromagnetic radiation.
58.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } & \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{3^{2}}-\frac{1}{4^{2}}\right)=-1.059 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \\
\quad \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.059 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=1.876 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}=1876 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{array}
$$

From Figure 7.2, this is infrared electromagnetic radiation.
b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{4^{2}}-\frac{1}{5^{2}}\right)=-4.901 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.901 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}}=4.053 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m} \\
&=4053 \mathrm{~nm} \text { (infrared) }
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{3^{2}}-\frac{1}{5^{2}}\right)=-1.549 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.549 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=1.282 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}
$$

$$
\text { = } 1282 \text { nm (infrared) }
$$

59. 


a. $3 \rightarrow 2$
b. $4 \rightarrow 2$
c. $2 \rightarrow 1$

Energy levels are not to scale.
60.
$n=1$ $\qquad$
a. $4 \rightarrow 3$
b. $5 \rightarrow 4$
c. $5 \rightarrow 3$

Energy levels are not to scale.
61. The longest wavelength light emitted will correspond to the transition with the smallest energy change (smallest $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ). This is the transition from $\mathrm{n}=6$ to $\mathrm{n}=5$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{5^{2}}-\frac{1}{6^{2}}\right)=-2.662 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{2.662 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}}=7.462 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}=7462 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

The shortest wavelength emitted will correspond to the largest $\Delta E$; this is $n=6 \rightarrow n=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{1^{2}}-\frac{1}{6^{2}}\right)=-2.118 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{2.118 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}=9.379 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}=93.79 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

62. There are 4 possible transitions for an electron in the $n=5$ level $(5 \rightarrow 4,5 \rightarrow 3,5 \rightarrow 2$, and 5 $\rightarrow 1$ ). If an electron initially drops to the $n=4$ level, three additional transitions can occur ( 4 $\rightarrow 3,4 \rightarrow 2$, and $4 \rightarrow 1$ ). Similarly, there are two more transitions from the $n=3$ level ( $3 \rightarrow$ $2,3 \rightarrow 1)$ and one more transition for the $n=2$ level $(2 \rightarrow 1)$. There are a total of 10 possible transitions for an electron in the $n=5$ level for a possible total of 10 different wavelength emissions.
63. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}-\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}\right)=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{5^{2}}-\frac{1}{1^{2}}\right)=2.091 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}$

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{2.091 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}=9.500 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}=95.00 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

Because wavelength and energy are inversely related, visible light ( $\lambda \approx 400-700 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) is not energetic enough to excite an electron in hydrogen from $n=1$ to $n=5$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{6^{2}}-\frac{1}{2^{2}}\right)=4.840 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.840 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=4.104 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=410.4 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Visible light with $\lambda=410.4 \mathrm{~nm}$ will excite an electron from the $n=2$ to the $n=6$ energy level.
64. a. False; it takes less energy to ionize an electron from $n=3$ than from the ground state.
b. True
c. False; the energy difference between $n=3$ and $n=2$ is smaller than the energy difference between $n=3$ and $n=1$; thus the wavelength is larger for the $n=3 \rightarrow n=2$ electronic transition than for the $n=3 \rightarrow n=1$ transition. E and $\lambda$ are inversely proportional to each other ( $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{hc} / \lambda$ ).
d. True
e. False; $n=2$ is the first excited state, and $n=3$ is the second excited state.
65. Ionization from $n=1$ corresponds to the transition $n_{i}=1 \rightarrow n_{f}=\infty$, where $\mathrm{E}_{\infty}=0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\infty}-\mathrm{E}_{1}=-\mathrm{E}_{1}=2.178 \times 10^{-18}\left(\frac{1}{1^{2}}\right)=2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }} \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}=9.120 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}=91.20 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

To ionize from $n=2, \Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\infty}-\mathrm{E}_{2}=-\mathrm{E}_{2}=2.178 \times 10^{-18}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}\right)=5.445 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
$\lambda=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{5.445 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=3.648 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=364.8 \mathrm{~nm}$
66. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\infty}-\mathrm{E}_{n}=-\mathrm{E}_{n}=2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1460 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=1.36 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\Delta \mathrm{E}=1.36 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=2.178 \times 10^{-18}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right), \quad n^{2}=16.0, n=4$
67. $|\Delta \mathrm{E}|=\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\mathrm{h} \nu=6.662 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 6.90 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=4.57 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=-4.57 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ because we have an emission.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -4.57 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{E}_{n}-\mathrm{E}_{5}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{1}{5^{2}}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{1}{25}=0.210, \quad \frac{1}{n^{2}}=0.250, \quad n^{2}=4, \quad n=2
\end{aligned}
$$

The electronic transition is from $n=5$ to $n=2$.
68. $|\Delta \mathrm{E}|=\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{397.2 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=5.001 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ $\Delta \mathrm{E}=-5.001 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ because we have an emission.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -5.001 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{E}_{2}-\mathrm{E}_{n}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \\
& 0.2296=\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{n^{2}}, \frac{1}{n^{2}}=0.0204, n=7
\end{aligned}
$$

## Quantum Mechanics, Quantum Numbers, and Orbitals

69. 

a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{m} \Delta \mathrm{v}=9.11 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg} \times 0.100 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=\frac{9.11 \times 10^{-32} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{p} \Delta x \geq \frac{\mathrm{h}}{4 \pi}, \Delta \mathrm{x}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{4 \pi \Delta \mathrm{p}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{4 \times 3.142 \times\left(9.11 \times 10^{-32} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}=5.79 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~m}
$$

b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{x}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{4 \pi \Delta \mathrm{p}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{4 \times 3.142 \times 0.145 \mathrm{~kg} \times 0.100 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}=3.64 \times 10^{-33} \mathrm{~m}$
c. The diameter of an H atom is roughly $\sim 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$. The uncertainty in position is much larger than the size of the atom.
d. The uncertainty is insignificant compared to the size of a baseball.
70. Units of $\Delta \mathrm{E} \cdot \Delta \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{J} \times \mathrm{s}$, the same as the units of Planck's constant.

Units of $\Delta(\mathrm{mv}) \cdot \Delta \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{kg} \times \frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \mathrm{m}=\frac{\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}}=\frac{\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{~s}^{2}} \times \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{J} \times \mathrm{s}$
71. $n=1,2,3, \ldots ; \quad \ell=0,1,2, \ldots(n-1) ; m_{\ell}=-\ell \ldots-2,-1,0,1,2, \ldots+\ell$
72. a. This general shape represents a p orbital $(\ell=1)$ and because there is a node in each of the lobes, this figure represents a 3p orbital ( $n=3, \ell=1$ )
b. This is an s orbital $(\ell=0)$. And because there is one node present, this is a 2 s orbital ( $n=2, \ell=0$ ).
c. This is the shape of a specific d oriented orbital $(\ell=2)$. This orbital is designated as a $\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$. Because no additional nodes are present inside any of the boundary surfaces, this is a $3 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital $(n=3, \ell=2)$.
73.
a. allowed
b. For $\ell=3, m_{\ell}$ can range from -3 to +3 ; thus +4 is not allowed.
c. $n$ cannot equal zero.
d. $\ell$ cannot be a negative number.
74. a. For $n=3, \ell=3$ is not possible.
d. $m_{\mathrm{s}}$ cannot equal -1 .
e. $\quad \ell$ cannot be a negative number.
f. For $\ell=1, m_{\ell}$ cannot equal 2 .

The quantum numbers in parts b and c are allowed.
75. $\psi^{2}$ gives the probability of finding the electron at that point.
76. The diagrams of the orbitals in the text give only $90 \%$ probabilities of where the electron may reside. We can never be $100 \%$ certain of the location of the electrons due to Heisenberg's uncertainty principle.

## Polyelectronic Atoms

77. He: $1 s^{2}$; Ne: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6}$; Ar: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6}$; each peak in the diagram corresponds to a subshell with different values of $n$. Corresponding subshells are closer to the nucleus for heavier elements because of the increased nuclear charge.
78. In polyelectronic atoms, the orbitals of a given principal quantum level are not degenerate. In polyelectronic atoms, the energy order of the $n=1,2$, and 3 orbitals are (not to scale):


In general, the lower the $n$ value for an orbital, the closer on average the electron can be to the nucleus, and the lower the energy. Within a specific $n$ value orbital (like 2 s vs. 2 p or 3 s vs. $3 p$ vs. 3 d ), it is generally true that $\mathrm{E}_{n \mathrm{~s}}<\mathrm{E}_{n \mathrm{p}}<\mathrm{E}_{n \mathrm{~d}}<\mathrm{E}_{n \mathrm{f}}$.

To rationalize this order, we utilize the radial probability distributions. In the 2 s and 2 p distribution, notice that the 2 s orbital has a small hump of electron density very near the nucleus. This indicates that an electron in the 2 s orbital can be very close to the nucleus some of the time. The 2 s electron penetrates to the nucleus more than a 2 p electron, and with this penetration comes a lower overall energy for the 2 s orbital as compared to the 2 p orbital.

In the $n=3$ radial probability distribution, the 3s electron has two humps of electron density very close to the nucleus, and the 3 p orbital has one hump very close to the nucleus. The 3s orbital electron is most penetrating, with the 3 p orbital electron the next most penetrating, followed by the least penetrating 3d orbital electron. The more penetrating the electron, the lower the overall energy. Hence the 3s orbital is lower energy than the 3p orbitals which is lower energy than the 3d orbitals.
79. 5p: three orbitals $\quad 3 d_{z^{2}}$ : one orbital 4d: five orbitals
$n=5: \quad \ell=0$ ( 1 orbital), $\ell=1$ (3 orbitals), $\ell=2$ (5 orbitals), $\ell=3$ (7 orbitals), $\ell=4$ ( 9 orbitals); total for $n=5$ is 25 orbitals.
$n=4: \quad \ell=0(1), \ell=1(3), \ell=2(5), \ell=3(7)$; total for $n=4$ is 16 orbitals.
80. $1 \mathrm{p}, 0$ electrons $(\ell \neq 1$ when $n=1)$; $6 \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}-\mathrm{y}^{2}}, 2$ electrons (specifies one atomic orbital); $4 \mathrm{f}, 14$ electrons ( 7 orbitals have 4 f designation); $7 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$, 2 electrons (specifies one atomic orbital); 2s, 2 electrons (specifies one atomic orbital); $n=3,18$ electrons (3s, 3p, and 3d orbitals are possible; there are one 3s orbital, three 3p orbitals, and five 3d orbitals).
81. a. $n=4$ : $\quad \ell$ can be $0,1,2$, or 3 . Thus we have $s\left(2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right), \mathrm{p}\left(6 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$, $\mathrm{d}\left(10 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$, and $\mathrm{f}\left(14 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$ orbitals present. Total number of electrons to fill these orbitals is 32 .
b. $n=5, m_{\ell}=+1$ : For $n=5, \ell=0,1,2,3,4$. For $\ell=1,2,3,4$, all can have $m_{\ell}=+1$. There are four distinct orbitals having these quantum numbers, which can hold 8 electrons.
c. $n=5, m_{s}=+1 / 2$ : For $n=5, \quad \ell=0,1,2,3,4$. Number of orbitals $=1,3,5,7,9$ for each value of $\ell$, respectively. There are 25 orbitals with $n=5$. They can hold 50 electrons, and 25 of these electrons can have $m_{\mathrm{s}}=+1 / 2$.
d. $n=3, \ell=2$ : These quantum numbers define a set of 3d orbitals. There are 5 degenerate 3d orbitals that can hold a total of 10 electrons.
e. $n=2, \ell=1$ : These define a set of $2 p$ orbitals. There are 3 degenerate $2 p$ orbitals that can hold a total of 6 electrons.
82. a. It is impossible to have $n=0$. Thus no electrons can have this set of quantum numbers.
b. The four quantum numbers completely specify a single electron in a 2 p orbital.
c. $n=3, m_{\mathrm{s}}=+1 / 2: 3 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{p}$, and 3d orbitals all have $n=3$. These nine orbitals can each hold one electron with $m_{\mathrm{s}}=+1 / 2$; 9 electrons can have these quantum numbers
d. $n=2, \ell=2$ : this combination is not possible $(\ell \neq 2$ for $n=2)$. Zero electrons in an atom can have these quantum numbers.
e. $n=1, \ell=0, m_{\ell}=0$ : these define a 1 s orbital that can hold 2 electrons.
83. a. Na: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{1}$; Na has 1 unpaired electron.

b. Co: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{7}$; Co has 3 unpaired electrons.

c. Kr: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6} ; K r$ has 0 unpaired electrons.

84. The two exceptions are Cr and Cu .
$\mathrm{Cr}: 1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{1} 3 p^{5} ;$ Cr has 6 unpaired electrons.

$\mathrm{Cu}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{1} 3 d^{10} ; \mathrm{Cu}$ has 1 unpaired electron.
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85. Si: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{2}$ or $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 p^{2}$; Ga: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{1}$ or $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{1}$ As: $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{3} ; \mathrm{Ge}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{2} ; \mathrm{Al}:[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{1} ; \mathrm{Cd}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$

S: $\quad[N e] 3 s^{2} 3 p^{4} ;$ Se: $[\operatorname{Ar}] 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{4}$
86. $\mathrm{Cu}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{9}$ (using periodic table), $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$ (actual)

O: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{4} ; ~ L a: ~[X e] 6 s^{2} 5 d^{1} ; ~ Y: ~[K r] 5 s^{2} 4 d^{1} ; ~ B a: ~[X e] 6 s^{2}$
Tl: $[X e] 6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{10} 6 p^{1} ; B i:[X e] 6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{10} 6 p^{3}$
87. The following are complete electron configurations. Noble gas shorthand notation could also be used.

Sc: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{1} ;$ Fe: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{6}$
P: $\quad 1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{3} ; \quad$ Cs: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6} 5 s^{2} 4 d^{10} 5 p^{6} 6 s^{1}$
Eu: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6} 5 s^{2} 4 d^{10} 5 p^{6} 6 s^{2} 4 f^{6} 5 d^{1} *$
Pt: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6} 5 s^{2} 4 d^{10} 5 p^{6} 6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{8} *$
Xe: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6} 5 s^{2} 4 d^{10} 5 p^{6} ; \quad B r: ~ 1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{5}$
*Note: These electron configurations were predicted using only the periodic table. The actual electron configurations are: Eu: $[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{7}$ and Pt: $[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{9}$
88.
Cl: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{5}$ or $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 p^{5}$
Sb: $[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{3}$
Sr: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6} 5 s^{2}$ or $[K r] 5 s^{2} \quad W:[X e] 6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{4}$
$\mathrm{Pb}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 6 \mathrm{p}^{2}$
Cf: $[R n] 7 s^{2} 5 f^{10 *}$
*Note: Predicting electron configurations for lanthanide and actinide elements is difficult since they have 0,1 , or 2 electrons in d orbitals. This is the actual Cf electron configuration.
89. a. Both In and I have one unpaired 5p electron, but only the nonmetal I would be expected to form a covalent compound with the nonmetal F. One would predict an ionic compound to form between the metal In and the nonmetal F.

I: $[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{5} \quad \uparrow \downarrow \frac{\uparrow \downarrow}{5 \mathrm{p}} \uparrow$
b. From the periodic table, this will be element 120. Element 120: $[R n] 7 s^{2} 5 f^{14} 6 d^{10} 7 p^{6} 8 s^{2}$
c. Rn : [Xe] $6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 6 \mathrm{p}^{6}$; note that the next discovered noble gas will also have 4 f electrons (as well as 5 f electrons).
d. This is chromium, which is an exception to the predicted filling order. Cr has 6 unpaired electrons, and the next most is 5 unpaired electrons for Mn.

$$
\text { Cr: }[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5} \underset{4 \mathrm{~s}}{\uparrow} \uparrow \underset{3 \mathrm{~d}}{ } \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow
$$

90. a. As: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{3}$
b. Element 116 will be below Po in the periodic table: [Rn] $7 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 5 \mathrm{f}^{14} 6 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 7 \mathrm{p}^{4}$
c. Ta: $[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{3}$ or Ir: $[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{7}$
d. At: $[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 6 \mathrm{p}^{5}$; note that element 117 will also have electrons in the $6 p$ atomic orbitals (as well as electrons in the 7 p orbitals).
91. a. The complete ground state electron for this neutral atom is $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{4}$. This atom has $2+2+6+2+4=16$ electrons. Because the atom is neutral, it also has 16 protons, making the atom sulfur, S .
b. Complete excited state electron configuration: $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 2 \mathrm{p}^{4}$; this neutral atom has $2+1+4$ $=7$ electrons, which means it has 7 protons, which identifies it as nitrogen, N .
c. Complete ground state electron configuration: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{5}$; this $1-$ charged ion has 35 electrons. Because the overall charge is $1-$, this ion has 34 protons which identifies it as selenium. The ion is $\mathrm{Se}^{-}$.
92. 

a. This atom has 10 electrons. Ne
b. S
c. The predicted ground state configuration is $[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{9}$. From the periodic table, the element is Ag . Note: $[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$ is the actual ground state electron configuration for Ag .
d. Bi: [Xe] $6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{10} 6 p^{3}$; the three unpaired electrons are in the $6 p$ orbitals.
93. $\quad \mathrm{Hg}: ~ 1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6} 5 s^{2} 4 d^{10} 5 p^{6} 6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{10}$
a. From the electron configuration for Hg , we have $3 s^{2}, 3 \mathrm{p}^{6}$, and $3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$ electrons; 18 total electrons with $n=3$.
b. $3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}, 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10}, 5 \mathrm{~d}^{10} ; 30$ electrons are in the d atomic orbitals.
c. $2 p^{6}, 3 p^{6}, 4 p^{6}, 5 p^{6}$; each set of $n p$ orbitals contain one $p_{z}$ atomic orbital. Because we have 4 sets of $n$ p orbitals and two electrons can occupy the $p_{z}$ orbital, there are $4(2)=8$ electrons in $p_{z}$ atomic orbitals.
d. All the electrons are paired in Hg , so one-half of the electrons are spin up ( $m_{s}=+1 / 2$ ) and the other half are spin down ( $m_{s}=-1 / 2$ ). 40 electrons have spin up.
94. Element 115, Uup, is in Group 5A under Bi (bismuth):

Uup: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6} 5 s^{2} 4 d^{10} 5 p^{6} 6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{10} 6 p^{6} 7 s^{2} 5 f^{14} 6 d^{10} 7 p^{3}$
a. $5 s^{2}, 5 p^{6}, 5 d^{10}$, and $5 f^{14} ; 32$ electrons have $n=5$ as one of their quantum numbers.
b. $\quad \ell=3$ are $f$ orbitals. $4 f^{14}$ and $5 f^{14}$ are the $f$ orbitals used. They are all filled, so 28 electrons have $\ell=3$.
c. $\quad \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}$, and f orbitals all have one of the degenerate orbitals with $m_{\ell}=1$. There are 6 orbitals with $m_{\ell}=1$ for the various $p$ orbitals used; there are 4 orbitals with $m_{\ell}=1$ for the various d orbitals used; and there are 2 orbitals with $m_{\ell}=1$ for the various f orbitals used. We have a total of $6+4+2=12$ orbitals with $m_{\ell}=1$. Eleven of these orbitals are filled with 2 electrons, and the 7 p orbitals are only half-filled. The number of electrons with $m_{\ell}=1$ is $11 \times\left(2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)+1 \times\left(1 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)=23$ electrons.
d. The first 112 electrons are all paired; one-half of these electrons (56 $\mathrm{e}^{-}$) will have $m_{\mathrm{s}}=$ $-1 / 2$. The 3 electrons in the 7 p orbitals singly occupy each of the three degenerate $7 p$ orbitals; the three electrons are spin parallel, so the 7 p electrons either have $m_{\mathrm{s}}=+1 / 2$ or $m_{\mathrm{s}}=-1 / 2$. Therefore, either 56 electrons have $m_{\mathrm{s}}=-1 / 2$ or 59 electrons have $m_{\mathrm{s}}=-1 / 2$.
95. B: $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{1}$

|  | $n$ | $\ell$ | $m_{\ell}$ | $m_{\mathrm{s}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1s | 1 | 0 | 0 | $+1 / 2$ |
| 1s | 1 | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 2$ |
| 2s | 2 | 0 | 0 | $+1 / 2$ |
| 2s | 2 | 0 | 0 | $-1 / 2$ |
| 2p* | 2 | 1 | -1 | $+1 / 2$ |

*This is only one of several possibilities for the 2 p electron. The $2 p$ electron in $B$ could have $m_{\ell}=-1,0$ or +1 and $m_{\mathrm{s}}=+1 / 2$ or $-1 / 2$ for a total of six possibilities.
$\mathrm{N}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{3}$
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & n & \ell & m_{\ell} & m_{\mathrm{s}} \\ & & & \\ 1 \mathrm{~s} & 1 & 0 & 0 & +1 / 2 \\ 1 \mathrm{~s} & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 / 2 \\ 2 \mathrm{~s} & 2 & 0 & 0 & +1 / 2 \\ 2 \mathrm{~s} & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 / 2 \\ 2 \mathrm{p} & 2 & 1 & -1 & +1 / 2 \\ 2 \mathrm{p} & 2 & 1 & 0 & +1 / 2 \\ 2 \mathrm{p} & 2 & 1 & +1 & +1 / 2\end{array}\right\} \quad$ (Or all 2p electrons could have $m_{\mathrm{s}}=-1 / 2$. )
96. $\mathrm{Ti}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$
$n \quad \ell \quad m_{\ell} \quad m_{s}$
4s $\quad 4 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad+1 / 2$
4s $\quad 4 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad-1 / 2$
3d $\quad 3 \quad 2 \quad-2+1 / 2$
Only one of 10 possible combinations of $m_{\ell}$ and $m_{s}$ for the first $d$ electron. For the ground state, the second d electron should be in a different orbital with spin parallel; 4 possibilities.
3d $\quad 3 \quad 2 \quad-1 \quad+1 / 2$
97. Group 1A: 1 valence electron; $n s^{1}$; Li: $[\mathrm{He}] 2 s^{1} ; 2 s^{1}$ is the valence electron configuration for Li.

Group 2A: 2 valence electrons; $n s^{2}$; Ra: [Rn]7s ${ }^{2} ; 7 s^{2}$ is the valence electron configuration for Ra.

Group 3A: 3 valence electrons; $n s^{2} n p^{1}$; Ga: [Ar]4s ${ }^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{1} ; 4 s^{2} 4 p^{1}$ is the valence electron configuration for Ga . Note that valence electrons for the representative elements of Groups $1 \mathrm{~A}-8 \mathrm{~A}$ are considered those electrons in the highest $n$ value, which for Ga is $n=4$. We do not include the 3d electrons as valence electrons because they are not in $n=4$ level.

Group 4A: 4 valence electrons; $n s^{2} n p^{2} ; ~ \mathrm{Si}:[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{2} ; 3 s^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{2}$ is the valence electron configuration for Si .

Group 5A: 5 valence electrons; $n s^{2} n p^{3}$; Sb: $[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 s^{2} 4 d^{10} 5 p^{3} ; ~ 5 s^{2} 5 p^{3}$ is the valence electron configuration for Sb .

Group 6A: 6 valence electrons; $n s^{2} n p^{4}$; Po: [Xe] $6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{10} 6 p^{4} ; \quad 6 s^{2} 6 p^{4}$ is the valence electron configuration for Po.

Group 7A: 7 valence electrons; $n s^{2} n p^{5} ; 117$ : [Rn] $7 s^{2} 5 f^{14} 6 d^{10} 7 p^{5} ; 7 s^{2} 7 p^{5}$ is the valence electron configuration for 117.

Group 8A: 8 valence electrons; $n s^{2} n p^{6}$; Ne: [He] $2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} ; 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6}$ is the valence electron configuration for Ne .
98. a. 2 valence electrons; $4 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$
b. 6 valence electrons; $2 s^{2} 2 p^{4}$
c. 7 valence electrons; $7 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 7 \mathrm{p}^{5}$
d. 3 valence electrons; $5 s^{2} 5 p^{1}$
e. 8 valence electrons; $3 s^{2} 3 p^{6}$
f. 5 valence electrons; $6 s^{2} 6 p^{3}$
99. $\mathrm{O}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{2} \quad(\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \ldots)$; there are no unpaired electrons in this oxygen atom. This configuration would be an excited state, and in going to the more stable ground state ( $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$ ), energy would be released.
100. The number of unpaired electrons is in parentheses.
a. excited state of boron
B ground state: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{1}$
b. ground state of neon
d. excited state of iron
c. exited state of fluorine
Fe ground state: [ Ar$] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\uparrow \downarrow \frac{\uparrow \downarrow}{2 p} \uparrow \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \frac{\uparrow}{3 \mathrm{~d}} \uparrow \uparrow \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

101. None of the s block elements have 2 unpaired electrons. In the p block, the elements with either $n s^{2} n p^{2}$ or $n s^{2} n p^{4}$ valence electron configurations have 2 unpaired electrons. For elements 1-36, these are elements C, Si, and Ge (with $n s^{2} n p^{2}$ ) and elements $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}$, and Se (with $n s^{2} n p^{4}$ ). For the $d$ block, the elements with configurations $n d^{2}$ or $n d^{8}$ have two unpaired electrons. For elements $1-36$, these are $\mathrm{Ti}\left(3 \mathrm{~d}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ni}\left(3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}\right)$. A total of 8 elements from the first 36 elements have two unpaired electrons in the ground state.
102. The $s$ block elements with $n s^{1}$ for a valence electron configuration have one unpaired electron. These are elements $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{Na}$, and K for the first 36 elements. The p block elements with $n s^{2} n p^{1}$ or $n s^{2} n p^{5}$ valence electron configurations have one unpaired electron. These are elements B, Al, and $\mathrm{Ga}\left(n s^{2} n p^{1}\right)$ and elements $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}$, and $\mathrm{Br}\left(n s^{2} n p^{5}\right)$ for the first 36 elements. In the d block, $\mathrm{Sc}\left([\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{1}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Cu}\left([\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}\right)$ each have one unpaired electron. A total of 12 elements from the first 36 elements have one unpaired electron in the ground state.
103. We get the number of unpaired electrons by examining the incompletely filled subshells. The paramagnetic substances have unpaired electrons, and the ones with no unpaired electrons are not paramagnetic (they are called diamagnetic).

Li: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{1} \uparrow$; paramagnetic with 1 unpaired electron.
2s
$\mathrm{N}: ~ 1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{3} \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$; paramagnetic with 3 unpaired electrons.
2p

Te: $[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{4} \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$; paramagnetic with 2 unpaired electrons. 5p

Ba: $[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} \frac{\uparrow \downarrow}{6 \mathrm{~s}}$; not paramagnetic because no unpaired electrons are present.
Hg: $[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{145} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{10} \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow$; not paramagnetic because no unpaired electrons. 5d
104. We get the number of unpaired electrons by examining the incompletely filled subshells.

| $\mathrm{O}:[\mathrm{He}] 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{4}$ | $2 \mathrm{p}^{4}:$ | $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | two unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}^{+}:[\mathrm{He}] 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{3}$ | $2 \mathrm{p}^{3}:$ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | three unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{O}^{-}:[\mathrm{He}] 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{5}$ | $2 \mathrm{p}^{5}:$ | $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow$ | one unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |
| Os: $[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$ | $5 \mathrm{~d}^{6}:$ | $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | four unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{Zr}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$ | $4 \mathrm{~d}^{2}:$ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow-\sim$ | two unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |
| $\mathrm{S}:[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{4}$ | $3 \mathrm{p}^{4}:$ | $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | two unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |


| F: $[\mathrm{He}] 2 s^{2} 2 p^{5}$ | $2 p^{5}:$ | $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow$ | one unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ar: $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{6}$ | $3 p^{6}$ | $\uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow \uparrow \downarrow$ | zero unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |

## The Periodic Table and Periodic Properties

105. Size (radius) decreases left to right across the periodic table, and size increases from top to bottom of the periodic table.
a. $\mathrm{S}<\mathrm{Se}<\mathrm{Te}$
b. $\mathrm{Br}<\mathrm{Ni}<\mathrm{K}$
c. $\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{Si}<\mathrm{Ba}$

All follow the general radius trend.
106.
a. $\mathrm{Be}<\mathrm{Na}<\mathrm{Rb}$
b. $\mathrm{Ne}<\mathrm{Se}<\mathrm{Sr}$
c. $\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{P}<\mathrm{Fe}$

All follow the general radius trend.
107. The ionization energy trend is the opposite of the radius trend; ionization energy (IE), in general, increases left to right across the periodic table and decreases from top to bottom of the periodic table.
a. $\mathrm{Te}<\mathrm{Se}<\mathrm{S}$
b. $\mathrm{K}<\mathrm{Ni}<\mathrm{Br}$
c. $\mathrm{Ba}<\mathrm{Si}<\mathrm{F}$

All follow the general ionization energy trend.
108.
a. $\mathrm{Rb}<\mathrm{Na}<\mathrm{Be}$
b. $\mathrm{Sr}<\mathrm{Se}<\mathrm{Ne}$
c. $\mathrm{Fe}<\mathrm{P}<\mathrm{O}$

All follow the general ionization energy trend.
109.
a. He (From the general radius trend.)
b. Cl
c. Element 116 is the next oxygen family member to be discovered (under Po), element 119 is the next alkali metal to be discovered (under Fr), and element 120 is the next alkaline earth metal to be discovered (under Ra). From the general radius trend, element 116 will be the smallest.
d. Si
e. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$; this ion has the fewest electrons as compared to the other sodium species present. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$has the smallest number of electron-electron repulsions, which makes it the smallest ion with the largest ionization energy.
110.
a. Ba (From the general ionization energy trend.)
b. K
c. O; in general, Group 6A elements have a lower ionization energy than neighboring Group 5A elements. This is an exception to the general ionization energy trend across the periodic table.
d. $S^{2-}$; this ion has the most electrons compared to the other sulfur species present. $S^{2-}$ has the largest number of electron-electron repulsions, which leads to $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ having the largest size and smallest ionization energy.
e. Cs; this follows the general ionization energy trend.
111.
a. Sg: $[R n] 7 s^{2} 5 f^{14} 6 d^{4} \quad$ b. W
c. Sg is in the same group as chromium and would be expected to form compounds and ions similar to that of chromium. $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}, \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}$ are some know chromium compounds/ions, so $\mathrm{SgO}_{3}, \mathrm{Sg}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{SgO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{Sg}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}$ are some likely possibilities.
112. a. Uus will have 117 electrons. [Rn] $7 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 5 \mathrm{f}^{14} 6 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 7 \mathrm{p}^{5}$
b. It will be in the halogen family and will be most similar to astatine (At).
c. Uus should form 1- charged anions like the other halogens. Like the other halogens, some possibilities are $\mathrm{NaUus}, \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{Uus})_{2}, \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Uus})_{4}$, and $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Uus})_{2}$
d. Assuming Uus is like the other halogens, some possibilities are $\mathrm{UusO}^{-}, \mathrm{UusO}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{UusO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{UuSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$.
113. As: $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{3}$; Se: $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{4}$; the general ionization energy trend predicts that Se should have a higher ionization energy than As. Se is an exception to the general ionization energy trend. There are extra electron-electron repulsions in Se because two electrons are in the same 4 p orbital, resulting in a lower ionization energy for Se than predicted.
114. Expected order from the ionization energy trend: $\mathrm{Be}<\mathrm{B}<\mathrm{C}<\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{O}$
$B$ and $O$ are exceptions to the general ionization energy trend. The ionization energy of $O$ is lower because of the extra electron-electron repulsions present when two electrons are paired in the same orbital. This makes it slightly easier to remove an electron from O compared to N . B is an exception because of the smaller penetrating ability of the 2 p electron in B compared to the 2 s electrons in Be. The smaller penetrating ability makes it slightly easier to remove an electron from B compared to Be . The correct ionization energy ordering, taking into account the two exceptions, is $\mathrm{B}<\mathrm{Be}<\mathrm{C}<\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{N}$.
115. a. As we remove succeeding electrons, the electron being removed is closer to the nucleus, and there are fewer electrons left repelling it. The remaining electrons are more strongly attracted to the nucleus, and it takes more energy to remove these electrons.
b. Al: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{1}$; for $\mathrm{I}_{4}$, we begin removing an electron with $n=2$. For $\mathrm{I}_{3}$, we remove an electron with $n=3$ (the last valence electron). In going from $n=3$ to $n=2$, there is a big jump in ionization energy because the $n=2$ electrons are closer to the nucleus on average than the $n=3$ electrons. Since the $n=2$ electrons are closer, on average, to the nucleus, they are held more tightly and require a much larger amount of energy to remove compared to the $n=3$ electrons. In general, valence electrons are much easier to remove than inner-core electrons.
116. The general ionization energy trend says that ionization energy increases going left to right across the periodic table. However, one of the exceptions to this trend occurs between Groups 2A and 3A. Between these two groups, Group 3A elements usually have a lower ionization energy than Group 2A elements. Therefore, Al should have the lowest first ionization energy value, followed by Mg , with Si having the largest ionization energy. Looking at the values for the first ionization energy in the graph, the green plot is Al , the blue plot is Mg , and the red plot is Si .

Mg (the blue plot) is the element with the huge jump between $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{3}$. Mg has two valence electrons, so the third electron removed is an inner core electron. Inner core electrons are always much more difficult to remove than valence electrons since they are closer to the nucleus, on average, than the valence electrons.
117. a. More favorable electron affinity: C and Br ; the electron affinity trend is very erratic. Both N and Ar have positive electron affinity values (unfavorable) due to their electron configurations (see text for detailed explanation).
b. Higher ionization energy: N and Ar (follows the ionization energy trend)
c. Larger size: C and Br (follows the radius trend)
118. a. More favorable electron affinity: K and $\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{Mg}$ has a positive electron affinity value, and F has a more positive electron affinity value than expected from its position relative to Cl .
b. Higher ionization energy: Mg and F c. Larger radius: K and Cl
119. $\mathrm{Al}(-44), \mathrm{Si}(-120), \mathrm{P}(-74), \mathrm{S}(-200.4), \mathrm{Cl}(-348.7)$; based on the increasing nuclear charge, we would expect the electron affinity values to become more exothermic as we go from left to right in the period. Phosphorus is out of line. The reaction for the electron affinity of $P$ is:

$$
\underset{[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{3}}{\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}} \underset{[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{4}}{\mathrm{P}^{-}(\mathrm{g})}
$$

The additional electron in $\mathrm{P}^{-}$will have to go into an orbital that already has one electron. There will be greater repulsions between the paired electrons in $\mathrm{P}^{-}$, causing the electron affinity of P to be less favorable than predicted based solely on attractions to the nucleus.
120. Electron affinity refers to the energy associated with the process of adding an electron to a gaseous substance. $\mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{N}$, and Ne all have endothermic (unfavorable) electron affinity values. In order to add an electron to $\mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{N}$, or Ne , energy must be added. Another way of saying this is that $\mathrm{Be}, \mathrm{N}$, and Ne become less stable (have a higher energy) when an electron is added to each. To rationalize why those three atoms have endothermic (unfavorable) electron affinity values, let's see what happens to the electron configuration as an electron is added.


In each case something energetically unfavorable occurs when an electron is added. For Be , the added electron must go into a higher-energy 2 p atomic orbital because the 2 s orbital is full. In $N$, the added electron must pair up with another electron in one of the $2 p$ atomic orbitals; this adds electron-electron repulsions. In Ne, the added electron must be added to a much higher 3 s atomic orbital because the $\mathrm{n}=2$ orbitals are full.
121. The electron affinity trend is very erratic. In general, electron affinity decreases down the periodic table, and the trend across the table is too erratic to be of much use.
a. $\mathrm{Se}<\mathrm{S}$; S is most exothermic.
b. $\mathrm{I}<\mathrm{Br}<\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{Cl}$; Cl is most exothermic. ( F is an exception).
122. a. $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{F}$ is most exothermic.
b. $\mathrm{Al}<\mathrm{P}<\mathrm{Si}$; Si is most exothermic.
123. Electron-electron repulsions are much greater in $\mathrm{O}^{-}$than in $\mathrm{S}^{-}$because the electron goes into a smaller 2 p orbital versus the larger 3 p orbital in sulfur. This results in a more favorable (more exothermic) electron affinity for sulfur.
124. O ; the electron-electron repulsions will be much more severe for $\mathrm{O}^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ than for O $+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}^{-}$, resulting in O having the more exothermic (favorable) electron affinity.
125.
a. $\quad \mathrm{Se}^{3+}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Se}^{4+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$
b. $\mathrm{S}^{-}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{g})$
c. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})$
d. $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$
126. a. The electron affinity (EA) of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ is $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g})$; this is just the reverse of the second ionization energy $\left(\mathrm{I}_{2}\right)$ for Mg . $\mathrm{EA}\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right)=-\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{Mg})=-1445$ $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Table 7.5). Note that when an equation is reversed, the sign on the equation is also reversed.
b. $\quad \mathrm{I}_{1}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} ; \mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right)=-\mathrm{EA}(\mathrm{Cl})=348.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ (Table 7.7)
c. $\quad \mathrm{Cl}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=-\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{Cl})=-1255 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=\mathrm{EA}\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{+}\right)$(Table 7.5)
d. $\quad \mathrm{Mg}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=-\mathrm{EA}(\mathrm{Mg})=-230 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=\mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{-}\right)$

## Alkali Metals

127. It should be potassium peroxide $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ because $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ions are stable in ionic compounds. $\mathrm{K}^{2+}$ ions are not stable; the second ionization energy of K is very large compared to the first.
128. 

a. $\mathrm{Li}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; lithium nitride
b. NaBr; sodium bromide
c. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$; potassium sulfide
129. $\quad v=\frac{c}{\lambda}=\frac{2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{455.5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=6.582 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{h} v=6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 6.582 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=4.361 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
130. For $589.0 \mathrm{~nm}: v=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\lambda}=\frac{2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{589.0 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=5.090 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{h} v=6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 5.090 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=3.373 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}
$$

For $589.6 \mathrm{~nm}: ~ v=\mathrm{c} / \lambda=5.085 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; \quad \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{h} v=3.369 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
The energies in $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.373 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}} \times \frac{6.0221 \times 10^{23}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=203.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& 3.369 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}} \times \frac{6.0221 \times 10^{23}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=202.9 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

131. Yes; the ionization energy general trend is to decrease down a group, and the atomic radius trend is to increase down a group. The data in Table 7.8 confirm both of these general trends.
132. It should be element 119 with the ground state electron configuration [Rn]7s ${ }^{2} 5 f^{14} 6 d^{10} 7 p^{6} 8 s^{1}$.
133. 

a. $6 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Li}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~s})$
a. $2 \mathrm{Cs}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CsOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
b. $2 \mathrm{Rb}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s})$
b. $2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})$
134.

## Additional Exercises

135. No; lithium metal is very reactive. It will react somewhat violently with water, making it completely unsuitable for human consumption. Lithium has a small first ionization energy, so it is more likely that the lithium prescribed will be in the form of a soluble lithium salt (a soluble ionic compound with $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$as the cation).
136. 

a. $\quad \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{v}=\frac{3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{6.0 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=5.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}$
b. From Figure 7.2, this is infrared electromagnetic radiation.
c. $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{h} v=6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 6.0 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=4.0 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J} /$ photon

$$
\frac{4.0 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{~J}}{\text { photon }} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { photons }}{\mathrm{mol}}=2.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

d. Frequency and photon energy are directly related $(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{hv})$. Because $5.4 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ electromagnetic radiation (EMR) has a lower frequency than $6.0 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \mathrm{EMR}$, the 5.4 $\times 10^{13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ EMR will have less energetic photons.
137. $\mathrm{E}=\frac{310 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23}}=5.15 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~kJ}=5.15 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}, \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{5.15 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=3.86 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=386 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

138. Energy to make water boil $=\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{g}} \times 50.0 \mathrm{~g} \times 75.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=1.57 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{9.75 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~m}}=2.04 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~J} \\
& 1.57 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{750 . \mathrm{J}}=20.9 \mathrm{~s} ; 1.57 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \text { photon }}{2.04 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~J}}=7.70 \times 10^{27} \text { photons }
\end{aligned}
$$

139. $60 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~km} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~km}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}}=200 \mathrm{~s}$ (about 3 minutes)
140. $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{3.59 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=5.53 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m} \times \frac{100 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~m}}$

$$
=5.53 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}
$$

From the spectrum, $\lambda=5.53 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}$ is greenish-yellow light.
141. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}\left(\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}-\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}\right)=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{6^{2}}\right)=-4.840 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{4.840 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=4.104 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m} \times & \frac{100 \mathrm{~cm}}{\mathrm{~m}} \\
& =4.104 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the spectrum, $\lambda=4.104 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~cm}$ is violet light, so the $n=6$ to $n=2$ visible spectrum line is violet.
142. Exceptions: Cr, Cu, Nb, Mo, Tc, Ru, Rh, Pd, Ag, Pt, and Au; Tc, Ru, Rh, Pd, and Pt do not correspond to the supposed extra stability of half-filled and filled subshells as normally applied.
143.
a. True for H only.
b. True for all atoms.
c. True for all atoms.
144. $n=5 ; m_{\ell}=-4,-3,-2,-1,0,1,2,3,4 ; 18$ electrons
145. $1 \mathrm{p}: n=1, \ell=1$ is not possible; 3f: $n=3, \ell=3$ is not possible; $2 \mathrm{~d}: n=2, \ell=2$ is not possible; in all three incorrect cases, $n=\ell$. The maximum value $\ell$ can have is $n-1$, not $n$.
146. O: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{4} ;$ C: $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{2} ; \quad \mathrm{H}: 1 s^{1} ; \mathrm{N}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{3} ;$ Ca: $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} ; \mathrm{P}:[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{3}$

Mg: [Ne]3s ${ }^{2}$; K: [Ar]4s ${ }^{1}$
147. From the radii trend, the smallest-size element (excluding hydrogen) would be the one in the most upper right corner of the periodic table. This would be O . The largest-size element would be the one in the most lower left of the periodic table. Thus K would be the largest. The ionization energy trend is the exact opposite of the radii trend. So K, with the largest size, would have the smallest ionization energy. From the general ionization energy trend, O should have the largest ionization energy. However, there is an exception to the general ionization energy trend between N and O . Due to this exception, N would have the largest ionization energy of the elements examined.
148. a. The $4+$ ion contains 20 electrons. Thus the electrically neutral atom will contain 24 electrons. The atomic number is 24 , which identifies it as chromium.
b. The ground state electron configuration of the ion must be $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{0} 3 d^{2}$; there are 6 electrons in s orbitals.
c. 12
d. 2
e. From the mass, this is the isotope ${ }_{24}^{50} \mathrm{Cr}$. There are 26 neutrons in the nucleus.
f. $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{6} 3 s^{2} 3 p^{6} 4 s^{1} 3 d^{5}$ is the ground state electron configuration for Cr . Cr is an exception to the normal filling order.
149. Valence electrons are easier to remove than inner-core electrons. The large difference in energy between $I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ indicates that this element has two valence electrons. This element is most likely an alkaline earth metal since alkaline earth metal elements all have two valence electrons.
150. All oxygen family elements have $n s^{2} n p^{4}$ valence electron configurations, so this nonmetal is from the oxygen family.
a. $2+4=6$ valence electrons.
b. $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{Se}$, and Te are the nonmetals from the oxygen family (Po is a metal).
c. Because oxygen family nonmetals form 2 - charged ions in ionic compounds, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{X}$ would be the predicted formula, where X is the unknown nonmetal.
d. From the size trend, this element would have a smaller radius than barium.
e. From the ionization energy trend, this element would have a smaller ionization energy than fluorine.
151.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \mathrm{I}_{1}=495 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \mathrm{EA}=-348.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\left.\hline \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{g}\right) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=146 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

| b. | $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{1}=735 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\mathrm{EA}=-327.8 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=407 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |  |
| c. | $\mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{I}_{2}=1445 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\mathrm{EA}=-327.8 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1117 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

d. Using parts b and c , we get:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=407 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=1117 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\hline \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=1524 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

152. Sc: [Ar]4s ${ }^{2} 3 d^{1}, 1$ unpaired electron; $\mathrm{Ti}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{2} ; 2$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-} ; \mathrm{V}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{3}, 3$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$; $\mathrm{Cr}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$, 6 unpaired electrons ( Cr is an exception to the normal filling order);
Mn : $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}, 5$ unpaired e ${ }^{-}$; $\mathrm{Fe}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}, 4$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$; Co: $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{7}, 3$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$; $\mathrm{Ni}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}, 2$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-} ; \mathrm{Cu}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}, 1$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$( Cu is also an exception to the normal filling order); $\mathrm{Zn}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}, 0$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 153-162 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

163. $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{mv}}$, where $\mathrm{m}=$ mass and $\mathrm{v}=$ velocity; $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{rms}}=\sqrt{\frac{3 \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{m}}}, \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{m} \sqrt{\frac{3 R T}{\mathrm{~m}}}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\sqrt{3 R T \mathrm{~m}}}$

For one atom, $\mathrm{R}=\frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}=1.381 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot$ atom
$2.31 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{~m}} \sqrt{3\left(1.381 \times 10^{-23}\right)(373 \mathrm{~K})}}, \quad \mathrm{m}=5.32 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~kg}=5.32 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~g}$
Molar mass $=\frac{5.32 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~g}}{\text { atom }} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}{\mathrm{mol}}=32.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The atom is sulfur (S).
164. $\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{253.4 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=7.839 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=7.839 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$; the general energy equation for one-electron ions is $\mathrm{E}_{n}=-2.178 \times$ $10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{2}\right) / n^{2}$, where $\mathrm{Z}=$ atomic number.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}(\mathrm{Z})^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}-\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}}\right), \mathrm{Z}=4 \text { for } \mathrm{Be}^{3+} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-7.839 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18}(4)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n_{f}^{2}}-\frac{1}{5^{2}}\right) \\
& \frac{7.839 \times 10^{-19}}{2.178 \times 10^{-18} \times 16}+\frac{1}{25}=\frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}, \frac{1}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}=0.06249, n_{\mathrm{f}}=4
\end{aligned}
$$

This emission line corresponds to the $n=5 \rightarrow n=4$ electronic transition.
165. a. Because wavelength is inversely proportional to energy, the spectral line to the right of B (at a larger wavelength) represents the lowest possible energy transition; this is $n=4$ to $n$ $=3$. The B line represents the next lowest energy transition, which is $n=5$ to $n=3$, and the A line corresponds to the $n=6$ to $n=3$ electronic transition.
b. Because this spectrum is for a one-electron ion, $\mathrm{E}_{n}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{2} / n^{2}\right)$. To determine $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ and, in turn, the wavelength of spectral line A , we must determine Z , the atomic number of the one electron species. Use spectral line B data to determine Z .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}_{5 \rightarrow 3}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}{3^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{Z}^{2}}{5^{2}}\right)=-2.178 \times 10^{-18}\left(\frac{16 \mathrm{Z}^{2}}{9 \times 25}\right) \\
& \mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}{142.5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=1.394 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because an emission occurs, $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{5 \rightarrow 3}=-1.394 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}$.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=-1.394 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{16 \mathrm{Z}^{2}}{9 \times 25}\right), \mathrm{Z}^{2}=9.001, \mathrm{Z}=3 \text {; the ion is } \mathrm{Li}^{2+} .
$$

Solving for the wavelength of line A:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}_{6 \rightarrow 3}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18}(3)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{3^{2}}-\frac{1}{6^{2}}\right)=-1.634 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \lambda= \frac{\mathrm{hc}}{|\Delta \mathrm{E}|}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}{1.634 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}=1.216 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=121.6 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

166. For hydrogen: $\Delta \mathrm{E}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{1}{2^{2}}-\frac{1}{5^{2}}\right)=-4.574 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$

For a similar blue light emission, $\mathrm{He}^{+}$will need about the same $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ value.
For $\mathrm{He}^{+}: \mathrm{E}_{n}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{2} / n^{2}\right)$, where $\mathrm{Z}=2$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=-4.574 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=-2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\frac{2^{2}}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}-\frac{2^{2}}{4^{2}}\right) \\
& 0.2100=\frac{4}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}-\frac{4}{16}, \quad 0.4600=\frac{4}{n_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}, \quad n_{\mathrm{f}}=2.949
\end{aligned}
$$

The transition from $n=4$ to $n=3$ for $\mathrm{He}^{+}$should emit a similar colored blue light as the $n$ $=5$ to $n=2$ hydrogen transition; both these transitions correspond to very nearly the same energy change.
167. For one-electron species, $\mathrm{E}_{n}=-\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Z}^{2} / \mathrm{n}^{2}$. The ground state ionization energy is the energy change for the $n=1 \rightarrow n=\infty$ transition. So:

$$
\text { ionization energy }=\mathrm{E}_{\infty}-\mathrm{E}_{1}=-\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Z}^{2} / n^{2}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Z}^{2}
$$

$$
\frac{4.72 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~kJ}}=2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\mathrm{Z}^{2}\right) ; \text { solving: } \mathrm{Z}=6
$$

Element 6 is carbon ( $\mathrm{X}=$ carbon), and the charge for a one-electron carbon ion is $5+(m=5)$. The one-electron ion is $\mathrm{C}^{5+}$.
168. A node occurs when $\psi=0 . \psi_{300}=0$ when $27-18 \sigma+2 \sigma^{2}=0$.

Solving using the quadratic formula: $\sigma=\frac{18 \pm \sqrt{(18)^{2}-4(2)(27)}}{4}=\frac{18 \pm \sqrt{108}}{4}$
$\sigma=7.10$ or $\sigma=1.90$; because $\sigma=\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{a}_{0}$, the nodes occur at $\mathrm{r}=(7.10) \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}=3.76 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$ and at $r=(1.90) \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}=1.01 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$, where r is the distance from the nucleus.
169. For $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}_{0}$ and $\theta=0^{\circ}(\mathrm{Z}=1$ for H$)$ :

$$
\psi_{2 p_{2}}=\frac{1}{4(2 \pi)^{1 / 2}}\left(\frac{1}{5.29 \times 10^{-11}}\right)^{3 / 2}(1) \mathrm{e}^{-1 / 2} \cos 0=1.57 \times 10^{14} ; \psi^{2}=2.46 \times 10^{28}
$$

For $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\theta=90^{\circ}, \psi_{2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}}=0$ since $\cos 90^{\circ}=0 ; \psi^{2}=0$; there is no probability of finding an electron in the $2 p_{z}$ orbital with $\theta=0^{\circ}$. As expected, the xy plane, which corresponds to $\theta$ $=0^{\circ}$, is a node for the $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ atomic orbital.
170. a. Each orbital could hold 3 electrons.
b. The first period corresponds to $n=1$ which can only have 1s orbitals. The 1 s orbital could hold 3 electrons; hence the first period would have three elements. The second period corresponds to $n=2$, which has 2 s and 2 p orbitals. These four orbitals can each hold three electrons. A total of 12 elements would be in the second period.
c. 15
d. 21
171.
a. 1st period: $\quad p=1, q=1, r=0, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 elements)

2nd period: $\quad p=2, q=1, r=0, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 elements)
3rd period: $\quad p=3, q=1, r=0, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 elements)
$p=3, q=3, r=-2, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 elements)
$p=3, q=3, r=0, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 elements)
$p=3, q=3, r=+2, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 elements)
4th period: $\quad p=4 ; q$ and $r$ values are the same as with $p=3$ (8 total elements)

| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |

b. Elements $2,4,12$, and 20 all have filled shells and will be least reactive.
c. Draw similarities to the modern periodic table.

XY could be $\mathrm{X}^{+} \mathrm{Y}^{-}, \mathrm{X}^{2+} \mathrm{Y}^{2-}$, or $\mathrm{X}^{3+} \mathrm{Y}^{3-}$. Possible ions for each are:
$\mathrm{X}^{+}$could be elements $1,3,5$, or 13 ; $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$could be 11 or 19 .
$\mathrm{X}^{2+}$ could be 6 or 14; $\mathrm{Y}^{2-}$ could be 10 or 18.
$\mathrm{X}^{3+}$ could be 7 or 15 ; $\mathrm{Y}^{3-}$ could be 9 or 17.
Note: $\mathrm{X}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{Y}^{4-}$ ions probably won't form.
$\mathrm{XY}_{2}$ will be $\mathrm{X}^{2+}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{-}\right)_{2}$; See above for possible ions.
$\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}$ will be $\left(\mathrm{X}^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Y}^{2-}$ See above for possible ions.
$\mathrm{XY}_{3}$ will be $\mathrm{X}^{3+}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{-}\right)_{3}$; See above for possible ions.
$\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{Y}_{3}$ will be $\left(\mathrm{X}^{3+}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{2-}\right)_{3}$; See above for possible ions.
d. $p=4, q=3, r=-2, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 electrons)
$p=4, q=3, r=0, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 electrons)
$p=4, q=3, r=+2, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 electrons)
A total of 6 electrons can have $p=4$ and $q=3$.
e. $\quad p=3, q=0, r=0$; this is not allowed; $q$ must be odd. Zero electrons can have these quantum numbers.
f. $p=6, q=1, r=0, s= \pm 1 / 2$ (2 electrons)
$p=6, q=3, r=-2,0,+2 ; s= \pm 1 / 2$ ( 6 electrons)
$p=6, q=5, r=-4,-2,0,+2,+4 ; s= \pm 1 / 2$ (10 electrons)
Eighteen electrons can have $p=6$.
172. The third ionization energy refers to the following process: $E^{2+}(g) \rightarrow E^{3+}(g)+e^{-} \quad \Delta H=I_{3}$. The electron configurations for the $2+$ charged ions of Na to Ar are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Na}^{2+}: & 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{5} \\
\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}: & 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6} \\
\mathrm{Al}^{2+}: & {[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{1}} \\
\mathrm{Si}^{2+}: & {[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2}} \\
\mathrm{P}^{2+}: & {[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{1}} \\
\mathrm{~S}^{2+}: & {[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{2}} \\
\mathrm{Cl}^{2+}: & {[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{3}} \\
\mathrm{Ar}^{2+}: & {[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{4}}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{I}_{3}$ for sodium and magnesium should be extremely large compared with the others because $n$ $=2$ electrons are much more difficult to remove than $n=3$ electrons. Between $\mathrm{Na}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, one would expect to have the same trend as seen with $\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{~F})$ versus $\mathrm{I}_{1}(\mathrm{Ne})$; these neutral atoms have identical electron configurations to $\mathrm{Na}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$. Therefore, the $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{5}$ ion $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{2+}\right)$ should have a lower ionization energy than the $1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6}$ ion $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right)$.

The remaining 2+ ions $\left(\mathrm{Al}^{2+}\right.$ to $\left.\mathrm{Ar}^{2+}\right)$ should follow the same trend as the neutral atoms having the same electron configurations. The general ionization energy trend predicts an increase from $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{1}$ to $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{4}$. The exceptions occur between $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ and $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3{ }^{2}{ }^{1}$ and between $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 p^{3}$ and $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{4}$. $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 s^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{1}$ is out of order because of the small penetrating ability of the 3 p electron as compared with the 3 s electrons. [ Ne$] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{4}$ is out of order because of the extra electron-electron repulsions present when two electrons are paired in the same orbital. Therefore, the correct ordering for $\mathrm{Al}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Ar}^{2+}$ should be $\mathrm{Al}^{2+}<\mathrm{P}^{2+}<\mathrm{Si}^{2+}$ $<\mathrm{S}^{2+}<\mathrm{Ar}^{2+}<\mathrm{Cl}^{2+}$, where $\mathrm{P}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Ar}^{2+}$ are out of line for the same reasons that Al and S are out of line in the general ionization energy trend for neutral atoms. A qualitative plot of the third ionization energies for elements Na through Ar follows.


Note: The actual numbers in Table 7.5 support most of this plot. No $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ is given for $\mathrm{Na}^{2+}$, so you cannot check this. The only deviation from our discussion is $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ for $\mathrm{Ar}^{2+}$ which is greater than $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ for $\mathrm{Cl}^{2+}$ instead of less than.
173. The ratios for $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Cl}$, and Ar are about the same. However, the ratios for $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Al}$, and S are higher. For Na, the second ionization energy is extremely high because the electron is taken from $n=2$ (the first electron is taken from $n=3$ ). For Al, the first electron requires a bit less energy than expected by the trend due to the fact it is a 3 p electron versus a 3 s electron. For S, the first electron requires a bit less energy than expected by the trend due to electrons being paired in one of the p orbitals.
174. Size also decreases going across a period. Sc and Ti along with Y and Zr are adjacent elements. There are 14 elements (the lanthanides) between La and Hf , making Hf considerably smaller.
175. a. Assuming the Bohr model applies to the 1s electron, $E_{1 s}=-R_{H} Z^{2} / n^{2}=-R_{H} Z^{2}$ eff, where $n=1$. Ionization energy $=\mathrm{E}_{\infty}-\mathrm{E}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}=0-\mathrm{E}_{1 \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{Z}^{2}{ }_{\text {eff }}$.

$$
\frac{2.462 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.0221 \times 10^{23}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~kJ}}=2.178 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}=43.33
$$

b. Silver is element 47 , so $Z=47$ for silver. Our calculated $Z_{\text {eff }}$ value is less than 47 . Electrons in other orbitals can penetrate the 1 s orbital. Thus a 1 s electron can be slightly shielded from the nucleus by these penetrating electrons, giving a $\mathrm{Z}_{\text {eff }}$ close to but less than Z .
176. None of the noble gases and no subatomic particles had been discovered when Mendeleev published his periodic table. Thus there was no known element out of place in terms of reactivity and there was no reason to predict an entire family of elements. Mendeleev ordered his table by mass; he had no way of knowing there were gaps in atomic numbers (they hadn't been discovered yet).
177. $\mathrm{m}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\lambda \mathrm{v}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}}{3.31 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m} \times\left(0.0100 \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}=6.68 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~kg} /$ atom

$$
\frac{6.68 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~kg}}{\text { atom }} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}{\mathrm{mol}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \mathrm{~kg}}=40.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

The element is calcium, Ca.

## Integrated Problems

178. 

a. $\quad v=\frac{E}{h}=\frac{7.52 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}=1.13 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$\lambda=\frac{c}{v}=\frac{2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.13 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=2.65 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=265 \mathrm{~nm}$
b. $\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}$ and $\lambda$ are inversely related $(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{hc} / \lambda)$. Any wavelength of electromagnetic radiation less than or equal to $265 \mathrm{~nm}(\lambda \leq 265)$ will have sufficient energy to eject an electron. So, yes, 259-nm EMR will eject an electron.
c. This is the electron configuration for copper, Cu , an exception to the expected filling order.
179. a. An atom of francium has 87 protons and 87 electrons. Francium is an alkali metal and forms stable $1+$ cations in ionic compounds. This cation would have 86 electrons. The electron configurations will be:

$$
\text { Fr: }[\mathrm{Rn}] 7 \mathrm{~s}^{1} ; \mathrm{Fr}^{+}:[\mathrm{Rn}]=[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 6 \mathrm{p}^{6}
$$

b. $1.0 \mathrm{oz} \mathrm{Fr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{16 \mathrm{oz}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{2.205 \mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fr}}{223 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fr}} \times \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fr}}$

$$
=7.7 \times 10^{22} \text { atoms } \mathrm{Fr}
$$

c. ${ }^{223} \mathrm{Fr}$ is element 87 , so it has $223-87=136$ neutrons.

$$
136 \text { neutrons } \times \frac{1.67493 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{1 \text { neutron }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \mathrm{~kg}}=2.27790 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \text { neutrons }
$$

180. a. $\quad[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{6}=\mathrm{Xe} ;[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{1}=\mathrm{In} ;[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{3}=\mathrm{Sb}$

From the general radii trend, the increasing size order is $\mathrm{Xe}<\mathrm{Sb}<\mathrm{In}$.
b. $\quad[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{5}=\mathrm{Cl} ;[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{3}=\mathrm{As} ;[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{5}=\mathrm{Br}$

From the general ionization energy trend, the decreasing ionization energy order is: $\mathrm{Cl}>$ $\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{As}$.

## CHAPTER 8

## BONDING: GENERAL CONCEPTS

## Questions

15. a. This diagram represents a polar covalent bond as in HCl . In a polar covalent bond, there is an electron rich region (indicated by the red color) and an electron poor region (indicated by the blue color). In HCl , the more electronegative Cl atom (on the red side of the diagram) has a slightly greater ability to attract the bonding electrons than does H (on the blue side of the diagram), which in turn produces a dipole moment.
b. This diagram represents an ionic bond as in NaCl . Here, the electronegativity differences between the Na and Cl are so great that the valence electron of sodium is transferred to the chlorine atom. This results in the formation of a cation, an anion, and an ionic bond.
c. This diagram represents a pure covalent bond as in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Both atoms attract the bonding electrons equally, so there is no bond dipole formed. This is illustrated in the electrostatic potential diagram as the various red and blue colors are equally distributed about the molecule. The diagram shows no one region that is red nor one region that is blue (there is no specific partial negative end and no specific partial positive end), so the molecule is nonpolar.
16. In $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ the bonding is pure covalent, with the bonding electrons shared equally between the two fluorine atoms. In HF, there is also a shared pair of bonding electrons, but the shared pair is drawn more closely to the fluorine atom. This is called a polar covalent bond as opposed to the pure covalent bond in $\mathrm{F}_{2}$.
17. Of the compounds listed, $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ is the only compound containing only covalent bonds. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and KCl are all compounds composed of ions, so they exhibit ionic bonding. The polyatomic ions in $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ are $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. Covalent bonds exist between the N and H atoms in $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and between the S and O atoms in $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. Therefore, $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ contains both ionic and covalent bonds. The same is true for $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. The bonding is ionic between the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ ions and covalent between the P and O atoms in $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$. Therefore, $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ are the compounds with both ionic and covalent bonds.
18. Ionic solids are held together by strong electrostatic forces that are omnidirectional.
i. For electrical conductivity, charged species must be free to move. In ionic solids, the charged ions are held rigidly in place. Once the forces are disrupted (melting or dissolution), the ions can move about (conduct).
ii. Melting and boiling disrupts the attractions of the ions for each other. Because these electrostatic forces are strong, it will take a lot of energy (high temperature) to accomplish this.
iii. If we try to bend a piece of material, the ions must slide across each other. For an ionic solid the following might happen:


Just as the layers begin to slide, there will be very strong repulsions causing the solid to snap across a fairly clean plane.
iv. Polar molecules are attracted to ions and can break up the lattice.

These properties and their correlation to chemical forces will be discussed in detail in Chapters 10 and 11.
19. Electronegativity increases left to right across the periodic table and decreases from top to bottom. Hydrogen has an electronegativity value between B and C in the second row and identical to P in the third row. Going further down the periodic table, H has an electronegativity value between As and Se (row 4) and identical to Te (row 5). It is important to know where hydrogen fits into the electronegativity trend, especially for rows 2 and 3 . If you know where H fits into the trend, then you can predict bond dipole directions for nonmetals bonded to hydrogen.
20. Linear structure ( $180^{\circ}$ bond angle)


Polar; bond dipoles do not cancel.
Trigonal planar structure ( $120^{\circ}$ bond angle)


Polar; bond dipoles do not cancel.
Tetrahedral structure ( $109.5^{\circ}$ bond angles)


Polar; bond dipoles do not cancel.




Nonpolar; bond dipoles cancel.


Nonpolar; bond dipoles cancel.
21. For ions, concentrate on the number of protons and the number of electrons present. The species whose nucleus holds the electrons most tightly will be smallest. For example, anions are larger than the neutral atom. The anion has more electrons held by the same number of protons in the nucleus. These electrons will not be held as tightly, resulting in a bigger size for the anion as compared to the neutral atom. For isoelectronic ions, the same number of electrons are held by different numbers of protons in the various ions. The ion with the most protons holds the electrons tightest and is smallest in size.
22. Two other factors that must be considered are the ionization energy needed to produce more positively charged ions and the electron affinity needed to produce more negatively charged ions. The favorable lattice energy more than compensates for the unfavorable ionization energy of the metal and for the unfavorable electron affinity of the nonmetal as long as electrons are added to or removed from the valence shell. Once the valence shell is empty, the ionization energy required to remove the next (inner-core) electron is extremely unfavorable; the same is true for electron affinity when an electron is added to a higher $n$ shell. These two quantities are so unfavorable after the valence shell is complete that they overshadow the favorable lattice energy, and the higher charged ionic compounds do not form.
23. Fossil fuels contain a lot of carbon and hydrogen atoms. Combustion of fossil fuels (reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) produces $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Both these compounds have very strong bonds. Because stronger product bonds are formed than reactant bonds broken, combustion reactions are very exothermic.
24. Statements a and c are true. For statement $a, X e F_{2}$ has 22 valence electrons, and it is impossible to satisfy the octet rule for all atoms with this number of electrons. The best Lewis structure is:


For statement $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{NO}^{+}$has 10 valence electrons, whereas $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$has 12 valence electrons. The Lewis structures are:



Because a triple bond is stronger than a double bond, $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$has a stronger bond.
For statement $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{SF}_{4}$ has five electron pairs around the sulfur in the best Lewis structure; it is an exception to the octet rule. Because $\mathrm{OF}_{4}$ has the same number of valence electrons as $\mathrm{SF}_{4}$, $\mathrm{OF}_{4}$ would also have to be an exception to the octet rule. However, row 2 elements such as O never have more than 8 electrons around them, so $\mathrm{OF}_{4}$ does not exist. For statement d, two resonance structures can be drawn for ozone:


When resonance structures can be drawn, the actual bond lengths and strengths are all equal to each other. Even though each Lewis structure implies the two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are different, this is not the case in real life. In real life, both of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are equivalent. When resonance structures can be drawn, you can think of the bonding as an average of all of the resonance structures.
25. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 4+2(6)=16$ valence electrons


The formal charges are shown above the atoms in the three Lewis structures. The best Lewis structure for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from a formal charge standpoint is the first structure having each oxygen double bonded to carbon. This structure has a formal charge of zero on all atoms (which is preferred). The other two resonance structures have nonzero formal charges on the oxygens, making them less reasonable. For $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, we usually ignore the last two resonance structures and think of the first structure as the true Lewis structure for $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
26. Only statement c is true. The bond dipoles in $\mathrm{CF}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{KrF}_{4}$ are arranged in a manner that they all cancel each other out, making them nonpolar molecules ( $\mathrm{CF}_{4}$ has a tetrahedral molecular structure, whereas $\mathrm{KrF}_{4}$ has a square planar molecular structure). In $\mathrm{SeF}_{4}$, the bond dipoles in this see-saw molecule do not cancel each other out, so $\mathrm{SeF}_{4}$ is polar. For statement a, all the molecules have either a trigonal planar geometry or a trigonal bipyramid geometry, both of which have $120^{\circ}$ bond angles. However, $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}$ has three lone pairs and two bonded chlorine atoms around it. $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}$ has a linear molecular structure with a $180^{\circ}$ bond angle. With three lone pairs, we no longer have a $120^{\circ}$ bond angle in $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}$. For statement b, $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ has a V shaped molecular structure with a bond angle of about $120^{\circ}$. $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ is linear with a $180^{\circ}$ bond angle, and $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$ is V-shaped but with an approximate $109.5^{\circ}$ bond angle. The three compounds do not have the same bond angle. For statement d, central atoms adopt a geometry to minimize electron repulsions, not maximize them.

## Exercises

## Chemical Bonds and Electronegativity

27. Using the periodic table, the general trend for electronegativity is:
(1) Increase as we go from left to right across a period
(2) Decrease as we go down a group

Using these trends, the expected orders are:
a. $\mathrm{C}<\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{O}$
b. $\mathrm{Se}<\mathrm{S}<\mathrm{Cl}$
c. $\mathrm{Sn}<\mathrm{Ge}<\mathrm{Si}$
d. $\mathrm{Tl}<\mathrm{Ge}<\mathrm{S}$
28.
a. $\mathrm{Rb}<\mathrm{K}<\mathrm{Na}$
b. $\mathrm{Ga}<\mathrm{B}<\mathrm{O}$
c. $\mathrm{Br}<\mathrm{Cl}<\mathrm{F}$
d. $\mathrm{S}<\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{F}$
29. The most polar bond will have the greatest difference in electronegativity between the two atoms. From positions in the periodic table, we would predict:
a. $G e-F$
b. $\quad \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl}$
c. $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{F}$
d. $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$
30.
a. $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{H}$
b. $\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{Br}$
c. $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$
d. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{F}$
31. The general trends in electronegativity used in Exercises 27 and 29 are only rules of thumb. In this exercise, we use experimental values of electronegativities and can begin to see several exceptions. The order of EN from Figure 8.3 is:
a. $\quad \mathrm{C}(2.5)<\mathrm{N}(3.0)<\mathrm{O}(3.5) \quad$ same as predicted
b. $\quad \mathrm{Se}(2.4)<\mathrm{S}(2.5)<\mathrm{Cl}(3.0) \quad$ same
c. $\mathrm{Si}=\mathrm{Ge}=\mathrm{Sn}(1.8) \quad$ different
d. $\mathrm{Tl}(1.8)=\mathrm{Ge}(1.8)<\mathrm{S}(2.5) \quad$ different

Most polar bonds using actual EN values:
a. Si-F and $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{F}$ have equal polarity ( $\mathrm{Ge}-\mathrm{F}$ predicted).
b. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl}$ (same as predicted)
c. S-F (same as predicted)
d. $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ (same as predicted)
32. The order of EN from Figure 8.3 is:
a. $\mathrm{Rb}(0.8)=\mathrm{K}(0.8)<\mathrm{Na}(0.9)$, different
b. Ga (1.6) < B (2.0) < O (3.5), same
c. $\operatorname{Br}(2.8)<\mathrm{Cl}(3.0)<\mathrm{F}(4.0)$, same
d. $\quad \mathrm{S}(2.5)<\mathrm{O}(3.5)<\mathrm{F}(4.0)$, same

Most polar bonds using actual EN values:
a. $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ most polar ( $\mathrm{Sn}-\mathrm{H}$ predicted)
b. $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Br}$ most polar ( $\mathrm{Tl}-\mathrm{Br}$ predicted).
c. $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ (same as predicted).
d. Each bond has the same polarity, but the bond dipoles point in opposite directions. Oxygen is the positive end in the O-F bond dipole, and oxygen is the negative end in the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond dipole ( $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{F}$ predicted).
33. Use the electronegativity trend to predict the partial negative end and the partial positive end of the bond dipole (if there is one). To do this, you need to remember that H has electronegativity between B and C and identical to P. Answers b, d, and e are incorrect. For d $\left(\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right)$, the bond between two Br atoms will be a pure covalent bond, where there is equal sharing of the bonding electrons, and no dipole moment exists. For b and e, the bond polarities are reversed. In $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{I}$, the more electronegative Cl atom will be the partial negative end of the bond dipole, with I having the partial positive end. In O-P, the more electronegative oxygen will be the partial negative end of the bond dipole, with $P$ having the partial positive end. In the following, we used arrows to indicate the bond dipole. The arrow always points to the partial negative end of a bond dipole (which always is the most electronegative atom in the bond).

34. See Exercise 33 for a discussion on bond dipoles. We will use arrows to indicate the bond dipoles. The arrow always points to the partial negative end of the bond dipole, which will always be to the more electronegative atom. The tail of the arrow indicates the partial positive end of the bond dipole.
a.

b. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ is a pure covalent (nonpolar) bond because P and H have identical electronegativities.
c.

d.

e.


The actual electronegativity difference between Se and $S$ is so small that this bond is probably best characterized as a pure covalent bond having no bond dipole.
35. Bonding between a metal and a nonmetal is generally ionic. Bonding between two nonmetals is covalent, and in general, the bonding between two different nonmetals is usually polar covalent. When two different nonmetals have very similar electronegativities, the bonding is pure covalent or just covalent.
a. ionic
b. covalent
c. polar covalent
d. ionic
e. polar covalent
f. covalent
36. The possible ionic bonds that can form are between the metal Cs and the nonmetals P , O , and H . These ionic compounds are $\mathrm{Cs}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and CsH . The bonding between the various nonmetals will be covalent. $\mathrm{P}_{4}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are all pure covalent (or just covalent) with equal sharing of the bonding electrons. $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ will also be a covalent bond because P and H have identical electronegativities. The other possible covalent bonds that can form will all be polar covalent because the nonmetals involved in the bonds all have intermediate differences in electronegativities. The possible polar covalent bonds are $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$.

Note: The bonding among cesium atoms is called metallic. This type of bonding between metals will be discussed in Chapter 10.
37. Electronegativity values increase from left to right across the periodic table. The order of electronegativities for the atoms from smallest to largest electronegativity will be $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{P}<\mathrm{C}<$ $\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{F}$. The most polar bond will be $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}$ since it will have the largest difference in electronegativities, and the least polar bond will be $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ since it will have the smallest difference in electronegativities $(\triangle \mathrm{EN}=0)$. The order of the bonds in decreasing polarity will be $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}>\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$.
38. Ionic character is proportional to the difference in electronegativity values between the two elements forming the bond. Using the trend in electronegativity, the order will be:

| $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Br}<\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{F}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| least | most |
| ionic character | ionic character |

Note that $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ bonds are all covalent bonds since the elements are all nonmetals. The $\mathrm{Ca}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{F}$ bonds are ionic, as is generally the case when a metal forms a bond with a nonmetal.
39. A permanent dipole moment exists in a molecule if the molecule has one specific area with a partial negative end (a red end in an electrostatic potential diagram) and a different specific region with a partial positive end (a blue end in an electrostatic potential diagram). If the blue and red colors are equally distributed in the electrostatic potential diagrams, then no permanent dipole exists.
a. Has a permanent dipole.
b. Has no permanent dipole.
c. Has no permanent dipole.
d. Has a permanent dipole.
e. Has no permanent dipole.
f. Has no permanent dipole.
40. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; both $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ have permanent dipole moments in part due to the polar $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. But because oxygen is more electronegative than nitrogen, one would expect $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to have a slightly greater dipole moment. This diagram has the more intense red color on one end and the more intense blue color at the other end indicating a larger dipole moment.
b. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$; this diagram is for a polar molecule, but the colors are not as intense as the diagram in part a. Hence, this diagram is for a molecule which is not as polar as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Since N is less electronegative than $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ will not be as polar as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
c. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$; this diagram has no one specific red region and has four blue regions arranged symmetrically about the molecule. This diagram is for a molecule which has no dipole moment. This is only true for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are at best, slightly polar because carbon and hydrogen have similar electronegativity values. In addition, the slightly polar $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dipoles are arranged about carbon so that they cancel each other out, making $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ a nonpolar molecule. See Example 8.2.

## Ions and Ionic Compounds

41. $\quad \mathrm{Al}^{3+}:[\mathrm{He}] 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \quad \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \quad \mathrm{Se}^{2-}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \quad \mathrm{I}^{-}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{6}$
42. $\quad \mathrm{Te}^{2-}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \quad \mathrm{Cl}^{-}:[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \mathrm{Li}^{+}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2}$
43. a. $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{N}^{3-}$ are the expected ions. The formula of the compound would be $\mathrm{Li}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (lithium nitride).
b. $\mathrm{Ga}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{O}^{2-} ; \mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, gallium(III) oxide or gallium oxide
c. $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$; RbCl , rubidium chloride
d. $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2-} ; \mathrm{BaS}$, barium sulfide
44. a. $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-} ; \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, aluminum chloride
b. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{O}^{2-} ; \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, sodium oxide
c. $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{F}^{-} ; \mathrm{SrF}_{2}$, strontium fluoride
d. $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2-} ; \mathrm{CaS}$, calcium sulfide
45. 

a. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \mathrm{K}^{+}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6} 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \mathrm{Al}^{3+}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6}$
b. $\mathrm{N}^{3-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{F}^{-}: 1 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 2 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \mathrm{Te}^{2-}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{6}$
46. a. $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \mathrm{Cs}^{+}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \mathrm{In}^{+}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} ; \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$
b. $\mathrm{P}^{3-}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{2-}:[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{6} ; \mathrm{Br}^{-}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{6}$
47.
a. $\mathrm{Sc}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}]$
b. $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}:[\mathrm{Xe}]$
c. $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}:[\mathrm{Xe}]$ and $\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}:[\mathrm{Ar}]$
d. $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Xe}]$

All these ions have the noble gas electron configuration shown in brackets.
48. a. $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is composed of $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$and $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$. $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$has the same electron configuration as Xe , and $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ has the same configuration as Ar .
b. $\mathrm{SrF}_{2} ; \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ has the Kr electron configuration, and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$has the Ne configuration.
c. $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2} ; \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ has the Ar electron configuration, and $\mathrm{N}^{3-}$ has the Ne configuration.
d. $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3} ; \mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ has the Ne electron configuration, and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$has the Kr configuration.
49. a. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$has 10 electrons. $\mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{N}^{3-}$ are some possible anions also having 10 electrons.
b. $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ has 18 electrons. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{S}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{P}^{3-}$ also have 18 electrons.
c. $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ has 10 electrons. $\mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{N}^{3-}$ also have 10 electrons.
d. $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$has 36 electrons. $\mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{Se}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{As}^{3-}$ also have 36 electrons.
50. a. Ne has 10 electrons. $\mathrm{AlN}, \mathrm{MgF}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are some possible ionic compounds where each ion has 10 electrons.
b. $\mathrm{CaS}, \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, and KCl are some examples where each ion is isoelectronic with Ar; i.e., each ion has 18 electrons.
c. Each ion in $\mathrm{Sr}_{3} \mathrm{As}_{2}, \mathrm{SrBr}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$ is isoelectronic with Kr .
d. Each ion in BaTe and CsI is isoelectronic with Xe.
51. Neon has 10 electrons; there are many possible ions with 10 electrons. Some are $\mathrm{N}^{3-}, \mathrm{O}^{2-}$, $\mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$. In terms of size, the ion with the most protons will hold the electrons the tightest and will be the smallest. The largest ion will be the ion with the fewest protons. The size trend is:

$$
\mathrm{Al}^{3+}<\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}<\mathrm{Na}^{+}<\mathrm{F}^{-}<\mathrm{O}^{2-}<\mathrm{N}^{3-}
$$

smallest largest
52. All these ions have $18 \mathrm{e}^{-}$; the smallest ion $\left(\mathrm{Sc}^{3+}\right)$ has the most protons attracting the $18 \mathrm{e}^{-}$, and the largest ion has the fewest protons ( $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ ). The order in terms of increasing size is $\mathrm{Sc}^{3+}$ $<\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}<\mathrm{K}^{+}<\mathrm{Cl}^{-}<\mathrm{S}^{2-}$. In terms of the atom size indicated in the question:

$\mathrm{K}^{+}$

$\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$

$\mathrm{Sc}^{3+}$

$S^{2-}$

$\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
53.
a. $\mathrm{Cu}>\mathrm{Cu}^{+}>\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$
b. $\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}>\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}>\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$
c. $\mathrm{O}^{2-}>\mathrm{O}^{-}>\mathrm{O}$
d. $\mathrm{La}^{3+}>\mathrm{Eu}^{3+}>\mathrm{Gd}^{3+}>\mathrm{Yb}^{3+}$
e. $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}>\mathrm{I}^{-}>\mathrm{Cs}^{+}>\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}>\mathrm{La}^{3+}$

For answer a, as electrons are removed from an atom, size decreases. Answers b and d follow the radius trend. For answer c, as electrons are added to an atom, size increases. Answer e follows the trend for an isoelectronic series; i.e., the smallest ion has the most protons.
54.
a. $\quad \mathrm{V}>\mathrm{V}^{2+}>\mathrm{V}^{3+}>\mathrm{V}^{5+}$
b. $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}>\mathrm{Rb}^{+}>\mathrm{K}^{+}>\mathrm{Na}^{+}$
c. $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}>\mathrm{I}^{-}>\mathrm{Cs}^{+}>\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$
d. $\mathrm{P}^{3-}>\mathrm{P}^{2-}>\mathrm{P}^{-}>\mathrm{P}$
e. $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}>\mathrm{Se}^{2-}>\mathrm{S}^{2-}>\mathrm{O}^{2-}$
55. Lattice energy is proportional to $-\mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{2} / \mathrm{r}$, where Q is the charge of the ions and r is the distance between the centers of the ions. The more negative the lattice energy, the more stable the ionic compound. So greater charged ions as well as smaller sized ions lead to more negative lattice energy values and more stable ionic compounds.
a. $\mathrm{NaCl} ; \mathrm{Na}^{+}$is smaller than $\mathrm{K}^{+}$.
b. $\mathrm{LiF} ; \mathrm{F}^{-}$is smaller than $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$.
c. $\mathrm{MgO} ; \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ has a greater charge than $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$.
d. $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} ; \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ has a greater charge than $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$.
e. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ has a greater charge than $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$.
f. MgO ; both ions are smaller in MgO .
a. $\mathrm{LiF} ; \mathrm{Li}^{+}$is smaller than $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$.
b. $\mathrm{NaBr} ; \mathrm{Br}^{-}$is smaller than $\mathrm{I}^{-}$.
c. $\mathrm{BaO} ; \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ has a greater charge than $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$.
d. $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4} ; \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ has a greater charge than $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.
e. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ has a greater charge than $\mathrm{F}^{-}$.
f. $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; both ions are smaller in $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
56.
57.

| $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=90 . \mathrm{kJ} \quad$ (sublimation) |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=419 \mathrm{~kJ}$ (ionization energy) |
| $\left.1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{g}\right)$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=239 / 2 \mathrm{~kJ}$ (bond energy) |
| $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-349 \mathrm{~kJ}$ (electron affinity) |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-690 . \mathrm{kJ}$ (lattice energy) |
| $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{s})+1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}=-411 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |

58. 

$$
\begin{array}{clll}
\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=150 \mathrm{~kJ} & & \text { (sublimation) } \\
\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=735 \mathrm{~kJ} & \left(\mathrm{IE}_{1}\right) \\
\mathrm{Mg}^{+}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=1445 \mathrm{~kJ} & \left(\mathrm{IE}_{2}\right) \\
\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=154 \mathrm{~kJ} & (\mathrm{BE}) \\
2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=2(-328) \mathrm{kJ} & (\mathrm{EA}) \\
\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-2913 \mathrm{~kJ} & (\mathrm{LE}) \\
\hline \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) & & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{o}}=-1085 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
$$

59. From the data given, it takes less energy to produce $\mathrm{Mg}^{+}(g)+\mathrm{O}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ than to produce
$\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}^{2-}(\mathrm{g})$. However, the lattice energy for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+} \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ will be much more exothermic than that for $\mathrm{Mg}^{+} \mathrm{O}^{-}$due to the greater charges in $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+} \mathrm{O}^{2-}$. The favorable lattice energy term dominates, and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+} \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ forms.
60. 

| $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{IE}=495 \mathrm{~kJ}($ Table 7.5) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{EA}=-327.8 \mathrm{~kJ}($ Table 7.7) |  |
| $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=167 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

The described process is endothermic. What we haven't accounted for is the extremely favorable lattice energy. Here, the lattice energy is a large negative (exothermic) value, making the overall formation of NaF a favorable exothermic process.
61. Use Figure 8.11 as a template for this problem.

| $\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {sub }}=?$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=520 . \mathrm{kJ}$ |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=151 / 2 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-295 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| $\mathrm{Li}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{LiI}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-753 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| $\mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})+1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{LiI}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-292 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sub}}+520 .+151 / 2-295-753=-292, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {sub }}=161 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

62. Let us look at the complete cycle for $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$.

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}^{(\mathrm{g})} & & 2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {sub, } \mathrm{Na}}=2(109) \mathrm{kJ} \\
2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & & 2 \mathrm{IE}=2(495) \mathrm{kJ} \\
\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {sub, }}=277 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \mathrm{EA}_{1}=-200 . \mathrm{kJ} \\
\mathrm{~S}^{-}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{g}) & \mathrm{EA}_{2}=? \\
2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s}) & \mathrm{LE}=-2203 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{o}}=-365 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{o}}=2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {sub, } \mathrm{Na}}+2 \mathrm{IE}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {sub, } \mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{EA}_{1}+\mathrm{EA}_{2}+\mathrm{LE},-365=-918+\mathrm{EA}_{2}, \mathrm{EA}_{2}=553 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

For each salt: $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}=2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {sub }, \mathrm{M}}+2 \mathrm{IE}+277-200 .+\mathrm{LE}+\mathrm{EA}_{2}$
$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}:-381=2(90)+.2(419)+277-200 .-2052+\mathrm{EA}_{2}, \mathrm{EA}_{2}=576 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}:-361=2(82)+2(409)+277-200 .-1949+\mathrm{EA}_{2}, \mathrm{EA}_{2}=529 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{~S}:-360 .=2(78)+2(382)+277-200 .-1850 .+\mathrm{EA}_{2}, \mathrm{EA}_{2}=493 \mathrm{~kJ}$
We get values from 493 to 576 kJ .
The mean value is: $\frac{553+576+529+493}{4}=538 \mathrm{~kJ}$. We can represent the results as $\mathrm{EA}_{2}=$ $540 \pm 50 \mathrm{~kJ}$.
63. $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ has a greater charge than $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{Se}^{2-}$ is smaller than $\mathrm{Te}^{2-}$. The effect of charge on the lattice energy is greater than the effect of size. We expect the trend from most exothermic lattice energy to least exothermic to be:
$\mathrm{CaSe}>\mathrm{CaTe}>\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Se}>\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Te}$
$(-2862) \quad(-2721) \quad(-2130) \quad(-2095) \quad$ This is what we observe.
64. Lattice energy is proportional to the charge of the cation times the charge of the anion $\mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{2}$.

| Compound | $\mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{2}$ | Lattice Energy |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ | $(+2)(-1)=-2$ | $-2631 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ | $(+3)(-1)=-3$ | $-5359 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $(+3)(-2)=-6$ | $-14,744 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |

## Bond Energies

65. 

a.

$\qquad$


Bonds broken:
Bonds formed:
$1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}(432 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}(427 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$1 \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Cl}(239 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Sigma \mathrm{D}_{\text {broken }}-\Sigma \mathrm{D}_{\text {formed }}, \Delta \mathrm{H}=432 \mathrm{~kJ}+239 \mathrm{~kJ}-2(427) \mathrm{kJ}=-183 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b.


Bonds broken:
$1 \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}(941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}(432 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=941 \mathrm{~kJ}+3(432) \mathrm{kJ}-6(391) \mathrm{kJ}=-109 \mathrm{~kJ}$
66. Sometimes some of the bonds remain the same between reactants and products. To save time, only break and form bonds that are involved in the reaction.
a.


Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{~N}(891 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}(432 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bonds formed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}(305 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(413 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}(391 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=891 \mathrm{~kJ}+2(432 \mathrm{~kJ})-[305 \mathrm{~kJ}+2(413 \mathrm{~kJ})+2(391 \mathrm{~kJ})]=-158 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

b.


Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
1 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N}(160 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) & 4 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}(565 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}(391 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) & 1 \mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}(941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{F}(154 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{array}
$$

## Bonds formed:

67. 



Bonds broken: $1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}(305 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \quad$ Bonds formed: $1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(347 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Sigma \mathrm{D}_{\text {broken }}-\Sigma \mathrm{D}_{\text {formed }}, \Delta \mathrm{H}=305-347=-42 \mathrm{~kJ}$
Note: Sometimes some of the bonds remain the same between reactants and products. To save time, only break and form bonds that are involved in the reaction.
68.


Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}(1072 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}(358 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bonds formed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(347 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(745 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}(358 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}=1072+358-[347+745+358]=-20 . \mathrm{kJ}$
69.


Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{H}(347 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 3 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{F}(154 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bonds formed:

$$
4 \text { S-F (327 kJ/mol) }
$$

$$
2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}(565 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=2(347)+3(154)-[4(327)+2(565)]=-1282 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

70. 



Bonds broken:

| $4 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(413 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $1 \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}(1072 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}(467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}(432 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ |

$\Delta \mathrm{H}=4(413)+2(467)-[1072+3(432)]=218 \mathrm{~kJ}$
71.

$$
\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}+5 / 2 \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}
$$

Bonds broken:

```
2 C-H (413 kJ/mol)
1 C =C (839 kJ/mol)
5/2 O=O (495 kJ/mol)
```

Bonds formed:
$2 \times 2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(799 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}(467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=2(413 \mathrm{~kJ})+839 \mathrm{~kJ}+5 / 2(495 \mathrm{~kJ})-[4(799 \mathrm{~kJ})+2(467 \mathrm{~kJ})]=-1228 \mathrm{~kJ}$
72. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}+2 \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$

Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(413 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}(495 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bonds formed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(799 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 2 \times 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}(467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=4(413 \mathrm{~kJ})+2(495 \mathrm{~kJ})-[2(799 \mathrm{~kJ})+4(467 \mathrm{~kJ})]=-824 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

73. 



Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(614 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{F}(154 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bonds formed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(347 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{CF}}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} \text { bond energy }\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}=-549 \mathrm{~kJ}=614 \mathrm{~kJ}+154 \mathrm{~kJ}-\left[347 \mathrm{~kJ}+2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{CF}}\right], 2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{CF}}=970 ., \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{CF}}=485 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
74. Let $x=$ bond energy for $\mathrm{A}_{2}$, so $2 x=$ bond energy for AB .
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=-285 \mathrm{~kJ}=x+432 \mathrm{~kJ}-[2(2 \mathrm{x})], 3 x=717, x=239 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
The bond energy for $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ is $239 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.
75. a. $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{HCl}}^{\mathrm{o}}=2 \mathrm{~mol}(-92 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})=-184 \mathrm{~kJ} \quad(-183 \mathrm{~kJ}$ from bond energies)
b. $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{\mathrm{o}}=2 \mathrm{~mol}(-46 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})=-92 \mathrm{~kJ}(-109 \mathrm{~kJ}$ from bond energies $)$

Comparing the values for each reaction, bond energies seem to give a reasonably good estimate for the enthalpy change of a reaction. The estimate is especially good for gas phase reactions.
76. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}(\mathrm{l}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-484 \mathrm{~kJ}-[(-201 \mathrm{~kJ})+(-110.5 \mathrm{~kJ})]=-173 \mathrm{~kJ}$

Using bond energies, $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-20$. kJ. For this reaction, bond energies give a much poorer estimate for $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ as compared with gas-phase reactions (see Exercise 75). The major reason for the large discrepancy is that not all species are gases in Exercise 68. Bond energies do not account for the energy changes that occur when liquids and solids form instead of gases. These energy changes are due to intermolecular forces and will be discussed in Chapter 10.
77. a. Using $\mathrm{SF}_{4}$ data: $\mathrm{SF}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{g})+4 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=4 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{SF}}=278.8+4(79.0)-(-775)=1370 . \mathrm{kJ} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{SF}}=\frac{1370 . \mathrm{kJ}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SF} \text { bonds }}=342.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{F} \text { bond energy }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ data: $\mathrm{SF}_{6}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=6 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{SF}}=278.8+6(79.0)-(-1209)=1962 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{SF}}=\frac{1962 \mathrm{~kJ}}{6 \mathrm{~mol}}=327.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{F} \text { bond energy }
\end{aligned}
$$

b. The S-F bond energy in Table 8.4 is $327 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. The value in the table was based on the S-F bond in $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$.
c. $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{g})$ and $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g})$ are not the most stable forms of the elements at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 atm . The most stable forms are $\mathrm{S}_{8}(\mathrm{~s})$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}=0$ for these two species.
78. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{g})+3 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=3 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{NH}}=472.7+3(216.0)-(-46.1)=1166.8 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{NH}}=\frac{1166.8 \mathrm{~kJ}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH} \text { bonds }}=388.93 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \approx 389 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}=389 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ as compared with $391 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Table 8.4. There is good agreement.
79.

$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{o}}+4 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{o}}-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}}^{\mathrm{o}}=2(472.7 \mathrm{~kJ})+4(216.0 \mathrm{~kJ})-95.4 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=1714.0 \mathrm{~kJ}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}}+4(388.9)$
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}}=158.4 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}($ versus $160 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ in Table 8.4)
80. $\quad 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=90 . \mathrm{kJ}$

Bonds broken: Bonds formed:

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 / 2 \mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}(941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \quad 1 \mathrm{NO}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{NO}}=\mathrm{NO} \text { bond energy }\right) \\
1 / 2 \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}(495 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
\Delta \mathrm{H}=90 . \mathrm{kJ}=1 / 2(941)+1 / 2(495)-\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{NO}}\right), \quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{NO}}=628 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{gathered}
$$

From this data, the calculated NO bond energy is $628 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

## Lewis Structures and Resonance

81. Drawing Lewis structures is mostly trial and error. However, the first two steps are always the same. These steps are (1) count the valence electrons available in the molecule/ion, and (2) attach all atoms to each other with single bonds (called the skeletal structure). Unless noted otherwise, the atom listed first is assumed to be the atom in the middle, called the central atom, and all other atoms in the formula are attached to this atom. The most notable exceptions to the rule are formulas that begin with H , e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, etc. Hydrogen can never be a central atom since this would require H to have more than two electrons. In these compounds, the atom listed second is assumed to be the central atom.

After counting valence electrons and drawing the skeletal structure, the rest is trial and error. We place the remaining electrons around the various atoms in an attempt to satisfy the octet rule (or duet rule for H ). Keep in mind that practice makes perfect. After practicing, you can (and will) become very adept at drawing Lewis structures.
a. $\quad F_{2}$ has $2(7)=14$ valence electrons.
c. CO has $4+6=10$ valence electrons.


| $\mathrm{C} — \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}:$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Skeletal <br> structure | Lewis <br> structure |

b. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has $2(6)=12$ valence electrons.

d. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ has $4+4(1)=8$ valence electrons.


Skeletal
structure


Lewis structure
e. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ has $5+3(1)=8$ valence electrons.

f. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has $2(1)+6=8$ valence electrons.

g. HF has $1+7=8$ valence electrons.

| $\mathrm{H} \_$-F | $\mathrm{H}-\ddot{\mathrm{F}}:$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Skeletal <br> structure | Lewis <br> structure |

82. 

a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ has $2(1)+4+6=12$ valence electrons.

Skeletal structure

Lewis structure
c. HCN has $1+4+5=10$ valence electrons.

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N} & \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}: \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Skeletal } \\
\text { structure }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Lewis } \\
\text { structure }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

83. Drawing Lewis structures is mostly trial and error. However, the first two steps are always the same. These steps are (1) count the valence electrons available in the molecule/ion, and (2) attach all atoms to each other with single bonds (called the skeletal structure). Unless noted otherwise, the atom listed first is assumed to be the atom in the middle, called the central atom, and all other atoms in the formula are attached to this atom. The most notable exceptions to the rule are formulas that begin with H , e.g., $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, etc. Hydrogen can never be a central atom since this would require H to have more than two electrons. In these compounds, the atom listed second is assumed to be the central atom.

After counting valence electrons and drawing the skeletal structure, the rest is trial and error. We place the remaining electrons around the various atoms in an attempt to satisfy the octet rule (or duet rule for H ).
a. $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ has $4+4(7)=32$ valence electrons.

Skeletal structure


Lewis

structure
b. $\mathrm{NCl}_{3}$ has $5+3(7)=26$ valence electrons.

c. $\mathrm{SeCl}_{2}$ has $6+2(7)=20$ valence electrons.


Skeletal structure



Lewis structure
d. ICl has $7+7=14$ valence electrons.
-


Skeletal structure


Lewis structure
84. a. $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ has $5+6+3(7)=32$ valence electrons.


Note: This structure uses all $32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$while satisfying the octet rule for all atoms. This is a valid Lewis structure.

> Skeletal
> structure
Lewis
structure
$\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ has $6+4(6)+2=32$ valence electrons.

$\mathrm{XeO}_{4}, 8+4(6)=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
$\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}, 5+4(6)+3=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


$\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$has $7+4(6)+1=32$ valence electrons


Note: All of these species have the same number of atoms and the same number of valence electrons. They also have the same Lewis structure.
b. $\quad \mathrm{NF}_{3}$ has $5+3(7)=26$ valence electrons. $\quad \mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}, 6+3(6)+2=26 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{3-}, 5+3(6)+3=26 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
$\mathrm{ClO}_{3}{ }^{-}, 7+3(6)+1=26 \mathrm{e}^{-}$



Note: Species with the same number of atoms and valence electrons have similar Lewis structures.
c. $\mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}$has $7+2(6)+1=20$ valence



Skeletal structure Lewis structure
$\mathrm{SCl}_{2}, 6+2(7)=20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$



Note: Species with the same number of atoms and valence electrons have similar Lewis structures.
d. Molecules ions that have the same number of valence electrons and the same number of atoms will have similar Lewis structures.
85.
$\mathrm{BeH}_{2}, 2+2(1)=4$ valence electrons $\mathrm{BH}_{3}, 3+3(1)=6$ valence electrons

$$
\mathrm{H}
$$

$\mathrm{PCl}_{2}{ }^{-}, 5+2(7)+1=20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

86.
a. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}, 5+2(6)=17 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Plus others
b. $\mathrm{BH}_{3}, 3+3(1)=6 \mathrm{e}^{-}$



Plus other resonance structures
$\mathrm{NH}_{3}, 5+3(1)=8 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}, 6+8=14 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


In reaction a, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ has an odd number of electrons, so it is impossible to satisfy the octet rule. By dimerizing to form $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, the odd electron on two $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ molecules can pair up, giving a species whose Lewis structure can satisfy the octet rule. In general, odd-electron species are very reactive. In reaction $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{BH}_{3}$ is electron-deficient. Boron has only six electrons around it. By forming $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, the boron atom satisfies the octet rule by accepting a lone pair of electrons from $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to form a fourth bond.
87. $\quad \mathrm{PF}_{5}, 5+5(7)=40$ valence electrons

$\mathrm{ClF}_{3}, 7+3(7)=28 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$\mathrm{SF}_{4}, 6+4(7)=34 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}, 3(7)+1=22 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Row 3 and heavier nonmetals can have more than 8 electrons around them when they have to. Row 3 and heavier elements have empty d orbitals that are close in energy to valence $s$ and $p$ orbitals. These empty d orbitals can accept extra electrons.

For example, P in $\mathrm{PF}_{5}$ has its five valence electrons in the 3 s and 3 p orbitals. These s and p orbitals have room for three more electrons, and if it has to, P can use the empty 3d orbitals for any electrons above 8 .
88.
$\mathrm{SF}_{6}, 6+6(7)=48 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
: 芦:

$\mathrm{XeF}_{4}, 8+4(7)=36 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


89. a. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$has $5+2(6)+1=18$ valence electrons. The skeletal structure is $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$.

To get an octet about the nitrogen and only use $18 \mathrm{e}^{-}$, we must form a double bond to one of the oxygen atoms.


Because there is no reason to have the double bond to a particular oxygen atom, we can draw two resonance structures. Each Lewis structure uses the correct number of electrons and satisfies the octet rule, so each is a valid Lewis structure. Resonance structures occur when you have multiple bonds that can be in various positions. We say the actual structure is an average of these two resonance structures.
$\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$has $5+3(6)+1=24$ valence electrons. We can draw three resonance structures for $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$, with the double bond rotating among the three oxygen atoms.

$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ has 2(5) $+4(6)=34$ valence electrons. We can draw four resonance structures for $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.

b. $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$has $6+4+5+1=16$ valence electrons. We can draw three resonance structures for $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$.

$\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$has $6+4+5+1=16$ valence electrons. Three resonance structures can be drawn.

$\mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}$has $3(5)+1=16$ valence electrons. As with $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$and $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$, three different resonance structures can be drawn.

90. Ozone: $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ has $3(6)=18$ valence electrons.


Sulfur dioxide: $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ has $6+2(6)=18$ valence electrons.


Sulfur trioxide: $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ has $6+3(6)=24$ valence electrons.

91. Benzene has $6(4)+6(1)=30$ valence electrons. Two resonance structures can be drawn for benzene. The actual structure of benzene is an average of these two resonance structures; i.e., all carbon-carbon bonds are equivalent with a bond length and bond strength somewhere between a single and a double bond.

92. Borazine $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ has $3(3)+3(5)+6(1)=30$ valence electrons. The possible resonance structures are similar to those of benzene in Exercise 91.

93. We will use a hexagon to represent the six-member carbon ring, and we will omit the four hydrogen atoms and the three lone pairs of electrons on each chlorine. If no resonance existed, we could draw four different molecules:





If the double bonds in the benzene ring exhibit resonance, then we can draw only three different dichlorobenzenes. The circle in the hexagon represents the delocalization of the three double bonds in the benzene ring (see Exercise 91).




With resonance, all carbon-carbon bonds are equivalent. We can't distinguish between a single and double bond between adjacent carbons that have a chlorine attached. That only three isomers are observed supports the concept of resonance.
94. $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ has $4+3(6)+2=24$ valence electrons.


Three resonance structures can be drawn for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. The actual structure for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ is an average of these three resonance structures. That is, the three $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are all equivalent, with a length somewhere between a single and a double bond. The actual bond length of 136 pm is consistent with this resonance view of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$.
95. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NCO}$ has $4+3(1)+5+4+6=22$ valence electrons. Three resonance structures can be drawn for methyl isocyanate.

96. PAN $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{5}\right)$ has $3(1)+2(4)+5+5(6)=46$ valence electrons.


This is the skeletal structure with complete octets about oxygen atoms (46 electrons used).

This structure has used all 46 electrons, but there are only six electrons around one of the carbon atoms and the nitrogen atom. Two unshared pairs must become shared; i.e., we must form double bonds. The three possible resonance structures for PAN are:



(last form not important)
97. The Lewis structures for the various species are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{CO}\left(10 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right): & : \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}: & \text { Triple bond between } \mathrm{C} \text { and } \mathrm{O} . \\
\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(16 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right): & \ddot{\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}=} \quad \ddot{\mathrm{O}} \quad & \text { Double bond between } \mathrm{C} \text { and } \mathrm{O} .
\end{array}
$$



Average of $11 / 3$ bond between C and O in $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\left(14 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)$:
 Single bond between C and O .

As the number of bonds increases between two atoms, bond strength increases, and bond length decreases. With this in mind, then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Longest } \rightarrow \text { shortest } \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \text { bond: } \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}>\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}>\mathrm{CO}_{2}>\mathrm{CO} \\
& \text { Weakest } \rightarrow \text { strongest } \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \text { bond: } \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}<\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}<\mathrm{CO}_{2}<\mathrm{CO}
\end{aligned}
$$

98. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NOH}(14 \mathrm{e})$


Single bond between N and O


Average of a double bond between N and O
$\mathrm{NO}^{+}\left(10 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right): \quad[: \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{O}:]^{+} \quad$ Triple bond between N and O
$\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\left(18 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right): \quad[\ddot{\mathrm{O}}=\ddot{\mathrm{N}}-\ddot{\mathrm{O}}:]^{-} \longleftrightarrow[: \ddot{\mathrm{O}}-\ddot{\mathrm{N}}=\ddot{\mathrm{O}}]^{-}$

Average of $11 / 2$ bond between N and O


Average of $11 / 3$ bond between N and O

From the Lewis structures, the order from shortest $\rightarrow$ longest $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is:

$$
\mathrm{NO}^{+}<\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}<\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}<\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}<\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NOH}
$$

## Formal Charge

99. $\quad \mathrm{BF}_{3}$ has $3+3(7)=24$ valence electrons. The two Lewis structures to consider are:



The formal charges for the various atoms are assigned in the Lewis structures. Formal charge $=$ number of valence electrons on free atom - number of lone pair electrons on atoms $1 / 2$ (number of shared electrons of atom). For B in the first Lewis structure, formal charge $(\mathrm{FC})=3-0-1 / 2(8)=-1$. For F in the first structure with the double bond, $\mathrm{FC}=7-4-$ $1 / 2(4)=+1$. The others all have a formal charge equal to zero $[F C=7-6-1 / 2(2)=0]$.

The first Lewis structure obeys the octet rule but has a +1 formal charge on the most electronegative element there is, fluorine, and a negative formal charge on a much less electronegative element, boron. This is just the opposite of what we expect: negative formal charge on F and positive formal charge on B . The other Lewis structure does not obey the octet rule for B but has a zero formal charge on each element in $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$. Because structures generally want to minimize formal charge, then $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ with only single bonds is best from a formal charge point of view.
100. $: ~ C \equiv O: \quad$ Carbon: $\mathrm{FC}=4-2-1 / 2(6)=-1$; oxygen: $\mathrm{FC}=6-2-1 / 2(6)=+1$

Electronegativity predicts the opposite polarization. The two opposing effects seem to partially cancel to give a much less polar molecule than expected.
101. See Exercise 84 for the Lewis structures of $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$. All these compounds/ions have similar Lewis structures to those of $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{XeO}_{4}$ shown below. Formal charge $=$ [number of valence electrons on free atom] - [number of lone pair electrons on atom $+1 / 2$ (number of shared electrons of atom)].
a. $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}: \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{FC}=5-1 / 2(8)=+1$
b. $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}: \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{FC}=6-1 / 2(8)=+2$
c. $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}: \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{FC}=7-1 / 2(8)=+3$
d. $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}: \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{FC}=5-1 / 2(8)=+1$
e. $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 6+2(6)+2(7)=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
f. $\mathrm{XeO}_{4}, 8+4(6)=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


S, FC $=6-1 / 2(8)=+2$
g. $\mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}, 7+3(6)+1=26 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{FC}=7-2-1 / 2(6)=+2$

$\mathrm{Xe}, \mathrm{FC}=8-1 / 2(8)=+4$
h. $\mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{3-}, 5+4(6)+3=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


N, FC $=5-1 / 2(8)=+1$
102. For $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}$ and $\mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}$, only one of the possible resonance structures is drawn.
a. Must have five bonds to P to minimize formal charge of $P$. The best choice is to form a double bond to O since this will give O a formal charge of zero, and single bonds to Cl for the same reason.

$P, F C=0$

b. Must form six bonds to S to minimize formal charge of $S$.

c. Must form seven bonds to Cl to minimize formal charge.

e.


S, $F C=0$
$\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{FC}=0$
$\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{FC}=0$
g.

d. Must form five bonds to P to to minimize formal charge.

f.

h. We can't. The following structure has a zero formal charge for N :

but N does not expand its octet. We wouldn't expect this resonance form to exist.
103. $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ has $2(6)+2(7)=26$ valence $\mathrm{e}^{-}$. The formal charge and oxidation number (state) of each atom is below the Lewis structure of $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$.


Formal Charge $\begin{array}{lllll}0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Oxid. Number } & -1 & +1 & +1 & -1\end{array}$

Oxidation states are more useful when accounting for the reactivity of $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$. We are forced to assign +1 as the oxidation state for oxygen due to the bonding to fluorine. Oxygen is very electronegative, and +1 is not a stable oxidation state for this element.
104. $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$has $6+4+5+1=16$ valence electrons.


Formal charge $0 \quad 0 \quad-1$
$-1 \quad 0 \quad 0$
$+100$

Only the first two resonance structures should be important. The third places a positive formal charge on the most electronegative atom in the ion and a -2 formal charge on N .
$\mathrm{CNO}^{-}$will also have 16 valence electrons.


Formal charge

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
-2 & +1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

$-1 \quad+1 \quad-1$
$-3+1+1$
All the resonance structures for fulminate ( $\mathrm{CNO}^{-}$) involve greater formal charges than in cyanate ( $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$), making fulminate more reactive (less stable).
105. $\mathrm{SCl}, 6+7=13$; the formula could be SCl (13 valence electrons), $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 26 valence electrons), $\mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ ( 39 valence electrons), etc. For a formal charge of zero on S , we will need each sulfur in the Lewis structure to have two bonds to it and two lone pairs [FC = 6-4$1 / 2(4)=0]$. Cl will need one bond and three lone pairs for a formal charge of zero [FC = $7-$ $6-1 / 2(2)=0]$. Since chlorine wants only one bond to it, it will not be a central atom here. With this in mind, only $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ can have a Lewis structure with a formal charge of zero on all atoms. The structure is:

106. The nitrogen-nitrogen bond length of 112 pm is between a double ( 120 pm ) and a triple (110 pm ) bond. The nitrogen-oxygen bond length of 119 pm is between a single ( 147 pm ) and a double bond ( 115 pm ). The third resonance structure shown below doesn't appear to be as important as the other two since there is no evidence from bond lengths for a nitrogen-oxygen triple bond or a nitrogen-nitrogen single bond as in the third resonance form. We can adequately describe the structure of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ using the resonance forms:


Assigning formal charges for all three resonance forms:


For:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\underset{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{M}}), \quad \mathrm{FC}=5-4-1 / 2(4)=-1 \\
& (\overline{=}), F C=5-1 / 2(8)=+1, \text { Same for }(\overline{=}-) \text { and }(-\mathrm{N} \overline{\bar{\Longrightarrow}}) \\
& (: \ddot{\mathrm{N}}-), \quad F C=5-6-1 / 2(2)=-2 ; \quad(: N \overline{=}), \quad F C=5-2-1 / 2(6)=0 \\
& (\underset{\square}{\square}), \quad \mathrm{FC}=6-4-1 / 2(4)=0 ; \quad(-\underset{\square}{0}:), \quad F C=6-6-1 / 2(2)=-1 \\
& |\overline{=} 0:|, \quad F C=6-2-1 / 2(6)=+1
\end{aligned}
$$

We should eliminate $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ because it has a formal charge of +1 on the most electronegative element ( O ). This is consistent with the observation that the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond is between a double and triple bond and that the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is between a single and double bond.
107. For formal charge values of zero:
(1) each carbon in the structure has 4 bonding pairs of electrons and no lone pairs;
(2) each N has 3 bonding pairs of electrons and 1 lone pair of electrons;
(3) each O has 2 bonding pairs of electrons and 2 lone pairs of electrons;
(4) each H is attached by only a single bond (1 bonding pair of electrons).

Following these guidelines, the Lewis structure is:

108. For a formal charge of zero, carbon atoms in the structure will all satisfy the octet rule by forming four bonds (with no lone pairs). Oxygen atoms have a formal charge of zero by forming two bonds and having two lone pairs of electrons. Hydrogen atoms have a formal charge of zero by forming a single bond (with no lone pairs). Following these guidelines, two resonance structures can be drawn for benzoic acid (see next page).


## Molecular Structure and Polarity

109. The first step always is to draw a valid Lewis structure when predicting molecular structure. When resonance is possible, only one of the possible resonance structures is necessary to predict the correct structure because all resonance structures give the same structure. The Lewis structures are in Exercises 83 and 89. The structures and bond angles for each follow.
83:
a. $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ : tetrahedral, $109.5^{\circ}$
b. $\mathrm{NCl}_{3}$ : trigonal pyramid, $<109.5^{\circ}$
c. $\mathrm{SeCl}_{2}$ : V-shaped or bent, $<109.5^{\circ}$
d. ICl: linear, but there is no bond angle present

Note: $\mathrm{NCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SeCl}_{2}$ both have lone pairs of electrons on the central atom that result in bond angles that are something less than predicted from a tetrahedral arrangement (109.5 ${ }^{\circ}$ ). However, we cannot predict the exact number. For the solutions manual, we will insert a less than sign to indicate this phenomenon. For bond angles equal to $120^{\circ}$, the lone pair phenomenon isn't as significant as compared to smaller bond angles. For these molecules, for example, $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$, we will insert an approximate sign in front of the $120^{\circ}$ to note that there may be a slight distortion from the VSEPR predicted bond angle.

89: a. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$: V-shaped, $\approx 120^{\circ} ; \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$: trigonal planar, $120^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : trigonal planar, $120^{\circ}$ about both N atoms
b. $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}, \mathrm{SCN}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$are all linear with $180^{\circ}$ bond angles.
110. See Exercises 84 and 90 for the Lewis structures.

84: a. All are tetrahedral; $109.5^{\circ}$
b. All are trigonal pyramid; $<109.5^{\circ}$
c. All are V-shaped; $<109.5^{\circ}$

90: $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ are V -shaped (or bent) with a bond angle $\approx 120^{\circ} . \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ is trigonal planar with $120^{\circ}$ bond angles.
111. From the Lewis structures (see Exercise 87), $\mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$would have a linear molecular structure, $\mathrm{ClF}_{3}$ would have a T -shaped molecular structure, and $\mathrm{SF}_{4}$ would have a see-saw molecular structure. For example, consider $\mathrm{ClF}_{3}$ (28 valence electrons):


The central Cl atom is surrounded by five electron pairs, which requires a trigonal bipyramid geometry. Since there are three bonded atoms and two lone pairs of electrons about Cl , we describe the molecular structure of $\mathrm{ClF}_{3}$ as T -shaped with predicted bond angles of about $90^{\circ}$. The actual bond angles will be slightly less than $90^{\circ}$ due to the stronger repulsive effect of the lone-pair electrons as compared to the bonding electrons.
112. From the Lewis structures (see Exercise 88), $\mathrm{XeF}_{4}$ would have a square planar molecular structure, and $\mathrm{ClF}_{5}$ would have a square pyramid molecular structure.
113. a. $\mathrm{SeO}_{3}, 6+3(6)=24 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$\mathrm{SeO}_{3}$ has a trigonal planar molecular structure with all bond angles equal to $120^{\circ}$. Note that any one of the resonance structures could be used to predict molecular structure and bond angles.
b. $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}, 6+2(6)=18 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ has a V-shaped molecular structure. We would expect the bond angle to be approximately $120^{\circ}$ as expected for trigonal planar geometry.

Note: Both $\mathrm{SeO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ structures have three effective pairs of electrons about the central atom. All of the structures are based on a trigonal planar geometry, but only $\mathrm{SeO}_{3}$ is described as having a trigonal planar structure. Molecular structure always describes the relative positions of the atoms.
114. a. $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ has $5+3(7)=26$ valence electrons.


Trigonal pyramid; all angles are $<109.5^{\circ}$.
b. $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$ has $6+2(7)=20$ valence electrons.


V-shaped; angle is $<109.5^{\circ}$.
c. $\mathrm{SiF}_{4}$ has $4+4(7)=32$ valence electrons.


Note: $\mathrm{In}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{3}}, \mathrm{SCl}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{SiF}_{4}$, there are four pairs of electrons about the central atom in each case in this exercise. All of the structures are based on a tetrahedral geometry, but only $\mathrm{SiF}_{4}$ has a tetrahedral structure. We consider only the relative positions of the atoms when describing the molecular structure.
115. a. $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}$ has $8+2(7)=22$ valence electrons.


There are five pairs of electrons about the central Xe atom. The structure will be based on a trigonal bipyramid geometry. The most stable arrangement of the atoms in $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}$ is a linear molecular structure with a $180^{\circ}$ bond angle.
b. $\quad \mathrm{ICl}_{3}$ has $7+3(7)=28$ valence electrons.


T-shaped; the ClICl angles are $\approx 90^{\circ}$. Since the lone pairs will take up more space, the CliCl bond angles will probably be slightly less than $90^{\circ}$.
c. $\mathrm{TeF}_{4}$ has $6+4(7)=34$ valence electrons.


See-saw or teeter-totter
d. $\quad \mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ has $5+5(7)=40$ valence electrons.


Trigonal bipyramid or distorted tetrahedron

All the species in this exercise have five pairs of electrons around the central atom. All the structures are based on a trigonal bipyramid geometry, but only in $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ are all the
pairs, bonding pairs. Thus $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ is the only one for which we describe the molecular structure as trigonal bipyramid. Still, we had to begin with the trigonal bipyramid geometry to get to the structures (and bond angles) of the others.
116.
a. $\mathrm{ICl}_{5}, 7+5(7)=42 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
b. $\mathrm{XeCl}_{4}, 8+4(7)=36 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Square pyramid, $\approx 90^{\circ}$ bond angles


Square planar, $90^{\circ}$ bond angles
c. $\mathrm{SeCl}_{6}$ has $6+6(7)=48$ valence electrons.


Octahedral, $90^{\circ}$ bond angles

Note: All these species have six pairs of electrons around the central atom. All three structures are based on the octahedron, but only $\mathrm{SeCl}_{6}$ has an octahedral molecular structure.
117. $\mathrm{SeO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ both have polar bonds, but only $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ has a dipole moment. The three bond dipoles from the three polar $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in $\mathrm{SeO}_{3}$ will all cancel when summed together. Hence $\mathrm{SeO}_{3}$ is nonpolar since the overall molecule has no resulting dipole moment. In $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$, the two $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{O}$ bond dipoles do not cancel when summed together; hence $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ has a net dipole moment (is polar). Since O is more electronegative than Se , the negative end of the dipole moment is between the two O atoms, and the positive end is around the Se atom. The arrow in the following illustration represents the overall dipole moment in $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$. Note that to predict polarity for $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$, either of the two resonance structures can be used.

118. All have polar bonds; in $\mathrm{SiF}_{4}$, the individual bond dipoles cancel when summed together, and in $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$, the individual bond dipoles do not cancel. Therefore, $\mathrm{SiF}_{4}$ has no net dipole moment (is nonpolar), and $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$ have net dipole moments (are polar). For $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$, the negative end of the dipole moment is between the more electronegative chlorine atoms, and the positive end is around P . For $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$, the negative end is between the more electronegative Cl atoms, and the positive end of the dipole moment is around S .
119. All have polar bonds, but only $\mathrm{TeF}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{ICl}_{3}$ have dipole moments. The bond dipoles from the five $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds in $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ cancel each other when summed together, so $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ has no net dipole moment. The bond dipoles in $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}$ also cancel:


Because the bond dipoles from the two $\mathrm{Xe}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds are equal in magnitude but point in opposite directions, they cancel each other, and $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}$ has no net dipole moment (is nonpolar). For $\mathrm{TeF}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{ICl}_{3}$, the arrangement of these molecules is such that the individual bond dipoles do not all cancel, so each has an overall net dipole moment (is polar).
120. All have polar bonds, but only $\mathrm{ICl}_{5}$ has an overall net dipole moment. The six bond dipoles in $\mathrm{SeCl}_{6}$ all cancel each other, so $\mathrm{SeCl}_{6}$ has no net dipole moment. The same is true for $\mathrm{XeCl}_{4}$ :


When the four bond dipoles are added together, they all cancel each other, resulting in $\mathrm{XeCl}_{4}$ having no overall dipole moment (is nonpolar). $\mathrm{ICl}_{5}$ has a structure in which the individual bond dipoles do not all cancel, hence $\mathrm{ICl}_{5}$ has a dipole moment (is polar)
121. Molecules that have an overall dipole moment are called polar molecules, and molecules that do not have an overall dipole moment are called nonpolar molecules.
a. $\mathrm{OCl}_{2}, 6+2(7)=20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


V -shaped, polar; $\mathrm{OCl}_{2}$ is polar because the two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond dipoles don't cancel each other. The resulting dipole moment is shown in the drawing.
$\mathrm{KrF}_{2}, 8+2(7)=22 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Linear, nonpolar; the molecule is nonpolar because the two $\mathrm{Kr}-\mathrm{F}$ bond dipoles cancel each other.
$\mathrm{BeH}_{2}, 2+2(1)=4 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Linear, nonpolar; $\mathrm{Be}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dipoles are equal and point in opposite directions. They cancel each other. $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ is nonpolar.
$\mathrm{SO}_{2}, 6+2(6)=18 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


V-shaped, polar; the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bond dipoles do not cancel, so $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is polar (has a net dipole moment). Only one resonance structure is shown.

Note: All four species contain three atoms. They have different structures because the number of lone pairs of electrons around the central atom are different in each case.
b. $\mathrm{SO}_{3}, 6+3(6)=24 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Trigonal planar, nonpolar; bond dipoles cancel. Only one resonance structure is shown.
$\mathrm{NF}_{3}, 5+3(7)=26 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Trigonal pyramid, polar; bond dipoles do not cancel.
$\mathrm{IF}_{3}$ has $7+3(7)=28$ valence electrons.


T-shaped, polar; bond dipoles do not cancel.

Note: Each molecule has the same number of atoms but different structures because of differing numbers of lone pairs around each central atom.
c. $\mathrm{CF}_{4}, 4+4(7)=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Tetrahedral, nonpolar; bond dipoles cancel.
$\mathrm{SeF}_{4}, 6+4(7)=34 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


See-saw, polar; bond dipoles do not cancel.
$\mathrm{KrF}_{4}, 8+4(7)=36$ valence electrons


Square planar, nonpolar; bond dipoles cancel.

Note: Again, each molecule has the same number of atoms but different structures because of differing numbers of lone pairs around the central atom.
d. $\quad \mathrm{IF}_{5}, 7+5(7)=42 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Square pyramid, polar; bond dipoles do not cancel.

AsF $_{5}, 5+5(7)=40 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Trigonal bipyramid, nonpolar; bond dipoles cancel.

Note: Yet again, the molecules have the same number of atoms but different structures because of the presence of differing numbers of lone pairs.
122. a.


Polar; the bond dipoles do not cancel.
c.


Nonpolar; the two Xe-F bond dipoles cancel each other.
b.


Polar; the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is a more polar bond than the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ bond, so the two bond dipoles do not cancel each other.
d.


Polar; all the bond dipoles are not equivalent, and they don't cancel each other.
e.

Nonpolar; the six Se-F bond dipoles cancel each other.
f.


Polar; the bond dipoles are not equivalent, and they don't cancel
123. $\mathrm{EO}_{3}{ }^{-}$is the formula of the ion. The Lewis structure has 26 valence electrons. Let $x=$ number of valence electrons of element $E$.

$$
26=x+3(6)+1, x=7 \text { valence electrons }
$$

Element E is a halogen because halogens have seven valence electrons. Some possible identities are $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$, and I . The $\mathrm{EO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ion has a trigonal pyramid molecular structure with bond angles of less than $109.5^{\circ}\left(<109.5^{\circ}\right)$.
124. The formula is $\mathrm{EF}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{2-}$, and the Lewis structure has 28 valence electrons.

$$
28=x+2(7)+6+2, x=6 \text { valence electrons for element } \mathrm{E}
$$

Element E must belong to the Group 6A elements since E has six valence electrons. E must also be a row 3 or heavier element since this ion has more than eight electrons around the central E atom (row 2 elements never have more than eight electrons around them). Some possible identities for E are S , Se , and Te . The ion has a T-shaped molecular structure with bond angles of $\approx 90^{\circ}$.
125. All these molecules have polar bonds that are symmetrically arranged about the central atoms. In each molecule, the individual bond dipoles cancel each other out to give no net overall dipole moment. All these molecules are nonpolar even though they all contain polar bonds.
126. $\mathrm{XeF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 8+2(7)+2(7)=36 \mathrm{e}^{-}$



The two possible structures for $\mathrm{XeF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ are above. In the first structure, the F atoms are $90^{\circ}$ apart from each other, and the Cl atoms are also $90^{\circ}$ apart. The individual bond dipoles would not cancel in this molecule, so this molecule is polar. In the second possible structure, the F atoms are $180^{\circ}$ apart, as are the Cl atoms. Here, the bond dipoles are symmetrically arranged so they do cancel each other out, and this molecule is nonpolar. Therefore, measurement of the dipole moment would differentiate between the two compounds. These are different compounds and not resonance structures.

## Additional Exercises

127. 

b. Radius: $\mathrm{Cl}^{+}<\mathrm{Cl}<\mathrm{Se}<\mathrm{Se}^{-}$; $\mathrm{IE}: \mathrm{Se}^{-}<\mathrm{Se}<\mathrm{Cl}<\mathrm{Cl}^{+}$

The general trends tell us that Cl has a smaller radius than Se and a larger IE than Se. $\mathrm{Cl}^{+}$, with fewer electron-electron repulsions than Cl , will be smaller than Cl and have a larger IE. Se ${ }^{-}$, with more electron-electron repulsions than Se , will be larger than Se and have a smaller IE.
c. Radius: $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}<\mathrm{Rb}^{+}<\mathrm{Br}^{-}$; $\mathrm{IE}: \mathrm{Br}^{-}<\mathrm{Rb}^{+}<\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$

These ions are isoelectronic. The species with the most protons $\left(\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right)$ will hold the electrons most tightly and will have the smallest radius and largest IE. The ion with the fewest protons $\left(\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right)$will hold the electrons least tightly and will have the largest radius and smallest IE.
128.
a. $\quad \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s})$
b. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{s})$
c. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgS}(\mathrm{s})$
d. $\quad \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
129.
a.
$\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=565 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-}$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=1312 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=-327.8 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=1549 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=427 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \Delta \mathrm{H}=1312 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-348.7 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\hline \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=1390 . \mathrm{kJ}
\end{array}
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=295 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=1312 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=-295.2 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=1312 \mathrm{~kJ}$
d. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=467 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=1312 \mathrm{~kJ}$

| $\mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-180 . \mathrm{kJ}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1599 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

130. $\quad \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ has $4+3(6)+2=24$ valence electrons.

$\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$has $1+4+3(6)+1=24$ valence electrons.

$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ has 2(1)+4+3(6)=24 valence electrons.


The Lewis structures for the reactants and products are:


Bonds broken:
$2 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}(358 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
1 O-H ( $467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ )

Bonds formed:
$1 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(799 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
1 O-H ( $467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ )
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=2(358)+467-[799+467]=-83 \mathrm{~kJ}$; the carbon-oxygen double bond is stronger than two carbon-oxygen single bonds; hence $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are more stable than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$.
131. The stable species are:
a. NaBr : $\mathrm{In} \mathrm{NaBr}_{2}$, the sodium ion would have a $2+$ charge, assuming that each bromine has a 1 - charge. Sodium doesn't form stable $\mathrm{Na}^{2+}$ ionic compounds.
b. $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}: \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ has 31 valence electrons, so it is impossible to satisfy the octet rule for all atoms in $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}$. The extra electron from the 1 - charge in $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$allows for complete octets for all atoms.
c. $\mathrm{XeO}_{4}$ : We can't draw a Lewis structure that obeys the octet rule for $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (30 electrons), unlike $\mathrm{XeO}_{4}$ (32 electrons).
d. $\mathrm{SeF}_{4}$ : Both compounds require the central atom to expand its octet. O is too small and doesn't have low-energy d orbitals to expand its octet (which is true for all row 2 elements).
132. a. All have 24 valence electrons and the same number of atoms in the formula. All have the same resonance Lewis structures; the structures are all trigonal planar with $120^{\circ}$ bond angles. The Lewis structures for $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ will be the same as the three $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ Lewis structures shown below.

b. All have 18 valence electrons and the same number of atoms. All have the same resonance Lewis structures; the molecular structures are all V-shaped with $\approx 120^{\circ}$ bond angles. $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ have the same two Lewis structures as is shown for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$

133.
a. $\mathrm{XeCl}_{4}, 8+4(7)=36 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Square planar, $90^{\circ}$, nonpolar
$\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}, 8+2(7)=22 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Linear, $180^{\circ}$, nonpolar

Both compounds have a central Xe atom with lone pairs and terminal Cl atoms, and both compounds do not satisfy the octet rule. In addition, both are nonpolar because the $\mathrm{Xe}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond dipoles and lone pairs around Xe are arranged in such a manner that they cancel each other out. The last item in common is that both have $180^{\circ}$ bond angles. Although we haven't emphasized this, the bond angles between the Cl atoms on the diagonal in $\mathrm{XeCl}_{4}$ are $180^{\circ}$ apart from each other.
b. All of these are polar covalent compounds. The bond dipoles do not cancel out each other when summed together. The reason the bond dipoles are not symmetrically arranged in these compounds is that they all have at least one lone pair of electrons on the central atom, which disrupts the symmetry. Note that there are molecules that have lone pairs and are nonpolar, e.g., $\mathrm{XeCl}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2}$ in the preceding problem. A lone pair on a central atom does not guarantee a polar molecule.
134. The general structure of the trihalide ions is:


Bromine and iodine are large enough and have low-energy, empty d orbitals to accommodate the expanded octet. Fluorine is small, and its valence shell contains only 2 s and 2 p orbitals (four orbitals) and cannot expand its octet. The lowest-energy d orbitals in F are 3d; they are too high in energy compared with $2 s$ and $2 p$ to be used in bonding.
135. Yes, each structure has the same number of effective pairs around the central atom, giving the same predicted molecular structure for each compound/ion. (A multiple bond is counted as a single group of electrons.)
136. a.

The C-H bonds are assumed nonpolar since the electronegativities of C and H are about equal.

$\delta+\delta-$
$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ is the charge distribution for each $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. In $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the two individual $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond dipoles add together to give an overall dipole moment for the molecule. The overall dipole will point from C (positive end) to the midpoint of the two Cl atoms (negative end).

In $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is essentially nonpolar. The three $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond dipoles in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ add together to give an overall dipole moment for the molecule. The overall dipole will have the negative end at the midpoint of the three chlorines and the positive end around the carbon.

$\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ is nonpolar. $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ is a tetrahedral molecule where all four $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond dipoles cancel when added together. Let's consider just the C and two of the Cl atoms. There will be a net dipole pointing in the direction of the middle of the two Cl atoms.


There will be an equal and opposite dipole arising from the other two Cl atoms.

Combining:


The two dipoles cancel, and $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ is nonpolar.
b. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is nonpolar. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a linear molecule with two equivalence bond dipoles that cancel. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which is also a linear molecule, is polar because the nonequivalent bond dipoles do not cancel.

c. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is polar. The $3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dipoles add together to give a net dipole in the direction of the lone pair. We would predict $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ to be nonpolar on the basis of electronegativitity, i.e., $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are nonpolar. However, the presence of the lone pair makes the $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ molecule slightly polar. The net dipole is in the direction of the lone pair and has a magnitude about one third that of the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ dipole.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta-\quad \delta+ \\
& \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$



137. $\mathrm{TeF}_{5}^{-}$has $6+5(7)+1=42$ valence electrons.


The lone pair of electrons around Te exerts a stronger repulsion than the bonding pairs of electrons. This pushes the four square-planar F atoms away from the lone pair and reduces the bond angles between the axial F atom and the square-planar F atoms.
138. $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}(1072 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) ; \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{N}(941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$; CO is polar, whereas $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is nonpolar. This may lead to a great reactivity for the CO bond.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 139-146 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

147. a. There are two attractions of the form $\frac{(+1)(-1)}{r}$, where $\mathrm{r}=1 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}=0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$.

$$
\mathrm{V}=2 \times\left(2.31 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~nm}\right)\left[\frac{(+1)(-1)}{0.1 \mathrm{~nm}}\right]=-4.62 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}=-5 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}
$$

b. There are four attractions of +1 and -1 charges at a distance of 0.1 nm from each other. The two negative charges and the two positive charges repel each other across the diagonal of the square. This is at a distance of $\sqrt{2} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~nm}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}=4 \times\left(2.31 \times 10^{-19}\right)\left[\frac{(+1)(-1)}{0.1}\right]+2.31 \times 10^{-19}\left[\frac{(+1)(+1)}{\sqrt{2}(0.1)}\right] \\
& \quad+2.31 \times 10^{-19}\left[\frac{(-1)(-1)}{\sqrt{2}(0.1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{V}=-9.24 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}+1.63 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}+1.63 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}=-5.98 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}=-6 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}
$$

Note: There is a greater net attraction in arrangement b than in a .
148.

$$
(\mathrm{IE}-\mathrm{EA}) \quad(\mathrm{IE}-\mathrm{EA}) / 502 \quad \mathrm{EN}(\text { text }) \quad 2006 / 502=4.0
$$

| F | $2006 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cl | $1604 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ | 3.2 | 3.0 |
| Br | $1463 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ | 2.9 | 2.8 |
| I | $1302 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ | 2.6 | 2.5 |

The values calculated from ionization energies and electron affinities show the same trend as (and agree fairly closely) with the values given in the text.
149. The reaction is:

$$
1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ICl}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}=?
$$

Using Hess's law:

| $1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(62 \mathrm{~kJ})$ | (Appendix 4) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(149 \mathrm{~kJ})$ | (Table 8.4) |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=1 / 2(239 \mathrm{~kJ})$ | (Table 8.4) |
| $\mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}^{(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow \mathrm{ICl}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-208 \mathrm{~kJ}$ | (Table 8.4) |
| $1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ICl}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=17 \mathrm{~kJ}$ so $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}=17 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |

150. | $2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{LiCl}^{(\mathrm{s})}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=2(-829 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Li}^{(\mathrm{g})}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=2(520 . \mathrm{kJ})$ |
| $2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{g})^{2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=2(166 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $2 \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=2(-349 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |  |
| $2 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-(432 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| $2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{LiCl}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}=-562 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |  |
151. See Figure 8.11 to see the data supporting MgO as an ionic compound. Note that the lattice energy is large enough to overcome all of the other processes (removing two electrons from Mg , etc.). The bond energy for $\mathrm{O}_{2}(247 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ and electron affinity ( $737 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) are the same when making CO. However, ionizing carbon to form a $\mathrm{C}^{2+}$ ion must be too large. See Figure 7.32 to see that the first ionization energy for carbon is about $350 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ greater than the first ionization energy for magnesium. If all other numbers were equal, the overall energy change would be down to $\sim 250 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ (see Figure 8.11). It is not unreasonable to assume that the second ionization energy for carbon is more than $250 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ greater than the second ionization energy of magnesium. This would result in a positive $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ value for the formation of CO as an ionic compound. One wouldn't expect CO to be ionic if the energetics were unfavorable.
152. a. (1) Removing an electron from the metal: ionization energy, positive $(\Delta \mathrm{H}>0)$
(2) Adding an electron to the nonmetal: electron affinity, often negative $(\Delta \mathrm{H}<0)$
(3) Allowing the metal cation and nonmetal anion to come together: lattice energy, negative ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}<0$ )
b. Often the sign of the sum of the first two processes is positive (or unfavorable). This is especially true due to the fact that we must also vaporize the metal and often break a bond on a diatomic gas. For example, the ionization energy for Na is $+495 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, and the electron affinity for $F$ is $-328 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. Overall, the energy change is $+167 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ (unfavorable).
c. For an ionic compound to form, the sum must be negative (exothermic).
d. The lattice energy must be favorable enough to overcome the endothermic process of forming the ions; i.e., the lattice energy must be a large negative quantity.
e. While $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ (or $\mathrm{NaCl}_{2}$ ) would have a greater lattice energy than NaCl , the energy to make a $\mathrm{Cl}^{2-}$ ion (or $\mathrm{Na}^{2+}$ ion) must be larger (more unfavorable) than what would be gained by the larger lattice energy. The same argument can be made for MgO compared to $\mathrm{MgO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{Mg}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The energy to make the ions is too unfavorable or the lattice energy is not favorable enough, and the compounds do not form.
153. As the halogen atoms get larger, it becomes more difficult to fit three halogen atoms around the small nitrogen atom, and the $\mathrm{NX}_{3}$ molecule becomes less stable.
154. a. I.


Bonds broken (*):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}(358 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(413 \mathrm{~kJ})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bonds formed (*):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}(467 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(347 \mathrm{~kJ})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}=358 \mathrm{~kJ}+413 \mathrm{~kJ}-(467 \mathrm{~kJ}+347 \mathrm{~kJ})=-43 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

II.


Bonds broken (*):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}(358 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(413 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(347 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bonds formed (*):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(614 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}=358 \mathrm{~kJ}+413 \mathrm{~kJ}+347 \mathrm{~kJ}-[467 \mathrm{~kJ}+614 \mathrm{~kJ}]=+37 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {overall }}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{II}}=-43 \mathrm{~kJ}+37 \mathrm{~kJ}=-6 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

b.


Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \times 3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(413 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 6 \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{O}(630 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

Bonds formed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{~N}(891 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 6 \times 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}(941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=12(413)+6(630 .)-[4(891)+12(467)+941]=-1373 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

c.


Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \times 3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(413 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 2 \times 3 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}(391 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 3 \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}(495 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=6(413)+6(391)+3(495)-[2(891)+12(467)]=-1077 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

d. Because both reactions are highly exothermic, the high temperature is not needed to provide energy. It must be necessary for some other reason. The reason is to increase the speed of the reaction. This is discussed in Chapter 12 on kinetics.
155.
a. i. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}+6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}$

The $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ groups are assumed to have one $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ single bond and one $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{O}$ double bond, and each carbon atom has one $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ single bond. We must break and form all bonds.

Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(347 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 6 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}(413 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 12 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}(305 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N}(160 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 6 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{O}(201 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 6 \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{O}(607 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& \hline \mathrm{D}_{\text {broken }}=12,987 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=\Sigma \mathrm{D}_{\text {broken }}-\Sigma \mathrm{D}_{\text {formed }}=12,987 \mathrm{~kJ}-15,623 \mathrm{~kJ}=-2636 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

ii. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CO}+3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Note: The bonds broken will be the same for all three reactions.
Bonds formed:

$$
\begin{gathered}
3 \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}(1072 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
6 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(799 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
6 \mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}(941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
\frac{6 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}(467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})}{\Sigma \mathrm{D}_{\text {formed }}=16,458 \mathrm{~kJ}} \\
\Delta \mathrm{H}=12,987 \mathrm{~kJ}-16,458 \mathrm{~kJ}=-3471 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{gathered}
$$

iii. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{12} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}$

Bonds formed:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}(799 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 6 \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{N}(941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& \frac{3 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}(432 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})}{\Sigma \mathrm{D}_{\text {formed }}=16,530 . \mathrm{kJ}} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=12,987 \mathrm{~kJ}-16,530 . \mathrm{kJ}=-3543 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Reaction iii yields the most energy per mole of CL-20, so it will yield the most energy per kilogram.

$$
\frac{-3543 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{438.23 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}}=-8085 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{kg}
$$

156. We can draw resonance forms for the anion after the loss of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, we can argue that the extra stability of the anion causes the proton to be more readily lost, i.e., makes the compound a better acid.
a.

b.

c.



In all three cases, extra resonance forms can be drawn for the anion that are not possible when the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is present, which leads to enhanced stability.
157. For carbon atoms to have a formal charge of zero, each C atom must satisfy the octet rule by forming four bonds (with no lone pairs). For nitrogen atoms to have a formal charge of zero, each N atom must satisfy the octet rule by forming three bonds and have one lone pair of electrons. For oxygen atoms to have a formal charge of zero, each O atom must satisfy the octet rule by forming two bonds and have two lone pairs of electrons. With these bonding requirements in mind, then the Lewis structure of histidine, where all atoms have a formal charge of zero, is:


We would expect $120^{\circ}$ bond angles about the carbon atom labeled 1 and $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$ bond angles about the nitrogen atom labeled 2. The nitrogen bond angles should be slightly smaller than $109.5^{\circ}$ due to the lone pair of electrons on nitrogen.
158. This molecule has 30 valence electrons. The only C-N bond that can possibly have a doublebond character is the N bound to the C with O attached. Double bonds to the other two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds would require carbon in each case to have 10 valence electrons (which carbon never does). The resonance structures are:

159. a. $\mathrm{BrFI}_{2}, 7+7+2(7)=28 \mathrm{e}^{-}$; two possible structures exist with Br as the central atom; each has a T-shaped molecular structure.

$90^{\circ}$ bond angles between I atoms

$180^{\circ}$ bond angles between I atoms
b. $\mathrm{XeO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}, 8+2(6)+2(7)=34 \mathrm{e}^{-}$; three possible structures exist with Xe as the central atom; each has a see-saw molecular structure.

$90^{\circ}$ bond angle between O atoms

$180^{\circ}$ bond angle between O atoms

$120^{\circ}$ bond angle between O atoms
c. $\mathrm{TeF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}^{-} ; 6+2(7)+3(7)+1=42 \mathrm{e}^{-}$; three possible structures exist with Te as the central atom; each has a square pyramid molecular structure.


One F is $180^{\circ}$ from the lone pair.


Both F atoms are $90^{\circ}$ from the lone pair and $90^{\circ}$ from each other.


Both F atoms are $90^{\circ}$ from the lone pair and $180^{\circ}$ from each other.
160. The skeletal structure of caffeine is:


For a formal charge of zero on all atoms, the bonding requirements are:
(1) four bonds and no lone pairs for each carbon atom;
(2) three bonds and one lone pair for each nitrogen atom;
(3) two bonds and two lone pairs for each oxygen atom;
(4) one bond and no lone pairs for each hydrogen atom.

Following these guidelines gives a Lewis structure that has a formal charge of zero for all the atoms in the molecule. The Lewis structure is:


## Integrative Problems

161. Assuming 100.00 g of compound: $42.81 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F}=\frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}{19.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F}}=2.253 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}$

The number of moles of X in $\mathrm{XF}_{5}$ is: $\quad 2.53 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}{5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}}=0.4506 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}$
This number of moles of X has a mass of $57.19 \mathrm{~g}(=100.00 \mathrm{~g}-42.81 \mathrm{~g})$. The molar mass of X is:

$$
\frac{57.19 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}}{0.4506 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}=126.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \text {; this is element } \mathrm{I} \text { and the compound is } \mathrm{IF}_{5} \text {. }
$$

$\mathrm{IF}_{5}, 7+5(7)=42 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
 The molecular structure is square pyramid.
162. If $X^{2-}$ has a configuration of $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 s^{2} 3 d^{10} 4 p^{6}$, then X must have a configuration with two fewer electrons, $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{4}$. This is element Se.
$\mathrm{SeCN}^{-}, 6+4+5+1=16 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

163. The elements are identified by their electron configurations:

$$
[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}=\mathrm{Cr} ;[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{3}=\mathrm{P} ;[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 4 \mathrm{p}^{3}=\mathrm{As} ;[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{5}=\mathrm{Cl}
$$

Following the electronegativity trend, the order is $\mathrm{Cr}<\mathrm{As}<\mathrm{P}<\mathrm{Cl}$.

## Marathon Problem

164. Compound A: This compound is a strong acid (part g). $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is a strong acid and is available in concentrated solutions of 16 M (part c). The highest possible oxidation state of nitrogen is +5 , and in $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, the oxidation state of nitrogen is +5 (part b). Therefore, compound A is most likely $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. The Lewis structures for $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ are:


Compound B: This compound is basic (part g) and has one nitrogen (part b). The formal charge of zero (part b) tells us that there are three bonds to the nitrogen and that the nitrogen has one lone pair. Assuming compound B is monobasic, then the data in part $g$ tell us that the molar mass of B is $33.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}(21.98 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $1.000 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}=0.02198 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}$; thus there are 0.02198 mol of $\mathrm{B} ; 0.726 \mathrm{~g} / 0.02198 \mathrm{~mol}=33.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). Because this number is rather small, it limits the possibilities. That is, there is one nitrogen, and the remainder of the atoms are O and H . Since the molar mass of B is $33.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$, then only one O oxygen atom can be present. The N and O atoms have a combined molar mass of $30.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$; the rest is made up of hydrogens ( 3 H atoms), giving the formula $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$. From the list of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ values for weak bases in Appendix 5 of the text, compound B is most likely $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$. The Lewis structure is:


Compound C: From parts a and f and assuming compound A is $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, then compound C contains the nitrate ion, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. Because part b tells us that there are two nitrogens, the other ion needs to have one N atom and some H atoms. In addition, compound C must be a weak acid (part g), which must be due to the other ion since $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$has no acidic properties. Also, the nitrogen atom in the other ion must have an oxidation state of -3 (part b) and a formal charge of +1 . The ammonium ion fits the data. Thus compound C is most likely $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$. A Lewis structure is:


Note: Two more resonance structures can be drawn for $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$.

Compound D: From part f , this compound has one less oxygen atom than compound C ; thus $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is a likely formula. Data from part e confirm this. Assuming 100.0 g of compound, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 43.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} / 14.01 \mathrm{~g}=3.12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} \\
& 50.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} / 16.00 \mathrm{~g}=3.12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \\
& 6.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} / 1.008 \mathrm{~g}=6.25 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a $1: 1: 2$ mole ratio among N to O to H . The empirical formula is $\mathrm{NOH}_{2}$, which has an empirical formula mass of $32.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Molar mass $=\frac{\mathrm{dRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{2.86 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(273 \mathrm{~K})}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=64.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

For a correct molar mass, the molecular formula of compound $D$ is $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$. A Lewis structure is:


Note: One more resonance structure for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$can be drawn.
Compound E: A basic solution (part g) that is commercially available at $15 M$ (part c) is ammonium hydroxide $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}\right)$. This is also consistent with the information given in parts b and d. The Lewis structure for $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ is:


## CHAPTER 9

## COVALENT BONDING: ORBITALS

## Questions

9. In hybrid orbital theory, some or all of the valence atomic orbitals of the central atom in a molecule are mixed together to form hybrid orbitals; these hybrid orbitals point to where the bonded atoms and lone pairs are oriented. The sigma bonds are formed from the hybrid orbitals overlapping head to head with an appropriate orbital from the bonded atom. The $\pi$ bonds, in hybrid orbital theory, are formed from unhybridized p atomic orbitals. The p orbitals overlap side to side to form the $\pi$ bond, where the $\pi$ electrons occupy the space above and below a line joining the atoms (the internuclear axis). Assuming the z -axis is the internuclear axis, then the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ atomic orbital will always be hybridized whether the hybridization is $s p, \mathrm{sp}^{2}, \mathrm{sp}^{3}$, $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$ or $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$. For sp hybridization, the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ atomic orbitals are unhybridized; they are used to form two $\pi$ bonds to the bonded atom(s). For $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization, either the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ or the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ atomic orbital is hybridized (along with the s and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbitals); the other p orbital is used to form a $\pi$ bond to a bonded atom. For $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization, the $s$ and all the $p$ orbitals are hybridized; no unhybridized $p$ atomic orbitals are present, so no $\pi$ bonds form with $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization. For $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$ and $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization, we just mix in one or two d orbitals into the hybridization process. Which specific d orbitals are used is not important to our discussion.
10. The MO theory is a mathematical model. The allowed electron energy levels (molecular orbitals) in a molecule are solutions to the mathematical problem. The square of the solutions gives the shapes of the molecular orbitals. A sigma bond is an allowed energy level where the greatest electron probability is between the nuclei forming the bond. Valence s orbitals form sigma bonds, and if the z -axis is the internuclear axis, then valence $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbitals also form sigma bonds. For a molecule like HF, a sigma-bonding MO results from the combination of the H 1 s orbital and the $\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ atomic orbital.

For $\pi$ bonds, the electron density lies above and below the internuclear axis. The $\pi$ bonds are formed when $p_{x}$ orbitals are combined (side-to-side overlap) and when $p_{y}$ orbitals are combined.
11. We use d orbitals when we have to; i.e., we use d orbitals when the central atom on a molecule has more than eight electrons around it. The d orbitals are necessary to accommodate the electrons over eight. Row 2 elements never have more than eight electrons around them, so they never hybridize d orbitals. We rationalize this by saying there are no d orbitals close in energy to the valence 2 s and 2 p orbitals ( 2 d orbitals are forbidden energy levels). However, for row 3 and heavier elements, there are $3 \mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{~d}, 5 \mathrm{~d}$, etc. orbitals that will be close in energy to the valence s and p orbitals. It is row 3 and heavier nonmetals that hybridize d orbitals when they have to.

For sulfur, the valence electrons are in 3s and 3p orbitals. Therefore, 3d orbitals are closest in energy and are available for hybridization. Arsenic would hybridize 4d orbitals to go with the valence 4 s and 4 p orbitals, whereas iodine would hybridize 5d orbitals since the valence electrons are in $n=5$.
12. Rotation occurs in a bond as long as the orbitals that go to form that bond still overlap when the atoms are rotating. Sigma bonds, with the head-to-head overlap, remain unaffected by rotating the atoms in the bonds. Atoms that are bonded together by only a sigma bond (single bond) exhibit this rotation phenomenon. The $\pi$ bonds, however, cannot be rotated. The p orbitals must be parallel to each other to form the $\pi$ bond. If we try to rotate the atoms in a $\pi$ bond, the p orbitals would no longer have the correct alignment necessary to overlap. Because $\pi$ bonds are present in double and triple bonds (a double bond is composed of $1 \sigma$ and $1 \pi$ bond, and a triple bond is always $1 \sigma$ and $2 \pi$ bonds), the atoms in a double or triple bond cannot rotate (unless the bond is broken).
13. Bonding and antibonding molecular orbitals are both solutions to the quantum mechanical treatment of the molecule. Bonding orbitals form when in-phase orbitals combine to give constructive interference. This results in enhanced electron probability located between the two nuclei. The end result is that a bonding MO is lower in energy than the atomic orbitals from which it is composed. Antibonding orbitals form when out-of-phase orbitals combine. The mismatched phases produce destructive interference leading to a node of electron probability between the two nuclei. With electron distribution pushed to the outside, the energy of an antibonding orbital is higher than the energy of the atomic orbitals from which it is composed.
14. From experiment, $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ is paramagnetic. If the $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{MO}$ is lower in energy than the two degenerate $\pi_{2 p}$ MOs, the electron configuration for $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ would have all electrons paired. Experiment tells us we must have unpaired electrons. Therefore, the MO diagram is modified to have the $\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ orbitals lower in energy than the $\sigma_{2 p}$ orbitals. This gives two unpaired electrons in the electron configuration for $B_{2}$, which explains the paramagnetic properties of $B_{2}$. The model allowed for $s$ and $p$ orbitals to mix, which shifted the energy of the $\sigma_{2 p}$ orbital to above that of the $\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ orbitals.
15. The localized electron model does not deal effectively with molecules containing unpaired electrons. We can draw all of the possible structures for NO with its odd number of valence electrons but still not have a good feel for whether the bond in NO is weaker or stronger than the bond in $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$. MO theory can handle odd electron species without any modifications. From the MO electron configurations, the bond order is 2.5 for NO and 2 for $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$. Therefore, NO should have the stronger bond (and it does). In addition, hybrid orbital theory does not predict that $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$is paramagnetic. The MO theory correctly makes this prediction.
16. $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}, 5+3(6)+1=24 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


When resonance structures can be drawn, it is usually due to a multiple bond that can be in different positions. This is the case for $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. Experiment tells us that the three $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds are equivalent in length and strength. To explain this, we say the $\pi$ electrons are delocalized in the molecule. For $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, the $\pi$ bonding system is composed of an unhybridized p atomic orbital from all the atoms in $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. These $p$ orbitals are oriented perpendicular to the plane of the atoms in $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$. The $\pi$ bonding system consists of all of the perpendicular p orbitals overlapping forming a diffuse electron cloud above and below the entire surface of the $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ ion. Instead of having the $\pi$ electrons situated above and below two specific nuclei, we think of the $\pi$ electrons in $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$as extending over the entire surface of the molecule (hence the term delocalized). See Figure 9.48 for an illustration of the $\pi$ bonding system in $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$.

## Exercises

## The Localized Electron Model and Hybrid Orbitals

17. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has $2(1)+6=8$ valence electrons.

$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has a tetrahedral arrangement of the electron pairs about the O atom that requires $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization. Two of the four $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals are used to form bonds to the two hydrogen atoms, and the other two $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals hold the two lone pairs on oxygen. The two $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are formed from overlap of the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals from oxygen with the 1 s atomic orbitals from the hydrogen atoms. Each $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ covalent bond is called a sigma ( $\sigma$ ) bond since the shared electron pair in each bond is centered in an area on a line running between the two atoms.
18. $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ has $4+4(7)=32$ valence electrons.

$\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ has a tetrahedral arrangement of the electron pairs about the carbon atom that requires $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization. The four $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals from carbon are used to form the four bonds to chlorine. The chlorine atoms also have a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs, and we will assume that they are also $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl}$ sigma bonds are all formed from overlap of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals from carbon with $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals from each chlorine atom.
19. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ has $2(1)+4+6=12$ valence electrons.


The central carbon atom has a trigonal planar arrangement of the electron pairs that requires $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization. The two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ sigma bonds are formed from overlap of the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbitals from carbon with the hydrogen 1 s atomic orbitals. The double bond between carbon and oxygen consists of one $\sigma$ and one $\pi$ bond. The oxygen atom, like the carbon atom, also has a trigonal planar arrangement of the electrons that requires $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization. The $\sigma$ bond in the double bond is formed from overlap of a carbon $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital with an oxygen $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital. The $\pi$ bond in the double bond is formed from overlap of the unhybridized $p$ atomic orbitals. Carbon and oxygen each has one unhybridized $p$ atomic orbital that is parallel with the other. When two parallel $p$ atomic orbitals overlap, a $\pi$ bond results where the shared electron pair occupies the space above and below a line joining the atoms in the bond.
20. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ has $2(4)+2(1)=10$ valence electrons.

$$
\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}
$$

Each carbon atom in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is sp hybridized since each carbon atom is surrounded by two effective pairs of electrons; i.e., each carbon atom has a linear arrangement of the electrons. Since each carbon atom is sp hybridized, then each carbon atom has two unhybridized p atomic orbitals. The two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ sigma bonds are formed from overlap of carbon sp hybrid orbitals with hydrogen 1 s atomic orbitals. The triple bond is composed of one $\sigma$ bond and two $\pi$ bonds. The sigma bond between to the carbon atoms is formed from overlap of sp hybrid orbitals from each carbon atom. The two $\pi$ bonds of the triple bond are formed from parallel overlap of the two unhybridized p atomic orbitals from each carbon.
21. Ethane, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, has $2(4)+6(1)=14$ valence electrons.


The carbon atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. The six C-H sigma bonds are formed from overlap of the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals from C with the 1 s atomic orbitals from the hydrogen atoms. The carbon-carbon sigma bond is formed from overlap of an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbital from each C atom.

Ethanol, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ has $2(4)+6(1)+6=20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


The two C atoms and the O atom are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. All bonds are formed from overlap with these $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ sigma bonds are formed from overlap of $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals with hydrogen 1 s atomic orbitals. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ sigma bonds are formed from overlap of the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals from each atom.
22. $\mathrm{HCN}, 1+4+5=10$ valence electrons


Assuming N is hybridized, both C and N atoms are sp hybridized. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \sigma$ bond is formed from overlap of a carbon sp ${ }^{3}$ hybrid orbital with a hydrogen 1 s atomic orbital. The triple bond is composed of one $\sigma$ bond and two $\pi$ bonds. The sigma bond is formed from head-to-head overlap of the sp hybrid orbitals from the C and N atoms. The two $\pi$ bonds in the triple bond are formed from overlap of the two unhybridized p atomic orbitals from each C and N atom.
$\mathrm{COCl}_{2}, 4+6+2(7)=24$ valence electrons


Assuming all atoms are hybridized, the carbon and oxygen atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized, and the two chlorine atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. The two $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} \sigma$ bonds are formed from overlap of $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrids from C with $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbitals from Cl . The double bond between the carbon and oxygen atoms consists of one $\sigma$ and one $\pi$ bond. The $\sigma$ bond in the double bond is formed from head-to-head overlap of an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ orbital from carbon with an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital from oxygen. The $\pi$ bond is formed from parallel overlap of the unhybridized $p$ atomic orbitals from each atom of C and O .
23. See Exercises 8.83 and 8.89 for the Lewis structures. To predict the hybridization, first determine the arrangement of electron pairs about each central atom using the VSEPR model; then use the information in Figure 9.24 of the text to deduce the hybridization required for that arrangement of electron pairs.
a. $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}: \mathrm{C}$ is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
b. $\mathrm{NCl}_{3}: \mathrm{N}$ is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
c. $\mathrm{SeCl}_{2}: ~ \mathrm{Se}$ is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
d. ICl : Both I and Cl are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
8.89
a. The central N atom is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized in $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. In $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, both central N atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized.
b. In $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$and $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$, the central carbon atoms in each ion are sp hybridized, and in $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$, the central N atom is also sp hybridized.
24. See Exercises 8.84 and 8.90 for the Lewis structures.
8.84 a. All the central atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
b. All the central atoms are sp $^{3}$ hybridized.
c. All the central atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
8.90 In $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$, the central atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized, and in $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$, the central sulfur atom is also $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized.
25. All exhibit dsp ${ }^{3}$ hybridization. All of these molecules/ions have a trigonal bipyramid arrangement of electron pairs about the central atom; all have central atoms with dsp ${ }^{3}$ hybridization. See Exercise 8.87 for the Lewis structures.
26. All these molecules have an octahedral arrangement of electron pairs about the central atom; all have central atoms with $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization. See Exercise 8.88 for the Lewis structures.
27. The molecules in Exercise 8.113 all have a trigonal planar arrangement of electron pairs about the central atom, so all have central atoms with $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization. The molecules in Exercise 8.114 all have a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs about the central atom, so all have central atoms with $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization. See Exercises 8.113 and 8.114 for the Lewis structures.
28. The molecules in Exercise 8.115 all have central atoms with $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$ hybridization because all are based on the trigonal bipyramid arrangement of electron pairs. The molecules in Exercise 8.116 all have central atoms with $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization because all are based on the octahedral arrangement of electron pairs. See Exercises 8.115 and 8.116 for the Lewis structures.
29. a.

tetrahedral $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
$109.5^{\circ}$
nonpolar
b.

trigonal pyramid $<109.5^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
polar

The angles in $\mathrm{NF}_{3}$ should be slightly less than $109.5^{\circ}$ because the lone pair requires more space than the bonding pairs.
c.


V-shaped
$<109^{\circ} .5$
$\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
polar
d.

trigonal planar
$\mathrm{sp}^{2}$
$120^{\circ}$
nonpolar
e.

linear sp
$180^{\circ}$
nonpolar
g.

trigonal bipyramid $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$
a) $90^{\circ}$, b) $120^{\circ}$
nonpolar

square planar $90^{\circ}$
k.

square pyramid $\approx 90^{\circ}$
$d^{2} s^{3}$
nonpolar

$$
\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}{ }^{3}
$$

polar
f.

see-saw
dsp ${ }^{3}$
a) $\approx 120^{\circ}$, b) $\approx 90^{\circ}$ polar
h.

linear
dsp ${ }^{3}$
$180^{\circ}$ nonpolar
j.


| octahedral | $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $90^{\circ}$ | nonpolar |

1. 



T-shaped
dsp ${ }^{3}$
$\approx 90^{\circ}$
30. a.


V-shaped, $\mathrm{sp}^{2}, 120^{\circ}$

Only one resonance form is shown. Resonance does not change the position of the atoms. We can predict the geometry and hybridization from any one of the resonance structures.
b.

trigonal planar, $120^{\circ}$, $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$
(plus two other resonance structures)
d.

tetrahedral geometry about each S, $109.5^{\circ}$, sp $^{3}$ hybrids; V-shaped arrangement about peroxide O's, $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$, $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
f.


g.


V-shaped, $<109.5^{\circ}$, sp $^{3}$
h.

see-saw, $\approx 90^{\circ}$ and $\approx 120^{\circ}, \mathrm{dsp}^{3}$
j.

a) $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$
b) $\approx 90^{\circ}$
c) $\approx 120^{\circ}$
see-saw about $S$ atom with one lone pair ( $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$ ); bent about S atom with two lone pairs ( $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ )
i.

octahedral, $90^{\circ}, \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$
k.

trigonal bipyramid, $90^{\circ}$ and $120^{\circ}$, $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$
31.


For the $p$ orbitals to properly line up to form the $\pi$ bond, all six atoms are forced into the same plane. If the atoms are not in the same plane, then the $\pi$ bond could not form since the p orbitals would no longer be parallel to each other.
32. No, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ planes are mutually perpendicular to each other. The center C atom is sp hybridized and is involved in two $\pi$ bonds. The $p$ orbitals used to form each $\pi$ bond must be perpendicular to each other. This forces the two $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ planes to be perpendicular.

33. a. There are $33 \sigma$ and $9 \pi$ bonds. Single bonds always are $\sigma$ bonds, double bonds always consist of $1 \sigma$ and $1 \pi$ bond, and triple bonds always consist of $1 \sigma$ and $2 \pi$ bonds. The 9 $\pi$ bonds come from the 9 double bonds in the indigo molecule.
b. All carbon atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized because all have a trigonal planar arrangement of electron pairs.
34. The two nitrogen atoms in urea both have a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs, so both of these atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. The carbon atom has a trigonal planar arrangement of electron pairs, so C is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. O is also $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized because it also has a trigonal planar arrangement of electron pairs.

Each of the four $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ sigma bonds are formed from overlap of an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbital from nitrogen with a 1 s orbital from hydrogen. Each of the two N-C sigma bonds are formed from an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbital from N with an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital from carbon. The double bond between carbon and oxygen consists of one $\sigma$ and one $\pi$ bond. The $\sigma$ bond in the double bond is formed from overlap of a carbon $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital with an oxygen $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital. The $\pi$ bond in the double bond is formed from overlap of the unhybridized $p$ atomic orbitals. Carbon and oxygen each have one unhybridized $p$ atomic orbital, and they are assumed to be parallel to each other. When two parallel p atomic orbitals overlap side to side, a $\pi$ bond results.
35. To complete the Lewis structures, just add lone pairs of electrons to satisfy the octet rule for the atoms with fewer than eight electrons.

Biacetyl $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ has $4(4)+6(1)+2(6)=34$ valence electrons.


All CCO angles are $120^{\circ}$. The six atoms are not forced to lie in the same plane because of free rotation about the carboncarbon single (sigma) bonds. There are $11 \sigma$ and $2 \pi$ bonds in biacetyl.

Acetoin $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ has $4(4)+8(1)+2(6)=36$ valence electrons.


The carbon with the doubly bonded O is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. The other three C atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. Angle a $=120^{\circ}$ and angle $\mathrm{b}=109.5^{\circ}$. There are $13 \sigma$ and $1 \pi$ bonds in acetoin.

Note: All single bonds are $\sigma$ bonds, all double bonds are one $\sigma$ and one $\pi$ bond, and all triple bonds are one $\sigma$ and two $\pi$ bonds.
36. Acrylonitrile: $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ has $3(4)+3(1)+5=20$ valence electrons.
a) $120^{\circ}$
b) $120^{\circ}$
c) $180^{\circ}$
a


All atoms of acrylonitrile must lie in the same plane. The $\pi$ bond in the double bond dictates that the C and H atoms are all in the same plane, and the triple bond dictates that N is in the same plane with the other atoms.

Methyl methacrylate $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ has $5(4)+8(1)+2(6)=40$ valence electrons.
d) $120^{\circ}$
e) $120^{\circ}$
f) $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$

$14 \sigma$ and $2 \pi$ bonds
37. a. Add lone pairs to complete octets for each O and N .


Azodicarbonamide

methyl cyanoacrylate

Note: $\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ are shorthand for nitrogen or carbon atoms singly bonded to hydrogen atoms.
b. In azodicarbonamide, the two carbon atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized, the two nitrogen atoms with hydrogens attached are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized, and the other two nitrogens are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. In methyl cyanoacrylate, the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbon is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized, the carbon with the triple bond is sp hybridized, and the other three carbons are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized.
c. Azodicarbonamide contains three $\pi$ bonds and methyl cyanoacrylate contains four $\pi$ bonds.
d. a) $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$
b) $120^{\circ}$
c) $\approx 120^{\circ}$
d) $120^{\circ}$
e) $180^{\circ}$
f) $120^{\circ}$
g) $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$
h) $120^{\circ}$
38. a. Piperine and capsaicin are molecules classified as organic compounds, i.e., compounds based on carbon. The majority of Lewis structures for organic compounds have all atoms with zero formal charge. Therefore, carbon atoms in organic compounds will usually form four bonds, nitrogen atoms will form three bonds and complete the octet with one lone pair of electrons, and oxygen atoms will form two bonds and complete the octet with two lone pairs of electrons. Using these guidelines, the Lewis structures are:


Note: The ring structures are all shorthand notation for rings of carbon atoms. In piperine the first ring contains six carbon atoms and the second ring contains five carbon atoms (plus nitrogen). Also notice that $\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, and CH are shorthand for carbon atoms singly bonded to hydrogen atoms.
b. piperine: $0 \mathrm{sp}, 11 \mathrm{sp}^{2}$ and $6 \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbons; capsaicin: $0 \mathrm{sp}, 9 \mathrm{sp}^{2}$, and $9 \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ carbons
c. The nitrogens are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized in each molecule.
d. a) $120^{\circ}$
b) $120^{\circ}$
c) $120^{\circ}$
d) $120^{\circ}$
e) $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$
f) $109.5^{\circ}$
g) $120^{\circ}$
h) $109.5^{\circ}$
i) $120^{\circ}$
j) $109.5^{\circ}$
k) $120^{\circ}$
l) $109.5^{\circ}$
39. To complete the Lewis structure, just add lone pairs of electrons to satisfy the octet rule for the atoms that have fewer than eight electrons.

a. 6
b. 4
c. The center N in $-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}$ group
d. $33 \sigma$
e. $5 \pi$ bonds
f. $180^{\circ}$
g. $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$
h. $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
40. a. To complete the Lewis structure, add two lone pairs to each sulfur atom.

b. See the Lewis structure. The four carbon atoms in the ring are all $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized, and the two sulfur atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
c. $23 \sigma$ and $9 \pi$ bonds. Note: $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{CH}_{2}$, and CH are shorthand for carbon atoms singly bonded to hydrogen atoms.

## The Molecular Orbital Model

41. a. The bonding molecular orbital is on the right and the antibonding molecular orbital is on the left. The bonding MO has the greatest electron probability between the nuclei, while the antibonding MO has greatest electron probability outside the space between the two nuclei.
b. The bonding MO is lower in energy. Because the electrons in the bonding MO have the greatest probability of lying between the two nuclei, these electrons are attracted to two different nuclei, resulting in a lower energy.
42. a.


When p orbitals are combined head-to-head and the phases are the same sign (the orbital lobes have the same sign), a sigma bonding molecular orbital is formed.
b.


When parallel p orbitals are combined in-phase (the signs match up), a pi bonding molecular orbital is formed.
c.


When p orbitals are combined head-to-head and the phases are opposite (the orbital lobes have opposite signs), a sigma antibonding molecular orbital is formed.
d.


When parallel p orbitals are combined out-of-phase (the orbital lobes have opposite signs), a pi antibonding molecular orbital is formed.
43. If we calculate a nonzero bond order for a molecule, then we predict that it can exist (is stable).
a. $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{+}:\left(\sigma_{15}\right)^{1}$
B.O. $=$ bond order $=(1-0) / 2=1 / 2$, stable
$\mathrm{H}_{2}$ : $\quad\left(\sigma_{15}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(2-0) / 2=1$, stable
$\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{-}:\left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{1 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{1}$
B.O. $=(2-1) / 2=1 / 2$, stable
$H_{2}{ }^{2-}:\left(\sigma_{1 s}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{1 s}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(2-2) / 2=0$, not stable
b. $\mathrm{He}_{2}{ }^{2+}:\left(\sigma_{15}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(2-0) / 2=1$, stable
$\mathrm{He}_{2}{ }^{+}:\left(\sigma_{1 s}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{1 s}{ }^{*}\right)^{1}$
B.O. $=(2-1) / 2=1 / 2$, stable
$\mathrm{He}_{2}: \quad\left(\sigma_{1 s}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{15}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(2-2) / 2=0$, not stable
44.
a. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2-}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=$ bond order $=(8-4) / 2=2$, stable
$\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{4}$
B.O. $=(8-6) / 2=1$, stable
$\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{2-}: \quad\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}} *\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(8-8) / 2=0$, not stable
b. $\mathrm{Be}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(2-2) / 2=0$, not stable
$\mathrm{B}_{2}: \quad\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(4-2) / 2=1$, stable
$\mathrm{Ne}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}} *\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}} *\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(8-8) / 2=0$, not stable
45. The electron configurations are:
a. $\mathrm{Li}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{25}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(2-0) / 2=1$, diamagnetic ( 0 unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$)
b. $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2}: \quad\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}$
B.O. $=(6-2) / 2=2$, diamagnetic ( 0 unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$)
c. $\quad \mathrm{S}_{2}: \quad\left(\sigma_{3 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{3 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{3 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{3 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{3 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(8-4) / 2=2$, paramagnetic ( 2 unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$)
46. There are 14 valence electrons in the MO electron configuration. Also, the valence shell is $n$ $=3$. Some possibilities from row 3 having 14 valence electrons are $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{SCl}^{-}, \mathrm{S}_{2}^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{Ar}_{2}{ }^{2+}$.
47.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{O}_{2}: & \left.\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2} & \text { B.O. }=\text { bond order }=(8-4) / 2=2 \\
\mathrm{~N}_{2}: & \left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2} & \text { B.O. }=(8-2) / 2=3
\end{array}
$$

In $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, an antibonding electron is removed, which will increase the bond order to $2.5[=(8-3) / 2]$. The bond order increases as an electron is removed, so the bond strengthens. In $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, a bonding electron is removed, which decreases the bond order to $2.5=[(7-2) / 2]$. So the bond strength weakens as an electron is removed from $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.
48. The electron configurations are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{+}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{3} & \text { B.O. }=(8-5) / 2=1.5 ; 1 \text { unpaired } \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\left.\mathrm{F}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{4} & \text { B.O. }=(8-6) / 2 \text { unpaired } \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{-}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}} *\right)^{1} & \text { B.O. }=(8-7) / 2=0.5 ; 1 \text { unpaired } \mathrm{e}^{-}
\end{array}
$$

From the calculated bond orders, the order of bond lengths should be $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{+}<\mathrm{F}_{2}<\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{-}$.
49. For CO, we will assume the same orbital ordering as that for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.
CO: $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(8-2) / 2=3 ; 0$ unpaired electrons
$\left.\left.\mathrm{O}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\right)^{\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right.}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(8-4) / 2=2 ; 2$ unpaired electrons

The most obvious differences are that CO has a larger bond order than $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (3 versus 2) and that CO is diamagnetic, whereas $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is paramagnetic.
50. Considering only the 12 valence electrons in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, the MO models would be:

$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ground state
Arrangement of electrons consistent with the Lewis structure (double bond and no unpaired electrons).

It takes energy to pair electrons in the same orbital. Thus the structure with no unpaired electrons is at a higher energy; it is an excited state.
51. The electron configurations are (assuming the same orbital order as that for $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ):
a. CO: $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$
B. $\mathrm{O} .=(8-2) / 2=3$, diamagnetic
b. $\mathrm{CO}^{+}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{1}$
B.O. $=(7-2) / 2=2.5$, paramagnetic
c. $\mathrm{CO}^{2+}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}$
B.O. $=(6-2) / 2=2$, diamagnetic

Because bond order is directly proportional to bond energy and inversely proportional to bond length:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Shortest } \rightarrow \text { longest bond length: } \mathrm{CO}<\mathrm{CO}^{+}<\mathrm{CO}^{2+} \\
& \text { Smallest } \rightarrow \text { largest bond energy: } \mathrm{CO}^{2+}<\mathrm{CO}^{+}<\mathrm{CO}
\end{aligned}
$$

52. 

a. $\quad \mathrm{CN}^{+}: \quad\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}$
B.O. $=(6-2) / 2=2$, diamagnetic
b. $\mathrm{CN}: \quad\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{1}$
B.O. $=(7-2) / 2=2.5$, paramagnetic
c. $\quad \mathrm{CN}^{-}: \quad\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=3$, diamagnetic

The bond orders are $\mathrm{CN}^{+}, 2 ; \mathrm{CN}, 2.5 ; \mathrm{CN}^{-}, 3$; because bond order is directly proportional to bond energy and inversely proportional to bond length:

> Shortest $\rightarrow$ longest bond length: $\mathrm{CN}^{-}<\mathrm{CN}<\mathrm{CN}^{+}$
> Smallest $\rightarrow$ largest bond energy: $\mathrm{CN}^{+}<\mathrm{CN}<\mathrm{CN}^{-}$
53. a. $H_{2}:\left(\sigma_{15}\right)^{2}$
$\mathrm{B}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{2-}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$

OF: $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{3}$
The bond strength will weaken if the electron removed comes from a bonding orbital. Of the molecules listed, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ would be expected to have their bond strength weaken as an electron is removed. OF has the electron removed from an antibonding orbital, so its bond strength increases.
54. a. $\mathrm{CN}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{1}$

NO: $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}} *\right)^{1}$
b. $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2+}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}$
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2+}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}$
If the added electron goes into a bonding orbital, the bond order would increase, making the species more stable and more likely to form. Between CN and NO, CN would most likely form $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$since the bond order increases (unlike $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$, where the added electron goes into an antibonding orbital). Between $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2+}, \mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{+}$would most likely form since the bond order increases (unlike $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{2+}$ going to $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$).
55. The two types of overlap that result in bond formation for p orbitals are in-phase side-to-side overlap ( $\pi$ bond) and in-phase head-to-head overlap ( $\sigma$ bond).

$\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ (in-phase; the signs match up)

$\sigma_{2 p}$ (in-phase; the signs match up)
56.


These molecular orbitals are sigma MOs because the electron density is cylindrically symmetric about the internuclear axis.
57. a. The electron density would be closer to F on average. The F atom is more electronegative than the H atom, and the 2 p orbital of F is lower in energy than the 1 s orbital of H .
b. The bonding MO would have more fluorine 2 p character since it is closer in energy to the fluorine 2 p atomic orbital.
c. The antibonding MO would place more electron density closer to H and would have a greater contribution from the higher-energy hydrogen 1 s atomic orbital.
58. a. The antibonding MO will have more hydrogen 1 s character because the hydrogen 1 s atomic orbital is closer in energy to the antibonding MO.
b. No, the net overall overlap is zero. The $p_{x}$ orbital does not have proper symmetry to overlap with a 1 s orbital. The $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ orbitals are called nonbonding orbitals.

c.

d. Bond order $=(2-0) / 2=1$; Note: The $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ electrons have no effect on the bond order.
e. To form $\mathrm{OH}^{+}$, a nonbonding electron is removed from OH . Because the number of bonding electrons and antibonding electrons is unchanged, the bond order is still equal to one.
59. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ has 10 valence electrons. The Lewis structure predicts sp hybridization for each carbon with two unhybridized p orbitals on each carbon.
$[: \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}:]^{2-} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { sp hybrid orbitals form the } \sigma \text { bond and the two unhybridized } \\ & \mathrm{p} \text { atomic orbitals from each carbon form the two } \pi \text { bonds. }\end{aligned}$
MO: $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$, B.O. $=(8-2) / 2=3$
Both give the same picture, a triple bond composed of one $\sigma$ and two $\pi$ bonds. Both predict the ion will be diamagnetic. Lewis structures deal well with diamagnetic (all electrons paired) species. The Lewis model cannot really predict magnetic properties.
60. Lewis structures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NO}^{+}: \quad[: \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{O}:]^{+} \quad \mathrm{NO}^{-}: \quad[\underset{\mathrm{N}}{.}=\underset{. .}{\mathrm{O}}]^{-} \\
& \text {NO: } \quad \ddot{\mathrm{N}}=\underset{\sim}{. .} \quad \text { or } \quad \ddot{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{O}=\ddot{0}+\text { others }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: Lewis structures do not handle odd numbered electron species very well.
MO model:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{NO}^{+}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}, & \text { B.O. }=3,0 \text { unpaired } \mathrm{e}^{-} \text {(diamagnetic) } \\
\text { NO: } & \left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{1}, \\
\mathrm{NO}^{-}: & \left.\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2} \\
\text { B.O. }=2,2 \text { unpaired } \mathrm{e}^{-} \text {(paramagnetic) }
\end{array}
$$

The two models give the same results only for $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$(a triple bond with no unpaired electrons). Lewis structures are not adequate for NO and $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$. The MO model gives a better representation for all three species. For NO, Lewis structures are poor for odd electron species. For $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$, both models predict a double bond, but only the MO model correctly predicts that $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$is paramagnetic.
61. $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$are isoelectronic, so we only need consider one of them since the same bonding ideas apply to both. The Lewis structures for $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ are:


For each of the two resonance forms, the central O atom is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized with one unhybridized p atomic orbital. The $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbitals are used to form the two sigma bonds to the central atom and hold the lone pair of electrons on the central O atom. The localized electron view of the $\pi$ bond uses unhybridized $p$ atomic orbitals. The $\pi$ bond resonates between the two positions in the Lewis structures; the actual structure of $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ is an average of the two resonance structures:


In the MO picture of the $\pi$ bond, all three unhybridized $p$ orbitals overlap at the same time, resulting in $\pi$ electrons that are delocalized over the entire surface of the molecule. This is represented as:

62. The Lewis structures for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ are ( $24 \mathrm{e}^{-}$):


In the localized electron view, the central carbon atom is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized; the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbitals are used to form the three sigma bonds in $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$. The central C atom also has one unhybridized $p$ atomic orbital that overlaps with another $p$ atomic orbital from one of the oxygen atoms to form the $\pi$ bond in each resonance structure. This localized $\pi$ bond moves (resonates) from one position to another. In the molecular orbital model for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, all four atoms in $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ have a p atomic orbital that is perpendicular to the plane of the ion. All four of these p orbitals overlap at the same time to form a delocalized $\pi$ bonding system where the $\pi$ electrons can roam above and below the entire surface of the ion. The $\pi$ molecular orbital system for $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ is analogous to that for $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$which is shown in Figure 9.48 of the text.

## Additional Exercises

63. 

a. $\mathrm{XeO}_{3}, 8+3(6)=26 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
b. $\mathrm{XeO}_{4}, 8+4(6)=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


trigonal pyramid; $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
c. $\mathrm{XeOF}_{4}, 8+6+4(7)=42 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

or

square pyramid; $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$
d. $\mathrm{XeOF}_{2}, 8+6+2(7)=28 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


T-shaped; dsp ${ }^{3}$
e. $\mathrm{XeO}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ has $8+3(6)+2(7)=40$ valence electrons.

or

or

trigonal bipyramid; dsp $^{3}$
64.
$\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{ClO}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}, 2(7)+7+2(6)+1=34 \mathrm{e}^{-}
$$

$\mathrm{F}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}^{-}, 4(7)+7+6+1=42 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

square pyramid, $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$

Note: Similar to Exercise 63c, d, and e, $\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}$has two additional Lewis structures that are possible, and $\mathrm{F}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}^{-}$has one additional Lewis structure that is possible. The predicted hybridization is unaffected.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{FClO}_{2}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-} \\
& \text {see-saw, dsp }{ }^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{ClO} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}^{-}+\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}^{+}$
$\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~F}^{-}+\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}{ }^{+}$
$\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}^{+}, 2(7)+7+6-1=26 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

trigonal pyramid, $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
$\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}{ }^{+}, 2(7)+7+2(6)-1=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

tetrahedral, $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
65. a. No, some atoms are in different places. Thus these are not resonance structures; they are different compounds.
b. For the first Lewis structure, all nitrogen atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized and all carbon atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. In the second Lewis structure, all nitrogen atoms and carbon atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized.
c. For the reaction:


Bonds formed:
$3 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}(615 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
$3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}(358 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$
3 O-H ( $467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ )
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=3(745)+3(305)+3(391)-[3(615)+3(358)+3(467)]$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}=4323 \mathrm{~kJ}-4320 \mathrm{~kJ}=3 \mathrm{~kJ}$

The bonds are slightly stronger in the first structure with the carbon-oxygen double bonds since $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for the reaction is positive. However, the value of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is so small that the best conclusion is that the bond strengths are comparable in the two structures.
66. a. The V-shaped (or bent) molecular structure occurs with both a trigonal planar and a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs. If there is a trigonal planar arrangement, the central atom is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. If there is a tetrahedral arrangement, the central atom is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
b. The see-saw structure is a trigonal bipyramid arrangement of electron pairs which requires $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$ hybridization.
c. The trigonal pyramid structure occurs when a central atom has three bonded atoms and a lone pair of electrons. Whenever a central atom has four effective pairs about the central atom (exhibits a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs), the central atom is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
d. A trigonal bipyramidal arrangement of electron pairs requires $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$ hybridization.
e. A tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs requires $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization.
67. For carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms to have formal charge values of zero, each C atom will form four bonds to other atoms and have no lone pairs of electrons, each N atom will form three bonds to other atoms and have one lone pair of electrons, and each O atom will form two bonds to other atoms and have two lone pairs of electrons. Following these bonding requirements gives the following two resonance structures for vitamin $B_{6}$ :

a. $21 \sigma$ bonds; $4 \pi$ bonds (The electrons in the three $\pi$ bonds in the ring are delocalized.)
b. Angles a), c), and g): $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$; angles b), d), e), and f): $\approx 120^{\circ}$
c. $6 \mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbons; the five carbon atoms in the ring are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized, as is the carbon with the double bond to oxygen.
d. $4 \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ atoms; the two carbons that are not $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized, and the oxygens marked with angles a and c are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
e. Yes, the $\pi$ electrons in the ring are delocalized. The atoms in the ring are all $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. This leaves a p orbital perpendicular to the plane of the ring from each atom. Overlap of all six of these $p$ orbitals results in a $\pi$ molecular orbital system where the electrons are delocalized above and below the plane of the ring (similar to benzene in Figure 9.47 of the text).
68. For carbon, nitrogen, and oxygen atoms to have formal charge values of zero, each C atom will form four bonds to other atoms and have no lone pairs of electrons, each N atom will form three bonds to other atoms and have one lone pair of electrons, and each O atom will form two bonds to other atoms and have two lone pairs of electrons. Following these bonding requirements, a Lewis structure for aspartame is:


Another resonance structure could be drawn having the double bonds in the benzene ring moved over one position.

Atoms that have trigonal planar geometry of electron pairs are assumed to have $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization, and atoms with tetrahedral geometry of electron pairs are assumed to have $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridization. All the N atoms have tetrahedral geometry, so they are all $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized (no $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization). The oxygens double bonded to carbon atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized; the other two oxygens with two single bonds are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. For the carbon atoms, the six carbon atoms in the benzene ring are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized, and the three carbons double bonded to oxygen are also $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized (tetrahedral geometry). Answering the questions:

- $9 \mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized C and N atoms ( 9 from C's and 0 from N 's)
- 7 sp $^{3}$ hybridized C and O atoms (5 from C's and 2 from O's)
- $39 \sigma$ bonds and $6 \pi$ bonds (this includes the $3 \pi$ bonds in the benzene ring that are delocalized)

69. 



In order to rotate about the double bond, the molecule must go through an intermediate stage where the $\pi$ bond is broken and the sigma bond remains intact. Bond energies are $347 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $614 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$. If we take the single bond as the strength of the $\sigma$ bond, then the strength of the $\pi$ bond is $(614-347=) 267 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. In theory, $267 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ must be supplied to rotate about a carbon-carbon double bond.
70. $\mathrm{CO}, 4+6=10 \mathrm{e}^{-} ; \quad \mathrm{CO}_{2}, 4+2(6)=16 \mathrm{e}^{-} ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 3(4)+2(6)=24 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$$
: \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}: \quad \ddot{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{C}=\stackrel{O}{O} \quad \ddot{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\ddot{\mathrm{O}}
$$

There is no molecular structure for the diatomic CO molecule. The carbon in CO is sp hybridized. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a linear molecule, and the central carbon atom is sp hybridized. $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is a linear molecule with all the central carbon atoms exhibiting sp hybridization.
71. a. $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ has $3+3(1)=6$ valence electrons.

trigonal planar, nonpolar, $120^{\circ}, \mathrm{sp}^{2}$
b. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ has $2(5)+2(7)=24$ valence electrons.

Can also be:


V-shaped about both N's; $\approx 120^{\circ}$ about both N's; both N atoms: $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$
polar

nonpolar

These are distinctly different molecules.
c. $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ has $4(4)+6(1)=22$ valence electrons.


All C atoms are trigonal planar with $120^{\circ}$ bond angles and $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization. Because C and H have similar electronegativity values, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are essentially nonpolar, so the molecule is nonpolar. All neutral compounds composed of only C and H atoms are nonpolar.
72. a. Yes, both have four sets of electrons about the P. We would predict a tetrahedral structure for both. See part d for the Lewis structures.
b. The hybridization is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ for P in each structure since both structures exhibit a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs.
c. P has to use one of its $d$ orbitals to form the $\pi$ bond since the $p$ orbitals are all used to form the hybrid orbitals.
d. Formal charge $=$ number of valence electrons of an atom $-[($ number of lone pair electrons) $+1 / 2$ (number of shared electrons)]. The formal charges calculated for the O and P atoms are next to the atoms in the following Lewis structures.


In both structures, the formal charges of the Cl atoms are all zeros. The structure with the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ bond is favored on the basis of formal charge since it has a zero formal charge for all atoms.
73. a. The Lewis structures for NNO and NON are:


The NNO structure is correct. From the Lewis structures, we would predict both NNO and NON to be linear. However, we would predict NNO to be polar and NON to be nonpolar. Since experiments show $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to be polar, NNO is the correct structure.
b. Formal charge $=$ number of valence electrons of atoms - [(number of lone pair electrons) $+1 / 2$ (number of shared electrons)].


The formal charges for the atoms in the various resonance structures are below each atom. The central N is sp hybridized in all the resonance structures. We can probably ignore the third resonance structure on the basis of the relatively large formal charges as compared to the first two resonance structures.
c. The sp hybrid orbitals from the center N overlap with atomic orbitals (or appropriate hybrid orbitals) from the other two atoms to form the two sigma bonds. The remaining two unhybridized p orbitals from the center N overlap with two p orbitals from the peripheral N to form the two $\pi$ bonds.

74. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2}$ (ground state): $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$; B.O. $=3$; diamagnetic ( 0 unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$)
$\mathrm{N}_{2}\left(1\right.$ st excited state): $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{1}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{1}$
B.O. $=(7-3) / 2=2 ; \quad$ paramagnetic ( 2 unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$)

The first excited state of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ should have a weaker bond and should be paramagnetic.
75. $\quad \mathrm{F}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{4} ; \mathrm{F}_{2}$ should have a lower ionization energy than F . The electron removed from $F_{2}$ is in a $\pi_{2 p}{ }^{*}$ antibonding molecular orbital that is higher in energy than the 2 p atomic orbitals from which the electron in atomic fluorine is removed. Because the electron removed from $F_{2}$ is higher in energy than the electron removed from $F$, it should be easier to remove an electron from $F_{2}$ than from $F$.
76.


The two orbitals will overlap side to side, so when the orbitals are in phase, a $\pi$ bonding molecular orbital would be expected to form.
77. Side-to-side in-phase overlap of these $d$ orbitals would produce a $\pi$ bonding molecular orbital. There would be no probability of finding an electron on the axis joining the two nuclei, which is characteristic of $\pi$ MOs.
78. Molecule A has a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs because it is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. Molecule B has 6 electron pairs about the central atom, so it is $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. Molecule C has two $\sigma$ and two $\pi$ bonds to the central atom, so it either has two double bonds to the central atom (as in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) or one triple bond and one single bond (as in HCN ). Molecule C is consistent with a linear arrangement of electron pairs exhibiting sp hybridization. There are many correct possibilities for each molecule; an example of each is:

Molecule A: $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$

tetrahedral; $109.5^{\circ} ; \mathrm{sp}^{3}$

Molecule B: $\mathrm{XeF}_{4}$

square planar; $90^{\circ} ; \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$

Molecule C: $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or HCN

linear; $180^{\circ}$; sp

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 79-86 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

87. The following Lewis structure has a formal charge of zero for all of the atoms in the molecule.


The three C atoms each bonded to three H atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized (tetrahedral geometry); the other five C atoms with trigonal planar geometry are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. The one N atom with the double bond is $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized, and the other three N atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. The answers to the questions are:

- 6 total C and N atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized
- 6 total C and N atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized
- 0 C and N atoms are sp hybridized (linear geometry)
- $25 \sigma$ bonds and $4 \pi$ bonds

88. The complete Lewis structure follows on the next page. All but two of the carbon atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. The two carbon atoms that contain the double bond are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized (see * in the following Lewis structure).


No; most of the carbons are not in the same plane since a majority of carbon atoms exhibit a tetrahedral structure ( $109.5^{\circ}$ bond angles). Note: HO, $\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ are shorthand for oxygen and carbon atoms singly bonded to hydrogen atoms.
89. a. $\mathrm{NCN}^{2-}$ has $5+4+5+2=16$ valence electrons.

$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}$ has $2(1)+5+4+5=16$ valence electrons.

$\mathrm{NCNC}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ has $5+4+5+4+2(5)+4(1)=32$ valence electrons.

favored by formal charge

Melamine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ has $3(4)+6(5)+6(1)=48$ valence electrons.


b. $\mathrm{NCN}^{2-}$ : C is sp hybridized. Each resonance structure predicts a different hybridization for the N atom. Depending on the resonance form, N is predicted to be $\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{sp}^{2}$, or $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized. For the remaining compounds, we will give hybrids for the favored resonance structures as predicted from formal charge considerations.



Melamine: N in $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ groups are all $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized; atoms in ring are all $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized.
c. $\mathrm{NCN}^{2-}: 2 \sigma$ and $2 \pi$ bonds; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}: 4 \sigma$ and $2 \pi$ bonds; dicyandiamide: $9 \sigma$ and $3 \pi$ bonds; melamine: $15 \sigma$ and $3 \pi$ bonds
d. The $\pi$-system forces the ring to be planar, just as the benzene ring is planar (see Figure 9.47 of the text).
e. The structure:

best agrees with experiments because it has three different CN bonds. This structure is also favored on the basis of formal charge.
90. One of the resonance structures for benzene is:


To break $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$ into $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})$ requires the breaking of $6 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, $3 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds, and $3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=6 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}}+3 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}}+3 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}=6(413 \mathrm{~kJ})+3(614 \mathrm{~kJ})+3(347 \mathrm{~kJ})=5361 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

The question asks for $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$, which is $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for the reaction:

$$
6 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})}^{\circ}
$$

To calculate $\Delta H$ for this reaction, we will use Hess's law along with the value $\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})$ and the bond energy value for $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=432 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$.

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
6 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g}) & & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}=-5361 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
6 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}=6(717 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~g}) & & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}=3(432 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline 6 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g}) & & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}=237 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})}^{\circ}=237 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
$$

The experimental $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})$ is more stable (lower in energy) by 154 kJ than the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}$ calculated from bond energies ( $83-237=-154 \mathrm{~kJ}$ ). This extra stability is related to benzene's ability to exhibit resonance. Two equivalent Lewis structures can be drawn for benzene. The $\pi$ bonding system implied by each Lewis structure consists of three localized $\pi$ bonds. This is not correct because all $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds in benzene are equivalent. We say the $\pi$ electrons in benzene are delocalized over the entire surface of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ (see Section 9.5 of the text). The large discrepancy between $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}$ values is due to the delocalized $\pi$ electrons, whose effect was not accounted for in the calculated $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}$ value. The extra stability associated with benzene can be called resonance stabilization. In general, molecules that exhibit resonance are usually more stable than predicted using bond energies.
91. a. $E=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{\left(6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}\right)\left(2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}{25 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=7.9 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}$ $7.9 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}}=4800 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

Using $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ values from the various reactions, 25 -nm light has sufficient energy to ionize $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and N and to break the triple bond. Thus $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{N}$, and $\mathrm{N}^{+}$will all be present, assuming excess $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.
b. To produce atomic nitrogen but no ions, the range of energies of the light must be from $941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ to just below $1402 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{941 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~J}}{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}=1.56 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} / \text { photon } \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{\left(6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}\right)\left(2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}{1.56 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}=1.27 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=127 \mathrm{~nm} \\
& \frac{1402 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.0221 \times 10^{23}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~kJ}}=2.328 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J} / \text { photon } \\
& \lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{\left(6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}\right)\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}{2.328 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}}=8.533 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~m}=85.33 \mathrm{~nm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Light with wavelengths in the range of $85.33 \mathrm{~nm}<\lambda \leq 127 \mathrm{~nm}$ will produce N but no ions.
c. $\mathrm{N}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$; the electron removed from $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is in the $\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}$ molecular orbital, which is lower in energy than the 2 p atomic orbital from which the electron in atomic nitrogen is removed. Because the electron removed from $N_{2}$ is lower in energy than the electron removed from N , the ionization energy of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is greater than that for N .
92. The $\pi$ bonds between S atoms and between C and S atoms are not as strong. The p atomic orbitals do not overlap with each other as well as the smaller p atomic orbitals of C and O overlap.
93. $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cl}$ : The bond order of the NO bond in NOCl is 2 (a double bond).

NO: From molecular orbital theory, the bond order of this NO bond is 2.5. (See Figure 9.40 of the text. )

Both reactions apparently involve only the breaking of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. However, in the reaction $\mathrm{ONCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{Cl}$, some energy is released in forming the stronger NO bond, lowering the value of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$. Therefore, the apparent $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond energy is artificially low for this reaction. The first reaction involves only the breaking of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond.
94. The molecular orbitals for $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ are formed from the two hydrogen 1 s orbitals and the 2 s and one of the 2 p orbitals from beryllium. One of the sigma bonding orbitals forms from overlap of the hydrogen 1 s orbitals with a 2 s orbital from beryllium. Assuming the z axis is the internuclear axis in the linear $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ molecule, then the $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ orbital from beryllium has proper symmetry to overlap with the 1 s orbitals from hydrogen; the $2 p_{x}$ and $2 p_{y}$ orbitals are nonbonding orbitals since they don't have proper symmetry necessary to overlap with 1 s orbitals. The type of bond formed from the $2 \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and 1 s orbitals is a sigma bond since the orbitals overlap head to head. The MO diagram for $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ is:


Bond order $=(4-0) / 2=2$; the MO diagram predicts $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ to be a stable species and also predicts that $\mathrm{BeH}_{2}$ is diamagnetic. Note: The $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{MO}$ is a mixture of the two hydrogen 1 s orbitals with the 2 s orbital from beryllium, and the $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{MO}$ is a mixture of the two hydrogen 1 s orbitals with the $2 \mathrm{p}_{z}$ orbital from beryllium. The MOs are not localized between any two atoms; instead, they extend over the entire surface of the three atoms.
95. The ground state MO electron configuration for $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ is $\left(\sigma_{1 s}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{1 s}\right)^{2}$, giving a bond order of 0 . Therefore, $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ molecules are not predicted to be stable (and are not stable) in the lowestenergy ground state. However, in a high-energy environment, electron(s) from the antibonding orbitals in $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ can be promoted into higher-energy bonding orbitals, thus giving a nonzero bond order and a "reason" to form. For example, a possible excited-state MO electron configuration for $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ would be $\left(\sigma_{1 s}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{1 s}{ }^{*}\right)^{1}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{1}$, giving a bond order of $(3-1) / 2=$ 1. Thus excited $\mathrm{He}_{2}$ molecules can form, but they spontaneously break apart as the electron(s) fall back to the ground state, where the bond order equals zero.
96.


The order from lowest IE to highest IE is: $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}<\mathrm{O}_{2}<\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}<\mathrm{O}$.

The electrons for $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$that are highest in energy are in the $\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*} \mathrm{MOs}$. But for $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$, these electrons are paired. $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$should have the lowest ionization energy (its paired $\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}$ electron is easiest to remove). The species $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$has an overall positive charge, making it harder to remove an electron from $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}$than from $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. The highest-energy electrons for O (in the $2 p$ atomic orbitals) are lower in energy than the $\pi_{2 p}^{*}$ electrons for the other species; O will have the highest ionization energy because it requires a larger quantity of energy to remove an electron from O as compared to the other species.
97. The electron configurations are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{N}_{2}: & \left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2} \\
\mathrm{O}_{2}: & \left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}} *\right)^{2} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{2-}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
\mathrm{~N}_{2}^{-}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{1} \\
\mathrm{O}_{2}^{+}: & \left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{1}
\end{array}
$$

Note: The ordering of the $\sigma_{2 p}$ and $\pi_{2 p}$ orbitals is not important to this question.

The species with the smallest ionization energy has the electron that is easiest to remove. From the MO electron configurations, $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{~N}_{2}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$all contain electrons in the same higher-energy antibonding orbitals $\left(\pi_{2 p}^{*}\right)$, so they should have electrons that are easier to remove as compared to $N_{2}$, which has no $\pi_{2 p}^{*}$ electrons. To differentiate which has the easiest $\pi_{2 p}^{*}$ to remove, concentrate on the number of electrons in the orbitals attracted to the number of protons in the nucleus.
$\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{-}$both have 14 protons in the two nuclei combined. Because $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ has more electrons, one would expect $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ to have more electron repulsions, which translates into having an easier electron to remove. Between $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$, the electron in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ should be easier to remove. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has one more electron than $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$, and one would expect the fewer electrons in
$\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{+}$to be better attracted to the nuclei (and harder to remove). Between $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, both have 16 electrons; the difference is the number of protons in the nucleus. Because $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ has two fewer protons than $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, one would expect the $\mathrm{N}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ to have the easiest electron to remove, which translates into the smallest ionization energy.
98. a. $\mathrm{F}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{F}_{2}^{-}$bond energy

Using Hess's law:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{F}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \left.\Delta \mathrm{H}=290 . \mathrm{kJ} \text { (ionization energy for } \mathrm{F}_{2}^{-}\right) \\
\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=154 \mathrm{~kJ} \text { (bond energy for } \mathrm{F}_{2} \text { from Table 8.4) } \\
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-327.8 \mathrm{~kJ} \text { (electron affinity for } \mathrm{F} \text { from Table 7.7) } \\
\hline \mathrm{F}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=116 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \text { bond energy for } \mathrm{F}_{2}^{-}=116 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
$$

Note that $\mathrm{F}_{2}^{-}$has a smaller bond energy than $\mathrm{F}_{2}$.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { b. } & \mathrm{F}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{4} & \text { B.O. }=(8-6) / 2=1 \\ \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{-}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{1} & \text { B.O. }=(8-7) / 2=0.5\end{array}$
MO theory predicts that $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ should have a stronger bond than $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{-}$because $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ has the larger bond order. As determined in part a, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ indeed has a stronger bond because the $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ bond energy ( $154 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) is greater than the $\mathrm{F}_{2}{ }^{-}$bond energy ( $116 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).
99. a. The CO bond is polar with the negative end at the more electronegative oxygen atom. We would expect metal cations to be attracted to and bond to the oxygen end of CO on the basis of electronegativity.
b. $\quad: \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}: \quad \mathrm{FC}($ carbon $)=4-2-1 / 2(6)=-1$
FC (oxygen) = 6-2-1/2(6) = +1

From formal charge, we would expect metal cations to bond to the carbon (with the negative formal charge).
c. In molecular orbital theory, only orbitals with proper symmetry overlap to form bonding orbitals. The metals that form bonds to CO are usually transition metals, all of which have outer electrons in the d orbitals. The only molecular orbitals of CO that have proper symmetry to overlap with d orbitals are the $\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}} *$ orbitals, whose shape is similar to the d orbitals. Because the antibonding molecular orbitals have more carbon character (carbon is less electronegative than oxygen), one would expect the bond to form through carbon.
100. Benzoic acid $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ has $7(4)+6(1)+2(6)=46$ valence electrons. The Lewis structure for benzoic acid is:


The circle in the ring indicates the delocalized $\pi$ bonding in the benzene ring. The two benzene resonance Lewis structures have three alternating double bonds in the ring (see Figure 9.45).

The six carbons in the ring and the carbon bonded to the ring are all $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. The five $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ sigma bonds are formed from overlap of the $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized carbon atoms with hydrogen 1 s atomic orbitals. The seven $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \sigma$ bonds are formed from head to head overlap of $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbitals from each carbon. The C-O single bond is formed from overlap of an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital on carbon with an $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybrid orbital from oxygen. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O} \sigma$ bond in the double bond is formed from overlap of carbon $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital with an oxygen $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ orbital. The $\pi$ bond in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ double bond is formed from overlap of parallel p unhybridized atomic orbitals from C and O . The delocalized $\pi$ bonding system in the ring is formed from overlap of all six unhybridized p atomic orbitals from the six carbon atoms. See Figure 9.47 for delocalized $\pi$ bonding system in the benzene ring.

## Integrative Problems

101. 

a. $\mathrm{Li}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 s}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(2-0) / 2=1$
$\mathrm{B}_{2}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$
B.O. $=(4-2) / 2=1$

Both have a bond order of 1 .
b. $B_{2}$ has four more electrons than $L i_{2}$, so four electrons must be removed from $B_{2}$ to make it isoelectronic with $\mathrm{Li}_{2}$. The isoelectronic ion is $\mathrm{B}_{2}{ }^{4+}$.
c. To form $\mathrm{B}_{2}{ }^{4+}$, it takes 6455 kJ of energy to remove 4 mol of electrons from 1 mol of $\mathrm{B}_{2}$.
$1.5 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{1 \mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}{21.62 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~B}_{2}} \times \frac{6455 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~B}_{2}}=4.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kJ}$
102.
a. $\mathrm{HF}, 1+7=8 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
$\mathrm{SbF}_{5}, 5+5(7)=40 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

linear, $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ (if F is hybridized)
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{+}, 2(1)+7-1=8 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


V-shaped, sp ${ }^{3}$
trigonal bipyramid, $\mathrm{dsp}^{3}$

$$
\mathrm{SbF}_{6}^{-}, 5+6(7)+1=48 \mathrm{e}^{-}
$$


octahedral, $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$


$$
=18.3 \mathrm{~g}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]^{-}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{3.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SbF}_{5}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SbF}_{5}}{216.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SbF}_{5}} \times \frac{1{\mathrm{~mol}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]^{-}}_{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{SbF}_{5}}}{} \times \frac{256.8 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]^{-}} \\
&=36.7 \mathrm{~g}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because HF produces the smaller amount of product, HF is limiting and 18.3 g of $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right]^{+}\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]^{-}$can be produced.
103. Element X has 36 protons, which identifies it as Kr . Element Y has one less electron than $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$, so the electron configuration of Y is $1 s^{2} 2 s^{2} 2 p^{5}$. This is F .
$\mathrm{KrF}_{3}{ }^{+}, 8+3(7)-1=28 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


T-shaped, dsp ${ }^{3}$

## CHAPTER 10

## LIQUIDS AND SOLIDS

## Questions

12. Chalk is composed of the ionic compound calcium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}\right)$. The electrostatic forces in ionic compounds are much stronger than the intermolecular forces in covalent compounds. Therefore, $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ should have a much higher boiling point than the covalent compounds found in motor oil and in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Motor oil is composed of nonpolar $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds. The intermolecular forces in motor oil are therefore London dispersion forces. We generally consider these forces to be weak. However, with compounds that have large molar masses, these London dispersion forces add up significantly and can overtake the relatively strong hydrogen-bonding interactions in water.
13. Answer a is correct. Intermolecular forces are the forces between molecules that hold the substances together in the solid and liquid phases. Hydrogen bonding is a specific type of intermolecular forces. In this figure, the dotted lines represent the hydrogen bonding interactions that hold individual $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules together in the solid and liquid phases. The solid lines represent the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ covalent bonds.
14. Hydrogen bonding occurs when hydrogen atoms are covalently bonded to highly electronegative atoms such as oxygen, nitrogen, or fluorine. Because the electronegativity difference between hydrogen and these highly electronegative atoms is relatively large, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds are very polar covalent bonds. This leads to strong dipole forces. Also, the small size of the hydrogen atom allows the dipoles to approach each other more closely than can occur between most polar molecules. Both of these factors make hydrogen bonding a special type of dipole interaction.
15. Atoms have an approximately spherical shape (on average). It is impossible to pack spheres together without some empty space among the spheres.
16. Critical temperature: The temperature above which a liquid cannot exist; i.e., the gas cannot be liquified by increased pressure.

Critical pressure: The pressure that must be applied to a substance at its critical temperature to produce a liquid.


The kinetic energy distribution changes as one raises the temperature $\left(T_{4}>T_{c}>T_{3}>T_{2}>\right.$ $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ ). At the critical temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$, all molecules have kinetic energies greater than the intermolecular forces F, and a liquid can't form. Note: The various temperature distributions shown in the plot are not to scale. The area under each temperature distribution should be equal to each other (area = total number of molecules).
17. Evaporation takes place when some molecules at the surface of a liquid have enough energy to break the intermolecular forces holding them in the liquid phase. When a liquid evaporates, the molecules that escape have high kinetic energies. The average kinetic energy of the remaining molecules is lower; thus the temperature of the liquid is lower.
18. A crystalline solid will have the simpler diffraction pattern because a regular, repeating arrangement is necessary to produce planes of atoms that will diffract the X rays in regular patterns. An amorphous solid does not have a regular repeating arrangement and will produce a complicated diffraction pattern.
19. An alloy is a substance that contains a mixture of elements and has metallic properties. In a substitutional alloy, some of the host metal atoms are replaced by other metal atoms of similar size, e.g., brass, pewter, plumber's solder. An interstitial alloy is formed when some of the interstices (holes) in the closest packed metal structure are occupied by smaller atoms, e.g., carbon steels.
20. Equilibrium: There is no change in composition; the vapor pressure is constant.

Dynamic: Two processes, vapor $\rightarrow$ liquid and liquid $\rightarrow$ vapor, are both occurring but with equal rates, so the composition of the vapor is constant.
21. a. As the strength of the intermolecular forces increase, the rate of evaporation decreases.
b. As temperature increases, the rate of evaporation increases.
c. As surface area increases, the rate of evaporation increases.
22. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=44 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$; the vaporization of water is an endothermic process. In order to evaporate, water must absorb heat from the surroundings. In this case, part of the surroundings is our body. So, as water evaporates, our body supplies heat, and as a result, our body temperature can cool down. From Le Châtelier's principle, the less water vapor in the air, the more favorable the evaporation process. Thus the less humid the surroundings, the more favorably water converts into vapor, and the more heat that is lost by our bodies.
23. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})$ is an endothermic process. Heat is absorbed when liquid ethanol vaporizes; the internal heat from the body provides this heat, which results in the cooling of the body.
24. The phase change $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ releases heat that can cause additional damage. Also, steam can be at a temperature greater than $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
25. Sublimation will occur, allowing water to escape as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$.
26. Water boils when the vapor pressure equals the external pressure. Because the external pressure is significantly lower at high altitudes, a lower temperature is required to equalize the vapor pressure of water to the external pressure. Thus food cooked in boiling water at high elevations cooks at a lower temperature, so it takes longer.
27. The strength of intermolecular forces determines relative boiling points. The types of intermolecular forces for covalent compounds are London dispersion forces, dipole forces, and hydrogen bonding. Because the three compounds are assumed to have similar molar mass and shape, the strength of the London dispersion forces will be about equal among the three compounds. One of the compounds will be nonpolar, so it only has London dispersion forces. The other two compounds will be polar, so they have additional dipole forces and will boil at a higher temperature than the nonpolar compound. One of the polar compounds will have an H covalently bonded to either $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$, or F . This gives rise to the strongest type of covalent intermolecular forces, hydrogen bonding. The compound that hydrogen bonds will have the highest boiling point, whereas the polar compound with no hydrogen bonding will boil at a temperature in the middle of the other compounds.
28. a. Both forms of carbon are network solids. In diamond, each carbon atom is surrounded by a tetrahedral arrangement of other carbon atoms to form a huge molecule. Each carbon atom is covalently bonded to four other carbon atoms.

The structure of graphite is based on layers of carbon atoms arranged in fused sixmembered rings. Each carbon atom in a particular layer of graphite is surrounded by three other carbons in a trigonal planar arrangement. This requires $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization. Each carbon has an unhybridized p atomic orbital; all of these p orbitals in each sixmembered ring overlap with each other to form a delocalized $\pi$ electron system.
b. Silica is a network solid having an empirical formula of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$. The silicon atoms are singly bonded to four oxygens. Each silicon atom is at the center of a tetrahedral arrangement of oxygen atoms that are shared with other silicon atoms. The structure of silica is based on a network of $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra with shared oxygen atoms rather than discrete $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ molecules.

Silicates closely resemble silica. The structure is based on interconnected $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. However, in contrast to silica, where the $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Si}$ ratio is $2: 1$, silicates have $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Si}$ ratios greater than 2: 1 and contain silicon-oxygen anions. To form a neutral solid silicate, metal cations are needed to balance the charge. In other words, silicates are salts containing metal cations and polyatomic silicon-oxygen anions.

When silica is heated above its melting point and cooled rapidly, an amorphous (disordered) solid called glass results. Glass more closely resembles a very viscous solution than it does a crystalline solid. To affect the properties of glass, several different additives are thrown into the mixture. Some of these additives are $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, with each compound serving a specific purpose relating to the properties of glass.
29. a. Both $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are molecular solids. Both have an ordered array of the individual molecules, with the molecular units occupying the lattice points. A difference within each solid lattice is the strength of the intermolecular forces. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is nonpolar and only exhibits London dispersion forces. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exhibits the relatively strong hydrogen-bonding interactions. The differences in strength is evidenced by the solid-phase changes that
occur at 1 atm. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ sublimes at a relatively low temperature of $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In sublimation, all of the intermolecular forces are broken. However, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ doesn't have a phase change until $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and in this phase change from ice to water, only a fraction of the intermolecular forces are broken. The higher temperature and the fact that only a portion of the intermolecular forces are broken are attributed to the strength of the intermolecular forces in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ as compared to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$.

Related to the intermolecular forces are the relative densities of the solid and liquid phases for these two compounds. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ is denser than $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$, whereas $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ is less dense than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$. For $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ and for most solids, the molecules pack together as close as possible; hence solids are usually more dense than the liquid phase. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is an exception to this. Water molecules are particularly well suited for hydrogen bonding interaction with each other because each molecule has two polar $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds and two lone pairs on the oxygen. This can lead to the association of four hydrogen atoms with each oxygen atom: two by covalent bonds and two by dipoles. To keep this arrangement (which maximizes the hydrogen-bonding interactions), the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ molecules occupy positions that create empty space in the lattice. This translates into a smaller density for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ as compared to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$.
b. Both NaCl and CsCl are ionic compounds with the anions at the lattice points of the unit cells and the cations occupying the empty spaces created by anions (called holes). In NaCl , the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$anions occupy the lattice points of a face-centered unit cell, with the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ cations occupying the octahedral holes. Octahedral holes are the empty spaces created by six $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. CsCl has the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions at the lattice points of a simple cubic unit cell, with the $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$cations occupying the middle of the cube.
30. Because silicon carbide is made from Group 4A elements, and because it is extremely hard, one would expect SiC to form a covalent network structure similar to diamond.
31. If $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ conducts electricity as a liquid, then it is an ionic solid; if not, then $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ is a network solid.
32. The interparticle forces in ionic solids (the ionic bonds) are much stronger than the interparticle forces in molecular solids (dipole forces, London forces, etc.). The difference in intermolecular forces is most clearly shown in the huge difference in melting points between ionic and molecular solids. Table salt and ordinary sugar are both crystalline solids at room temperature that look very similar to each other. However, sugar can be melted easily in a saucepan during the making of candy, whereas the full heat of a stove will not melt salt. When a substance melts, some interparticle forces must be broken. Ionic solids (salt) require a much larger amount of energy to break the interparticle forces as compared to the relatively weak forces in molecular solids (sugar).
33. The mathematical equation that relates the vapor pressure of a substance to temperature is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ln \mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}=-\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}}\right)+\mathrm{C} \\
y \quad m \quad x \quad+b
\end{gathered}
$$

This equation is in the form of the straight-line equation $(y=m x+b)$ If one plots $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}$ versus $1 / T$ with temperature in Kelvin, the slope $(m)$ of the straight line is $-\Delta H_{\text {vap }} / R$. Because $\Delta H_{\text {vap }}$ is always positive, the slope of the straight line will be negative.
34. The typical phase diagram for a substance shows three phases and has a positive-sloping solid-liquid equilibrium line (water is atypical). A sketch of the phase diagram for $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ would look like this:


Statements a and e are true. For statement a, the liquid phase is always more dense than the gaseous phase (gases are mostly empty space). For statement e, because the triple point is at 90 torr, the liquid phase cannot exist at any pressure less than 90 torr, no matter what the temperature. For statements b, c, and d, examine the phase diagram to prove to yourself that they are false.

## Exercises

## Intermolecular Forces and Physical Properties

35. Ionic compounds have ionic forces. Covalent compounds all have London dispersion (LD) forces, whereas polar covalent compounds have dipole forces and/or hydrogen bonding forces. For hydrogen-bonding (H-bonding) forces, the covalent compound must have either a $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$, or $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{H}$ bond in the molecule.
a. LD only
b. dipole, LD
c. H-bonding, LD
d. ionic
e. LD only ( $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is a nonpolar covalent compound.)
f. dipole, LD
g. ionic
36. 

a. ionic
b. dipole, LD (LD = London dispersion)
c. LD only
d. LD only (For all practical purposes, a C - H bond can be considered as a nonpolar bond.)
e. ionic
f. LD only
g. H-bonding, LD
37. a. OCS; OCS is polar and has dipole-dipole forces in addition to London dispersion (LD) forces. All polar molecules have dipole forces. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is nonpolar and only has LD forces. To predict polarity, draw the Lewis structure and deduce whether the individual bond dipoles cancel.
b. $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$; both $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ are polar compounds, so they both have dipole forces as well as LD forces. However, $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ is a larger molecule, so it would have stronger LD forces.
c. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$; more extensive hydrogen bonding (H-bonding) is possible because two $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ groups are present.
d. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ is polar, whereas $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is nonpolar. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ has dipole forces in addition to LD forces. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ only has LD forces.
e. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ can form relatively strong H -bonding interactions, unlike $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$.
38. Ar exists as individual atoms that are held together in the condensed phases by London dispersion forces. The molecule that will have a boiling point closest to Ar will be a nonpolar substance with about the same molar mass as $\operatorname{Ar}(39.95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$; this same size nonpolar substance will have about equivalent strength of London dispersion forces. Of the choices, only $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(70.90 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}(38.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ are nonpolar. Because $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ has a molar mass closest to that of Ar , one would expect the boiling point of $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ to be close to that of Ar .
39. a. Neopentane is more compact than n-pentane. There is less surface-area contact among neopentane molecules. This leads to weaker London dispersion (LD) forces and a lower boiling point.
b. HF is capable of H -bonding; HCl is not.
c. LiCl is ionic, and HCl is a molecular solid with only dipole forces and LD forces. Ionic forces are much stronger than the forces for molecular solids.
d. n-Hexane is a larger molecule, so it has stronger LD forces.
40. The electrostatic potential diagrams indicate that ethanol and acetone are polar substances, and that propane is a nonpolar substance. Ethanol, with the O-H covalent bond, will exhibit relatively strong hydrogen bonding intermolecular forces in addition to London dispersion forces. The polar acetone will exhibit dipole forces in addition to London dispersion forces, and the nonpolar propane will only exhibit London dispersion forces. Because all three compounds have about the same molar mass, the relative strengths of the London dispersion forces should be about the same. Therefore, ethanol (with the H-bonding capacity) should have the highest boiling point, with polar acetone having the next highest boiling point, and the nonpolar propane, with the weakest intermolecular forces, will have the lowest boiling point.
41. Boiling points and freezing points are assumed directly related to the strength of the intermolecular forces, whereas vapor pressure is inversely related to the strength of the intermolecular forces.
a. $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HBr}$ is polar, whereas Kr and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ are nonpolar. HBr has dipole forces unlike Kr and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. So HBr has the stronger intermolecular forces and the highest boiling point.
b. NaCl ; the ionic forces in NaCl are much stronger than the intermolecular forces for molecular substances, so NaCl has the highest melting point.
c. $\mathrm{I}_{2}$; all are nonpolar, so the largest molecule ( $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ) will have the strongest LD (London Dispersion) forces and the lowest vapor pressure.
d. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$; nonpolar and smallest, so it has the weakest intermolecular forces.
e. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{4}$; smallest, nonpolar molecule, so it has the weakest LD forces.
f. HF; HF can form relatively strong H-bonding interactions, unlike the others.
g. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{H}$-bonding, unlike the others, so it has strongest intermolecular forces.
42. a. $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$; largest of these nonpolar molecules, so it has the strongest LD (London Dispersion) forces.
b. $\mathrm{F}_{2}$; ionic forces in LiF are much stronger than the molecular forces in $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{HCl}$ has dipole forces, whereas the nonpolar $F_{2}$ does not exhibit these. So $F_{2}$ has the weakest intermolecular forces and the lowest freezing point.
c. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$; can form H -bonding interactions, unlike the other covalent compounds.
d. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$; the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ structure has twice the number of H -bonding sites as compared to HF , so $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ has the stronger H -bonding interactions and the greatest viscosity.
e. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ is polar, so it has dipole forces, unlike the other nonpolar covalent compounds, so $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ will have the highest enthalpy of vaporization.
f. $\quad \mathrm{I}_{2} ; \mathrm{I}_{2}$ has only LD forces, whereas CsBr and CaO have much stronger ionic forces. $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ has the weakest intermolecular forces, so it has smallest $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {fusion }}$.

## Properties of Liquids

43. The attraction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for glass is stronger than the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ attraction. The miniscus is concave to increase the area of contact between glass and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{Hg}-\mathrm{Hg}$ attraction is greater than the Hg-glass attraction. The miniscus is convex to minimize the Hg -glass contact.
44. Water is a polar substance, and wax is a nonpolar substance; they are not attracted to each other. A molecule at the surface of a drop of water is subject to attractions only by water molecules below it and to each side. The effect of this uneven pull on the surface water molecules tends to draw them into the body of the water and causes the droplet to assume the shape that has the minimum surface area, a sphere.
45. The structure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$, which produces greater hydrogen bonding than in water. Thus the intermolecular forces are stronger in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ than in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, resulting in a higher normal boiling point for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and a lower vapor pressure.
46. $\quad \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a gas at room temperature. As melting point and boiling point increase, the strength of the intermolecular forces also increases. Therefore, the strength of forces is $\mathrm{CO}_{2}<\mathrm{CS}_{2}<$ $\mathrm{CSe}_{2}$. From a structural standpoint, this is expected. All three are linear, nonpolar molecules. Thus only London dispersion forces are present. Because the molecules increase in size from $\mathrm{CO}_{2}<\mathrm{CS}_{2}<\mathrm{CSe}_{2}$, the strength of the intermolecular forces will increase in the same order.

## Structures and Properties of Solids

47. $\mathrm{n} \lambda=2 \mathrm{~d} \sin \theta, \mathrm{~d}=\frac{\mathrm{n} \lambda}{2 \sin \theta}=\frac{1 \times 154 \mathrm{pm}}{2 \times \sin 14.22^{\circ}}=313 \mathrm{pm}=3.13 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$
48. $\mathrm{d}=\frac{\mathrm{n} \lambda}{2 \sin \theta}=\frac{2 \times 154 \mathrm{pm}}{2 \times \sin 22.20^{\circ}}=408 \mathrm{pm}=4.08 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$
49. $\lambda=\frac{2 \mathrm{~d} \sin \theta}{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{2 \times 1.36 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m} \times \sin 15.0^{\circ}}{1}=7.04 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~m}=0.704 \AA=70.4 \mathrm{pm}$
50. $\quad \mathrm{n} \lambda=2 \mathrm{~d} \sin \theta, \mathrm{~d}=\frac{\mathrm{n} \lambda}{2 \sin \theta}=\frac{1 \times 2.63 \AA^{\circ}}{2 \times \sin 15.55^{\circ}}=4.91 \AA=4.91 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}=491 \mathrm{pm}$ $\sin \theta=\frac{\mathrm{n} \lambda}{2 \mathrm{~d}}=\frac{2 \times 2.63 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}}{2 \times 4.91 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}}=0.536, \theta=32.4^{\circ}$
51. A cubic closest packed structure has a face-centered cubic unit cell. In a face-centered cubic unit, there are:

$$
8 \text { corners } \times \frac{1 / 8 \text { atom }}{\text { corner }}+6 \text { faces } \times \frac{1 / 2 \text { atom }}{\text { face }}=4 \text { atoms }
$$

The atoms in a face-centered cubic unit cell touch along the face diagonal of the cubic unit cell. Using the Pythagorean formula, where $l=$ length of the face diagonal and $r=$ radius of the atom:


$$
l=\mathrm{r} \sqrt{8}=197 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m} \times \sqrt{8}=5.57 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}=5.57 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Volume of a unit cell $=l^{3}=\left(5.57 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}=1.73 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$

Mass of a unit cell $=4 \mathrm{Ca}$ atoms $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{40.08 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ca}}=2.662 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}$
Density $=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{2.662 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}}{1.73 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=1.54 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
52. There are four Ni atoms in each unit cell. For a unit cell:

$$
\text { density }=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=6.84 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}=\frac{4 \mathrm{Ni} \text { atoms } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ni}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{atoms}} \times \frac{58.69 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ni}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ni}}}{l^{3}}
$$

Solving: $l=3.85 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=$ cube edge length


For a face-centered cube:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (4 \mathrm{r})^{2}=l^{2}+l^{2}=2 l^{2} \\
& \mathrm{r} \sqrt{8}=l, \mathrm{r}=l / \sqrt{8} \\
& \mathrm{r}=3.85 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm} / \sqrt{8} \\
& \mathrm{r}=1.36 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=136 \mathrm{pm}
\end{aligned}
$$

53. The unit cell for cubic closest packing is the face-centered unit cell. The volume of a unit cell is:

$$
\mathrm{V}=l^{3}=\left(492 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}=1.19 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}
$$

There are four Pb atoms in the unit cell, as is the case for all face-centered cubic unit cells.
The mass of atoms in a unit cell is:

$$
\text { mass }=4 \mathrm{~Pb} \text { atoms } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~Pb}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{207.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~Pb}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~Pb}}=1.38 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~g}
$$

Density $=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{1.38 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~g}}{1.19 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=11.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
From Exercise 51, the relationship between the cube edge length $l$ and the radius $r$ of an atom in a face-centered unit cell is $l=\mathrm{r} \sqrt{8}$.
$\mathrm{r}=\frac{\mathrm{l}}{\sqrt{8}}=\frac{492 \mathrm{pm}}{\sqrt{8}}=174 \mathrm{pm}=1.74 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$
54. The volume of a unit cell is:

$$
\mathrm{V}=l^{3}=\left(383.3 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}=5.631 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}
$$

There are four Ir atoms in the unit cell, as is the case for all face-centered cubic unit cells.
The mass of atoms in a unit cell is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { mass } & =4 \text { Ir atoms } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ir}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{192.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ir}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ir}}=1.277 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~g} \\
\text { Density } & =\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{1.277 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~g}}{5.631 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=22.68 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

55. A face-centered cubic unit cell contains four atoms. For a unit cell:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mass of } X=\text { volume } \times \text { density }=\left(4.09 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3} \times 10.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}=7.18 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \\
& \quad \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}=4 \text { atoms } \mathrm{X} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}=6.642 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X} \\
& \text { Molar mass }=\frac{7.18 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}}{6.642 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}=108 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \text {; the metal is silver }(\mathrm{Ag}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

56. For a face-centered unit cell, the radius $r$ of an atom is related to the length of a cube edge $l$ by the equation $l=\mathrm{r} \sqrt{8}$ (see Exercise 51).

Radius $=\mathrm{r}=l / \sqrt{8}=392 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m} / \sqrt{8}=1.39 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}=1.39 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$
The volume of a unit cell is $l^{3}$, so the mass of the unknown metal ( X ) in a unit cell is:

$$
\text { volume } \times \text { density }=\left(3.92 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3} \times \frac{21.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=1.29 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}
$$

Because each face-centered unit cell contains four atoms of X:

$$
\text { mol } X \text { in unit cell }=4 \text { atoms } X \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms } X}=6.642 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}
$$

Therefore, each unit cell contains $1.29 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}$, which is equal to $6.642 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}$. The molar mass of X is:

$$
\frac{1.29 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}}{6.642 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}=194 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

The atomic mass would be 194 u . From the periodic table, the best choice for the metal is platinum.
57. For a body-centered unit cell, 8 corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Ti}}{\text { corner }}+\mathrm{Ti}$ at body center $=2 \mathrm{Ti}$ atoms.

All body-centered unit cells have two atoms per unit cell. For a unit cell where $l=$ cube edge length:

$$
\text { density }=4.50 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}=\frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{Ti} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ti}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{atoms}} \times \frac{47.88 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ti}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ti}}}{l^{3}}
$$

Solving: $l=$ edge length of unit cell $=3.28 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=328 \mathrm{pm}$
Assume Ti atoms just touch along the body diagonal of the cube, so body diagonal $=$ $4 \times$ radius of atoms $=4 \mathrm{r}$.

The triangle we need to solve is:


$$
\left(3.28 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right) \sqrt{2}
$$

$$
(4 \mathrm{r})^{2}=\left(3.28 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{2}+\left[\left(3.28 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right) \sqrt{2}\right]^{2}, \mathrm{r}=1.42 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=142 \mathrm{pm}
$$

For a body-centered unit cell (bcc), the radius of the atom is related to the cube edge length by: $4 \mathrm{r}=l \sqrt{3}$ or $l=4 \mathrm{r} / \sqrt{3}$.
58. From Exercise 57:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 16 \mathrm{r}^{2}=l^{2}+2 l^{2} \\
& l=4 \mathrm{r} / \sqrt{3}=(2.309) \mathrm{r} \\
& l=2.309(222 \mathrm{pm})=513 \mathrm{pm}=5.13 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

In a body-centered cubit unit cell, there are two atoms per unit cell. For a unit cell:

$$
\text { density }=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{Ba} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{137.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ba}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}}}{\left(5.13 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}}=\frac{3.38 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}
$$

59. If gold has a face-centered cubic structure, then there are four atoms per unit cell, and from Exercise 51:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 l^{2}=16 \mathrm{r}^{2} \\
& l=\mathrm{r} \sqrt{8}=(144 \mathrm{pm}) \sqrt{8}=407 \mathrm{pm} \\
& l=407 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}=4.07 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Density $=\frac{4 \text { atoms } \mathrm{Au} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Au}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{197.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Au}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Au}}}{\left(4.07 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}}=19.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
If gold has a body-centered cubic structure, then there are two atoms per unit cell, and from Exercise 57:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 16 \mathrm{r}^{2}=l^{2}+2 l^{2} \\
& l=4 \mathrm{r} / \sqrt{3}=333 \mathrm{pm}=333 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m} \\
& l=333 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}=3.33 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Density $=\frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{Au} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Au}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{197.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Au}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Au}}}{\left(3.33 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}}=17.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
The measured density of gold is consistent with a face-centered cubic unit cell.
60. If face-centered cubic:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l=\mathrm{r} \sqrt{8}=(137 \mathrm{pm}) \sqrt{8}=387 \mathrm{pm}=3.87 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm} \\
& \text { density }=\frac{4 \text { atoms } \mathrm{W} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{atoms}} \times \frac{183.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~mol}}}{\left(3.87 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}}=21.1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

If body-centered cubic:

$$
l=\frac{4 \mathrm{r}}{\sqrt{3}}=\frac{4 \times 137 \mathrm{pm}}{\sqrt{3}}=316 \mathrm{pm}=3.16 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}
$$

$$
\text { density }=\frac{2 \text { atoms } \mathrm{W} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{atoms}} \times \frac{183.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{~mol}}}{\left(3.16 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}}=19.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
$$

The measured density of tungsten is consistent with a body-centered unit cell.
61. In a face-centered unit cell (a cubic closest packed structure), the atoms touch along the face diagonal:


$$
\begin{aligned}
& (4 \mathrm{r})^{2}=l^{2}+l^{2} \\
& l=\mathrm{r} \sqrt{8} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\text {cube }}=l^{3}=(\mathrm{r} \sqrt{8})^{3}=(22.63) \mathrm{r}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

There are four atoms in a face-centered cubic cell (see Exercise 51). Each atom has a volume of $(4 / 3) \pi r^{3}=$ volume of a sphere.
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {atoms }}=4 \times \frac{4}{3} \pi \mathrm{r}^{3}=(16.76) \mathrm{r}^{3}$
So $\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\text {atoms }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\text {cube }}}=\frac{(16.76) \mathrm{r}^{3}}{(22.63) \mathrm{r}^{3}}=0.7406$, or $74.06 \%$ of the volume of each unit cell is occupied by atoms.

In a simple cubic unit cell, the atoms touch along the cube edge $l$ :


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2(radius) }=2 \mathrm{r}=l \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\text {cube }}=l^{3}=(2 \mathrm{r})^{3}=8 \mathrm{r}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

There is one atom per simple cubic cell ( 8 corner atoms $\times 1 / 8$ atom per corner $=1$ atom/unit cell). Each atom has an assumed volume of $(4 / 3) \pi \mathrm{r}^{3}=$ volume of a sphere.
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {atom }}=\frac{4}{3} \pi \mathrm{r}^{3}=(4.189) \mathrm{r}^{3}$

So $\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\text {atom }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\text {cube }}}=\frac{(4.189) \mathrm{r}^{3}}{8 \mathrm{r}^{3}}=0.5236$, or $52.36 \%$ of the volume of each unit cell is occupied by atoms.

A cubic closest packed structure (face-centered cubic unit cell) packs the atoms much more efficiently than a simple cubic structure.
62. From Exercise 57, a body-centered unit cell contains two net atoms, and the length of a cube edge $l$ is related to the radius of the atom r by the equation $l=4 \mathrm{r} / \sqrt{3}$.

Volume of unit cell $=l^{3}=(4 \mathrm{r} / \sqrt{3})^{3}=(12.32) \mathrm{r}^{3}$
Volume of atoms in unit cell $=2 \times \frac{4}{3} \pi r^{3}=(8.378) r^{3}$
So $\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\text {atoms }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\text {cube }}}=\frac{(8.378) \mathrm{r}^{3}}{(12.32) \mathrm{r}^{3}}=0.6800=68.00 \%$ occupied
To determine the radius of the Fe atoms, we need to determine the cube edge length $l$.
Volume of unit cell $=\left(2\right.$ Fe atoms $\left.\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Fe}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{55.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Fe}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Fe}}\right) \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{7.86 \mathrm{~g}}$

$$
=2.36 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}
$$

Volume $=l^{3}=2.36 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, l=2.87 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$
$l=4 \mathrm{r} / \sqrt{3}, \mathrm{r}=l \sqrt{3} / 4=2.87 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm} \times \sqrt{3} / 4=1.24 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=124 \mathrm{pm}$
63. Doping silicon with phosphorus produces an n-type semiconductor. The phosphorus adds electrons at energies near the conduction band of silicon. Electrons do not need as much energy to move from filled to unfilled energy levels, so conduction increases. Doping silicon with gallium produces a p-type semiconductor. Because gallium has fewer valence electrons than silicon, holes (unfilled energy levels) at energies in the previously filled molecular orbitals are created, which induces greater electron movement (greater conductivity).
64. A rectifier is a device that produces a current that flows in one direction from an alternating current that flows in both directions. In a p-n junction, a p-type and an n-type semiconductor are connected. The natural flow of electrons in a p-n junction is for the excess electrons in the n-type semiconductor to move to the empty energy levels (holes) of the p-type semiconductor. Only when an external electric potential is connected so that electrons flow in this natural direction will the current flow easily (forward bias). If the external electric potential is connected in reverse of the natural flow of electrons, no current flows through the system (reverse bias). A p-n junction only transmits a current under forward bias, thus converting the alternating current to direct current.
65. In has fewer valence electrons than Se. Thus Se doped with In would be a p-type semiconductor.
66. To make a p-type semiconductor, we need to dope the material with atoms that have fewer valence electrons. The average number of valence electrons is four when $50-50$ mixtures of Group 3A and Group 5A elements are considered. We could dope with more of the Group 3A element or with atoms of Zn or Cd . Cadmium is the most common impurity used to produce p-type GaAs semiconductors. To make an n-type GaAs semiconductor, dope with an excess Group 5A element or dope with a Group 6A element such as sulfur.
67. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}=2.5 \mathrm{eV} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{eV}=4.0 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$; we want $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {light }}=\mathrm{hc} / \lambda$, so:

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{\left(6.63 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}\right)\left(3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}{4.0 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=5.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=5.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~nm}
$$

68. $\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}=\frac{\left(6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}\right)\left(2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}{730 . \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~m}}=2.72 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}=$ energy of band gap
69. Sodium chloride structure: 8 corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\text {corner }}+6$ faces $\times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\text {face }}=4 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions

$$
12 \text { edges } \times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{Na}^{+}}{\text {edge }}+1 \mathrm{Na}^{+} \text {at body center }=4 \mathrm{Na}^{+} \text {ions; } \mathrm{NaCl} \text { is the formula. }
$$

Cesium chloride structure: $1 \mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ion at body center; 8 corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\text {corner }}=1 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion CsCl is the formula.

Zinc sulfide structure: There are four $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ions inside the cube.

$$
8 \text { corners } \times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{~S}^{2-}}{\text { corner }}+6 \text { faces } \times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{~S}^{2-}}{\text { face }}=4 \mathrm{~S}^{2-} \text { ions; } \mathrm{ZnS} \text { is the formula. }
$$

Titanium oxide structure: $\quad 8$ corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}}{\text { corner }}+1 \mathrm{Ti}^{4+}$ at body center $=2 \mathrm{Ti}^{4^{+}}$ions

$$
4 \text { faces } \times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{O}^{2-}}{\text { face }}+2 \mathrm{O}^{2-} \text { inside cube }=4 \mathrm{O}^{2-} \text { ions; } \mathrm{TiO}_{2} \text { is the formula. }
$$

70. Both As ions are inside the unit cell. 8 corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Ni}}{\text { corner }}+4$ edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{Ni}}{\text { edge }}=2 \mathrm{Ni}$ ions

The unit cell contains 2 ions of Ni and 2 ions of As, which gives a formula of NiAs. We would expect As to form 3- charged ions when in ionic compounds, so Ni exists as 3+ charged ions in this compound.
71. There is one octahedral hole per closest packed anion in a closest packed structure. If onehalf of the octahedral holes are filled, then there is a $2: 1$ ratio of fluoride ions to cobalt ions in the crystal. The formula is $\mathrm{CoF}_{2}$, which is composed of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions.
72. There are two tetrahedral holes per closest packed anion. Let $\mathrm{f}=$ fraction of tetrahedral holes filled by the cations.
$\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : Cation-to-anion ratio $=\frac{2}{1}=\frac{2 \mathrm{f}}{1}, \mathrm{f}=1$; all the tetrahedral holes are filled by $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$
CdS: Cation-to-anion ratio $=\frac{1}{1}=\frac{2 \mathrm{f}}{1}, \mathrm{f}=\frac{1}{2}$; one-half the tetrahedral holes are filled by
$\mathrm{ZrI}_{4}$ : Cation-to-anion ratio $=\frac{1}{4}=\frac{2 \mathrm{f}}{1}, \mathrm{f}=\frac{1}{8}$; one-eighth the tetrahedral holes are filled
73. In a cubic closest packed array of anions, there are twice the number of tetrahedral holes as anions present, and an equal number of octahedral holes as anions present. A cubic closest packed array of sulfide ions will have four $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ ions, eight tetrahedral holes, and four octahedral holes. In this structure we have $1 / 8(8)=1 \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ion and $1 / 2(4)=2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ions present, along with the $4 \mathrm{~S}^{2-}$ ions. The formula is $\mathrm{ZnAl}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$.
74. A repeating pattern in the two-dimensional structure is:


Assuming the anions A are the larger circles, there are four anions completely in this repeating square. The corner cations (smaller circles) are shared by four different repeating squares. Therefore, there is one cation in the middle of the square plus $1 / 4(4)=1$ net cation from the corners. Each repeating square has two cations and four anions. The empirical formula is $\mathrm{MA}_{2}$.
75. $8 \mathrm{~F}^{-}$ions at corners $\times 1 / 8 \mathrm{~F}^{-} /$corner $=1 \mathrm{~F}^{-}$ion per unit cell; Because there is one cubic hole per cubic unit cell, there is a $2: 1$ ratio of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions to metal ions in the crystal if only half of the body centers are filled with the metal ions. The formula is $\mathrm{MF}_{2}$, where $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ is the metal ion.
76. Mn ions at 8 corners: $8(1 / 8)=1 \mathrm{Mn}$ ion; F ions at 12 edges: $12(1 / 4)=3 \mathrm{~F}$ ions; the formula is $\mathrm{MnF}_{3}$. Assuming fluoride is -1 charged, then the charge on Mn is +3 .
77. From Figure 10.35, MgO has the NaCl structure containing $4 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions and $4 \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions per face-centered unit cell.

4 MgO formula units $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgO}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{40.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgO}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgO}}=2.678 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgO}$

Volume of unit cell $=2.678 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgO} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{3.58 \mathrm{~g}}=7.48 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
Volume of unit cell $=l^{3}, \quad l=$ cube edge length; $l=\left(7.48 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)^{1 / 3}=4.21 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$

For a face-centered unit cell, the $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions touch along the face diagonal:

$$
\sqrt{2} l=4 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{o}^{2-}}, \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{O}^{2-}}=\frac{\sqrt{2} \times 4.21 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}}{4}=1.49 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}
$$

The cube edge length goes through two radii of the $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ anions and the diameter of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ cation, so:

$$
l=2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{O}^{2-}}+2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}, 4.21 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=2\left(1.49 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)+2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}, \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}=6.15 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~cm}
$$

78. 



Assuming $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$just touch along the cube edge $l$ :

$$
l=2(314 \mathrm{pm})=628 \mathrm{pm}=6.28 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}
$$

Volume of unit cell $=l^{3}=\left(6.28 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}$

The unit cell contains four $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and four $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. For a unit cell:

$$
\text { density }=\frac{4 \mathrm{KCl} \text { formula units } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KCl}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units }} \times \frac{74.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KCl}}}{\left(6.28 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}} \quad \text { }=2.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
$$

79. CsCl is a simple cubic array of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions with $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$in the middle of each unit cell. There is one $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$and one $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion in each unit cell. $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions touch along the body diagonal.

Body diagonal $=2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cs}^{+}}+2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=\sqrt{3} l, \quad l=$ length of cube edge In each unit cell:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { mass }=1 \mathrm{CsCl} \text { formula unit } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CsCl}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units }} \times \frac{168.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CsCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CsCl}} & \\
& =2.796 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}
\end{aligned}
$$

volume $=l^{3}=2.796 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CsCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{3.97 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CsCl}}=7.04 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$
$l^{3}=7.04 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, l=4.13 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=413 \mathrm{pm}=$ length of cube edge
$2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cs}^{+}}+2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=\sqrt{3} l=\sqrt{3}(413 \mathrm{pm})=715 \mathrm{pm}$
The distance between ion centers $=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cs}^{+}}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=715 \mathrm{pm} / 2=358 \mathrm{pm}$
From ionic radius: $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cs}^{+}}=169 \mathrm{pm}$ and $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=181 \mathrm{pm} ; \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cs}^{+}}+\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=169+181=350 . \mathrm{pm}$
The distance calculated from the density is $8 \mathrm{pm}(2.3 \%)$ greater than that calculated from tables of ionic radii.
80. a. The NaCl unit cell has a face-centered cubic arrangement of the anions with cations in the octahedral holes. There are four NaCl formula units per unit cell, and since there is a $1: 1$ ratio of cations to anions in MnO , then there would be four MnO formula units per unit cell, assuming an NaCl-type structure. The CsCl unit cell has a simple cubic structure of anions with the cations in the cubic holes. There is one CsCl formula unit per unit cell, so there would be one MnO formula unit per unit cell if a CsCl structure is observed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\text { Formula units of } \mathrm{MnO}}{\text { Unit cell }}= & \left(4.47 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3} \times \frac{5.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MnO}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MnO}}{70.94 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MnO}} \\
& \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units MnO }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{MnO}_{4}}=4.00 \text { formula units } \mathrm{MnO}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the calculation, MnO crystallizes in the NaCl type structure.
b. From the NaCl structure and assuming the ions touch each other, then $l=$ cube edge length $=2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}}+2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{O}^{2-}}$.

$$
l=4.47 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}}+2\left(1.40 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right), \quad \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}}=8.35 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}=84 \mathrm{pm}
$$

81. 

a. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ : molecular
b. $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ : network
c. Si: atomic, network
d. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ : molecular
e. Ru: atomic, metallic
f. $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ : molecular
g. KBr : ionic
h. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : molecular
i. NaOH : ionic
j. U: atomic, metallic
k. $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ : ionic
l. $\mathrm{PH}_{3}:$ molecular
82.
a. diamond: atomic, network
b. $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$ : molecular
c. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ : molecular
d. Mg: atomic, metallic
e. KCl : ionic
f. quartz: network
g. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : ionic
h. $\mathrm{SF}_{2}$ : molecular
i. Ar: atomic, group 8 A
j. Cu: atomic, metallic
k. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : molecular
83. a. The unit cell consists of Ni at the cube corners and Ti at the body center or Ti at the cube corners and Ni at the body center.
b. $8 \times 1 / 8=1$ atom from corners +1 atom at body center; empirical formula $=\mathrm{NiTi}$
c. Both have a coordination number of 8 (both are surrounded by 8 atoms).
84. Al: 8 corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Al}}{\text { corner }}=1 \mathrm{Al}$; Ni: 6 face centers $\times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{Ni}}{\text { face center }}=3 \mathrm{Ni}$

The composition of the specific phase of the superalloy is $\mathrm{AlNi}_{3}$.
85.

$$
\text { Structure } 1 \text { (on left) }
$$

Structure 2 (on right)
8 corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Ca}}{\text { corner }}=1 \mathrm{Ca}$ atom
8 corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Ti}}{\text { corner }}=1 \mathrm{Ti}$ atom
6 faces $\times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{O}}{\text { face }}=3 \mathrm{O}$ atoms

$$
12 \text { edges } \times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{O}}{\text { corner }}=3 \mathrm{O} \text { atoms }
$$

1 Ti at body center. Formula $=\mathrm{CaTiO}_{3} \quad 1 \mathrm{Ca}$ at body center. Formula $=\mathrm{CaTiO}_{3}$
In the extended lattice of both structures, each Ti atom is surrounded by six O atoms.
86. With a cubic closest packed array of oxygen ions, we have $4 \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions per unit cell. We need to balance the total -8 charge of the anions with a +8 charge from the $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ cations. The only combination of ions that gives a +8 charge is $2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ions and $1 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ion. The formula is $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{MgO}_{4}$.

There are an equal number of octahedral holes as anions (4) in a cubic closest packed array and twice the number of tetrahedral holes as anions in a cubic closest packed array. For the stoichiometry to work out, we need $2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ and $1 \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ per unit cell. Hence one-half of the octahedral holes are filled with $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ ions, and one-eighth of the tetrahedral holes are filled with $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions.
87. a. $\mathrm{Y}: 1 \mathrm{Y}$ in center; Ba: 2 Ba in center
$\mathrm{Cu}: 8$ corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Cu}}{\text { corner }}=1 \mathrm{Cu}, 8$ edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{Cu}}{\text { edge }}=2 \mathrm{Cu}$, total $=3 \mathrm{Cu}$ atoms O: 20 edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{O}}{\text { edge }}=5$ oxygen, 8 faces $\times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{O}}{\text { face }}=4$ oxygen, total $=9 \mathrm{O}$ atoms

Formula: $\mathrm{YBa}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$
b. The structure of this superconductor material follows the second perovskite structure described in Exercise 85. The $\mathrm{YBa}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ structure is three of these cubic perovskite unit cells stacked on top of each other. The oxygen atoms are in the same places, Cu takes the place of Ti , two of the calcium atoms are replaced by two barium atoms, and one Ca is replaced by Y.
c. $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Ba}$, and Cu are the same. Some oxygen atoms are missing.

12 edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{O}}{\text { edge }}=3 \mathrm{O}, 8$ faces $\times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{O}}{\text { face }}=4 \mathrm{O}$, total $=7 \mathrm{O}$ atoms
Superconductor formula is $\mathrm{YBa}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{7}$.
88. a. Structure (a):

Ba: 2 Ba inside unit cell; $\mathrm{Tl}: 8$ corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Tl}}{\text { corner }}=1 \mathrm{Tl}$
$\mathrm{Cu}: 4$ edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{Cu}}{\text { edge }}=1 \mathrm{Cu}$
O: 6 faces $\times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{O}}{\text { face }}+8$ edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{O}}{\text { edge }}=5 \mathrm{O} ;$ Formula $=\mathrm{TlBa}_{2} \mathrm{CuO}_{5}$.
Structure (b):
Tl and Ba are the same as in structure (a).
Ca: 1 Ca inside unit cell; Cu: 8 edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{Cu}}{\text { edge }}=2 \mathrm{Cu}$
O: 10 faces $\times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{O}}{\text { face }}+8$ edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{O}}{\text { edge }}=7 \mathrm{O} ; \quad$ Formula $=\mathrm{TlBa}_{2} \mathrm{CaCu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$.
Structure (c):
Tl and Ba are the same, and two Ca are located inside the unit cell.
$\mathrm{Cu}: 12$ edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{Cu}}{\text { edge }}=3 \mathrm{Cu}$; O: 14 faces $\times \frac{1 / 2 \mathrm{O}}{\text { face }}+8$ edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{O}}{\text { edge }}=9 \mathrm{O}$
Formula $=\mathrm{TlBa}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$.
Structure (d): Following similar calculations, formula $=\mathrm{TlBa}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11}$.
b. Structure (a) has one planar sheet of Cu and O atoms, and the number increases by one for each of the remaining structures. The order of superconductivity temperature from lowest to highest temperature is (a) < (b) < (c) < (d).
c. $\mathrm{TlBa}_{2} \mathrm{CuO}_{5}: 3+2(2)+x+5(-2)=0, x=+3$

Only $\mathrm{Cu}^{3+}$ is present in each formula unit.
$\mathrm{TlBa}_{2} \mathrm{CaCu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}: 3+2(2)+2+2(x)+7(-2)=0, \quad x=+5 / 2$
Each formula unit contains $1 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $1 \mathrm{Cu}^{3+}$.
$\mathrm{TlBa}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}: 3+2(2)+2(2)+3(x)+9(-2)=0, x=+7 / 3$
Each formula unit contains $2 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $1 \mathrm{Cu}^{3+}$.
$\mathrm{TlBa}_{2} \mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{Cu}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{11}: 3+2(2)+3(2)+4(x)+11(-2)=0, x=+9 / 4$
Each formula unit contains $3 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $1 \mathrm{Cu}^{3+}$.
d. This superconductor material achieves variable copper oxidation states by varying the numbers of $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Cu}$, and O in each unit cell. The mixtures of copper oxidation states are discussed in part c. The superconductor material in Exercise 87 achieves variable copper oxidation states by omitting oxygen at various sites in the lattice.

## Phase Changes and Phase Diagrams

89. If we graph $\ln P_{\text {vap }}$ versus $1 / T$ with temperature in Kelvin, the slope of the resulting straight line will be $-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{vap}} / \mathrm{R}$.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}$ | $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{Li})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T}$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{Mg})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 1 torr | 0 | 1023 K | $9.775 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ | 893 K | $11.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}$ |
| 10. | 2.3 | 1163 | $8.598 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1013 | $9.872 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 100. | 4.61 | 1353 | $7.391 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1173 | $8.525 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 400. | 5.99 | 1513 | $6.609 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1313 | $7.616 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| 760. | 6.63 | 1583 | $6.317 \times 10^{-4}$ | 1383 | $7.231 \times 10^{-4}$ |



For Li:

We get the slope by taking two points $(x, y)$ that are on the line we draw. For a line, slope $=\Delta y / \Delta x$, or we can determine the straight-line equation using a calculator. The general straight-line equation is $y=m x+b$, where $m=$ slope and $b=y$ intercept.

The equation of the Li line is: $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}=-1.90 \times 10^{4}(1 / \mathrm{T})+18.6$, slope $=-1.90 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Slope }=-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }} / \mathrm{R}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=- \text { slope } \times \mathrm{R}=1.90 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K} \times 8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=1.58 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=158 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

For Mg:
The equation of the line is: $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}=-1.67 \times 10^{4}(1 / \mathrm{T})+18.7$, slope $=-1.67 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=- \text { slope } \times \mathrm{R}=1.67 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K} \times 8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \bullet \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=1.39 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=139 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

The bonding is stronger in Li because $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}$ is larger for Li .
90. We graph $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}$ vs $1 / T$. The slope of the line equals $-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }} / \mathrm{R}$.

| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $10^{3} / \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}$ (torr) | $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\text {vap }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 273 | 3.66 | 14.4 | 2.67 |
| 283 | 3.53 | 26.6 | 3.28 |
| 293 | 3.41 | 47.9 | 3.87 |
| 303 | 3.30 | 81.3 | 4.40 |
| 313 | 3.19 | 133 | 4.89 |
| 323 | 3.10 | 208 | 5.34 |
| 353 | 2.83 | 670. | 6.51 |



Slope $=\frac{6.6-2.5}{\left(2.80 \times 10^{-3}-3.70 \times 10^{-3}\right) \mathrm{K}^{-1}}=-4600 \mathrm{~K}$
$-4600 \mathrm{~K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=38,000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=38 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
To determine the normal boiling point, we can use the following formula:

$$
\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}\right)
$$

At the normal boiling point, the vapor pressure equals 1.00 atm or 760 . torr. At 273 K , the vapor pressure is 14.4. torr (from data in the problem).
$\ln \left(\frac{14.4}{760 .}\right)=\frac{38,000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}-\frac{1}{273 \mathrm{~K}}\right),-3.97=4.6 \times 10^{3}\left(1 / \mathrm{T}_{2}-3.66 \times 10^{-3}\right)$
$-8.6 \times 10^{-4}+3.66 \times 10^{-3}=1 / \mathrm{T}_{2}=2.80 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=357 \mathrm{~K}=$ normal boiling point
91. At $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(373 \mathrm{~K})$, the vapor pressure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is $1.00 \mathrm{~atm}=760$. torr. For water, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=$ $40.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}\right) \text { or } \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right) \\
& \ln \left(\frac{520 . \text { torr }}{760 . \text { torr }}\right)=\frac{40.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{373 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right),-7.75 \times 10^{-5}=\left(\frac{1}{373 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right) \\
& -7.75 \times 10^{-5}=2.68 \times 10^{-3}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}, \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}=2.76 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=\frac{1}{2.76 \times 10^{-3}}=362 \mathrm{~K} \text { or } 89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

92. At $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(373 \mathrm{~K})$, the vapor pressure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is 1.00 atm . For water, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=40.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}\right) \text { or } \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right) \\
& \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{2}}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}\right)=\frac{40.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{373 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{388 \mathrm{~K}}\right), \ln \mathrm{P}_{2}=0.51, \mathrm{P}_{2}=\mathrm{e}^{0.51}=1.7 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\ln \left(\frac{3.50}{1.00}\right)=\frac{40.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{373 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right), \quad 2.56 \times 10^{-4}=\left(\frac{1}{373 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)
$$

$$
2.56 \times 10^{-4}=2.68 \times 10^{-3}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}, \frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}=2.42 \times 10^{-3}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=\frac{1}{2.42 \times 10^{-3}}=413 \mathrm{~K} \text { or } 140 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

93. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}\right), \ln \left(\frac{836 \text { torr }}{213 \text { torr }}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}\left(\frac{1}{313 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{353 \mathrm{~K}}\right)$

Solving: $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=3.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$; for the normal boiling point, $\mathrm{P}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}=760$. torr.

$$
\ln \left(\frac{760 . \text { torr }}{213 \text { torr }}\right)=\frac{3.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{313 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}\right), \frac{1}{313}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}=3.4 \times 10^{-4}
$$

$\mathrm{T}_{1}=350 . \mathrm{K}=77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the normal boiling point of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ is $77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
94. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}\right), \ln \left(\frac{760 \text { torr }}{400 . \text { torr }}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}\left(\frac{1}{291.1 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{307.8 \mathrm{~K}}\right)$

Solving: $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=2.83 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=28.3 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
95.

96. a. The plateau at the lowest temperature signifies the melting/freezing of the substance. Hence the freezing point is $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
b. The higher temperature plateau signifies the boiling/condensation of the substance. The temperature of this plateau is $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
c. $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{l}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {fusion }} ; \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vaporization }}$

The heat of fusion and the heat of vaporization terms refer to enthalpy changes for the specific phase changes illustrated in the equations above. In a heating curve, energy is applied at a steady rate. So the longer, higher temperature plateau has a larger enthalpy change associated with it as compared to the shorter plateau. The higher temperature plateau occurs when a liquid is converting to a gas, so the heat of vaporization is greater than the heat of fusion. This is always the case because significantly more intermolecular forces are broken when a substance boils than when a substance melts.
97. a. Many more intermolecular forces must be broken to convert a liquid to a gas as compared with converting a solid to a liquid. Because more intermolecular forces must be broken, much more energy is required to vaporize a liquid than is required to melt a solid. Therefore, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}$ is much larger than $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {fus }}$.
b. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}{22.99 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{2.60 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}=0.113 \mathrm{~kJ}=113 \mathrm{~J}$ to melt 1.00 g Na
c. $\quad 1.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}{22.99 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{97.0 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}=4.22 \mathrm{~kJ}=4220 \mathrm{~J}$ to vaporize 1.00 g Na
d. This is the reverse process of that described in part c, so the energy change is the same quantity but opposite in sign. Therefore, q = -4220 J ; i.e., 4220 of heat will be released.
98. Melt: $8.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{78.11 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{9.92 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=1.05 \mathrm{~kJ}$

Vaporize: $8.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{78.11 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{30.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=3.24 \mathrm{~kJ}$
As is typical, the energy required to vaporize a certain quantity of substance is much larger than the energy required to melt the same quantity of substance. A lot more intermolecular forces must be broken to vaporize a substance as compared to melting a substance.
99. To calculate $\mathrm{q}_{\text {totala }}$, break up the heating process into five steps.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{s},-20 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{s}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \Delta \mathrm{T}=20 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; let $\mathrm{s}_{\text {ice }}=$ specific heat capacity of ice:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{q}_{1}=\mathrm{s}_{\text {ice }} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}=\frac{2.03 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 5.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \times 20 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=2.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=20 . \mathrm{kJ} \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{~s}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1,0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{q}_{2}=5.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.02 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=167 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{l}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(1,100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{q}_{3}=\frac{4.2 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 5.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \times 100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=2.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=210 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{l}, 100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}, 100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{q}_{4}=5.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{40.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=1130 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}, 100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}, 250 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{q}_{5}=\frac{2.0 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 5.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} \times 150 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=1.5 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
=150 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$\mathrm{q}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{q}_{1}+\mathrm{q}_{2}+\mathrm{q}_{3}+\mathrm{q}_{4}+\mathrm{q}_{5}=20 .+167+210+1130+150=1680 \mathrm{~kJ}$
100. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}, 100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{q}_{1}=2.0 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times 75.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=-3800 \mathrm{~J}=-3.8 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{g}, 100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{l}, 100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{q}_{2}=75.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{-40.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=-169 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{l}, 100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{l}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathrm{q}_{3}=4.2 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \times 75.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(-100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=-32,000 \mathrm{~J}=-32 \mathrm{~kJ}$
To convert $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ requires ( $-3.8 \mathrm{~kJ}-169 \mathrm{~kJ}-32 \mathrm{~kJ}=$ ) -205 kJ of heat removed. To convert from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ requires:

$$
\mathrm{q}_{4}=75.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{-6.02 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=-25.1 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

This amount of energy puts us over the -215 kJ limit ( $-205 \mathrm{~kJ}-25.1 \mathrm{~kJ}=-230 \mathrm{~kJ}$ ). Therefore, a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ will be present at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ when 215 kJ of heat is removed from the gas sample.
101. Total mass $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=18$ cubes $\times \frac{30.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\text { cube }}=540$. g; 540. $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=30.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Heat removed to produce ice at $-5.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left(\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 540 . \mathrm{g} \times 22.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)+\left(\frac{6.02 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times 30.0 \mathrm{~mol}\right)+\left(\frac{2.03 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 540 . \mathrm{g} \times 5.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\
=4.97 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}+1.81 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}+5.5 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=2.36 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}
\end{array}
$$

$2.36 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{158 \mathrm{~J}}=1.49 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ must be vaporized.
102. Heat released $=0.250 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{22.99 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{368 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol}}=2.00 \mathrm{~kJ}$

To melt 50.0 g of ice requires: 50.0 g ice $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.02 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=16.7 \mathrm{~kJ}$
The reaction doesn't release enough heat to melt all of the ice. The temperature will remain at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
103.
A: solid
B: liquid
C: vapor
D: solid + vapor
E: solid + liquid + vapor
F: liquid + vapor
G: liquid + vapor
H: vapor
triple point: E
critical point: G

Normal freezing point: Temperature at which solid-liquid line is at 1.0 atm (see following plot).

Normal boiling point: Temperature at which liquid-vapor line is at 1.0 atm (see following plot ).


Because the solid-liquid line equilibrium has a positive slope, the solid phase is denser than the liquid phase.
104. a. 3
b. Triple point at $95.31^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : rhombic, monoclinic, gas

Triple point at $115.18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : monoclinic, liquid, gas
Triple point at $153^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ : rhombic, monoclinic, liquid
c. From the phase diagram, the monoclinic solid phase is stable at $\mathrm{T}=100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{P}=1$ atm.
d. Normal melting point $=115.21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; normal boiling point $=444.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the normal melting and boiling points occur at $\mathrm{P}=1.0 \mathrm{~atm}$.
e. Rhombic is the densest phase because the rhombic-monoclinic equilibrium line has a positive slope, and because the solid-liquid equilibrium lines also have positive slopes.
f. No; $\mathrm{P}=1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~atm}$ is at a pressure somewhere between the 95.31 and $115.18^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ triple points. At this pressure, the rhombic and gas phases are never in equilibrium with each other, so rhombic sulfur cannot sublime at $\mathrm{P}=1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~atm}$. However, monoclinic sulfur can sublime at this pressure.
g. From the phase diagram, we would start off with gaseous sulfur. At $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\sim 1 \times 10^{-5}$ atm, $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{g})$ would convert to the solid monoclinic form of sulfur. Finally at $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and some large pressure less than 1420 atm , $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{s}$, monoclinic) would convert to the solid rhombic form of sulfur. Summarizing, the phase changes are $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$ (monoclinic) $\rightarrow$ S(rhombic).
105. a. two
b. Higher-pressure triple point: graphite, diamond and liquid; lower-pressure triple point at $\sim 10^{7} \mathrm{~Pa}$ : graphite, liquid and vapor
c. It is converted to diamond (the more dense solid form).
d. Diamond is more dense, which is why graphite can be converted to diamond by applying pressure.
106. The following sketch of the $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ phase diagram is not to scale. Because the triple point of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ is at a temperature below the freezing point of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, the slope of the solid-liquid line is positive.


Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

The positive slopes of all the lines indicate that $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ is more dense than $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$, which is more dense than $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$. At room temperature $\left(\sim 22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $1 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$ is the stable phase. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$ cannot exist at a temperature below the triple-point temperature of $-7.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or at a temperature above the critical-point temperature of $320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The phase changes that occur as temperature is increased at 0.10 atm are solid $\rightarrow$ liquid $\rightarrow$ gas.
107. Because the density of the liquid phase is greater than the density of the solid phase, the slope of the solid-liquid boundary line is negative (as in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). With a negative slope, the melting points increase with a decrease in pressure, so the normal melting point of X should be greater than $225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
108.


From the three points given, the slope of the solid-liquid boundary line is positive, so $\mathrm{Xe}(\mathrm{s})$ is more dense than $\mathrm{Xe}(\mathrm{l})$. Also, the positive slope of this line tells us that the melting point of Xe increases as pressure increases. The same direct relationship exists for the boiling point of Xe because the liquid-gas boundary line also has a positive slope.

## Additional Exercises

109. As the physical properties indicate, the intermolecular forces are slightly stronger in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ than in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
110. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}: \quad \mathrm{H}$-bonding + dipole forces + London dispersion (LD) forces
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}: \quad \mathrm{H}$-bonding + larger electronegative atom replacing H (greater dipole) + LD forces
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ : Dipole forces (no H-bonding) +LD forces
From the intermolecular forces listed above, we predict $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ to have the weakest intermolecular forces and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ to have the strongest. The boiling points are consistent with this view.
111. At any temperature, the plot tells us that substance A has a higher vapor pressure than substance $B$, with substance $C$ having the lowest vapor pressure. Therefore, the substance with the weakest intermolecular forces is A , and the substance with the strongest intermolecular forces is C .
$\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ can form hydrogen-bonding interactions, whereas the others cannot. Substance C is $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. The other two are nonpolar compounds with only London dispersion forces. Because $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is smaller than $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ will have weaker LD forces and is substance A. Therefore, substance $B$ is $\mathrm{SiH}_{4}$.
112. As the electronegativity of the atoms covalently bonded to H increases, the strength of the hydrogen-bonding interaction increases.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}<\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}<\mathrm{F} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F} \\
& \text { weakest strongest }
\end{aligned}
$$

113. 8 corners $\times \frac{1 / 8 \mathrm{Xe}}{\text { corner }}+1 \mathrm{Xe}$ inside cell $=2 \mathrm{Xe} ; 8$ edges $\times \frac{1 / 4 \mathrm{~F}}{\text { edge }}+2 \mathrm{~F}$ inside cell $=4 \mathrm{~F}$

The empirical formula is $\mathrm{XeF}_{2}$.
114. One B atom and one N atom together have the same number of electrons as two C atoms. The description of physical properties sounds a lot like the properties of graphite and diamond, the two solid forms of carbon. The two forms of BN have structures similar to graphite and diamond.
115. $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ : This compound contains only nonmetals, so it is probably a molecular solid with covalent bonding. The low boiling point confirms this.
$\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ : This is the empirical formula for quartz, which is a network solid.
CsI: This is a metal bonded to a nonmetal, which generally form ionic solids. The electrical conductivity in aqueous solution confirms this.

W: Tungsten is a metallic solid as the conductivity data confirm.
116. Ar is cubic closest packed. There are four Ar atoms per unit cell, and with a face-centered unit cell, the atoms touch along the face diagonal. Let $l=$ length of cube edge.

Face diagonal $=4 \mathrm{r}=I \sqrt{2}, \quad l=4(190 . \mathrm{pm}) / \sqrt{2}=537 \mathrm{pm}=5.37 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$
Density $=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{4 \text { atoms } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{39.95 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}}{\left(5.37 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}}=1.71 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
117. $\quad 24.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{78.11 \mathrm{~g}}=0.316 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{0.316 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 293.2 \mathrm{~K}}{100.0 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0760 \mathrm{~atm}, \text { or } 57.8 \mathrm{torr}
$$

118. In order to set up an equation, we need to know what phase exists at the final temperature. To heat 20.0 g of ice from -10.0 to $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ requires:

$$
\mathrm{q}=\frac{2.03 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 20.0 \mathrm{~g} \times 10.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=406 \mathrm{~J}
$$

To convert ice to water at $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ requires:

$$
\mathrm{q}=20.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02} \times \frac{6.02 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=6.68 \mathrm{~kJ}=6680 \mathrm{~J}
$$

To chill 100.0 g of water from 80.0 to $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ requires:

$$
\mathrm{q}=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 100.0 \mathrm{~g} \times 80.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=33,400 \mathrm{~J} \text { of heat removed }
$$

From the heat values above, the liquid phase exists once the final temperature is reached (a lot more heat is lost when the 100.0 g of water is cooled to $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ than the heat required to convert the ice into water). To calculate the final temperature, we will equate the heat gain by the ice to the heat loss by the water. We will keep all quantities positive in order to avoid sign errors. The heat gain by the ice will be the 406 J required to convert the ice to $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ plus the 6680 J required to convert the ice at $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ into water at $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ plus the heat required to raise the temperature from $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to the final temperature.

Heat gain by ice $=406 \mathrm{~J}+6680 \mathrm{~J}+\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 20.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}-0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=7.09 \times 10^{3}+(83.6) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$
Heat loss by water $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 100.0 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(80.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)=3.34 \times 10^{4}-418 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}$
Solving for the final temperature:

$$
7.09 \times 10^{3}+(83.6) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=3.34 \times 10^{4}-418 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}, 502 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=2.63 \times 10^{4}, \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=52.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

119. $1.00 \mathrm{lb} \times \frac{454 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{lb}}=454 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; a change of $1.00^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ is equal to a change of $5 / 9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The amount of heat in J in 1 Btu is $\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \times 454 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{5}{9}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=1.05 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1.05 \mathrm{~kJ}$.
It takes 40.7 kJ to vaporize $1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}\right)$. Combining these:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1.00 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{Bu}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1.05 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\text { Btu }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{40.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}=258 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{h} ; \text { or: } \\
& \frac{258 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=4650 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{h}=4.65 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

120. The critical temperature is the temperature above which the vapor cannot be liquefied no matter what pressure is applied. Since $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ has a critical temperature below room temperature $\left(\sim 22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ), it cannot be liquefied at room temperature. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, with a critical temperature above room temperature, can be liquefied at room temperature.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 121-130 (or a variation to these problem) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

131. $\Delta H=q_{p}=30.79 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta E=q_{p}+w, w=-P \Delta V$
$\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V}=-1.00 \operatorname{atm}(28.90 \mathrm{~L})=-28.9 \mathrm{~L} \operatorname{atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \text { atm }}=-2930 \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=30.79 \mathrm{~kJ}+(-2.93 \mathrm{~kJ})=27.86 \mathrm{~kJ}$
132. $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}, 8+2(7)+2(7)=36 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

polar (Bond dipoles do not cancel each other.)
or

nonpolar (Bond dipoles cancel each other.)

These are two possible square planar molecular structures for $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$. One structure has the Cl atoms $90^{\circ}$ apart; the other has the Cl atoms $180^{\circ}$ apart. The structure with the Cl atoms $90^{\circ}$ apart is polar; the other structure is nonpolar. The polar structure will have additional dipole forces, so it has the stronger intermolecular forces and is the liquid. The gas form of $\mathrm{XeCl}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ is the nonpolar form having the Cl atoms $180^{\circ}$ apart.
133. A single hydrogen bond in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has a strength of $21 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$. Each $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule forms two H bonds. Thus it should take $42 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ of energy to break all of the H bonds in water. Consider the phase transitions:

$$
\text { s8lid } \xrightarrow{\text { 6:0 kJ }} \text { liquid } \xrightarrow{40: 7 \mathrm{~kJ}} \text { VAp } 8 \mathrm{~F} \quad \Delta H_{\text {sub }} \equiv \Delta H_{\text {fus }} \ddagger \Delta H_{\text {vap }}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {sub }}=6.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}+40.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=46.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$; it takes a total of $46.7 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ to convert solid $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to vapor. This would be the amount of energy necessary to disrupt all of the intermolecular forces in ice. Thus ( $42 \div 46.7$ ) $\times 100=90 . \%$ of the attraction in ice can be attributed to H bonding.
134. $1 \mathrm{gal} \times \frac{3785 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{gal}} \times \frac{0.998 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=210 . \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

From Table 10.8, the vapor pressure of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is 23.756 torr. The water will evaporate until this partial pressure is reached.
$\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{210 . \mathrm{mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}{23.756 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}}=1.64 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L}$
Dimension of cube $=\left(1.64 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L} \times 1 \mathrm{dm}^{3} / \mathrm{L}\right)^{1 / 3}=54.7 \mathrm{dm}$
$54.7 \mathrm{dm} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~m}}{10 \mathrm{dm}} \times \frac{1.094 \text { yards }}{\mathrm{m}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{ft}}{\text { yard }}=18.0 \mathrm{ft}$
The cube has dimensions of $18.0 \mathrm{ft} \times 18.0 \mathrm{ft} \times 18.0 \mathrm{ft}$.
135. The structures of the two $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ compounds ( 20 valence $\mathrm{e}^{-}$) are:

exhibits relatively strong hydrogen bonding
 does not exhibit hydrogen bonding

The liquid will have the stronger intermolecular forces. Therefore, the first compound (ethanol) with hydrogen bonding is the liquid and the second compound (dimethyl ether) with the weaker intermolecular forces is the gas.
136. Benzene


LD forces only

Naphthalene


LD forces only

Note: London dispersion (LD) forces in molecules such as benzene and naphthalene are fairly large. The molecules are flat, and there is efficient surface-area contact between molecules. Large surface-area contact leads to stronger London dispersion forces.


Carbon tetrachloride $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ has polar bonds but is a nonpolar molecule. $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ only has LD forces.

In terms of size and shape, the order of the strength of LD forces is: $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}<\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}<\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$
The strengths of the LD forces are proportional to size and are related to shape. Although the size of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ is fairly large, the overall spherical shape gives rise to relatively weak LD forces as compared to flat molecules such as benzene and naphthalene. The physical properties given in the problem are consistent with the order listed above. Each of the physical properties will increase with an increase in intermolecular forces.

Acetone


LD, dipole

Acetic acid


LD, dipole, H-bonding

Benzoic acid


LD, dipole, H-bonding

We would predict the strength of interparticle forces of the last three molecules to be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { acetone }<\text { acetic acid }<\text { benzoic acid } \\
& \text { polar } \quad \text { H-bonding } \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { H-bonding, but large LD forces because of greater size } \\
\text { and shape }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

This ordering is consistent with the values given for boiling point, melting point, and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}$.
The overall order of the strengths of intermolecular forces based on physical properties are:

$$
\text { acetone }<\mathrm{CCl}_{4}<\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}<\text { acetic acid }<\text { naphthalene }<\text { benzoic acid }
$$

The order seems reasonable except for acetone and naphthalene. Because acetone is polar, we would not expect it to boil at the lowest temperature. However, in terms of size and shape, acetone is the smallest molecule, and the LD forces in acetone must be very small compared to the other molecules. Naphthalene must have very strong LD forces because of its size and flat shape.
137. $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaF}, \mathrm{MgF}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{AlF}_{3}$ all have very high melting points indicative of strong intermolecular forces. They are all ionic solids. $\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{SiF}_{4}, \mathrm{~F}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{PF}_{5}$, and $\mathrm{SF}_{6}$ are nonpolar covalent molecules. Only London dispersion (LD) forces are present. $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$ are polar molecules. LD forces and dipole forces are present. In these eight molecular substances, the intermolecular forces are weak and the melting points low. $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ doesn't seem to fit in as well. From the melting point, there are much stronger forces present than in the nonmetal halides, but they aren't as strong as we would expect for an ionic solid. $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ illustrates a gradual transition from ionic to covalent bonding, from an ionic solid to discrete molecules.
138. Total charge of all iron ions present in a formula unit is +2 to balance the -2 charge from the one O atom. The sum of iron ions in a formula unit is 0.950 . Let $x=$ fraction $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ions in a formula unit and $y=$ fraction of $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions present in a formula unit.

Setting up two equations: $x+y=0.950$ and $2 x+3 y=2.000$
Solving: $2 x+3(0.950-x)=2.000, x=0.85$ and $y=0.10$
$\frac{0.10}{0.95}=0.11=$ fraction of iron as $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions
If all $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$, then $1.000 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ion/ $/ \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ion; $1.000-0.950=0.050=$ vacant sites. $5.0 \%$ of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ sites are vacant.
139. Assuming 100.00 g :

$$
28.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g}}=1.769 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} ; 71.69 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ti} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{47.88 \mathrm{~g}}=1.497 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ti}
$$

$\frac{1.769}{1.497}=1.182 ; \frac{1.497}{1.769}=0.8462$; the formula is $\mathrm{TiO}_{1.182}$ or $\mathrm{Ti}_{0.8462} \mathrm{O}$.
For $\mathrm{Ti}_{0.8462} \mathrm{O}$, let $x=\mathrm{Ti}^{2+}$ per mol $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ and $y=\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ per $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}^{2-}$. Setting up two equations and solving:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x+y=0.8462 \text { (mass balance) and } 2 x+3 y=2 \text { (charge balance) } \\
& 2 x+3(0.8462-x)=2, x=0.539 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ti}^{2+} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}^{2-} \text { and } y=0.307 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ti}^{3+} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{O}^{2-} \\
& \frac{0.539}{0.8462} \times 100=63.7 \% \text { of the titanium ions are } \mathrm{Ti}^{2+} \text { and } 36.3 \% \text { are } \mathrm{Ti}^{3+} \text { (a } 1.75: 1 \text { ion ratio). }
\end{aligned}
$$

140. First, we need to get the empirical formula of spinel. Assume 100.0 g of spinel:

$$
37.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{26.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}}=1.40 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}
$$

$$
17.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}}{24.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}}=0.703 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg} \quad \text { The mole ratios are } 2: 1: 4
$$

$$
45.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=2.81 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \quad \text { Empirical formula }=\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{MgO}_{4}
$$

Assume each unit cell contains an integral value $(x)$ of $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{MgO}_{4}$ formula units. Each $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{MgO}_{4}$ formula unit has a mass of $24.31+2(26.98)+4(16.00)=142.27 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Density $=\frac{x \text { formula units } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { formula units }} \times \frac{142.27 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}}{\left(8.09 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}}=\frac{3.57 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}}$
Solving: $x=8.00$
Each unit cell has 8 formula units of $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{MgO}_{4}$ or $16 \mathrm{Al}, 8 \mathrm{Mg}$, and 32 O ions.
141. $\quad \frac{\text { Density }_{\mathrm{Mn}}}{\text { Density }_{\mathrm{Cu}}}=\frac{\text { mass }_{\mathrm{Mn}} \times \text { volume }_{\mathrm{Cu}}}{\text { volume }_{\mathrm{Mn}} \times \text { mass }_{\mathrm{Cu}}}=\frac{\text { mass }_{\mathrm{Mn}}}{\text { mass }_{\mathrm{Cu}}} \times \frac{\text { volume }_{\mathrm{Cu}}}{\text { volume }_{\mathrm{Mn}}}$

The type of cubic cell formed is not important; only that Cu and Mn crystallize in the same type of cubic unit cell is important. Each cubic unit cell has a specific relationship between the cube edge length $l$ and the radius $r$. In all cases $l \propto r$. Therefore, $V \propto l^{3} \propto r^{3}$. For the mass ratio, we can use the molar masses of Mn and Cu since each unit cell must contain the same number of Mn and Cu atoms. Solving:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { density }_{\mathrm{Mn}}}{\text { density }_{\mathrm{Cu}}}=\frac{\text { mass }_{\mathrm{Mn}}}{\text { mass }_{\mathrm{Cu}}} \times \frac{\text { volume }_{\mathrm{Cu}}}{\text { volume }_{\mathrm{Mn}}}=\frac{54.94 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}{63.55 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}} \times \frac{\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cu}}\right)^{3}}{\left(1.056 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{Cu}}\right)^{3}} \\
& \frac{\text { density }_{\mathrm{Mn}}}{\text { density }_{\mathrm{Cu}}}=0.8645 \times\left(\frac{1}{1.056}\right)^{3}=0.7341 \\
& \text { density }_{\mathrm{Mn}}=0.7341 \times \text { density }_{\mathrm{Cu}}=0.7341 \times 8.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}=6.58 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

142. a. The arrangement of the layers is:


Layer 1


Layer 2


Layer 3


Layer 4

A total of 20 cannon balls will be needed.
b. The layering alternates $a b c a b c$, which is cubic closest packing.
c. tetrahedron
143.


As P is lowered, we go from a to b on the phase diagram. The water boils. The boiling of water is endothermic, and the water is cooled ( $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow \mathrm{c}$ ), forming some ice. If the pump is left on, the ice will sublime until none is left. This is the basis of freeze drying.
144. $\mathrm{w}=-\mathrm{P} \Delta \mathrm{V} ; \quad$ assume a constant P of 1.00 atm .
$\mathrm{V}_{373}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 373 \mathrm{~K}}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=30.6 \mathrm{~L}$ for 1 mol of water vapor
Because the density of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ is $1.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, 1.00 \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ occupies $18.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ or 0.0180 L.
$\mathrm{w}=-1.00 \operatorname{atm}(30.6 \mathrm{~L}-0.0180 \mathrm{~L})=-30.6 \mathrm{~L}$ atm
$\mathrm{w}=-30.6 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{101.3 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}=-3.10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=-3.10 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{q}+\mathrm{w}=40.7 \mathrm{~kJ}-3.10 \mathrm{~kJ}=37.6 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\frac{37.6}{40.7} \times 100=92.4 \%$ of the energy goes to increase the internal energy of the water.
The remainder of the energy (7.6\%) goes to do work against the atmosphere.
145. For a cube: (body diagonal) $)^{2}=(\text { face diagonal })^{2}+(\text { cube edge length })^{2}$

In a simple cubic structure, the atoms touch on cube edge, so the cube edge $=2 r$, where $r$ $=$ radius of sphere.


Face diagonal $=\sqrt{(2 \mathrm{r})^{2}+(2 \mathrm{r})^{2}}=\sqrt{4 \mathrm{r}^{2}+4 \mathrm{r}^{2}}=\mathrm{r} \sqrt{8}=2 \sqrt{2} \mathrm{r}$
Body diagonal $=\sqrt{(2 \sqrt{2} r)^{2}+(2 r)^{2}}=\sqrt{12 \mathrm{r}^{2}}=2 \sqrt{3} \mathrm{r}$
The diameter of the hole = body diagonal - 2(radius of atoms at corners).

Diameter $=2 \sqrt{3} r-2 r$; thus the radius of the hole is: $\frac{2 \sqrt{3} r-2 r}{2}=(\sqrt{3}-1) r$
The volume of the hole is $\frac{4}{3} \pi[(\sqrt{3}-1) \mathrm{r}]^{3}$.
146. Using the ionic radii values given in the question, let's calculate the density of the two structures.

Normal pressure: $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$touch along cube edge (form NaCl structure).
Cube edge $=l=2(148+181)=658 \mathrm{pm}=6.58 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$; there are four RbCl units per unit cell.
Density $=\mathrm{d}=\frac{4(85.47)+4(35.45)}{6.022 \times 10^{23}\left(6.58 \times 10^{-8}\right)^{3}}=2.82 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
High pressure: $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$touch along body diagonal (form CsCl structure).
$2 \mathrm{r}_{-}+2 \mathrm{r}_{+}=658 \mathrm{pm}=$ body diagonal $=l \sqrt{3}, l=658 \mathrm{pm} / \sqrt{3}=380 . \mathrm{pm}$
Each unit cell contains 1 RbCl unit: $\mathrm{d}=\frac{85.47+35.45}{6.022 \times 10^{23}\left(3.80 \times 10^{-8}\right)^{3}}=3.66 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
The high-pressure form has the higher density. The density ratio is $3.66 / 2.82=1.30$. We would expect this because the effect of pressure is to push things closer together and thus increase density.

## Integrative Problems

147. Molar mass of $X Y=\frac{19.0 \mathrm{~g}}{0.132 \mathrm{~mol}}=144 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{X}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$; this is cadmium, Cd.
Molar mass $\mathrm{Y}=144-112.4=32 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{Y}$ is sulfur, S .
The semiconductor is CdS. The dopant has the electron configuration of bromine, Br . Because Br has one more valence electron than S , doping with Br will produce an n-type semiconductor.
148. Assuming 100.00 g of $\mathrm{MO}_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 23.72 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}}=1.483 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \\
& 1.483 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}=0.7415 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

$100.00 \mathrm{~g}-23.72 \mathrm{~g}=76.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}$; molar mass $\mathrm{M}=\frac{76.28 \mathrm{~g}}{0.7415 \mathrm{~mol}}=102.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
From the periodic table, element M is rhodium (Rh).
The unit cell for cubic closest packing is face-centered cubic (4 atoms/unit cell). The atoms for a face-centered cubic unit cell are assumed to touch along the face diagonal of the cube, so the face diagonal $=4 \mathrm{r}$. The distance between the centers of touching Rh atoms will be the distance of 2 r , where $\mathrm{r}=$ radius of Rh atom.

Face diagonal $=\sqrt{2} l$, where $l=$ cube edge.
Face diagonal $=4 \mathrm{r}=2 \times 269.0 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}=5.380 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$
$\sqrt{2} l=4 \mathrm{r}=5.38 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}, l=\frac{5.38 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}}{\sqrt{2}}=3.804 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}=3.804 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}$
Density $=\frac{4 \text { atoms } \mathrm{Rh} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Rh}}{6.0221 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{102.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Rh}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Rh}}}{\left(3.804 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~cm}\right)^{3}}=12.42 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
149. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{1}}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}\right) ; \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}=\frac{296 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{200.6 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=5.94 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Hg}$
$\ln \left(\frac{2.56 \times 10^{-3} \text { torr }}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)=\frac{5.94 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}\left(\frac{1}{573 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{298.2 \mathrm{~K}}\right)$
$\ln \left(\frac{2.56 \times 10^{-3} \text { torr }}{\mathrm{P}_{2}}\right)=-11.5, \quad \mathrm{P}_{2}=2.56 \times 10^{-3}$ torr $/ \mathrm{e}^{-11.5}=253$ torr
$\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\left(253 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}\right) \times 15.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 573 \mathrm{~K}}=0.106 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg}$
$0.106 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hg} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms } \mathrm{Hg}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Hg}}=6.38 \times 10^{22}$ atoms Hg

## Marathon Problem

150. $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{m} \times \Delta \mathrm{T}$; heat loss by metal $=$ heat gain by calorimeter. The change in temperature for the calorimeter is $\Delta \mathrm{T}=25.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \pm 0.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Including the error limits, $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ can range from 0.0 to $0.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Because the temperature change can be $0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, there is no way that the calculated heat capacity has any meaning.

The density experiment is also not conclusive.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d}=\frac{4 \mathrm{~g}}{0.42 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \text { (1 significant figure) } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\text {high }}=\frac{5 \mathrm{~g}}{0.40 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=12.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}=10 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \text { to } 1 \text { sig fig } \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\text {low }}=\frac{3 \mathrm{~g}}{0.44 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

From Table 1.5, the density of copper is $8.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The results from this experiment cannot be used to distinguish between a density of $8.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ and $9.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.

The crystal structure determination is more conclusive. Assuming the metal is copper:

$$
\text { volume of unit cell }=(600 . \mathrm{pm})^{3}\left(\frac{1 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~cm}}{1 \mathrm{pm}}\right)^{3}=2.16 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}
$$

Cu mass in unit cell $=4$ atoms $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cu}}=4.221 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}$
$\mathrm{d}=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{4.221 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}}{2.16 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}=1.95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
Because the density of Cu is $8.96 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, then one can assume this metal is not copper. If the metal is not Cu , then it must be kryptonite (as the question reads). Because we don't know the molar mass of kryptonite, we cannot confirm that the calculated density would be close to 9.2 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.

To improve the heat capacity experiment, a more precise balance is a must and a more precise temperature reading is needed. Also, a larger piece of the metal should be used so that $\Delta \mathrm{T}$ of the calorimeter has more significant figures. For the density experiment, we would need a more precise balance and a more precise way to determine the volume. Again, a larger piece of metal would help in order to ensure more significant figures in the volume.

## CHAPTER 11

## PROPERTIES OF SOLUTIONS

## Solution Review

11. $\frac{585 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{OH}}{60.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{OH}}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}=9.74 \mathrm{M}$
12. $0.250 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{134.00 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=3.35 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
13. $1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.040 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{L}}=0.040 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} ; 0.040 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}=0.16 \mathrm{~L}$ $=160 \mathrm{~mL}$
14. $1.28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}{110.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{0.580 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=19.9 \mathrm{~mL}$
15. $\quad \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Na} 2_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}=0.0700 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}}{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{2}=0.21 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$

$$
\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}^{+}=2(0.21)=0.42 \mathrm{~mol}
$$

Mol $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}=0.0300 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}}{3}$ $=0.030 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$
$\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}+=0.030 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
M_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}=\frac{\text { total mol Na}}{}{ }^{+} \text {total volume }^{0.42 \mathrm{~mol}+0.030 \mathrm{~mol}} \frac{0.42 .45 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0700 \mathrm{~L}+0.030 \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Na}^{+}}{0.1000 \mathrm{~L}}
$$

16. 

a. $\quad \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
b. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$
c. $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
d. $\mathrm{SrBr}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
e. $\mathrm{KClO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
f. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
g. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
h. $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$
i. $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$

## Questions

17. As the temperature increases, the gas molecules will have a greater average kinetic energy. A greater fraction of the gas molecules in solution will have a kinetic energy greater than the attractive forces between the gas molecules and the solvent molecules. More gas molecules are able to escape to the vapor phase, and the solubility of the gas decreases.
18. Henry's law is obeyed most accurately for dilute solutions of gases that do not dissociate in or react with the solvent. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a weak base and reacts with water by the following reaction:

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

$\mathrm{O}_{2}$ will bind to hemoglobin in the blood. Due to these reactions in the solvent, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ in water and $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ in blood do not follow Henry's law.
19. Because the solute is volatile, both the water and solute will transfer back and forth between the two beakers. The volume in each beaker will become constant when the concentrations of solute in the beakers are equal to each other. Because the solute is less volatile than water, one would expect there to be a larger net transfer of water molecules into the right beaker than the net transfer of solute molecules into the left beaker. This results in a larger solution volume in the right beaker when equilibrium is reached, i.e., when the solute concentration is identical in each beaker.
20. Solutions of A and B have vapor pressures less than ideal (see Figure 11.13 of the text), so this plot shows negative deviations from Rault's law. Negative deviations occur when the intermolecular forces are stronger in solution than in pure solvent and solute. This results in an exothermic enthalpy of solution. The only statement that is false is e. A substance boils when the vapor pressure equals the external pressure. Because $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}=0.6$ has a lower vapor pressure at the temperature of the plot than either pure A or pure B , one would expect this solution to require the highest temperature in order for the vapor pressure to reach the external pressure. Therefore, the solution with $\chi_{B}=0.6$ will have a higher boiling point than either pure A or pure B . (Note that because $\mathrm{P}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{B}}>\mathrm{P}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{A}}$, B is more volatile than A , and B will have a lower boiling point temperature than A ).
21. No, the solution is not ideal. For an ideal solution, the strengths of intermolecular forces in solution are the same as in pure solute and pure solvent. This results in $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}=0$ for an ideal solution. $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ for methanol-water is not zero. Because $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}<0$ (heat is released), this solution shows a negative deviation from Raoult's law.
22. The micelles form so that the ionic ends of the detergent molecules, the $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{-}$ends, are exposed to the polar water molecules on the outside, whereas the nonpolar hydrocarbon chains from the detergent molecules are hidden from the water by pointing toward the inside of the micelle. Dirt, which is basically nonpolar, is stabilized in the nonpolar interior of the micelle and is washed away. See the illustration on the following page.

23. Normality is the number of equivalents per liter of solution. For an acid or a base, an equivalent is the mass of acid or base that can furnish 1 mole of protons (if an acid) or accept 1 mole of protons (if a base). A proton is an $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ion. Molarity is defined as the moles of solute per liter of solution. When the number of equivalents equals the number of moles of solute, then normality $=$ molarity. This is true for acids which only have one acidic proton in them and for bases that accept only one proton per formula unit. Examples of acids where equivalents $=$ moles solute are $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{HF}$, and $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Examples of bases where equivalents $=$ moles solute are $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{KOH}$, and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. When equivalents $\neq$ moles solute, then normality $\neq$ molarity. This is true for acids that donate more than one proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right.$, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, etc.) and for bases that react with more than one proton per formula unit $\left[\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right.$, etc.].
24. It is true that the sodium chloride lattice must be broken in order to dissolve in water, but a lot of energy is released when the water molecules hydrate the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. These two processes have relatively large values for the amount of energy associated with them, but they are opposite in sign. The end result is they basically cancel each other out resulting in a $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ $\approx 0$. So energy is not the reason why ionic solids like NaCl are so soluble in water. The answer lies in nature's tendency toward the higher probability of the mixed state. Processes, in general, are favored that result in an increase in disorder because the disordered state is the easiest (most probable) state to achieve. The tendency of processes to increase disorder will be discussed in Chapter 17 when entropy, S , is introduced.
25. Only statement $b$ is true. A substance freezes when the vapor pressure of the liquid and solid are the same. When a solute is added to water, the vapor pressure of the solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is less than the vapor pressure of the solid, and the net result is for any ice present to convert to liquid in order to try to equalize the vapor pressures (which never can occur at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). A lower temperature is needed to equalize the vapor pressure of water and ice, hence, the freezing point is depressed.

For statement a, the vapor pressure of a solution is directly related to the mole fraction of solvent (not solute) by Raoult's law. For statement c, colligative properties depend on the number of solute particles present and not on the identity of the solute. For statement d, the boiling point of water is increased because the sugar solute decreases the vapor pressure of the water; a higher temperature is required for the vapor pressure of the solution to equal the external pressure so boiling can occur.
26. This is true if the solute will dissolve in camphor. Camphor has the largest $K_{b}$ and $K_{f}$ constants. This means that camphor shows the largest change in boiling point and melting point as a solute is added. The larger the change in $\Delta \mathrm{T}$, the more precise the measurement and the more precise the calculated molar mass. However, if the solute won't dissolve in camphor, then camphor is no good and another solvent must be chosen which will dissolve the solute.
27. Isotonic solutions are those which have identical osmotic pressures. Crenation and hemolysis refer to phenomena that occur when red blood cells are bathed in solutions having a mismatch in osmotic pressures inside and outside the cell. When red blood cells are in a solution having a higher osmotic pressure than that of the cells, the cells shrivel as there is a net transfer of water out of the cells. This is called crenation. Hemolysis occurs when the red blood cells are bathed in a solution having lower osmotic pressure than that inside the cell. Here, the cells rupture as there is a net transfer of water to into the red blood cells.
28. Ion pairing is a phenomenon that occurs in solution when oppositely charged ions aggregate and behave as a single particle. For example, when NaCl is dissolved in water, one would expect sodium chloride to exist as separate hydrated $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. A few ions, however, stay together as NaCl and behave as just one particle. Ion pairing increases in a solution as the ion concentration increases (as the molality increases).

## Exercises

## Solution Composition

29. Because the density of water is $1.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, 100.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of water has a mass of $100 . \mathrm{g}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Density }=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}+100 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{104 \mathrm{~mL}}=1.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}=1.06 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \\
& \mathrm{Mol}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}=10.0 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{97.99 \mathrm{~g}}=0.102 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \\
& \text { Mol } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=100 . \mathrm{g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=5.55 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \text { Mole fraction of } \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}=\frac{0.102 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{(0.102+5.55) \mathrm{mol}}=0.0180 \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1.000-0.0180=0.9820
\end{aligned}
$$

Molarity $=\frac{0.102 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{0.104 \mathrm{~L}}=0.981 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Molality $=\frac{0.102 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{0.100 \mathrm{~kg}}=1.02 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
30. Molality $=\frac{40.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{60.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{62.07 \mathrm{~g}}=10.7 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$

Molarity $=\frac{40.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { solution }} \times \frac{1.05 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{62.07 \mathrm{~g}}=6.77 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$40.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{62.07 \mathrm{~g}}=0.644 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; 60.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{O} 2 \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=3.33 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\chi_{\text {EG }}=\frac{0.644}{3.33+0.644}=0.162=$ mole fraction ethylene glycol $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$
31. Hydrochloric acid ( HCl ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { molarity }=\frac{38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}}{100 \mathrm{~g} \text { soln }} \times \frac{1.19 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{soln}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3} \text { soln }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{36.5 \mathrm{~g}}=12 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \\
& \text { molality }=\frac{38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}}{62 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{solvent}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{36.5 \mathrm{~g}}=17 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& 38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{36.5 \mathrm{~g}}=1.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl} ; 62 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.0 \mathrm{~g}}=3.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { mole fraction of } \mathrm{HCl}=\chi_{\mathrm{HCl}}=\frac{1.0}{3.4+1.0}=0.23
$$

Nitric acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ :

$$
\frac{70 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{100 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{soln}} \times \frac{1.42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{soln}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3} \text { soln }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{63.0 \mathrm{~g}}=16 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

$$
\frac{70 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{30 . \mathrm{g} \text { solvent }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{63.0 \mathrm{~g}}=37 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}
$$

70. $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{63.0 \mathrm{~g}}=1.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3} ; 30 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.0 \mathrm{~g}}=1.7 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{HNO}_{3}}=\frac{1.1}{1.7+1.1}=0.39
$$

Sulfuric acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{100 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{soln}} \times \frac{1.84 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{soln}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3} \text { soln }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{98.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}=18 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \\
& \frac{95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{98.1 \mathrm{~g}}=194 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg} \approx 200 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& 95 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{98.1 \mathrm{~g}}=0.97 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ; 5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.0 \mathrm{~g}}=0.3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Acetic acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}}{100 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{soln}^{2}} \times \frac{1.05 \mathrm{~g} \text { soln }}{\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{soln}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{60.05 \mathrm{~g}}=17 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \\
& \frac{99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}}{1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{60.05 \mathrm{~g}}=1600 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg} \approx 2000 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& 99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{60.05 \mathrm{~g}}=1.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} ; 1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.0 \mathrm{~g}}=0.06 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}}=\frac{1.6}{1.6+0.06}=0.96
\end{aligned}
$$

Ammonia $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{100 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{soln}} \times \frac{0.90 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{17.0 \mathrm{~g}}=15 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \\
& \frac{28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{72 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{17.0 \mathrm{~g}}=23 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& 28 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{17.0 \mathrm{~g}}=1.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3} ; 72 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.0 \mathrm{~g}}=4.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\frac{1.6}{4.0+1.6}=0.29
\end{aligned}
$$

32. a. If we use $100 . \mathrm{mL}(100 . \mathrm{g})$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, we need:

$$
0.100 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KCl}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{74.55 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KCl}}=14.9 \mathrm{~g}=15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KCl}
$$

Dissolve 15 g KCl in 100. $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to prepare a 2.0 m KCl solution. This will give us slightly more than 100 mL , but this will be the easiest way to make the solution. Because we don't know the density of the solution, we can't calculate the molarity and use a volumetric flask to make exactly 100 mL of solution.
b. If we took 15 g NaOH and $85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the volume probably would be less than 100 mL . To make sure we have enough solution, let's use 100. $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(100 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. Let $x=$ mass of NaCl .

Mass $\%=15=\frac{x}{100 .+x} \times 100, \quad 1500+15 x=(100) x,. \quad x=17.6 \mathrm{~g} \approx 18 \mathrm{~g}$
Dissolve 18 g NaOH in 100. $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to make a $15 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ solution by mass.
c. In a fashion similar to part b, let's use $100 . \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. Let $x=$ mass of NaOH .
100. $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CH} 33 \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{0.79 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=79 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$

Mass $\%=25=\frac{x}{79+x} \times 100,25(79)+25 x=(100) x,. \quad x=26.3 \mathrm{~g} \approx 26 \mathrm{~g}$
Dissolve 26 g NaOH in 100. $\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$.
d. To make sure we have enough solution, let's use 100. mL (100. g) of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Let $x=$ mol $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$.
100. $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=5.55 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}$

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}}=0.10=\frac{x}{x+5.55}, \quad(0.10) x+0.56=x, x=0.62 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}
$$

$0.62 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6} \times \frac{180.2 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=110 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$

Dissolve $110 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ in 100. mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to prepare a solution with $\chi_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}}=0.10$.
33. $25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \times \frac{0.63 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} ; 25 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.63 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{72.15 \mathrm{~g}}=0.22 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$
$45 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} \times \frac{0.66 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=30 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} ; 45 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.66 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{86.17 \mathrm{~g}}=0.34 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$
Mass \% pentane $=\frac{\text { mass pentane }}{\text { total mass }} \times 100=\frac{16 g}{16 g+30 . g} \times 100=35 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\text {pentane }}=\frac{\text { mol pentane }}{\text { total mol }}=\frac{0.22 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.22 \mathrm{~mol}+0.34 \mathrm{~mol}}=0.39 \\
& \text { Molality }=\frac{\text { mol pentane }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { hexane }}=\frac{0.22 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.030 \mathrm{~kg}}=7.3 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg} \\
& \text { Molarity }=\frac{\text { mol pentane }}{\mathrm{L} \text { solution }}=\frac{0.22 \mathrm{~mol}}{25 \mathrm{~mL}+45 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{1 \mathrm{~L}}=3.1 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

34. 50.0 mL toluene $\times \frac{0.867 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=43.4 \mathrm{~g}$ toluene; 125 mL benzene $\times \frac{0.874 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=109 \mathrm{~g}$ benzene

Mass \% toluene $=\frac{\text { mass of toluene }}{\text { total mass }} \times 100=\frac{43.4 \mathrm{~g}}{43.4 \mathrm{~g}+109 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=28.5 \%$
Molarity $=\frac{43.4 \mathrm{~g} \text { toluene }}{175 \mathrm{~mL} \text { soln }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { toluene }}{92.13 \mathrm{~g} \text { toluene }}=2.69 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Molality $=\frac{43.4 \mathrm{~g} \text { toluene }}{109 \mathrm{~g} \text { benzene }} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { toluene }}{92.13 \mathrm{~g} \text { toluene }}=4.32 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
43.4 g toluene $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{92.13 \mathrm{~g}}=0.471 \mathrm{~mol}$ toluene

109 g benzene $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { benzene }}{78.11 \mathrm{~g} \text { benzene }}=1.40 \mathrm{~mol}$ benzene; $\quad \chi_{\text {toluene }}=\frac{0.471}{0.471+1.40}=0.252$
35. If we have 100.0 mL of wine:

$$
12.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{0.789 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=9.86 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \text { and } 87.5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=87.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Mass \% ethanol $=\frac{9.86 \mathrm{~g}}{87.5 \mathrm{~g}+9.86 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=10.1 \%$ by mass
Molality $=\frac{9.86 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{0.0875 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g}}=2.45 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
36. $\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~mol} \text { acetone }}{1.00 \mathrm{~kg} \text { ethanol }}=1.00 \mathrm{molal} ; 1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g}}=21.7 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$
$\chi_{\text {acetone }}=\frac{1.00}{1.00+21.7}=0.0441$
$1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3} \times \frac{58.08 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL}}{0.788 \mathrm{~g}}=73.7 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$
$1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}$ ethanol $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL}}{0.789 \mathrm{~g}}=1270 \mathrm{~mL} ;$ total volume $=1270+73.7=1340 \mathrm{~mL}$
Molarity $=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.34 \mathrm{~L}}=0.746 \mathrm{M}$
37. If we have 1.00 L of solution:

$$
1.37 \mathrm{~mol} \text { citric acid } \times \frac{192.12 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=263 \mathrm{~g} \text { citric acid }\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right)
$$

$1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mL}$ solution $\times \frac{1.10 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=1.10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}$ solution
Mass \% of citric acid $=\frac{263 \mathrm{~g}}{1.10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=23.9 \%$
In 1.00 L of solution, we have 263 g citric acid and $\left(1.10 \times 10^{3}-263\right)=840 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
Molality $=\frac{1.37 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{citric} \mathrm{acid}}{0.84 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1.6 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
$840 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=47 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \quad \chi_{\text {citric acid }}=\frac{1.37}{47+1.37}=0.028$
Because citric acid is a triprotic acid, the number of protons citric acid can provide is three times the molarity. Therefore, normality $=3 \times$ molarity:

$$
\text { normality }=3 \times 1.37 \mathrm{M}=4.11 \mathrm{~N}
$$

38. When expressing concentration in terms of normality, equivalents per liter are determined. For acid-base reactions, equivalents are equal to the moles of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$an acid can donate or the moles of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$a base can accept. For monoprotic acids like HCl , the equivalents of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ furnished equals the moles of acid present. Diprotic acids like $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ furnish two equivalents of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$per mole of acid, whereas triprotic acids like $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ furnish three equivalents of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$per mole of acid. For the bases in this problem, the equivalents of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$accepted equals the number of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$anions present in the formula $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$. Finally, the equivalent mass of a substance is the mass of acid or base that can furnish or accept 1 mole of protons ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions).
a. $\quad$ Normality $=\frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \text { equivalent }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HCl}}=\frac{0.250 \text { equivalents }}{\mathrm{L}}$

Equivalent mass $=$ molar mass of $\mathrm{HCl}=36.46 \mathrm{~g}$
b. Normality $=\frac{0.105 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2 \text { equivalents }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}=\frac{0.210 \text { equivalents }}{\mathrm{L}}$

Equivalent mass $=1 / 2\left(\right.$ molar mass of $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)=1 / 2(98.09)=49.05 \mathrm{~g}$
c. $\quad$ Normality $=\frac{5.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{3 \text { equivalents }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}=\frac{0.16 \text { equivalents }}{\mathrm{L}}$

Equivalent mass $=1 / 3\left(\right.$ molar mass of $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)=1 / 3(97.09)=32.66 \mathrm{~g}$
d. $\quad$ Normality $=\frac{0.134 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \text { equivalent }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=\frac{0.134 \text { equivalents }}{\mathrm{L}}$

Equivalent mass $=$ molar mass of $\mathrm{NaOH}=40.00 \mathrm{~g}$
e. $\quad$ Normality $=\frac{0.00521 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2 \text { equivalents }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}=\frac{0.0104 \text { equivalents }}{\mathrm{L}}$

Equivalent mass $=1 / 2\left[\right.$ molar mass of $\left.\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]=1 / 2(74.10)=37.05 \mathrm{~g}$

## Energetics of Solutions and Solubility

39. Using Hess's law:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{LE}}=-(-686 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
\mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{hyd}}=-694 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \\
\hline \mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}=-8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ refers to the heat released or gained when a solute dissolves in a solvent. Here, an ionic compound dissolves in water.
40. a.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{LE}}=-(-2247 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{hyd}} \\
\hline \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}=-46 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
-46 \mathrm{~kJ}=2247 \mathrm{~kJ}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{hyd}}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{hyd}}-2293 \mathrm{~kJ} & \\
\mathrm{CaI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{LE}}=-(-2059 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{hyd}} \\
\hline \mathrm{CaI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}=-104 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
-104 \mathrm{~kJ}=2059 \mathrm{~kJ}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{hyd}}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{hyd}}=-2163 \mathrm{~kJ} &
\end{array}
$$

b. The enthalpy of hydration for $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ is more exothermic than for $\mathrm{CaI}_{2}$. Any differences must be due to differences in hydration between $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$. Thus the chloride ion is more strongly hydrated than the iodide ion.
41. Both $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and NaOH are ionic compounds. Since the lattice energy is proportional to the charge of the ions, the lattice energy of aluminum hydroxide is greater than that of sodium hydroxide. The attraction of water molecules for $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$cannot overcome the larger
lattice energy, and $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ is insoluble. For NaOH , the favorable hydration energy is large enough to overcome the smaller lattice energy, and NaOH is soluble.
42. The dissolving of an ionic solute in water can be thought of as taking place in two steps. The first step, called the lattice-energy term, refers to breaking apart the ionic compound into gaseous ions. This step, as indicated in the problem, requires a lot of energy and is unfavorable. The second step, called the hydration-energy term, refers to the energy released when the separated gaseous ions are stabilized as water molecules surround the ions. Because the interactions between water molecules and ions are strong, a lot of energy is released when ions are hydrated. Thus the dissolution process for ionic compounds can be thought of as consisting of an unfavorable and a favorable energy term. These two processes basically cancel each other out, so when ionic solids dissolve in water, the heat released or gained is minimal, and the temperature change is minimal.
43. Water is a polar solvent and dissolves polar solutes and ionic solutes. Carbon tetrachloride $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ is a nonpolar solvent and dissolves nonpolar solutes (like dissolves like). To predict the polarity of the following molecules, draw the correct Lewis structure and then determine if the individual bond dipoles cancel or not. If the bond dipoles are arranged in such a manner that they cancel each other out, then the molecule is nonpolar. If the bond dipoles do not cancel each other out, then the molecule is polar.
a. $\mathrm{KrF}_{2}, 8+2(7)=22 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
b. $\mathrm{SF}_{2}, 6+2(7)=20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

nonpolar; soluble in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$
c. $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, 6+2(6)=18 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

+1 more
polar; soluble in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

polar; soluble in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
d. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, 4+2(6)=16 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

nonpolar; soluble in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$
e. $\mathrm{MgF}_{2}$ is an ionic compound so it is soluble in water.
f. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4+2(1)+6=12 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

polar; soluble in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
g. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 2(4)+4(1)=12 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

nonpolar (like all compounds made up of only carbon and hydrogen); soluble in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$
44. Water is a polar solvent and dissolves polar solutes and ionic solutes. Hexane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ is a nonpolar solvent and dissolves nonpolar solutes (like dissolves like).
a. Water; $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ is an ionic compound.
b. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} ; \mathrm{CS}_{2}$ is a nonpolar molecule.
c. Water; $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ is polar.
d. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$; the long nonpolar hydrocarbon chain favors a nonpolar solvent (the molecule is mostly nonpolar).
e. Water; HCl is polar.
f. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} ; \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ is nonpolar.
45. Water exhibits H-bonding in the pure state and is classified as a polar solvent. Water will dissolve other polar solutes and ionic solutes.
a. $\mathrm{NH}_{3} ; \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is capable of H-bonding, unlike $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$.
b. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ is polar, while $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is nonpolar.
c. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ is capable of H -bonding, unlike the other compound.
46. For ionic compounds, as the charge of the ions increases and/or the size of the ions decreases, the attraction to water (hydration) increases.
a. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$; smaller size, higher charge
b. $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$; smaller size
c. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$; smaller size, higher charge
d. $\mathrm{F}^{-}$; smaller size
e. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$; smaller size
f. $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$; higher charge
47. As the length of the hydrocarbon chain increases, the solubility decreases. The - OH end of the alcohols can hydrogen-bond with water. The hydrocarbon chain, however, is basically nonpolar and interacts poorly with water. As the hydrocarbon chain gets longer, a greater portion of the molecule cannot interact with the water molecules, and the solubility decreases; i.e., the effect of the - OH group decreases as the alcohols get larger.
48. The main intermolecular forces are:
hexane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ : London dispersion; chloroform $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ : dipole-dipole, London dispersion; methanol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ : H-bonding; and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : H-bonding (two places)

There is a gradual change in the nature of the intermolecular forces (weaker to stronger). Each preceding solvent is miscible in its predecessor because there is not a great change in the strengths of the intermolecular forces from one solvent to the next.
49. $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{kP}, \frac{8.21 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\mathrm{k} \times 0.790 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{k}=1.04 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{atm}$

$$
\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{kP}, \mathrm{C}=\frac{1.04 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~atm}=1.14 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

50. $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{kP}=\frac{1.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}} \times 120$ torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}=2.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

## Vapor Pressures of Solutions

51. $\quad \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}=164 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{92.09 \mathrm{~g}}=1.78 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=338 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.992 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=18.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {soln }}=\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{O}}=\frac{18.6 \mathrm{~mol}}{(1.78+18.6) \mathrm{mol}} \times 54.74$ torr $=0.913 \times 54.74$ torr $=50.0$ torr
52. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {soln }}=\chi_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}^{\mathrm{O}} ; \chi_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}=\frac{\text { moles of } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{\text { total moles in solution }}$
$53.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{92.09 \mathrm{~g}}=0.582 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
$133.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g}}=2.90 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} ;$ total $\mathrm{mol}=0.582+2.90$ $=3.48 \mathrm{~mol}$
113 torr $=\frac{2.90 \mathrm{~mol}}{3.48 \mathrm{~mol}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}^{\mathrm{O}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}^{\mathrm{O}}=136$ torr
53. The normal boiling point of a substance is the boiling point at 1 atm pressure. So for this problem, $\mathrm{P}^{\circ}=760$. torr at $34.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the normal boiling point of diethyl ether).
$\mathrm{P}=\chi \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}} ; 698$ torr $=\chi(760$. torr $), \chi=0.918=$ mole fraction of diethyl ether
54. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=\chi_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}^{0}, \chi_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}^{0}=0.900 \mathrm{~atm} / 0.930 \mathrm{~atm}=0.968$
$0.968=\frac{\text { mol benzene }}{\text { total mol }} ;$ mol benzene $=78.11 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{78.11 \mathrm{~g}}=1.000 \mathrm{~mol}$
Let $x=$ mol solute, then: $\chi_{B}=0.968=\frac{1.000 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.000+x}, 0.968+(0.968) x=1.000, x=0.033 \mathrm{~mol}$
Molar mass $=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{~g}}{0.033 \mathrm{~mol}}=303 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \approx 3.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
55. $25.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{60.06 \mathrm{~g}}=0.430 \mathrm{~mol} ; 275 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=15.3 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=\frac{15.3}{15.3+0.430}=0.973 ; \mathrm{P}_{\text {soln }}=\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{0}=0.973(23.8 \text { torr })=23.2 \text { torr at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {soln }}=0.973\left(71.9 \text { torr) }=70.0 \text { torr at } 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

56. $\quad 19.6$ torr $=\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}(23.8$ torr $), \chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.824 ; \chi_{\text {solute }}=1.000-0.824=0.176$
0.176 is the mol fraction of all the solute particles present. Because NaCl dissolves to produce two ions in solution ( $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$), 0.176 is the mole fraction of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions present (assuming complete dissociation of NaCl ).

At $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.824(71.9$ torr $)=59.2$ torr
57. a. $25 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \times \frac{0.63 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{72.15 \mathrm{~g}}=0.22 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$
$45 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} \times \frac{0.66 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{86.17 \mathrm{~g}}=0.34 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14} ;$ total $\mathrm{mol}=0.22+0.34=0.56 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{\text { mol pentane in solution }}{\text { total mol in solution }}=\frac{0.22 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.56 \mathrm{~mol}}=0.39, \chi_{\text {hex }}^{\mathrm{L}}=1.00-0.39=0.61$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}=\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.39(511$ torr $)=2.0 \times 10^{2}$ torr; $\mathrm{P}_{\text {hex }}=0.61(150$. torr $)=92$ torr
$P_{\text {total }}=P_{\text {pen }}+P_{\text {hex }}=2.0 \times 10^{2}+92=292$ torr $=290$ torr
b. From Chapter 5 on gases, the partial pressure of a gas is proportional to the number of moles of gas present (at constant volume and temperature). For the vapor phase:

$$
\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\text { mol pentane in vapor }}{\text { total mol vapor }}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{2.0 \times 10^{2} \text { torr }}{290 \text { torr }}=0.69
$$

Note: In the Solutions Guide, we added V or L superscripts to the mole fraction symbol to emphasize for which value we are solving. If the L or V is omitted, then the liquid phase is assumed.
58. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}} ; \quad \mathrm{P}=\chi^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{o}} ; \chi_{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{0.0300 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}{0.0800 \mathrm{~mol} \text { total }}=0.375$
$P_{\text {total }}=0.375(133$ torr $)+(1.000-0.375)(11.4$ torr $)=49.9+7.13=57.0$ torr
In the vapor: $\chi_{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{49.9 \text { torr }}{57.0 \text { torr }}=0.875 ; \chi_{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}}^{\mathrm{V}}=1.000-0.875=0.125$
Note: In the Solutions Guide, we added V or L superscripts to the mole fraction symbol to emphasize for which value we are solving. If the L or V is omitted, then the liquid phase is assumed.
59. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {meth }}+\mathrm{P}_{\text {prop }}, 174$ torr $=\chi_{\text {meth }}^{\mathrm{L}}(303$ torr $)+\chi_{\text {prop }}^{\mathrm{L}}(44.6$ torr $) ; \quad \chi_{\text {prop }}^{\mathrm{L}}=1.000-\chi_{\text {meth }}^{\mathrm{L}}$

$$
174=303 \chi_{\text {meth }}^{\mathrm{L}}+\left(1.000-\chi_{\text {meth }}^{\mathrm{L}}\right) 44.6 \text { torr, } \frac{129}{258}=\chi_{\text {meth }}^{\mathrm{L}}=0.500
$$

$$
\chi_{\text {prop }}^{\mathrm{L}}=1.000-0.500=0.500
$$

60. $\quad P_{\text {tol }}=\chi_{\text {tol }}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {tol }}^{o}, \mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}=\chi_{\text {ben }}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {ben }}^{o}$; for the vapor, $\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$. Because the mole fractions of benzene and toluene are equal in the vapor phase, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {tol }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {ben }}$.
$\chi_{\text {tol }}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {tol }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\chi_{\text {ben }}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {ben }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\left(1.00-\chi_{\text {tol }}^{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\text {ben }}^{\mathrm{o}}, \chi_{\text {tol }}^{\mathrm{L}}(28$ torr $)=\left(1.00-\chi_{\text {tol }}^{\mathrm{L}}\right) 95$ torr
$123 \chi_{\text {tol }}^{\mathrm{L}}=95, \chi_{\text {tol }}^{\mathrm{L}}=0.77 ; \quad \chi_{\text {ben }}^{\mathrm{L}}=1.00-0.77=0.23$
61. Compared to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, solution d (methanol-water) will have the highest vapor pressure since methanol is more volatile than water $\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{0}=23.8\right.$ torr at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Both solution b (glucosewater) and solution c ( NaCl -water) will have a lower vapor pressure than water by Raoult's law. NaCl dissolves to give $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ions and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions; glucose is a nonelectrolyte. Because there are more solute particles in solution c , the vapor pressure of solution c will be the lowest.
62. Solution d (methanol-water); methanol is more volatile than water, which will increase the total vapor pressure to a value greater than the vapor pressure of pure water at this temperature.
63. The first diagram shows positive deviation from Raoult's law. This occurs when the solutesolvent interactions are weaker than the interactions in pure solvent and pure solute. The second diagram illustrates negative deviation from Raoult's law. This occurs when the solute-solvent interactions are stronger than the interactions in pure solvent and pure solute. The third diagram illustrates an ideal solution with no deviation from Raoult's law. This occurs when the solute-solvent interactions are about equal to the pure solvent and pure solute interactions.
a. These two molecules are named acetone $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ and water. As discussed in section 11.4 on nonideal solutions, acetone-water solutions exhibit negative deviations from Raoult's law. Acetone and water have the ability to hydrogen bond with each other, which gives the solution stronger intermolecular forces as compared to the pure states of both solute and solvent. In the pure state, acetone cannot H-bond with itself. So the middle diagram illustrating negative deviations from Raoult's law is the correct choice for acetone-water solutions.
b. These two molecules are named ethanol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ and water. Ethanol-water solutions show positive deviations from Raoult's law. Both substances can hydrogen bond in the pure state, and they can continue this in solution. However, the solutesolvent interactions are somewhat weaker for ethanol-water solutions due to the significant nonpolar part of ethanol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ is the nonpolar part of ethanol). This nonpolar part of ethanol weakens the intermolecular forces in solution. So the first diagram illustrating positive deviations from Raoult's law is the correct choice for ethanol-water solutions.
c. These two molecules are named heptane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)$ and hexane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$. Heptane and hexane are very similar nonpolar substances; both are composed entirely of nonpolar C-C bonds and relatively nonpolar C-H bonds, and both have a similar size and shape. Solutions of heptane and hexane should be ideal. So the third diagram illustrating no deviation from Raoult's law is the correct choice for heptane-hexane solutions.
d. These two molecules are named heptane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)$ and water. The interactions between the nonpolar heptane molecules and the polar water molecules will certainly be weaker in solution as compared to the pure solvent and pure solute interactions. This results in positive deviations from Raoult's law (the first diagram).
64. a. An ideal solution would have a vapor pressure at any mole fraction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ between that of pure propanol and pure water (between 74.0 and 71.9 torr). The vapor pressures of the various solutions are not between these limits, so water and propanol do not form ideal solutions.
b. From the data, the vapor pressures of the various solutions are greater than if the solutions behaved ideally (positive deviation from Raoult's law). This occurs when the intermolecular forces in solution are weaker than the intermolecular forces in pure solvent and pure solute. This gives rise to endothermic (positive) $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ values.
c. The interactions between propanol and water molecules are weaker than between the pure substances because the solutions exhibit a positive deviation from Raoult's law.
d. At $\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.54$, the vapor pressure is highest as compared to the other solutions. Because a solution boils when the vapor pressure of the solution equals the external pressure, the $\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.54$ solution should have the lowest normal boiling point; this solution will have a vapor pressure equal to 1 atm at a lower temperature as compared to the other solutions.

## Colligative Properties

65. Molality $=m=\frac{\text { mol solute }}{\text { kg solvent }}=\frac{27.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}}{150.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}}{60.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}}=3.00 \mathrm{molal}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=\frac{0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\text { molal }} \times 3.00$ molal $=1.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
The boiling point is raised from 100.0 to $101.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (assuming $\mathrm{P}=1 \mathrm{~atm}$ ).
66. $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=77.85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-76.50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=1.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \quad m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{5.03^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.268 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$

Mol biomolecule $=0.0150 \mathrm{~kg}$ solvent $\times \frac{0.268 \mathrm{~mol} \text { hydrocarbon }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { solvent }}=4.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$

From the problem, 2.00 g biomolecule was used that must contain $4.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$ biomolecule. The molar mass of the biomolecule is:

$$
\frac{2.00 \mathrm{~g}}{4.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}=498 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

67. $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, \Delta \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\frac{1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{molal}} \times m, m=0.806 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
$0.200 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{0.806 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{92.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}}=14.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
68. $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=25.50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-24.59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=0.91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, \quad m=\frac{0.91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{9.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}}=0.10 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$

Mass $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.0100 \mathrm{~kg}$ t-butanol $\times \frac{0.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{t}-\text { butanol }} \times \frac{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.018 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
69. Molality $=m=\frac{50.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{50.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{62.07 \mathrm{~g}}=16.1 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$ $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m=1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 16.1 \mathrm{molal}=29.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-29.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=-29.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 16.1$ molal $=8.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=100.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+8.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=108.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
70. $m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=13.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{kg}$

Because the density of water is $1.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, the moles of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ needed are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
15.0 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{13.4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=201 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \\
\text { Volume } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}=201 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{62.07 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{1.11 \mathrm{~g}}=11,200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=11.2 \mathrm{~L} \\
\Delta \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=\frac{0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\text { molal }} \times 13.4 \text { molal }=6.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=100.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+6.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=106.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{gathered}
$$

71. $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{2.63^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=\frac{6.6 \times 10^{-2} \text { mol reserpine }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { solvent }}$

The moles of reserpine present is:

$$
0.0250 \mathrm{~kg} \text { solvent } \times \frac{6.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \text { reserpine }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { solvent }}=1.7 \times 10^{-3} \text { mol reserpine }
$$

From the problem, 1.00 g reserpine was used, which must contain $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ mol reserpine. The molar mass of reserpine is:

$$
\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~g}}{1.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}=590 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}(610 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \text { if no rounding of numbers })
$$

72. $m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{0.55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.71{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.32 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$

Mol hydrocarbon $=0.095 \mathrm{~kg}$ solvent $\times \frac{0.32 \text { mol hydrocarbon }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { solvent }}=0.030 \mathrm{~mol}$ hydrocarbon
From the problem, 3.75 g hydrocarbon was used, which must contain 0.030 mol hydrocarbon. The molar mass of the hydrocarbon is:

$$
\frac{3.75 \mathrm{~g}}{0.030 \mathrm{~mol}}=130 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}(120 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \text { if no rounding of numbers })
$$

73. a. $M=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { protein }}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{9.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g}}=1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \pi=M \mathrm{RT}$

At $298 \mathrm{~K}: \pi=\frac{1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K} \times \frac{760 \mathrm{torr}}{\mathrm{atm}}, \pi=0.20$ torr
Because $\mathrm{d}=1.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, 1.0 \mathrm{~L}$ solution has a mass of 1.0 kg . Because only 1.0 g of protein is present per liter of solution, 1.0 kg of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is present to the correct number of significant figures, and molality equals molarity.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~m}=\frac{1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{molal}} \times 1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{molal}=2.0 \times 10^{-5}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

b. Osmotic pressure is better for determining the molar mass of large molecules. A temperature change of $10^{-5}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is very difficult to measure. A change in height of a column of mercury by 0.2 mm ( 0.2 torr) is not as hard to measure precisely.
74. $m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{0.406^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}}=0.218 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
$\pi=M R T$, where $M=\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$; we must assume that molarity $=$ molality so that we can calculate the osmotic pressure. This is a reasonable assumption for dilute solutions when 1.00 kg of water $\approx 1.00 \mathrm{~L}$ of solution. Assuming NaCl exists as $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions in solution, a 0.218 m solution corresponds to 6.37 g NaCl dissolved in 1.00 kg of water. The volume of solution may be a little larger than 1.00 L but not by much (to three sig. figs.). The assumption that molarity = molality will be good here.
$\pi=(0.218 \mathrm{M})(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})=5.33 \mathrm{~atm}$
75. $M=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.745 \mathrm{torr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 300 . \mathrm{K}}=3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$ catalase
Molar mass $=\frac{10.00 \mathrm{~g}}{3.98 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}}=2.51 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
76. $\pi=M R T, \pi=18.6$ torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}=M \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}, M=1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

Mol protein $=0.0020 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \text { protein }}{\mathrm{L}}=2.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol}$ protein
Molar mass $=\frac{0.15 \mathrm{~g}}{2.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol}}=7.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
77. $\pi=$ MRT, $M=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{15 \mathrm{~atm}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 295 \mathrm{~K}}=0.62 \mathrm{M}$
$\frac{0.62 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{342.30 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}}=212 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \approx 210 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$
Dissolve 210 g of sucrose in some water and dilute to 1.0 L in a volumetric flask. To get 0.62 $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$, we need $212 \pm 3 \mathrm{~g}$ sucrose.
78. $\quad M=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{15 \mathrm{~atm}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 295 \mathrm{~K}}=0.62 \mathrm{M}$ solute particles

This represents the total molarity of the solute particles. NaCl is a soluble ionic compound that breaks up into two ions, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Therefore, the concentration of NaCl needed is $0.62 / 2=0.31 \mathrm{M}$; this NaCl concentration will produce a 0.62 M solute particle solution assuming complete dissociation.
$1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.31 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{58.44 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaCl}}=18.1 \approx 18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}$
Dissolve 18 g of NaCl in some water and dilute to 1.0 L in a volumetric flask. To get 0.31 $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$, we need $18.1 \mathrm{~g} \pm 0.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}$ in 1.00 L solution.

## Properties of Electrolyte Solutions

79. $\quad \mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{i}=4.0 ; \mathrm{CaBr}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{i}=3.0$

$$
\mathrm{KCl}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), \quad \mathrm{i}=2.0
$$

The effective particle concentrations of the solutions are (assuming complete dissociation):
$4.0(0.010 \mathrm{molal})=0.040$ molal for the $\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solution; $3.0(0.020 \mathrm{molal})=0.060$ molal for the $\mathrm{CaBr}_{2}$ solution; $2.0(0.020$ molal $)=0.040$ molal for the KCl solution; slightly greater than 0.020 molal for the HF solution because HF only partially dissociates in water (it is a weak acid).
a. The $0.010 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{Na} 3 \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ solution and the 0.020 m KCl solution both have effective particle concentrations of 0.040 m (assuming complete dissociation), so both of these solutions should have the same boiling point as the $0.040 \mathrm{~m}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ solution (a nonelectrolyte).
b. $\mathrm{P}=\chi \mathrm{P}^{\circ} ;$ as the solute concentration decreases, the solvent's vapor pressure increases because $\chi$ increases. Therefore, the 0.020 m HF solution will have the highest vapor pressure because it has the smallest effective particle concentration.
c. $\Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m$; the $0.020 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CaBr} r_{2}$ solution has the largest effective particle concentration, so it will have the largest freezing point depression (largest $\Delta T$ ).
80. The solutions of $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}, \mathrm{NaCl}$, and $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ will all have lower freezing points, higher boiling points, and higher osmotic pressures than pure water. The solution with the largest particle concentration will have the lowest freezing point, the highest boiling point, and the highest osmotic pressure. The $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution will have the largest effective particle concentration because it produces three ions per mole of compound.
a. pure water
b. $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution
c. $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution
d. pure water
e. $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution
81. a. $m=\frac{5.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}}{0.025 \mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{58.44 \mathrm{~g}}=3.4$ molal; $\operatorname{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{i}=2.0$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{iK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m=2.0 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 3.4$ molal $=13^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=-13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=2.0 \times 0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 3.4$ molal $=3.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=103.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
b. $\quad m=\frac{2.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}}{0.015 \mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{213.01 \mathrm{~g}}=0.63 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
$\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{i}=4.0$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{iK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m=4.0 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.63$ molal $=4.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=-4.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=4.0 \times 0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.63 \mathrm{molal}=1.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=101.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
82. $\quad \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{i}=2.0$
$\pi=\mathrm{i} M \mathrm{RT}=2.0 \times \frac{0.10 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 293 \mathrm{~K}=4.8 \mathrm{~atm}$
A pressure greater than 4.8 atm should be applied to ensure purification by reverse osmosis.
83. There are six cations and six anions in the illustration which indicates six solute formula units initially. There are a total of 10 solute particles in solution (a combined ion pair counts as one solute particle). So the value for the van't Hoff factor is:

$$
\mathrm{i}=\frac{\text { moles of particles in solution }}{\text { moles of solute dissolved }}=\frac{10}{6}=1.67
$$

84. From Table 11.6, $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ has an observed i value of 1.3 while the observed i value for NaCl is 1.9. Both salts have an expected i value of 2 . The expected i value for a salt is determined by assuming $100 \%$ of the salt breaks up into separate cations and anions. The $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ solution is furthest from the expected i value because it forms the most combined ion pairs in solution. So the figure on the left with the most combined ion pairs represents the $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ solution. The figure on the right represents the NaCl solution. When NaCl is in solution, it has very few combined ion pairs and, hence, has a van't Hoff factor very close to the expected i value.
85. a. $\quad \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{i}=3.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ ions $/ \mathrm{mol}$ solute

$$
\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{~m}=3.0 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.050 \text { molal }=0.28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

Assuming water freezes at $0.00^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the freezing point would be $-0.28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=3.0 \times 0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.050 \text { molal }=0.077^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=100.077^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\text { (Assuming } \\
\text { water boils at } \left.100.000^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .\right)
\end{array}
$$

b. $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}), \mathrm{i}=4.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ ions $/ \mathrm{mol}$ solute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{f}} m=4.0 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.050 \text { molal }=0.37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=-0.37^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=4.0 \times 0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.050 \text { molal }=0.10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=100.10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

86. a. $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{i}$ (observed) $=2.7$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{f}} m=2.7 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.050 \text { molal }=0.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=-0.25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=2.7 \times 0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.050 \text { molal }=0.069^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=100.069^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{i}($ observed $)=3.4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{f}} m=3.4 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \text { molal } \times 0.050 \text { molal }=0.32^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=-0.32^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=3.4 \times 0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \text { molal } \times 0.050 \text { molal }=0.087^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=100.087^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

87. $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, \mathrm{i}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m}=\frac{0.110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.0225 \mathrm{molal}}=2.63$ for $0.0225 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ $\mathrm{i}=\frac{0.440}{1.86 \times 0.0910}=2.60$ for $0.0910 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{i}=\frac{1.330}{1.86 \times 0.278}=2.57$ for $0.278 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$
$\mathrm{i}_{\text {ave }}=(2.63+2.60+2.57) / 3=2.60$
Note that i is less than the ideal value of 3.0 for $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$. This is due to ion pairing in solution. Also note that as molality increases, i decreases. More ion pairing appears to occur as the solute concentration increases.
88. $\quad$ For $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}: \mathrm{i}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{m}}=\frac{0.440^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.091 \mathrm{molal}}=2.6$

$$
\text { Percent } \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \text { ionized }=\frac{2.6-1.0}{3.0-1.0} \times 100=80 . \% ; 20 . \% \text { ion association occurs. }
$$

For CsCl: $\mathrm{i}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m}=\frac{0.320^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.091 \mathrm{molal}}=1.9$

$$
\text { Percent } \mathrm{CsCl} \text { ionized }=\frac{1.9-1.0}{2.0-1.0} \times 100=90 . \% ; 10 \% \text { ion association occurs. }
$$

The ion association is greater in the $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution.
89. a. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=5\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{F}}-32\right) / 9=5(-29-32) / 9=-34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Assuming the solubility of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ is temperature independent, the molality of a saturated $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution is:

$$
\frac{74.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}{110.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}=\frac{6.71 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}}{\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{m}=3.00 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol} \times 6.71 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}=37.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Assuming $\mathrm{i}=3.00$, a saturated solution of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ can lower the freezing point of water to $-37.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Assuming these conditions, a saturated $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution should melt ice at $-34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(-29^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.
b. From Exercise 87, $\mathrm{i} \approx 2.6 ; \Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m=2.6 \times 1.86 \times 6.71=32^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=-32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Assuming $\mathrm{i}=2.6$, a saturated $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ solution will not melt ice at $-34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(-29^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$.
90. $\pi=\mathrm{i} M \mathrm{RT}, M=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{iRT}}=\frac{2.50 \mathrm{~atm}}{2.00 \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=5.11 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

$$
\text { Molar mass of compound }=\frac{0.500 \mathrm{~g}}{0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{5.11 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}}=97.8 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

## Additional Exercises

91. 



Benzoic acid is capable of hydrogen-bonding, but a significant part of benzoic acid is the nonpolar benzene ring. In benzene, a hydrogen-bonded dimer forms.


The dimer is relatively nonpolar and thus more soluble in benzene than in water.
Benzoic acid would be more soluble in a basic solution because of the reaction $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}+$ $\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. By removing the acidic proton from benzoic acid, an anion forms, and like all anions, the species becomes more soluble in water.
92. Water is a polar solvent because the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecule exhibits a dipole moment, that is, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a molecule which has a partial negative charged end and a partial positive charged end. The electrostatic potential diagram for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ illustrates this with colors. The partial negative end of the dipole moment is the red end and the partial positive end is around the blue end.

Because water is a polar solvent, it will dissolve other polar covalent compounds. The electrostatic potential diagram for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ illustrates that $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ has a dipole moment (the red end is the partial neative end and the light blue end is the partial positive end). Because $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ has a dipole moment (is polar), it will be soluble in water. However, the electrostatic potential diagram for $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ doesn't have one specific negative (red) end and has four blue regions arranged symmetrically about the molecule. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ does not have a dipole moment (is nonpolar) and will not be soluble in water.
93. a. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}=$ ?

Heat gain by dissolution process = heat loss by solution; we will keep all quantities positive in order to avoid sign errors. Because the temperature of the water decreased, the dissolution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ is endothermic ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is positive). Mass of solution $=1.60+75.0$ $=76.6 \mathrm{~g}$.

Heat loss by solution $=\frac{4.18 \mathrm{~J}}{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{g}} \times 76.6 \mathrm{~g} \times\left(25.00^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-23.34^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=532 \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}=\frac{532 \mathrm{~J}}{1.60 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}} \times \frac{80.05 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}}=2.66 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=26.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

b. We will use Hess's law to solve for the lattice energy. The lattice-energy equation is:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}=\text { lattice energy } \\
& \\
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {hyd }}=-630 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol} \\
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}=-26.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array} ⿻ \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {hyd }}-\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }} \\
=-657 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
$$

94. 750. mL grape juice $\times \frac{12 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{100 . \mathrm{mL} \text { juice }} \times \frac{0.79 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{\mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g}}$

$$
\times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}=1.54 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \quad(\text { carry extra significant figure })
$$

$1.54 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}=$ total $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{aq}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}\left(\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}}\right)(298 \mathrm{~K})}{75 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}}=326 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{aq}}}{0.750 \mathrm{~L}}}{\frac{3.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}}=(43.0) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{aq}} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=326 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}=(43.0) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{aq}} \text {, and from above, } \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{aq}}=1.54-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}} ; \text { solving: } \\
& \quad 326 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}=43.0\left(1.54-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}\right), 369 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}=66.2, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{g}}=0.18 \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=326(0.18)=59 \mathrm{~atm} \text { in gas phase; } \\
& \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{kP}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{3.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}} \times 59 \mathrm{~atm}=\frac{1.8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}}{2} \\
& \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned} \text { (in wine) }
$$

95. a. Water boils when the vapor pressure equals the pressure above the water. In an open pan, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{atm}} \approx 1.0 \mathrm{~atm}$. In a pressure cooker, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {inside }}>1.0 \mathrm{~atm}$, and water boils at a higher temperature. The higher the cooking temperature, the faster is the cooking time.
b. Salt dissolves in water, forming a solution with a melting point lower than that of pure water $\left(\Delta T_{f}=K_{f} m\right)$. This happens in water on the surface of ice. If it is not too cold, the ice melts. This won't work if the ambient temperature is lower than the depressed freezing point of the salt solution.
c. When water freezes from a solution, it freezes as pure water, leaving behind a more concentrated salt solution. Therefore, the melt of frozen sea ice is pure water.
d. In the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ phase diagram in Chapter 10, the triple point is above 1 atm , so $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ is the stable phase at 1 atm and room temperature. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$ can't exist at normal atmospheric pressures. Therefore, dry ice sublimes instead of boils. In a fire extinguisher, $\mathrm{P}>1 \mathrm{~atm}$, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{l})$ can exist. When $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is released from the fire extinguisher, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ forms, as predicted from the phase diagram.
e. Adding a solute to a solvent increases the boiling point and decreases the freezing point of the solvent. Thus the solvent is a liquid over a wider range of temperatures when a solute is dissolved.
96. A 92 proof ethanol solution is $46 \% \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ by volume. Assuming 100.0 mL of solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mol ethanol }=46 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{0.79 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{46.07 \mathrm{~g}}=0.79 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \\
& \text { molarity }=\frac{0.79 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.1000 \mathrm{~L}}=7.9 \mathrm{M} \text { ethanol }
\end{aligned}
$$

97. Because partial pressures are proportional to the moles of gas present, then:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}} / \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }} \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}=\chi_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=0.855(263 \text { torr) })=225 \text { torr } \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}=\chi_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}, \quad \chi_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}}=\frac{225 \text { torr }}{375 \text { torr }}=0.600
\end{gathered}
$$

98. $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}$ refer to the breaking of intermolecular forces in pure solute and in pure solvent. $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}$ refers to the formation of the intermolecular forces in solution between the solute and solvent. $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ is the sum $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}$.
a. The electrostatic potential diagram illustrates that acetone $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, like water, is a polar substance (each has a red end indicating the partial negative end of the dipole moment and a blue end indicating the partial positive end). For a polar solute in a polar solvent, $\Delta H_{1}$ and $\Delta H_{2}$ will be large and positive, while $\Delta H_{3}$ will be a large negative value. As discussed in section 17.4 on nonideal solutions, acetone-water solutions exhibit negative deviations from Raoult's law. Acetone and water have the ability to hydrogen bond with each other, which gives the solution stronger intermolecular forces as compared to the pure states of both solute and solvent. In the pure state, acetone cannot H -bond with itself. Because acetone and water show negative deviations from Raoult's law, one would expect $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ to be slightly negative. Here $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}$ will be more than negative enough to overcome the large positive value from the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}$ terms combined.
b. These two molecules are named ethanol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)$ and water. Ethanol-water solutions show positive deviations from Raoult's law. Both substances can hydrogen bond in the pure state, and they can continue this in solution. However, the solutesolvent interactions are somewhat weaker for ethanol-water solutions due to the small nonpolar part of ethanol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ is the nonpolar part of ethanol). This nonpolar part of ethanol slightly weakens the intermolecular forces in solution. So as in part a, when a polar solute and polar solvent are present, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}$ are large and positive, while $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}$ is large and negative. For positive deviations from Raoult's law, the interactions in solution are weaker than the interactions in pure solute and pure solvent. Here, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ will be slightly positive because the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}$ term is not negative enough to overcome the large, positive $\Delta H_{1}$ and $\Delta H_{2}$ terms combined.
c. As the electrostatic potential diagrams indicate, both heptane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)$ and hexane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ are nonpolar substances. For a nonpolar solute dissolved in a nonpolar solvent, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}$ are small and positive, while the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}$ term is small and negative. These three terms have small values due to the relatively weak London dispersion forces that are broken and formed for solutions consisting of a nonpolar solute in a nonpolar solvent. Because $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}$ are all small values, the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ value will be small. Here, heptane and hexane would form an ideal solution because the relative strengths of the London dispersion forces are about equal in pure solute and pure solvent as compared to those LD forces in solution. For ideal solutions, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}=0$.
d. This combination represents a nonpolar solute in a polar solvent. $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{1}$ will be small due to the relative weak London dispersion forces which are broken when the solute $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}\right)$ expands. $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{2}$ will be large and positive because of the relatively strong hydrogen bonding interactions that must be broken when the polar solvent (water) is expanded. And finally, the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{3}$ term will be small because the nonpolar solute and polar solvent do not interact with each other. The end result is that $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {soln }}$ is a large positive value.
99. $50.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{58.08 \mathrm{~g}}=0.861 \mathrm{~mol}$ acetone
$50.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{32.04 \mathrm{~g}}=1.56 \mathrm{~mol}$ methanol

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\text {acetone }}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{0.861}{0.861+1.56}=0.356 ; \quad \chi_{\text {methanol }}^{\mathrm{L}}=1.000-\chi_{\text {acetone }}^{\mathrm{L}}=0.644 \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {methanol }}+\mathrm{P}_{\text {acetone }}=0.644(143 \text { torr })+0.356(271 \text { torr })=92.1 \text { torr }+96.5 \text { torr }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=188.6 \text { torr }
$$

Because partial pressures are proportional to the moles of gas present, in the vapor phase:

$$
\chi_{\text {acetone }}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {acetone }}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{96.5 \text { torr }}{188.6 \text { torr }}=0.512 ; \chi_{\text {methanol }}^{\mathrm{V}}=1.000-0.512=0.488
$$

The actual vapor pressure of the solution (161 torr) is less than the calculated pressure assuming ideal behavior ( 188.6 torr). Therefore, the solution exhibits negative deviations from Raoult's law. This occurs when the solute-solvent interactions are stronger than in pure solute and pure solvent.
100. $\pi=M \mathrm{RT}=\frac{0.1 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}=2.45 \mathrm{~atm} \approx 2 \mathrm{~atm}$
$\pi=2 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{760 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}}{\mathrm{atm}} \approx 2000 \mathrm{~mm} \approx 2 \mathrm{~m}$
The osmotic pressure would support a mercury column of approximately 2 m . The height of a fluid column in a tree will be higher because Hg is more dense than the fluid in a tree. If we assume the fluid in a tree is mostly $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then the fluid has a density of $1.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The density of Hg is $13.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.

Height of fluid $\approx 2 \mathrm{~m} \times 13.6 \approx 30 \mathrm{~m}$
101. $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{0.300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{5.12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=\frac{5.86 \times 10^{-2} \text { mol thyroxine }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { benzene }}$

The moles of thyroxine present are:

$$
0.0100 \mathrm{~kg} \text { benzene } \times \frac{5.86 \times 10^{-2} \text { mol thyroxine }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { benzene }}=5.86 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \text { thyroxine }
$$

From the problem, 0.455 g thyroxine was used; this must contain $5.86 \times 10^{-4}$ mol thyroxine. The molar mass of the thyroxine is:

$$
\text { molar mass }=\frac{0.455 \mathrm{~g}}{5.86 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}=776 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

102. $\pi=M \mathrm{RT}, M=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{8.00 \mathrm{~atm}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.327 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
103. Out of 100.00 g , there are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 31.57 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g}}=2.629 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; \frac{2.629}{2.629}=1.000 \\
& 5.30 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g}}=5.26 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; \quad \frac{5.26}{2.629}=2.00 \\
& 63.13 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g}}=3.946 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} ; \frac{3.946}{2.629}=1.501
\end{aligned}
$$

Empirical formula: $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}$; use the freezing-point data to determine the molar mass.
$m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{5.20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}}=2.80$ molal
Mol solute $=0.0250 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{2.80 \mathrm{~mol} \text { solute }}{\mathrm{kg}}=0.0700 \mathrm{~mol}$ solute

Molar mass $=\frac{10.56 \mathrm{~g}}{0.0700 \mathrm{~mol}}=151 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The empirical formula mass of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}=76.05 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. Because the molar mass is about twice the empirical mass, the molecular formula is $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, which has a molar mass of 152.10 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Note: We use the experimental molar mass to determine the molecular formula. Knowing this, we calculate the molar mass precisely from the molecular for mula using the atomic masses in the periodic table.
104. a. As discussed in Figure 11.18 of the text, the water would migrate from left to right (to the side with the solution). Initially, the level of liquid in the left arm would go down, and the level in the right arm would go up. At some point the rate of solvent $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ transfer will be the same in both directions, and the levels of the liquids in the two arms will stabilize. The height difference between the two arms is a measure of the osmotic pressure of the solution.
b. Initially, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules will have a net migration into the solution side. However, the solute can now migrate into the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ side. Because solute and solvent transfer are both possible, the levels of the liquids will be equal once the rates of solute and solvent transfer are equal in both directions. At this point the concentration of solute will be equal in both chambers, and the levels of liquid will be equal.
105. If ideal, NaCl dissociates completely, and $\mathrm{i}=2.00 . \Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m$; assuming water freezes at $0.00^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
1.28^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=2 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol} \times m, m=0.344 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Assume an amount of solution that contains 1.00 kg of water (solvent).
$0.344 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl} \times \frac{58.44 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=20.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl}$
Mass \% NaCl $=\frac{20.1 \mathrm{~g}}{1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g}+20.1 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=1.97 \%$
106. The main factor for stabilization seems to be electrostatic repulsion. The center of a colloid particle is surrounded by a layer of same charged ions, with oppositely charged ions forming another charged layer on the outside. Overall, there are equal numbers of charged and oppositely charged ions, so the colloidal particles are electrically neutral. However, since the outer layers are the same charge, the particles repel each other and do not easily aggregate for precipitation to occur.

Heating increases the velocities of the colloidal particles. This causes the particles to collide with enough energy to break the ion barriers, allowing the colloids to aggregate and eventually precipitate out. Adding an electrolyte neutralizes the adsorbed ion layers, which allows colloidal particles to aggregate and then precipitate out.
107. $\Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{2.79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}}=1.50 \mathrm{molal}$
a. $\Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} m, \quad \Delta \mathrm{~T}=\left(0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}\right)(1.50$ molal $)=0.77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=100.77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {soln }}=\chi_{\text {water }} \mathrm{P}_{\text {water }}^{\mathrm{o}}, \chi_{\text {water }}=\frac{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{mol}$ solute

Assuming 1.00 kg of water, we have 1.50 mol solute, and:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=55.5 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\chi_{\text {water }}=\frac{55.5 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.50+55.5}=0.974 ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {soln }}=(0.974)(23.76 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})=23.1 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}
\end{gathered}
$$

c. We assumed ideal behavior in solution formation, we assumed the solute was nonvolatile, and we assumed $\mathrm{i}=1$ (no ions formed).
108. a. The average values for each ion are:

$$
\text { 300. } \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}^{+}, 15.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{~K}^{+}, 5.45 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, 388 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \text {, and } 246 \mathrm{mg} \text { lactate }\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{-}\right)
$$

Note: Because we can precisely weigh to $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{mg}$ on an analytical balance, we'll carry extra significant figures and calculate results to $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{mg}$.

The only source of lactate is $\mathrm{NaC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$.

$$
246 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{-} \times \frac{112.06 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NaC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{89.07 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{-}}=309.5 \mathrm{mg} \text { sodium lactate }
$$

The only source of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ is $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

$$
5.45 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \times \frac{147.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{40.08 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}=19.99 \text { or } 20.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

The only source of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$is KCl .
$15.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{K}^{+} \times \frac{74.55 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{KCl}}{39.10 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{K}^{+}}=29.9 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{KCl}$
From what we have used already, let's calculate the mass of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$added.
309.5 mg sodium lactate -246.0 mg lactate $=63.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$

Thus we need to add an additional $236.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$to get the desired $300 . \mathrm{mg}$.

Now let's check the mass of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$added:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
20.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{70.90 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{147.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=9.6 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\
20.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CaCl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=9.6 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\
29.9 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{KCl}-15.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{~K}^{+}=14.2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\
\frac{601.2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NaCl}-236.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}^{+}=364.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\text {Total Cl}^{-}=388.5 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}
\end{array}
$$

This is the quantity of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$we want (the average amount of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$).
An analytical balance can weigh to the nearest 0.1 mg . We would use 309.5 mg sodium lactate, $20.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CaCl} 2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 29.9 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{KCl}$, and 601.2 mg NaCl .
b. To get the range of osmotic pressure, we need to calculate the molar concentration of each ion at its minimum and maximum values. At minimum concentrations, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{285 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}^{+}}{100 . \mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol}}{22.99 \mathrm{mg}}=0.124 \mathrm{M} ; \frac{14.1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{~K}^{+}}{100 . \mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol}}{39.10 \mathrm{mg}}=0.00361 \mathrm{M} \\
& \frac{4.9 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}{100 . \mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol}}{40.08 \mathrm{mg}}=0.0012 \mathrm{M} ; \frac{368 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{100 . \mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol}}{35.45 \mathrm{mg}}=0.104 \mathrm{M} \\
& \frac{231 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{-}}{100 . \mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol}}{89.07 \mathrm{mg}}=0.0259 \mathrm{M} \quad(\text { Note: Molarity }=\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL} .)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Total }=0.124+0.00361+0.0012+0.104+0.0259=0.259 \mathrm{M}
$$

$$
\pi=M \mathrm{RT}=\frac{0.259 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 310 . \mathrm{K}=6.59 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

Similarly, at maximum concentrations, the concentration for each ion is:

$$
\mathrm{Na}^{+}: 0.137 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{K}^{+}: 0.00442 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}: 0.0015 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{Cl}^{-}: 0.115 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}^{-}: 0.0293 \mathrm{M}
$$

The total concentration of all ions is 0.287 M .
$\pi=\frac{0.287 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 310 . \mathrm{K}=7.30 \mathrm{~atm}$
Osmotic pressure ranges from 6.59 atm to 7.30 atm .
109. Mass of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=160 . \mathrm{mL} \times \frac{0.995 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=159 \mathrm{~g}=0.159 \mathrm{~kg}$

Mol NaDTZ $=0.159 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{0.378 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~kg}}=0.0601 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Molar mass of } \mathrm{NaDTZ}=\frac{38.4 \mathrm{~g}}{0.0601 \mathrm{~mol}}=639 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& P_{\text {soln }}=\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{0} ; \quad \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}
\end{aligned} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=159 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=8.82 \mathrm{~mol} .
$$

Sodium diatrizoate is a salt because there is a metal (sodium) in the compound. From the short-hand notation for sodium diatrizoate, NaDTZ, we can assume this salt breaks up into $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{DTZ}^{-}$ions. So the moles of solute particles are 2(0.0601) $=0.120 \mathrm{~mol}$ solute particles.

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=\frac{8.82 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.120 \mathrm{~mol}+8.82 \mathrm{~mol}}=0.987 ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {soln }}=0.987 \times 34.1 \mathrm{torr}=33.7 \mathrm{torr}
$$

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 110-117 (or a variation to these problem) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

118. For the second vapor collected, $\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 2}^{\mathrm{V}}=0.714$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{T}, 2}^{\mathrm{V}}=0.286$. Let $\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}=$ mole fraction of benzene in the second solution and $\chi_{\mathrm{T}, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}=$ mole fraction of toluene in the second solution.
$\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}+\chi_{\mathrm{T}, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}=1.000$
$\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 2}^{\mathrm{V}}=0.714=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}}=\frac{\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}(750.0 \text { torr })}{\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}(750.0 \text { torr })+\left(1.000-\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)(300.0 \text { torr })}$
Solving: $\chi_{B, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}=0.500=\chi_{\mathrm{T}, 2}^{\mathrm{L}}$
This second solution came from the vapor collected from the first (initial) solution, so, $\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 1}^{\mathrm{V}}=$ $\chi_{\mathrm{T}, 1}^{\mathrm{V}}=0.500$. Let $\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 1}^{\mathrm{L}}=$ mole fraction benzene in the first solution and $\chi_{\mathrm{T}, 1}^{\mathrm{L}}=$ mole fraction of toluene in first solution. $\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 1}^{\mathrm{L}}+\chi_{\mathrm{T}, 1}^{\mathrm{L}}=1.000$.

$$
\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 1}^{\mathrm{V}}=0.500=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}}=\frac{\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 1}^{\mathrm{L}}(750.0 \text { torr })}{\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 1}^{\mathrm{L}}(750.0 \text { torr })+\left(1.000-\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 1}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)(300.0 \text { torr })}
$$

Solving: $\chi_{\mathrm{B}, 1}^{\mathrm{L}}=0.286$
The original solution had $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}=0.286$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{T}}=0.714$.
119. For $30 . \%$ A by moles in the vapor, 30. $=\frac{P_{A}}{P_{A}+P_{B}} \times 100$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.30=\frac{\chi_{\mathrm{A}} x}{\chi_{\mathrm{A}} x+\chi_{\mathrm{B}} y}, 0.30=\frac{\chi_{\mathrm{A}} x}{\chi_{\mathrm{A}} x+\left(1.00-\chi_{\mathrm{A}}\right) y} \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{A}} x=0.30\left(\chi_{\mathrm{A}} x\right)+0.30 y-0.30\left(\chi_{\mathrm{A}} y\right), \chi_{\mathrm{A}} x-(0.30) \chi_{\mathrm{A}} x+(0.30) \chi_{\mathrm{A}} y=0.30 y \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{A}}(x-0.30 x+0.30 y)=0.30 y, \quad \chi_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{0.30 y}{0.70 x+0.30 y} ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{B}}=1.00-\chi_{\mathrm{A}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if vapor above is $50 . \% \mathrm{~A}: \chi_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{y}{x+y} ; \quad \chi_{\mathrm{B}}=1.00-\frac{y}{x+y}$
If vapor above is $80 . \% \mathrm{~A}: \quad \chi_{A}=\frac{0.80 y}{0.20 x+0.80 y} ; \quad \chi_{B}=1.00-\chi_{A}$
If the liquid solution is $30 . \% \mathrm{~A}$ by moles, $\chi_{\mathrm{A}}=0.30$.
Thus $\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}=\frac{0.30 x}{0.30 x+0.70 y}$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{V}}=1.00-\frac{0.30 x}{0.30 x+0.70 y}$
If solution is $50 . \% \mathrm{~A}: \quad \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{x}{x+y}$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{V}}=1.00-\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}$
If solution is 80.\% A: $\quad \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{0.80 x}{0.80 x+0.20 y}$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{V}}=1.00-\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}$
120. a. Freezing-point depression is determined using molality for the concentration units, whereas molarity units are used to determine osmotic pressure. We need to assume that the molality of the solution equals the molarity of the solution.
b. Molarity $=\frac{\text { moles solvent }}{\text { liters solution }} ;$ molality $=\frac{\text { moles solvent }}{\text { kg solvent }}$

When the liters of solution equal the kilograms of solvent present for a solution, then molarity equals molality. This occurs for an aqueous solution when the density of the solution is equal to the density of water, $1.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. The density of a solution is close to $1.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ when not a lot of solute is dissolved in solution. Therefore, molarity and molality values are close to each other only for dilute solutions.
c. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{0.621^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.334 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$

Assuming $0.334 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}=0.334 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ :

$$
\pi=\mathrm{MRT}=\frac{0.334 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}=8.17 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

d. $\quad m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{2.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=3.92 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$

This solution is much more concentrated than the isotonic solution in part c. Here, water will leave the plant cells in order to try to equilibrate the ion concentration both inside and outside the cell. Because there is such a large concentration discrepancy, all the water will leave the plant cells, causing them to shrivel and die.
121. $m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{0.426^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}}=0.229 \mathrm{molal}$

Assuming a solution density $=1.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, then 1.00 L contains 0.229 mol solute .
$\mathrm{NaCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \mathrm{i}=2$; so: $2(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl})+\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}=0.229 \mathrm{~mol}$
Mass $\mathrm{NaCl}+$ mass $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}=20.0 \mathrm{~g}$
$2 n_{\text {NaCl }}+n_{\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}}=0.229$ and $58.44\left(n_{\text {NaCl }}\right)+342.3\left(n_{\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}}\right)=20.0$
Solving: $n_{\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{11}}=0.0425 \mathrm{~mol}=14.5 \mathrm{~g}$ and $n_{\text {NaCl }}=0.0932 \mathrm{~mol}=5.45 \mathrm{~g}$
Mass $\% \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}=\frac{14.5 \mathrm{~g}}{20.0 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=72.5 \%$ and $27.5 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ by mass
$\chi_{\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}}=\frac{0.0425 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0425 \mathrm{~mol}+0.0932 \mathrm{~mol}}=0.313$
122.
a. $\quad \pi=\mathrm{i} M \mathrm{RT}, \quad \mathrm{i} M=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{7.83 \mathrm{~atm}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.320 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

Assuming 1.000 L of solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { total mol solute particles }=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaCl}=0.320 \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \text { mass solution }=1000 . \mathrm{mL} \times \frac{1.071 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=1071 \mathrm{~g} \text { solution } \\
& \text { mass } \mathrm{NaCl} \text { in solution }=0.0100 \times 1071 \mathrm{~g}=10.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaCl} \\
& \text { mol } \mathrm{NaCl} \text { added to solution }=10.7 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{58.44 \mathrm{~g}}=0.183 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaCl}
\end{aligned}
$$

Some of this NaCl dissociates into $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(two moles of ions per mole of NaCl ), and some remains undissociated. Let $x=\mathrm{mol}$ undissociated $\mathrm{NaCl}=\mathrm{mol}$ ion pairs.

Mol solute particles $=0.320 \mathrm{~mol}=2(0.183-x)+x$
$0.320=0.366-x, x=0.046 \mathrm{~mol}$ ion pairs
Fraction of ion pairs $=\frac{0.046}{0.183}=0.25$, or $25 \%$
b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m$, where $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}$; from part a, 1.000 L of solution contains 0.320 mol of solute particles. To calculate the molality of the solution, we need the kilograms of solvent present in 1.000 L of solution.

Mass of 1.000 L solution $=1071 \mathrm{~g}$; mass of $\mathrm{NaCl}=10.7 \mathrm{~g}$
Mass of solvent in 1.000 L solution $=1071 \mathrm{~g}-10.7 \mathrm{~g}=1060 \mathrm{~g}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}=1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol} \times \frac{0.320 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.060 \mathrm{~kg}}=0.562^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Assuming water freezes at $0.000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=-0.562^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
123. $\quad \chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{V}}=0.15=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}=\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{o}} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}+\mathrm{P}_{\text {hex }}=\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}(511)+\chi_{\text {hex }}^{\mathrm{L}}(150$.

Because $\chi_{\text {hex }}^{\mathrm{L}}=1.000-\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}: \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}(511)+\left(1.000-\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)(150)=.150 .+361 \chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}$
$\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {pen }}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}, 0.15=\frac{\chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}(511)}{150 .+361 \chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}}, 0.15\left(150 .+361 \chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)=511 \chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}$
$23+54 \chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}=511 \chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}, \chi_{\text {pen }}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{23}{457}=0.050$
124.
a. $\quad m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{1.32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{5.12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.258 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$

Mol unknown $=0.01560 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{0.258 \mathrm{~mol} \text { unknown }}{\mathrm{kg}}=4.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$
Molar mass of unknown $=\frac{1.22 \mathrm{~g}}{4.02 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}=303 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Uncertainty in temperature $=\frac{0.04}{1.32} \times 100=3 \%$
A $3 \%$ uncertainty in $303 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}=9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
So molar mass $=303 \pm 9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$.
b. No, codeine could not be eliminated since its molar mass is in the possible range including the uncertainty.
d. We would like the uncertainty to be $\pm 1 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. We need the freezing-point depression to be about 10 times what it was in this problem. Two possibilities are:
(1) make the solution 10 times more concentrated (may be solubility problem)
(2) use a solvent with a larger $K_{f}$ value, e.g., camphor
125. $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=5.51-2.81=2.70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \quad m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{2.70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{5.12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}}=0.527 \mathrm{molal}$

Let $x=$ mass of naphthalene (molar mass $=128.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). Then $1.60-x=$ mass of anthracene (molar mass $=178.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ).
$\frac{x}{128.2}=$ moles naphthalene and $\frac{1.60-x}{178.2}=$ moles anthracene
$\frac{0.527 \text { mol solute }}{\text { kg solvent }}=\frac{\frac{x}{128.2}+\frac{1.60-x}{178.2}}{0.0200 \text { kg solvent }}, 1.05 \times 10^{-2}=\frac{(178.2) x+1.60(128.2)-(128.2) x}{128.2(178.2)}$
$(50.0) x+205=240 .,(50.0) x=240 .-205,(50.0) x=35, x=0.70 \mathrm{~g}$ naphthalene
So the mixture is:

$$
\frac{0.70 \mathrm{~g}}{1.60 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=44 \% \text { naphthalene by mass and } 56 \% \text { anthracene by mass }
$$

126. $\mathrm{i} M=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.3950 \mathrm{~atm}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298.2 \mathrm{~K}}=0.01614 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=$ total ion concentration
$0.01614 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=M_{\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}+M_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}+M_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}} ; M_{\mathrm{Cl}^{-}}=2 M_{\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}+M_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}$(charge balance)
Combining: $0.01614=3 M_{\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}+2 M_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}$
Let $x=$ mass $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ and $y=$ mass NaCl ; then $x+y=0.5000 \mathrm{~g}$.

$$
\left.M_{\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}=\frac{x}{95.21} \text { and } M_{\mathrm{Na}^{+}}=\frac{y}{58.44} \text { (Because } \mathrm{V}=1.000 \mathrm{~L} .\right)
$$

Total ion concentration $=\frac{3 x}{95.21}+\frac{2 y}{58.44}=0.01614 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Rearranging: $3 x+(3.258) y=1.537$
Solving by simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 x+(3.258) y & =1.537 \\
-3(x+\quad y) & =-3(0.5000) \\
\hline & (0.258) y
\end{aligned}
$$

Mass $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}=0.5000 \mathrm{~g}-0.14 \mathrm{~g}=0.36 \mathrm{~g} ;$ mass $\% \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}=\frac{0.36 \mathrm{~g}}{0.5000 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=72 \%$
127. $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{2}^{-}$; only $4.2 \%$ of $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ ionizes. The amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{HCO}_{2}^{-}$ produced is $0.042 \times 0.10 \mathrm{M}=0.0042 \mathrm{M}$.

The amount of $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ remaining in solution after ionization is $0.10 \mathrm{M}-0.0042 \mathrm{M}=0.10 \mathrm{M}$.
The total molarity of species present $=M_{\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}}+M_{\mathrm{H}^{+}}+M_{\mathrm{HCO}_{2}^{-}}$

$$
=0.10+0.0042+0.0042=0.11 \mathrm{M}
$$

Assuming $0.11 M=0.11$ molal, and assuming ample significant figures in the freezing point and boiling point of water at $\mathrm{P}=1 \mathrm{~atm}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m=1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.11 \text { molal }=0.20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \text { freezing point }=-0.20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} m=0.51^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal} \times 0.11 \text { molal }=0.056^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \text { boiling point }=100.056^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

128. Let $\chi_{A}^{\mathrm{L}}=$ mole fraction A in solution, so $1.000-\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\chi_{\mathrm{B}}^{\mathrm{L}}$. From the problem, $\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}=2 \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}(350.0 \text { torr })}{\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}(350.0 \text { torr })+\left(1.000-\chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)(100.0 \text { torr })} \\
& \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{V}}=2 \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{(350.0) \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}}{(250.0) \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}+100.0},(250.0) \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}=75.0, \chi_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{L}}=0.300
\end{aligned}
$$

The mole fraction of A in solution is 0.300 .
129. a. Assuming $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ does not dissociate, the solute concentration in water is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{560 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{MgCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{mL}}=\frac{560 \mathrm{mg}}{\mathrm{~L}}=\frac{560 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgCO}_{3}}{84.32 \mathrm{~g}} \\
& =6.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{MgCO}_{3} / \mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

An applied pressure of 8.0 atm will purify water up to a solute concentration of:

$$
M=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{8.0 \mathrm{~atm}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \mathrm{~mol} \times 300 . \mathrm{K}}=\frac{0.32 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$

When the concentration of $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ reaches $0.32 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$, the reverse osmosis unit can no longer purify the water. Let $\mathrm{V}=$ volume ( L ) of water remaining after purifying 45 L of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. When $\mathrm{V}+45 \mathrm{~L}$ of water has been processed, the moles of solute particles will equal:

$$
6.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \times(45 \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{V})=0.32 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{V}
$$

Solving: $0.30=(0.32-0.0066) \times V, V=0.96 \mathrm{~L}$
The minimum total volume of water that must be processed is $45 \mathrm{~L}+0.96 \mathrm{~L}=46 \mathrm{~L}$.
Note: If $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ does dissociate into $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ ions, then the solute concentration increases to $1.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$, and at least 47 L of water must be processed.
b. No; a reverse osmosis system that applies 8.0 atm can only purify water with a solute concentration of less than $0.32 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. Salt water has a solute concentration of 2( 0.60 M ) $=1.2 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ions. The solute concentration of salt water is much too high for this reverse osmosis unit to work.

## Integrative Problems

130. $\quad 10.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ blood $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{10 \mathrm{dL}}{1 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}}{1 \mathrm{dL} \text { blood }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}}{113.13 \mathrm{~g}}$ $=8.8 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$

Mass of blood $=10.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1.025 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=10.3 \mathrm{~g}$
Molality $=\frac{8.8 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0103 \mathrm{~kg}}=8.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
$\pi=M \mathrm{RT}, \quad M=\frac{8.8 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0100 \mathrm{~L}}=8.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$\pi=8.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}=2.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~atm}$
131. $\Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{imK} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{f}}, \quad \mathrm{i}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}}{m \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{2.79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{\frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.500 \mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1.86{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{mol}}}=3.00$

We have three ions in solutions, and we have twice as many anions as cations. Therefore, the formula of Q is $\mathrm{MCl}_{2}$. Assuming 100.00 g of compound:

$$
38.68 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}{35.45 \mathrm{~g}}=1.091 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}
$$

$\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{M}=1.091 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}}=0.5455 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}$
Molar mass of $\mathrm{M}=\frac{61.32 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{0.5455 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}=112.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{M}$ is Cd , so $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{CdCl}_{2}$.
132. $14.2 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C}}{44.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}=3.88 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{C} ; \% \mathrm{C}=\frac{3.88 \mathrm{mg}}{4.80 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=80.8 \% \mathrm{C}$
$1.65 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}}{18.02 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.185 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H} ; \% \mathrm{H}=\frac{0.185 \mathrm{mg}}{4.80 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=3.85 \% \mathrm{H}$
Mass \% O = $100.00-(80.8+3.85)=15.4 \% \mathrm{O}$

Out of 100.00 g :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 80.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g}}=6.73 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; \frac{6.73}{0.963}=6.99 \approx 7 \\
& 3.85 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g}}=3.82 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; \quad \frac{3.82}{0.963}=3.97 \approx 4 \\
& 15.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g}}=0.963 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} ; \quad \frac{0.963}{0.963}=1.00
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the empirical formula is $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$.
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{22.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{40 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}}=0.56$ molal
Mol anthraquinone $=0.0114 \mathrm{~kg}$ camphor $\times \frac{0.56 \mathrm{~mol} \text { anthraquinone }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { camphor }}=6.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$
Molar mass $=\frac{1.32 \mathrm{~g}}{6.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}=210 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
The empirical mass of $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ is $7(12)+4(1)+16 \approx 104 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. Because the molar mass is twice the empirical mass, the molecular formula is $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

## CHAPTER 12

## CHEMICAL KINETICS

## Questions

10. a. Activation energy and $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ are independent of each other. Activation energy depends on the path reactants to take to convert to products. The overall energy change $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ only depends on the initial and final energy states of the reactants and products. $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ is pathindependent.
b. The rate law can only be determined from experiment, not from the overall balanced reaction.
c. Most reactions occur by a series of steps. The rate of the reaction is determined by the rate of the slowest step in the mechanism.
11. In a unimolecular reaction, a single reactant molecule decomposes to products. In a bimolecular reaction, two molecules collide to give products. The probability of the simultaneous collision of three molecules with enough energy and the proper orientation is very small, making termolecular steps very unlikely.
12. a. $\mathrm{T}_{2}>\mathrm{T}_{1}$; as temperature increases, the distribution of collision energies shifts to the right. That is, as temperature increases, there are fewer collision energies with small energies and more collisions with large energies.
b. As temperature increases, more of the collisions have the required activation energy necessary to convert reactants into products. Hence, the rate of the reaction increases with increasing temperature.
13. All of these choices would affect the rate of the reaction, but only band caffect the rate by affecting the value of the rate constant k . The value of the rate constant depends on temperature. The value of the rate constant also depends on the activation energy. A catalyst will change the value of k because the activation energy changes. Increasing the concentration (partial pressure) of either $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ or NO does not affect the value of k , but it does increase the rate of the reaction because both concentrations appear in the rate law.
14. One experimental method to determine rate laws is the method of initial rates. Several experiments are carried out using different initial concentrations of reactants, and the initial rate is determined for each experiment. The results are then compared to see how the initial rate depends on the initial concentrations. This allows the orders in the rate law to be determined. The value of the rate constant is determined from the experiments once the orders are known.

The second experimental method utilizes the fact that the integrated rate laws can be put in the form of a straight-line equation. Concentration versus time data are collected for a reactant as a reaction is run. These data are then manipulated and plotted to see which manipulation gives a straight line. From the straight-line plot we get the order of the reactant, and the slope of the line is mathematically related to k , the rate constant.
15. The average rate decreases with time because the reverse reaction occurs more frequently as the concentration of products increase. Initially, with no products present, the rate of the forward reaction is at its fastest, but as time goes on, the rate gets slower and slower since products are converting back into reactants. The instantaneous rate will also decrease with time. The only rate that is constant is the initial rate. This is the instantaneous rate taken at $\mathrm{t} \approx$ 0 . At this time, the amount of products is insignificant, and the rate of the reaction only depends on the rate of the forward reaction.
16. The most common method to experimentally determine the differential rate law is the method of initial rates. Once the differential rate law is determined experimentally, the integrated rate law can be derived. However, sometimes it is more convenient and more accurate to collect concentration versus time data for a reactant. When this is the case, then we do "proof" plots to determine the integrated rate law. Once the integrated rate law is determined, the differential rate law can be determined. Either experimental procedure allows determination of both the integrated and the differential rate law; and which rate law is determined by experiment and which is derived is usually decided by which data are easiest and most accurately collected.
17. $\frac{\text { Rate }_{2}}{\text { Rate }_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{2}^{x}}{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{1}^{x}}=\left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]_{2}}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{1}}\right)^{x}$

The rate doubles as the concentration quadruples:

$$
2=(4)^{x}, \quad x=1 / 2
$$

The order is $1 / 2$ (the square root of the concentration of reactant).
For a reactant that has an order of -1 and the reactant concentration is doubled:

$$
\frac{\text { Rate }_{2}}{\text { Rate }_{1}}=(2)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

The rate will decrease by a factor of $1 / 2$ when the reactant concentration is doubled for a -1 order reaction. Negative orders are seen for substances that hinder or slow down a reaction.
18. Some energy must be added to get the reaction started, that is, to overcome the activation energy barrier. Chemically what happens is:

$$
\text { Energy }+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}
$$

The hydrogen atoms initiate a chain reaction that proceeds very rapidly. Collisions of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules at room temperature do not have sufficient kinetic energy to form hydrogen atoms and initiate the reaction.
19. Two reasons are:
(1) The collision must involve enough energy to produce the reaction; that is, the collision energy must be equal to or exceed the activation energy.
(2) The relative orientation of the reactants when they collide must allow formation of any new bonds necessary to produce products.
20. a. The blue plot is the catalyzed pathway. The catalyzed pathway has the lower activation. This is why the catalyzed pathway is faster.
b. $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{1}$ represents the activation energy for the uncatalyzed pathway.
c. $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{2}$ represents the energy difference between the reactants and products. Note that $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{2}$ is the same for both the catalyzed and the uncatalyzed pathways. It is the activation energy that is different for a catalyzed pathway versus an uncatalyzed pathway.
d. Because the products have a higher total energy as compared to reactants, this is an endothermic reaction.
21. Enzymes are very efficient catalysts. As is true for all catalysts, enzymes speed up a reaction by providing an alternative pathway for reactants to convert to products. This alternative pathway has a smaller activation energy and hence, a faster rate. Also true is that catalysts are not used up in the overall chemical reaction. Once an enzyme comes in contact with the correct reagent, the chemical reaction quickly occurs, and the enzyme is then free to catalyze another reaction. Because of the efficiency of the reaction step, only a relatively small amount of enzyme is needed to catalyze a specific reaction, no matter how complex the reaction.
22. The slope of the $\ln \mathrm{k}$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$ plot (with temperature in Kelvin) is equal to $-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}} / \mathrm{R}$. Because $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for the catalyzed reaction will be smaller than $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for the uncatalyzed reaction, the slope of the catalyzed plot should be less negative.

## Exercises

## Reaction Rates

23. The coefficients in the balanced reaction relate the rate of disappearance of reactants to the rate of production of products. From the balanced reaction, the rate of production of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ will be $1 / 4$ the rate of disappearance of $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$, and the rate of production of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ will be $6 / 4$ the rate of disappearance of $\mathrm{PH}_{3}$. By convention, all rates are given as positive values.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rate }=\frac{-\Delta\left[\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=\frac{-(-0.048 \mathrm{~mol} / 2.0 \mathrm{~L})}{\mathrm{s}}=2.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s} \\
& \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{P}_{4}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=2.4 \times 10^{-3} / 4=6.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=-\frac{6}{4} \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=6\left(2.4 \times 10^{-3}\right) / 4=3.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

24. Using the coefficients in the balanced equation to relate the rates:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=3 \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}} \text { and } \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=-2 \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}} \\
\text { So : }-\frac{1}{3} \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}} \text { or } \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=-\frac{2}{3} \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Ammonia is produced at a rate equal to $2 / 3$ of the rate of consumption of hydrogen.
25. a. Average rate $=\frac{-\Delta\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=\frac{-(0.500 \mathrm{M}-1.000 \mathrm{M})}{\left(2.16 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}-0\right)}=2.31 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$

From the coefficients in the balanced equation:

$$
\frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=1.16 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

b. $\frac{-\Delta\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=\frac{-(0.250-0.500) \mathrm{M}}{\left(4.32 \times 10^{4}-2.16 \times 10^{4}\right) \mathrm{s}}=1.16 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$

$$
\frac{\Delta\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\Delta \mathrm{t}}=1 / 2\left(1.16 \times 10^{-5}\right)=5.80 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

Notice that as time goes on in a reaction, the average rate decreases.
26. $0.0120 / 0.0080=1.5$; reactant B is used up 1.5 times faster than reactant A . This corresponds to a 3 to 2 mole ratio between B and A in the balanced equation. $0.0160 / 0.0080=2$; product C is produced twice as fast as reactant A is used up, so the coefficient for C is twice the coefficient for A . A possible balanced equation is $2 \mathrm{~A}+3 \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{C}$.
27.
a. The units for rate are always $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$.
b. Rate $=\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}$ must have units of $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$.
c. $\quad$ Rate $=k[A], \frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{Ls}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{L}}\right)$
d. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]^{2}, \frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{Ls}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{2}$
k must have units of $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$.
k must have units of $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}$.
e. $\mathrm{L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$
28. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{Cl}]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right], \frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{Ls}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\frac{\mathrm{mol}}{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)$; k must have units of $\mathrm{L}^{1 / 2} / \mathrm{mol}^{1 / 2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$.

## Rate Laws from Experimental Data: Initial Rates Method

29. a. In the first two experiments, $[\mathrm{NO}]$ is held constant and $\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ is doubled. The rate also doubled. Thus the reaction is first order with respect to $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Or mathematically, Rate $=$ $\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NO}]^{x}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{y}$.

$$
\frac{0.36}{0.18}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.10)^{x}(0.20)^{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathrm{k}(0.10)^{x}(0.10)^{y}}=\frac{(0.20)^{y}}{(0.10)^{y}}, \quad 2.0=2.0^{y}, y=1
$$

We can get the dependence on NO from the second and third experiments. Here, as the NO concentration doubles ( $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ concentration is constant), the rate increases by a factor of four. Thus the reaction is second order with respect to NO. Or mathematically:

$$
\frac{1.45}{0.36}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.20)^{x}(0.20)}{\mathrm{k}(0.10)^{x}(0.20)}=\frac{(0.20)^{x}}{(0.10)^{x}}, 4.0=2.0^{x}, x=2 \text {; so Rate }=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] .
$$

Try to examine experiments where only one concentration changes at a time. The more variables that change, the harder it is to determine the orders. Also, these types of problems can usually be solved by inspection. In general, we will solve using a mathematical approach, but keep in mind that you probably can solve for the orders by simple inspection of the data.
b. The rate constant k can be determined from the experiments. From experiment 1:

$$
\frac{0.18 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~min}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\frac{0.10 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{0.10 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right), \mathrm{k}=180 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~min}
$$

From the other experiments:

$$
\mathrm{k}=180 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \min \text { (second exp.); } \mathrm{k}=180 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \min \text { (third exp.) }
$$

The average rate constant is $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}=1.8 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~min}$.
30.
a. Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{x}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{2-}\right]^{y} ; \frac{12.5 \times 10^{-6}}{6.25 \times 10^{-6}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.080)^{x}(0.040)^{y}}{\mathrm{k}(0.040)^{x}(0.040)^{y}}, \quad 2.00=2.0^{x}, x=1$

$$
\frac{12.5 \times 10^{-6}}{6.25 \times 10^{-6}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.080)(0.040)^{y}}{\mathrm{k}(0.080)(0.020)^{y}}, 2.00=2.0^{y}, \quad y=1 ; \text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}{ }^{2-}\right]
$$

b. For the first experiment:

$$
\frac{12.5 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\frac{0.080 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{0.040 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right), \mathrm{k}=3.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

Each of the other experiments also gives $\mathrm{k}=3.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}$, so $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}$ $=3.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}$.
31. a. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NOCl}]^{n}$; using experiments two and three:

$$
\frac{2.66 \times 10^{4}}{6.64 \times 10^{3}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}\left(2.0 \times 10^{16}\right)^{n}}{\mathrm{k}\left(1.0 \times 10^{16}\right)^{n}}, \quad 4.01=2.0^{n}, n=2 ; \text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NOCl}]^{2}
$$

b. $\quad \frac{5.98 \times 10^{4} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\frac{3.0 \times 10^{16} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}}\right)^{2}, \mathrm{k}=6.6 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ molecules $\bullet \mathrm{s}$

The other three experiments give ( $6.7,6.6$, and 6.6$) \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{molecules} \cdot \mathrm{s}$, respectively. The mean value for k is $6.6 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{molecules} \cdot \mathrm{s}$.
c. $\quad \frac{6.6 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}}{\text { molecules }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}=\frac{4.0 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~s}}$
32. Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]^{\chi}$; the rate laws for the first two experiments are:

$$
2.26 \times 10^{-3}=\mathrm{k}(0.190)^{x} \text { and } 8.90 \times 10^{-4}=\mathrm{k}(0.0750)^{x}
$$

Dividing the two rate laws: $2.54=\frac{(0.190)^{x}}{(0.0750)^{x}}=(2.53)^{x}, x=1$; Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$
$\mathrm{k}=\frac{\text { Rate }}{\left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]}=\frac{8.90 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}}{0.0750 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=1.19 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
The other experiments give similar values for k . $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}=1.19 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
33.
a. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[I]^{x}\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]^{y} ; \quad \frac{7.91 \times 10^{-2}}{3.95 \times 10^{-2}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.12)^{x}(0.18)^{y}}{\mathrm{k}(0.060)^{x}(0.18)^{y}}=2.0^{x}, \quad 2.00=2.0^{x}, \quad x=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3.95 \times 10^{-2}}{9.88 \times 10^{-3}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.060)(0.18)^{y}}{\mathrm{k}(0.030)(0.090)^{y}}, 4.00=2.0 \times 2.0^{y}, 2.0=2.0^{y}, y=1 \\
& \text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right][\mathrm{OCl}]
\end{aligned}
$$

b. From the first experiment: $\frac{7.91 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{Ls}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\frac{0.12 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{0.18 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right), \mathrm{k}=3.7 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}$

All four experiments give the same value of k to two significant figures.
c. $\quad$ Rate $=\frac{3.7 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{0.15 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.15 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.083 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$
34. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NO}]^{x}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{y}$; comparing the first two experiments, $\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ is unchanged, $[\mathrm{NO}]$ is tripled, and the rate increases by a factor of nine. Therefore, the reaction is second order in NO $\left(3^{2}=\right.$ 9). The order of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is more difficult to determine. Comparing the second and third experiments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{3.13 \times 10^{17}}{1.80 \times 10^{17}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}\left(2.50 \times 10^{18}\right)^{2}\left(2.50 \times 10^{18}\right)^{y}}{\mathrm{k}\left(3.00 \times 10^{18}\right)^{2}\left(1.00 \times 10^{18}\right)^{y}} \\
& 1.74=0.694(2.50)^{y}, 2.51=2.50^{y}, y=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$; from experiment 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
2.00 \times 10^{16} \text { molecules } / \mathrm{cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{k}\left(1.00 \times 10^{18} \text { molecules } / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)^{2} \\
\times\left(1.00 \times 10^{18} \text { molecules } / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{k}=2.00 \times 10^{-38} \mathrm{~cm}^{6} / \mathrm{molecules}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}
\end{array} \\
& \text { Rate }=\frac{2.00 \times 10^{-38} \mathrm{~cm}^{6}}{\text { molecules }^{2} \mathrm{~s}} \times\left(\frac{6.21 \times 10^{18} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}}\right)^{2} \times \frac{7.36 \times 10^{18} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Rate $=5.68 \times 10^{18}$ molecules $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$
35.
a. $\quad$ Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{Hb}]^{x}[\mathrm{CO}]^{y}$

Comparing the first two experiments, $[\mathrm{CO}]$ is unchanged, $[\mathrm{Hb}]$ doubles, and the rate doubles. Therefore, $x=1$, and the reaction is first order in Hb . Comparing the second and third experiments, $[\mathrm{Hb}]$ is unchanged, $[\mathrm{CO}]$ triples, and the rate triples. Therefore, $y$ $=1$, and the reaction is first order in CO.
b. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{Hb}][\mathrm{CO}]$
c. From the first experiment:

$$
0.619 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{k}(2.21 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})(1.00 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}), \mathrm{k}=0.280 \mathrm{~L} / \mu \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

The second and third experiments give similar k values, so $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}=0.280 \mathrm{~L} / \mu \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}$
d. $\quad$ Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{Hb}][\mathrm{CO}]=\frac{0.280 \mathrm{~L}}{\mu \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{3.36 \mu \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{2.40 \mu \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=2.26 \mu \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$
36. a. Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{2}\right]^{x}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{\gamma}$; from the first two experiments:

$$
2.30 \times 10^{-1}=\mathrm{k}(0.100)^{x}(0.100)^{y} \text { and } 5.75 \times 10^{-2}=\mathrm{k}(0.0500)^{x}(0.100)^{y}
$$

Dividing the two rate laws: $4.00=\frac{(0.100)^{x}}{(0.0500)^{x}}=2.00^{x}, x=2$
Comparing the second and third experiments:

$$
2.30 \times 10^{-1}=\mathrm{k}(0.100)(0.100)^{y} \text { and } 1.15 \times 10^{-1}=\mathrm{k}(0.100)(0.0500)^{y}
$$

Dividing: $2.00=\frac{(0.100)^{y}}{(0.050)^{y}}=2.0^{y}, y=1$

The rate law is Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{2}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$.

$$
2.30 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{k}(0.100 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})^{2}(0.100 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}), \mathrm{k}=2.30 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}
$$

b. $\quad$ Rate $=\frac{2.30 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~L}^{2}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{2} \mathrm{~s}} \times\left(\frac{0.175 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2} \times \frac{0.0844 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.594 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$

## Integrated Rate Laws

37. The first assumption to make is that the reaction is first order. For a first order reaction, a graph of $\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ versus time will yield a straight line. If this plot is not linear, then the reaction is not first order, and we make another assumption.

| Time <br> (s) | $\begin{aligned} & {\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]} \\ & (\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \end{aligned}$ | $\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 1.00 | 0.000 |  |  |
| 120. | 0.91 | -0.094 |  | - |
| 300. | 0.78 | -0.25 | -1.00 |  |
| 600. | 0.59 | -0.53 | $\tau$ |  |
| 1200. | 0.37 | -0.99 |  |  |
| 1800. | 0.22 | -1.51 |  | - |
| 2400. | 0.13 | -2.04 | $\leq-2.00$ | - |
| 3000. | 0.082 | -2.50 |  |  |
| 3600. | 0.050 | -3.00 |  |  |

Note: We carried extra significant figures in some of the natural log values in order to reduce round-off error. For the plots, we will do this most of the time when the natural log function is involved.

The plot of $\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ versus time is linear. Thus the reaction is first order. The rate law and integrated rate law are Rate $=k\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ and $\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=-\mathrm{kt}+\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0}$.

We determine the rate constant $k$ by determining the slope of the $\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ versus time plot (slope $=-\mathrm{k}$ ). Using two points on the curve gives:

$$
\text { slope }=-\mathrm{k}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{y}}{\Delta \mathrm{x}}=\frac{0-(3.00)}{0-3600 .}=-8.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{k}=8.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

To determine $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ at 4000 . s, use the integrated rate law, where $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0}=1.00 \mathrm{M}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=-\mathrm{kt}+\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0} \text { or } \ln \left(\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt} \\
& \ln \left(\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{1.00}\right)=-8.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \times 4000 . \mathrm{s}, \ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=-3.3,\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{-3.3}=0.037 \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

38. a. Because the $\ln [\mathrm{A}]$ versus time plot was linear, the reaction is first order in A . The slope of the $\ln [\mathrm{A}]$ versus time plot equals -k . Therefore, the rate law, the integrated rate law, and the rate constant value are:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~A}] ; \ln [\mathrm{A}]=-\mathrm{kt}+\ln [\mathrm{A}]_{0} ; \mathrm{k}=2.97 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}
$$

b. The half-life expression for a first order rate law is:

$$
\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{0.6931}{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{0.6931}{2.97 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}}=23.3 \mathrm{~min}
$$

c. $2.50 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ is $1 / 8$ of the original amount of A present initially, so the reaction is $87.5 \%$ complete. When a first-order reaction is $87.5 \%$ complete (or $12.5 \%$ remains), then the reaction has gone through 3 half-lives:

$$
100 \% \underset{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}{\rightarrow} 50.0 \% \underset{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}{\rightarrow} 25.0 \% \rightarrow 12.5 \% ; \quad \mathrm{t}=3 \times \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=3 \times 23.3 \mathrm{~min}=69.9 \mathrm{~min}
$$

Or we can use the integrated rate law:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}, \ln \left(\frac{2.50 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}}{2.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}}\right)=-\left(2.97 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{t} \\
& \mathrm{t}=\frac{\ln (0.125)}{-2.97 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}}=70.0 \mathrm{~min}
\end{aligned}
$$

39. Assume the reaction is first order and see if the plot of $\ln \left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$ versus time is linear. If this isn't linear, try the second-order plot of $1 /\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$ versus time because second-order reactions are the next most common after first-order reactions. The data and plots follow.

| Time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right](M)$ | $\ln \left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$ | $1 /\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]\left(M^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.500 | -0.693 | 2.00 |
| $1.20 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.444 | -0.812 | 2.25 |
| $3.00 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.381 | -0.965 | 2.62 |
| $4.50 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.340 | -1.079 | 2.94 |
| $9.00 \times 10^{3}$ | 0.250 | -1.386 | 4.00 |
| $1.80 \times 10^{4}$ | 0.174 | -1.749 | 5.75 |



The plot of $1 /\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$ versus time is linear. The reaction is second order in $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$.
The rate law and integrated rate law are: Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}$ and $\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]_{0}}$
The slope of the plot $1 /\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$ vs. t gives the value of k . Using a couple of points on the plot:

$$
\text { slope }=\mathrm{k}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{y}}{\Delta \mathrm{x}}=\frac{(5.75-2.00) \mathrm{M}^{-1}}{\left(1.80 \times 10^{4}-0\right) \mathrm{s}}=2.08 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

To determine $\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$ at $2.70 \times 10^{4}$ s, use the integrated rate law, where $1 /\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]_{0}=1 / 0.500 \mathrm{M}$ $=2.00 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]_{0}}, \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]}=\frac{2.08 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{mols}} \times 2.70 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}+2.00 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \\
& \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]}=7.62,\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]=0.131 \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

40. a. Because the $1 /[\mathrm{A}]$ versus time plot was linear, the reaction is second order in $A$. The slope of the $1 /[\mathrm{A}]$ versus time plot equals the rate constant k . Therefore, the rate law, the integrated rate law, and the rate constant value are:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~A}]^{2} ; \frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}} ; \mathrm{k}=3.60 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

b. The half-life expression for a second-order reaction is: $t_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{k[A]_{0}}$

For this reaction: $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{3.60 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s} \times 2.80 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=9.92 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~s}$
Note: We could have used the integrated rate law to solve for $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$, where $[\mathrm{A}]=\left(2.80 \times 10^{-3} / 2\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$.
c. Because the half-life for a second-order reaction depends on concentration, we must use the integrated rate law to solve.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}, \frac{1}{7.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}}=\frac{3.60 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~s}} \times \mathrm{t}+\frac{1}{2.80 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}} \\
& 1.43 \times 10^{3}-357=\left(3.60 \times 10^{-2}\right) \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}=2.98 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

41. a. Because the $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]$ versus time plot was linear, the reaction is zero order in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$. The slope of the $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]$ versus time plot equals -k. Therefore, the rate law, the integrated rate law, and the rate constant value are: Rate $=k\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]^{0}=\mathrm{k} ; \quad\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]$ $=-\mathrm{kt}+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]_{0} ; \mathrm{k}=4.00 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$
b. The half-life expression for a zero-order reaction is $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=[\mathrm{A}]_{0} / 2 \mathrm{k}$.

$$
\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]_{0}}{2 \mathrm{k}}=\frac{1.25 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{2 \times 4.00 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}}=156 \mathrm{~s}
$$

Note: We could have used the integrated rate law to solve for $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$, where $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]=\left(1.25 \times 10^{-2} / 2\right) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$.
c. $\quad\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]=-\mathrm{kt}+\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]_{0}, 0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=-\left(4.00 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}\right) \mathrm{t}+$

$$
1.25 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

$$
\mathrm{t}=\frac{1.25 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{4.00 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}}=313 \mathrm{~s}
$$

42. From the data, the pressure of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ decreases at a constant rate of 13 torr for every 100. s. Because the rate of disappearance of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ is not dependent on concentration, the reaction is zero order in $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$.

$$
\mathrm{k}=\frac{13 \text { torr }}{100 . \mathrm{s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}=1.7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{s}
$$

The rate law and integrated rate law are:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}=1.7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{s} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}=-\mathrm{kt}+250 . \operatorname{torr}\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{torr}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}+0.329 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

At 900. $\mathrm{s}: \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}=-1.7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{s} \times 900 . \mathrm{s}+0.329 \mathrm{~atm}=0.176 \mathrm{~atm}=0.18 \mathrm{~atm}=130$ torr
43. The first assumption to make is that the reaction is first order. For a first-order reaction, a graph of $\ln \left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ versus $t$ should yield a straight line. If this isn't linear, then try the secondorder plot of $1 /\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ versus $t$. The data and the plots follow:

| Time | 195 | 604 | 1246 | 2180 | 6210 s |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ | $1.6 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.3 \times 10^{-2}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{-2}$ | $0.68 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\ln \left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ | -4.14 | -4.20 | -4.34 | -4.51 | -4.99 |
| $1 /\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ | 62.5 | 66.7 | 76.9 | 90.9 | $147 \mathrm{M}^{-1}$ |

Note: To reduce round-off error, we carried extra significant figures in the data points.


The natural log plot is not linear, so the reaction is not first order. Because the second-order plot of $1 /\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]$ versus $t$ is linear, we can conclude that the reaction is second order in butadiene. The rate law is:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]^{2}
$$

For a second-order reaction, the integrated rate law is $\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right]_{0}}$.
The slope of the straight line equals the value of the rate constant. Using the points on the line at 1000. and 6000. s:

$$
\mathrm{k}=\text { slope }=\frac{144 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}-73 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}}{6000 . \mathrm{s}-1000 . \mathrm{s}}=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

44. a. First, assume the reaction to be first order with respect to O. Hence a graph of $\ln [O]$ versus $t$ would be linear if the reaction is first order.


Because the graph is linear, we can conclude the reaction is first order with respect to O .
b. The overall rate law is Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right][\mathrm{O}]$.

Because $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ was in excess, its concentration is constant. Thus, for this experiment, the rate law is Rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{O}]$, where $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$. In a typical first-order plot, the slope equals -k . For this experiment, the slope equals $-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=-\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$. From the graph:

$$
\text { slope }=\frac{19.34-22.23}{\left(30 . \times 10^{-3}-0\right) \mathrm{s}}=-1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=- \text { slope }=1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

To determine k , the actual rate constant:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right], 1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=\mathrm{k}\left(1.0 \times 10^{13} \text { molecules } / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{k}=1.0 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{molecules} \bullet \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

45. Because the $1 /[\mathrm{A}]$ versus time plot is linear with a positive slope, the reaction is second order with respect to $A$. The $y$ intercept in the plot will equal $1 /[\mathrm{A}]_{0}$. Extending the plot, the $y$ intercept will be about 10 , so $1 / 10=0.1 M=[\mathrm{A}]_{0}$.
46. a. The slope of the $1 /[\mathrm{A}]$ versus time plot in Exercise 45 will equal k .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Slope }=\mathrm{k}=\frac{(60-20) \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{mol}}{(5-1) \mathrm{s}}=10 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s} \\
& \frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{\mathrm{o}}}=\frac{10 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~s}} \times 9 \mathrm{~s}+\frac{1}{0.1 \mathrm{M}}=100,[\mathrm{~A}]=0.01 \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. For a second-order reaction, the half-life does depend on concentration: $t_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{k[A]_{0}}$

First half-life: $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\frac{10 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{0.1 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}}=1 \mathrm{~s}$
Second half-life ([A] $]_{0}$ is now $0.05 M$ ): $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1 /(10 \times 0.05)=2 \mathrm{~s}$
Third half-life $\left([\mathrm{A}]_{0}\right.$ is now 0.025 M$): \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1 /(10 \times 0.025)=4 \mathrm{~s}$
47.
a. $\quad[\mathrm{A}]=-\mathrm{kt}+[\mathrm{A}]_{0} ;$ if $\mathrm{k}=5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}$ and $[\mathrm{A}]_{0}=1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$, then:

$$
[\mathrm{A}]=-\left(5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}\right) \mathrm{t}+1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

b. $\frac{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}{2}=-\left(5.0 \times 10^{-2}\right) \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}+[\mathrm{A}]_{0}$ because at $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2},[\mathrm{~A}]=[\mathrm{A}]_{0} / 2$.
$-0.50[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}=-\left(5.0 \times 10^{-2}\right) \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}, \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{0.50\left(1.00 \times 10^{-3}\right)}{5.0 \times 10^{-2}}=1.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}$
Note: We could have used the $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ expression to solve $\left(\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}{2 \mathrm{k}}\right)$.
c. $\quad[\mathrm{A}]=-\mathrm{kt}+[\mathrm{A}]_{0}=-\left(5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}\right)\left(5.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}\right)+1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$[\mathrm{A}]=7.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathrm{A}]_{\text {reacted }}=1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}-7.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=2.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}} \\
& {[\mathrm{~B}]_{\text {produced }}=[\mathrm{A}]_{\text {reacted }}=2.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

48. a. The integrated rate law for this zero-order reaction is $[\mathrm{HI}]=-\mathrm{kt}+[\mathrm{HI}]_{0}$.

$$
[\mathrm{HI}]=-\mathrm{kt}+[\mathrm{HI}]_{0},[\mathrm{HI}]=-\left(\frac{1.20 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~s}}\right) \times\left(25 \mathrm{~min} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~min}}\right)+\frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$

$$
[\mathrm{HI}]=-0.18 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}+0.250 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=0.07 \mathrm{M}
$$

b. $[\mathrm{HI}]=0=-\mathrm{kt}+[\mathrm{HI}]_{0}, \mathrm{kt}=[\mathrm{HI}]_{0}, \mathrm{t}=\frac{[\mathrm{HI}]_{0}}{\mathrm{k}}$

$$
\mathrm{t}=\frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{1.20 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}}=2080 \mathrm{~s}=34.7 \mathrm{~min}
$$

49. If $[\mathrm{A}]_{0}=100.0$, then after $65 \mathrm{~s}, 45.0 \%$ of A has reacted, or $[\mathrm{A}]=55.0$. For first order reactions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}, \ln \left(\frac{55.0}{100.0}\right)=-\mathrm{k}(65 \mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{k}=9.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{0.693}{9.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=75 \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

50. a. When a reaction is $75.0 \%$ complete ( $25.0 \%$ of reactant remains), this represents two halflives $(100 \% \rightarrow 50 \% \rightarrow 25 \%)$. The first-order half-life expression is $t_{1 / 2}=(\ln 2) / k$. Because there is no concentration dependence for a first-order half-life, 320. $\mathrm{s}=$ two halflives, $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=320 . / 2=160$. s . This is both the first half-life, the second half-life, etc.
b. $\quad \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{k}}, \quad \mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{\ln 2}{160 . \mathrm{s}}=4.33 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

At $90.0 \%$ complete, $10.0 \%$ of the original amount of the reactant remains, so $[\mathrm{A}]=$ $0.100[\mathrm{~A}]$.

$$
\ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}, \quad \ln \frac{0.100[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}=-\left(4.33 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}=\frac{\ln (0.100)}{-4.33 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=532 \mathrm{~s}
$$

51. For a first-order reaction, the integrated rate law is $\ln \left([A] /[A]_{0}\right)=-k t$. Solving for $k$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ln \left(\frac{0.250 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{1.00 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}\right)=-\mathrm{k} \times 120 . \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{k}=0.0116 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
\ln \left(\frac{0.350 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{2.00 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}\right)=-0.0116 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \times \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}=150 . \mathrm{s}
\end{gathered}
$$

52. $\ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt} ; \mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{0.693}{56.0 \text { days }}=0.0124 \mathrm{~d}^{-1}$
$\ln \left(\frac{1.41 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{8.75 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}\right)=-\left(0.0124 \mathrm{~d}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}=519$ days
53. Comparing experiments 1 and 2 , as the concentration of AB is doubled, the initial rate increases by a factor of 4 . The reaction is second order in $A B$.

Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{AB}]^{2}, 3.20 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{k}(0.200 \mathrm{M})^{2}$
$\mathrm{k}=8.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}$
For a second order reaction:

$$
\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{AB}]_{0}}=\frac{1}{8.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=12.5 \mathrm{~s}
$$

54. a. The integrated rate law for a second order reaction is $1 /[\mathrm{A}]=\mathrm{kt}+1 /[\mathrm{A}]_{0}$, and the halflife expression is $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1 / \mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{0}$. We could use either to solve for $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$. Using the integrated rate law:

$$
\frac{1}{(0.900 / 2) \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{k} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~s}+\frac{1}{0.900 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{k}=\frac{1.11 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}}{2.00 \mathrm{~s}}=0.555 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

b. $\frac{1}{0.100 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=0.555 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s} \times \mathrm{t}+\frac{1}{0.900 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}, \mathrm{t}=\frac{8.9 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}}{0.555 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}}=16 \mathrm{~s}$
55. Successive half-lives double as concentration is decreased by one-half. This is consistent with second-order reactions, so assume the reaction is second order in A.
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}, \mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}=\frac{1}{10.0 \min (0.10 \mathrm{M})}=1.0 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{min}$
a. $\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\operatorname{mol} \min } \times 80.0 \mathrm{~min}+\frac{1}{0.10 \mathrm{M}}=90 . \mathrm{M}^{-1}, \quad[\mathrm{~A}]=1.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
b. 30.0 min $=2$ half-lives, so $25 \%$ of original A is remaining.
$[\mathrm{A}]=0.25(0.10 \mathrm{M})=0.025 \mathrm{M}$
56. The consecutive half-life values of 24 hours, then 12 hours, show a direct relationship with concentration; as the concentration decreases, the half-life decreases. Assuming the drug reaction is either zero, first, or second order, only a zero order reaction shows this direct relationship between half-life and concentration. Therefore, assume the reaction is zero order in the drug.

$$
\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}{2 \mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{k}=\frac{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}{2 \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{2(24 \mathrm{~h})}=4.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~h}
$$

57. Because $[\mathrm{V}]_{0} \gg[\mathrm{AV}]_{0}$, the concentration of V is essentially constant in this experiment. We have a pseudo-first-order reaction in AV:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{AV}][\mathrm{V}]=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{AV}] \text {, where } \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~V}]_{0}
$$

The slope of the $\ln [\mathrm{AV}]$ versus time plot is equal to $-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$.

$$
\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=- \text { slope }=0.32 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} ; \mathrm{k}=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}{[\mathrm{V}]_{0}}=\frac{0.32 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{0.20 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=1.6 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

58. Because $[B]_{0} \gg[A]_{0}$, the $B$ concentration is essentially constant during this experiment, so rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]$ where $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{B}]^{2}$. For this experiment, the reaction is a pseudo-first-order reaction in A .
a. $\quad \ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}, \ln \left(\frac{3.8 \times 10^{-3} M}{1.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}}\right)=-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \times 8.0 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=0.12 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

For the reaction: $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{B}]^{2}, \mathrm{k}=0.12 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} /(3.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})^{2}=1.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}=\frac{0.693}{0.12 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=5.8 \mathrm{~s}$
c. $\quad \ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{1.0 \times 10^{-2} M}\right)=-0.12 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \times 13.0 \mathrm{~s}, \frac{[\mathrm{~A}]}{1.0 \times 10^{-2}}=\mathrm{e}^{-0.12(13.0)}=0.21$
$[\mathrm{A}]=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
d. $[\mathrm{A}]_{\text {reacted }}=0.010 M-0.0021 M=0.008 \mathrm{M}$
$[C]]_{\text {reacted }}=0.008 M \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}}=0.016 M \approx 0.02 \mathrm{M}$
$[C]_{\text {remaining }}=2.0 M-0.02 M=2.0 M$; as expected, the concentration of C basically remains constant during this experiment since $[\mathrm{C}]_{0} \gg[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}$.

## Reaction Mechanisms

59. For elementary reactions, the rate law can be written using the coefficients in the balanced equation to determine orders.
a. $\quad$ Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NC}\right]$
b. Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right][\mathrm{NO}]$
c. Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$
d. $\quad$ Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right][\mathrm{O}]$
60. From experiment (Exercise 37), we know the rate law is Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$. A mechanism consists of a series of elementary reactions where the rate law for each step can be determined using the coefficients in the balanced equation for each respective step. For a plausible mechanism, the rate law derived from a mechanism must agree with the rate law determined from experiment. To derive the rate law from the mechanism, the rate of the reaction is assumed to equal the rate of the slowest step in the mechanism.

This mechanism will agree with the experimentally determined rate law only if step 1 is the slow step (called the rate-determining step). If step 1 is slow, then Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{2}$ which agrees with experiment.

Another important property of a mechanism is that the sum of all steps must give the overall balanced equation. Summing all steps gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{OH} \\
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH} & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2} \\
\mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH} & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

61. A mechanism consists of a series of elementary reactions in which the rate law for each step can be determined using the coefficients in the balanced equations. For a plausible mechanism, the rate law derived from a mechanism must agree with the rate law determined from experiment. To derive the rate law from the mechanism, the rate of the reaction is assumed to equal the rate of the slowest step in the mechanism.

Because step 1 is the rate-determining step, the rate law for this mechanism is Rate $=$ $\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Br}\right]$. To get the overall reaction, we sum all the individual steps of the mechanism. Summing all steps gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Br} & \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}^{+}+\mathrm{Br}^{-} \\
\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{OH}_{2}^{+} \\
\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{OH}_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \\
\hline \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Br}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{Br}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Intermediates in a mechanism are species that are neither reactants nor products but that are formed and consumed during the reaction sequence. The intermediates for this mechanism are $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{OH}_{2}{ }^{+}$.
62. Because the rate of the slowest elementary step equals the rate of a reaction:

$$
\text { Rate }=\text { rate of step } 1=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}
$$

The sum of all steps in a plausible mechanism must give the overall balanced reaction. Summing all steps gives:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{NO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO} \\
\mathrm{NO}_{3}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \\
\mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Temperature Dependence of Rate Constants and the Collision Model

63. In the following plot, $\mathrm{R}=$ reactants, $\mathrm{P}=$ products, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ activation energy, and $\mathrm{RC}=$ reaction coordinate, which is the same as reaction progress. Note for this reaction that $\Delta E$ is positive because the products are at a higher energy than the reactants.

64. When $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ is positive, the products are at a higher energy relative to reactants, and when $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ is negative, the products are at a lower energy relative to reactants. $\mathrm{RC}=$ reaction coordinate, which is the same as reaction progress.
a.

c.

RC
65. 



The activation energy for the reverse reaction is:

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a} \text {, reverse }}=216 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}+125 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=341 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

66. 



The activation energy for the reverse reaction is $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}$ in the diagram.
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}=167-28=139 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
67. The Arrhenius equation is $k=A \exp \left(-E_{a} / R T\right)$ or, in logarithmic form, $\ln k=-E_{a} / R T+\ln A$. Hence a graph of $\ln k$ versus $1 / T$ should yield a straight line with a slope equal to $-\mathrm{E}_{a} / \mathrm{R}$ since the logarithmic form of the Arrhenius equation is in the form of a straight-line equation, $y=$ $m x+b$. Note: We carried extra significant figures in the following $\ln \mathrm{k}$ values in order to reduce round off error.

| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\ln \mathrm{k}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 338 | $2.96 \times 10^{-3}$ | $4.9 \times 10^{-3}$ | -5.32 |
| 318 | $3.14 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | -7.60 |
| 298 | $3.36 \times 10^{-3}$ | $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | -10.26 |



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Slope }=\frac{-10.76-(-5.85)}{3.40 \times 10^{-3}-3.00 \times 10^{-3}}=-1.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}=-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{R} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=- \text { slope } \times \mathrm{R}=1.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K} \times \frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

68. From the Arrhenius equation in logarithmic form ( $\ln k=-E_{a} / R T+\ln A$ ), a graph of $\ln k$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$ should yield a straight line with a slope equal to $-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{R}$ and a $y$ intercept equal to $\ln \mathrm{A}$.
a. $\quad$ Slope $=-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.10 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K} \times \frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}=9.15 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=91.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. The units for A are the same as the units for $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{s}^{-1}\right)$.

$$
y \text { intercept }=\ln \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{e}^{33.5}=3.54 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

c. $\quad \ln \mathrm{k}=-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}+\ln \mathrm{A}$ or $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right)$

$$
\mathrm{k}=3.54 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \times \exp \left(\frac{-9.15 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}\right)=3.24 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

69. $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right)$ or $\ln \mathrm{k}=\frac{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{RT}}+\ln \mathrm{A}$ (the Arrhenius equation)

For two conditions: $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right) \quad$ (Assuming A is temperature independent.)
Let $\mathrm{k}_{1}=3.52 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{T}_{1}=555 \mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}=$ ?, $\mathrm{T}_{2}=645 \mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=186 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{3.52 \times 10^{-7}}\right)=\frac{1.86 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}\left(\frac{1}{555 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{645 \mathrm{~K}}\right)=5.6$
$\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{3.52 \times 10^{-7}}=\mathrm{e}^{5.6}=270, \mathrm{k}_{2}=270\left(3.52 \times 10^{-7}\right)=9.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}$
70. For two conditions: $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)$ (Assuming A is temperature independent.)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{8.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{4.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{273 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{293 \mathrm{~K}}\right) \\
& 0.57=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{8.3145}\left(2.5 \times 10^{-4}\right), \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=19 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

71. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right) ; \frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}=7.00, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=295 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=54.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
\ln (7.00)=\frac{5.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{295 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right), \frac{1}{295 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}=3.00 \times 10^{-4}
$$

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}=3.09 \times 10^{-3}, \quad \mathrm{~T}_{2}=324 \mathrm{~K}=51^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

72. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)$; because the rate doubles, $\mathrm{k}_{2}=2 \mathrm{k}_{1}$.

$$
\ln (2.00)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{298 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{308 \mathrm{~K}}\right), \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=53 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

73. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ should have the faster rate. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will be electrostatically attracted to each other; $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ will repel each other. The activation energy for the $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}$ reaction should be a larger quantity, making it the slower reaction.
74. Carbon cannot form the fifth bond necessary for the transition state because of the small atomic size of carbon and because carbon doesn't have low-energy d orbitals available to expand the octet.

## Catalysts

75. a. NO is the catalyst. NO is present in the first step of the mechanism on the reactant side, but it is not a reactant. NO is regenerated in the second step and does not appear in overall balanced equation.
b. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is an intermediate. Intermediates also never appear in the overall balanced equation. In a mechanism, intermediates always appear first on the product side, whereas catalysts always appear first on the reactant side.
c. $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right) ; \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\text {cat }}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{un}}}=\frac{\mathrm{A} \exp \left[-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{cat}) / \mathrm{RT}\right]}{\mathrm{A} \exp [-\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{un}) / \mathrm{RT}]}=\exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{un})-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{cat})}{\mathrm{RT}}\right]$
$\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\text {cat }}}{\mathrm{k}_{\text {un }}}=\exp \left(\frac{2100 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{0.85}=2.3$
The catalyzed reaction is approximately 2.3 times faster than the uncatalyzed reaction at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
76. The mechanism for the chlorine catalyzed destruction of ozone is:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{ClO} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { (slow) } \\
\mathrm{ClO}+\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl} \\
\text { (fast) }
\end{array} \\
\hline \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{O}_{2} &
\end{array}
$$

Because the chlorine atom-catalyzed reaction has a lower activation energy, the Cl-catalyzed rate is faster. Hence Cl is a more effective catalyst. Using the activation energy, we can estimate the efficiency that Cl atoms destroy ozone compared to NO molecules (see Exercise 75 c ).

At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{Cl}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{NO}}}=\exp \left[\frac{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{Cl})}{\mathrm{RT}}+\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{NO})}{\mathrm{RT}}\right]=\exp \left[\frac{(-2100+11,900) \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mol}}{(8.3145 \times 298) \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mol}}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{3.96}=52$
At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the Cl-catalyzed reaction is roughly 52 times faster than the NO-catalyzed reaction, assuming the frequency factor A is the same for each reaction.
77. The reaction at the surface of the catalyst is assumed to follow the steps:


Thus $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{D}$ should be the product. If the mechanism is possible, then the reaction must be:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{D}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{DCH}_{2} \mathrm{D}
$$

If we got this product, then we could conclude that this is a possible mechanism. If we got some other product, for example, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHD}_{2}$, then we would conclude that the mechanism is wrong. Even though this mechanism correctly predicts the products of the reaction, we cannot say conclusively that this is the correct mechanism; we might be able to conceive of other mechanisms that would give the same products as our proposed one.
78. a. W because it has a lower activation energy than the Os catalyst.
b. $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}} \exp \left[-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{W}) / \mathrm{RT}\right] ; \mathrm{k}_{\text {uncat }}=\mathrm{A}_{\text {uncat }} \exp \left[-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\right.$ uncat $\left.) / \mathrm{RT}\right] ;$ assume $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{A}_{\text {uncat }}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\text {uncat }}}=\exp \left[\frac{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{~W})}{\mathrm{RT}}+\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\text { uncat })}{\mathrm{RT}}\right] \\
& \frac{k_{\mathrm{w}}}{k_{\text {uncat }}}=\exp \left[\frac{-163,000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}+335,000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}\right]=1.41 \times 10^{30}
\end{aligned}
$$

The W-catalyzed reaction is approximately $10^{30}$ times faster than the uncatalyzed reaction.
c. Because $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]$ is in the denominator of the rate law, the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ decreases the rate of the reaction. For the decomposition to occur, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules must be adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst. If $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is also adsorbed on the catalyst surface, then there are fewer sites for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules to be adsorbed, and the rate decreases.
79. The rate depends on the number of reactant molecules adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst. This quantity is proportional to the concentration of reactant. However, when all the catalyst surface sites are occupied, the rate becomes independent of the concentration of reactant.
80. At high [S], the enzyme is completely saturated with substrate. Once the enzyme is completely saturated, the rate of decomposition of ES can no longer increase, and the overall rate remains constant.
81. Assuming the catalyzed and uncatalyzed reactions have the same form and orders, and because concentrations are assumed equal, the rates will be equal when the k values are equal.
$\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right) ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{cat}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{un}}$ when $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{cat}} / \mathrm{RT}_{\mathrm{cat}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{un}} / \mathrm{RT}_{\mathrm{un}}$.
$\frac{4.20 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 293 \mathrm{~K}}=\frac{7.00 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times \mathrm{T}_{\text {un }}}, \mathrm{T}_{\text {un }}=488 \mathrm{~K}=215^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
82. Rate $=\frac{-\Delta[\mathrm{A}]}{\Delta t}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]^{x}$

Assuming the catalyzed and uncatalyzed reaction have the same form and orders, and because concentrations are assumed equal, rate $\propto 1 / \Delta t$, where $\Delta t=\Delta$ time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { Rate }_{\text {cat }}}{\text { Rate }_{\text {un }}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{un}}}{\Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cat}}}=\frac{2400 \mathrm{yr}}{\Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cat}}} \text { and } \frac{\text { rate }_{\text {cat }}}{\text { rate }_{\mathrm{un}}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\text {cat }}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{un}}} \\
& \frac{\text { Rate }_{\text {cat }}}{\text { Rate }_{\text {un }}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\text {cat }}}{\mathrm{k}_{\text {un }}}=\frac{\mathrm{A} \exp \left[-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{cat}) / \mathrm{RT}\right]}{\mathrm{A} \exp \left[-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{un}) / \mathrm{RT}\right]}=\exp \left[\frac{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{cat})+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{un})}{\mathrm{RT}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{cat}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{un}}}=\exp \left(\frac{-5.90 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}+1.84 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \times 600 . \mathrm{K}}\right)=7.62 \times 10^{10} \\
& \frac{\Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{un}}}{\Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cat}}}=\frac{\text { rate }_{\text {cat }}}{\text { rate }_{\mathrm{un}}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{cat}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{un}}}, \quad \frac{2400 \mathrm{yr}}{\Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cat}}}=7.62 \times 10^{10}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{cat}}=3.15 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{yr} \approx 1 \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Additional Exercises

83. Box a has $8 \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ molecules. Box b has $4 \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ molecules, and box c has $2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ molecules. Box $b$ represents what is present after the first half-life of the reaction, and box c represents what is present after the second half-life.
a. For first order kinetics, $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=0.693 / \mathrm{k}$; the half-life for a first order reaction is concentration independent. Therefore, the time for box c , the time it takes to go through two half-lives, will be $10+10=20$ minutes.
b. For second order kinetics, $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=1 / \mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{0}$; the half-life for a second order reaction is inversely proportional to the initial concentration. So if the first half-life is 10 minutes, the second half-life will be 20 minutes. For a second order reaction, the time for box c will be $10+20=30$ minutes.
c. For zero order kinetics, $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=[\mathrm{A}]_{0} / 2 \mathrm{k}$; the half-life for a zero order reaction is directly related to the initial concentration. So if this reaction was zero order, then the second half-life would decrease from 10 min to 5 min . The time for box c will be $10+5=15$ minutes if the reaction is zero order.
84. Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SeO}_{3}\right]^{x}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{y}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{z}$; comparing the first and second experiments:

$$
\frac{3.33 \times 10^{-7}}{1.66 \times 10^{-7}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}\left(2.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{x}\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{y}\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{z}}{\mathrm{k}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{x}\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{y}\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{z}}, 2.01=2.0^{x}, x=1
$$

Comparing the first and fourth experiments:

$$
\frac{6.66 \times 10^{-7}}{1.66 \times 10^{-7}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{y}\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{z}}{\mathrm{k}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{y}\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{z}}, \quad 4.01=2.0^{y}, y=2
$$

Comparing the first and sixth experiments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{13.2 \times 10^{-7}}{1.66 \times 10^{-7}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{z}}{\mathrm{k}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}\left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{z}} \\
& 7.95=2.0^{z}, \log (7.95)=z \log (2.0), z=\frac{\log (7.95)}{\log (2.0)}=2.99 \approx 3 \\
& \text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SeO}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Experiment 1:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1.66 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{2.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)^{3} \\
& \mathrm{k}=5.19 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L}^{5} / \mathrm{mol}^{5} \cdot \mathrm{~s}=5.2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~L}^{5} / \mathrm{mol}^{5} \cdot \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}
\end{aligned}
$$

85. The integrated rate law for each reaction is:

$$
\ln [\mathrm{A}]=-4.50 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{t})+\ln [\mathrm{A}]_{0} \text { and } \ln [\mathrm{B}]=-3.70 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}(\mathrm{t})+\ln [\mathrm{B}]_{0}
$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first equation $\left(\ln [\mathrm{A}]_{0}=\ln [\mathrm{B}]_{0}\right)$ :

$$
\ln [\mathrm{A}]-\ln [\mathrm{B}]=-4.50 \times 10^{-4}(\mathrm{t})+3.70 \times 10^{-3}(\mathrm{t}), \ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{[\mathrm{B}]}\right)=3.25 \times 10^{-3}(\mathrm{t})
$$

When $[\mathrm{A}]=4.00[\mathrm{~B}], \ln (4.00)=3.25 \times 10^{-3}(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t}=427 \mathrm{~s}$.
86. The pressure of a gas is directly proportional to concentration. Therefore, we can use the pressure data to solve the problem because Rate $=-\Delta\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] / \Delta \mathrm{t} \propto-\Delta \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} / \Delta \mathrm{t}$.

Assuming a first order equation, the data and plot follow.


Because the $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}$ versus time plot is linear, the reaction is first order in $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
a. Slope of $\ln (P)$ versus $t$ plot: -0.168 hour $^{-1}=-\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}=0.168$ hour $^{-1}=4.67 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Because concentration units don't appear in first-order rate constants, this value of $k$ determined from the pressure data will be the same as if concentration data in molarity units were used.
b. $\quad \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{0.6931}{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{0.6931}{0.168 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}}=4.13$ hour
c. $\quad \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=-0.168 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}(20.0 \mathrm{~h})=-3.36,\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{0}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-3.36}=3.47 \times 10^{-2}$

$$
\text { Fraction remaining }=0.0347=3.47 \%
$$

87. From 338 K data, a plot of $\ln \left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$ versus $t$ is linear, and the slope $=-4.86 \times 10^{-3}$ (plot not included). This tells us the reaction is first order in $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ with $\mathrm{k}=4.86 \times 10^{-3}$ at 338 K . From 318 K data, the slope of $\ln \left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right]$ versus t plot is equal to $-4.98 \times 10^{-4}$, so $\mathrm{k}=4.98 \times$ $10^{-4}$ at 318 K . We now have two values of k at two temperatures, so we can solve for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right), \ln \left(\frac{4.86 \times 10^{-3}}{4.98 \times 10^{-4}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{318 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{338 \mathrm{~K}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

88. The Arrhenius equation is $k=A \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right)$ or, in logarithmic form, $\ln \mathrm{k}=-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}+\ln \mathrm{A}$. Hence a graph of $\ln \mathrm{k}$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$ should yield a straight line with a slope equal to $-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{R}$ since the logarithmic form of the Arrhenius equation is in the form of a straight-line equation, $y=$ $m x+b$. Note: We carried one extra significant figure in the following $\ln \mathrm{k}$ values in order to reduce round-off error.

| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1 / \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s})$ | ln k |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| 195 | $5.13 \times 10^{-3}$ | $1.08 \times 10^{9}$ | 20.80 |
| 230. | $4.35 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.95 \times 10^{9}$ | 21.81 |
| 260 | $3.85 \times 10^{-3}$ | $5.42 \times 10^{9}$ | 22.41 |
| 298 | $3.36 \times 10^{-3}$ | $12.0 \times 10^{9}$ | 23.21 |
| 369 | $2.71 \times 10^{-3}$ | $35.5 \times 10^{9}$ | 24.29 |



Using a couple of points on the plot:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { slope } & =\frac{20.95-23.65}{5.00 \times 10^{-3}-3.00 \times 10^{-3}}=\frac{-2.70}{2.00 \times 10^{-3}}=-1.35 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~K}=\frac{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}} \\
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.35 & \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~K} \times 8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}=1.12 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=11.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

89. To determine the rate of reaction, we need to calculate the value of the rate constant k . The activation energy data can be manipulated to determine k .

$$
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{Ae}^{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}}=0.850 \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \times \exp \left(\frac{-26.2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \times 310.2 \mathrm{~K}}\right)=3.29 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Rate $=\mathrm{k}$ [acetycholine receptor-toxin complex]

$$
\text { Rate }=3.29 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(\frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)=6.58 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

90. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{DNA}]^{x}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}\right]^{y}$; comparing the second and third experiments:

$$
\frac{1.28 \times 10^{-3}}{6.40 \times 10^{-4}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.200)^{x}(0.200)^{y}}{\mathrm{k}(0.100)^{x}(0.200)^{y}}, 2.00=2.00^{x}, x=1
$$

Comparing the first and second experiments:

$$
\frac{6.40 \times 10^{-4}}{3.20 \times 10^{-4}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.100)(0.200)^{y}}{\mathrm{k}(0.100)(0.100)^{y}}, 2.00=2.00^{y}, y=1
$$

The rate law is Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{DNA}]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}\right]$.
Mechanism I is possible because the derived rate law from the mechanism (Rate = $\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{DNA}]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}\right]$ ) agrees with the experimentally determined rate law. The derived rate law for Mechanism II will equal the rate of the slowest step. This is step 1 in the mechanism giving a derived rate law that is Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}\right]$. Because this rate law does not agree with experiment, Mechanism II would not be a possible mechanism for the reaction.
91. a. If the interval between flashes is 16.3 s , then the rate is:

1 flash $/ 16.3 \mathrm{~s}=6.13 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=\mathrm{k}$
Interval $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{T}$
16.3 s
$6.13 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$21.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (294.2 K)
13.0 s
$7.69 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$27.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (301.0 K)
$\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)$; solving using above data: $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.5 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=25 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

This rule of thumb gives excellent agreement to two significant figures.
92. $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right) ; \frac{\mathrm{k}_{\text {cat }}}{\mathrm{k}_{\text {uncat }}}=\frac{\mathrm{A}_{\text {cat }} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { cat }} / \mathrm{RT}\right)}{\mathrm{A}_{\text {uncat }} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { uncat }} / \mathrm{RT}\right)}=\exp \left(\frac{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { cat }}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { uncat }}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.50 \times 10^{3}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{cat}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\text {uncat }}}=\exp \left(\frac{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { cat }}+5.00 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \times 310 . \mathrm{K}}\right) \\
& \ln \left(2.50 \times 10^{3}\right) \times 2.58 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { cat }}+5.00 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { cat }}=5.00 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}-2.02 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=2.98 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=29.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

93. a. Because $[\mathrm{A}]_{0} \ll[\mathrm{~B}]_{0}$ or $[\mathrm{C}]_{0}$, the B and C concentrations remain constant at 1.00 M for this experiment. Thus Rate $=k[A]^{2}[B][C]=k^{\prime}[A]^{2}$, where $k^{\prime}=k[B][C]$.

For this pseudo-second-order reaction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}+\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}, \frac{1}{3.26 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}(3.00 \mathrm{~min})+\frac{1}{1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}} \\
& \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=6890 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~min}=115 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s} \\
& \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~B}][\mathrm{C}], \mathrm{k}=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}{[\mathrm{B}][\mathrm{C}]}, \mathrm{k}=\frac{115 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}}{(1.00 \mathrm{M})(1.00 \mathrm{M})}=115 \mathrm{~L}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. For this pseudo-second-order reaction:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{2}, \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}=\frac{1}{115 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}\left(1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}\right)}=87.0 \mathrm{~s}
$$

c. $\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}+\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}=115 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s} \times 600 . \mathrm{s}+\frac{1}{1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=7.90 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}$
$[\mathrm{A}]=1 / 7.90 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol}=1.27 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

From the stoichiometry in the balanced reaction, 1 mol of B reacts with every 3 mol of A .
Amount A reacted $=1.00 \times 10^{-4} M-1.27 \times 10^{-5} M=8.7 \times 10^{-5} M$
Amount B reacted $=8.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~B}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~A}}=2.9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$
[B] $=1.00 \mathrm{M}-2.9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}=1.00 \mathrm{M}$
As we mentioned in part a, the concentration of $B$ (and $C$ ) remains constant because the A concentration is so small compared to the B (or C ) concentration.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 94-101 (or a variation to these problem) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

102. $\frac{-\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{A}]}{\mathrm{dt}}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]^{3}, \int_{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}^{[\mathrm{A}] \mathrm{t}} \frac{\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{A}]}{[\mathrm{A}]^{3}}=-\int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{kdt}$

$$
\int x^{n} d x=\frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} ; \text { so: }-\left.\frac{1}{2[\mathrm{~A}]^{2}}\right|_{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}} ^{[\mathrm{A}]_{\mathrm{t}}}=-k t,-\frac{1}{2[\mathrm{~A}]_{t}^{2}}+\frac{1}{2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{2}}=-k t
$$

For the half-life equation, $[\mathrm{A}]_{\mathrm{t}}=1 / 2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2\left(\frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}\right)^{2}}+\frac{1}{2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{2}}=-\mathrm{kt}_{1 / 2},-\frac{4}{2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{2}}=-\mathrm{kt}_{1 / 2} \\
& -\frac{3}{2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{2}}=-\mathrm{kt}_{1 / 2}, \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{3}{2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{2} \mathrm{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The first half-life is $t_{1 / 2}=40 . s$ and corresponds to going from $[\mathrm{A}]_{0}$ to $1 / 2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}$. The second half-life corresponds to going from $1 / 2[A]_{0}$ to $1 / 4[A]_{0}$.

First half-life $=\frac{3}{2[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{2} \mathrm{k}} ;$ second half-life $=\frac{3}{2\left(\frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}\right)^{2} \mathrm{k}}=\frac{6}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{2} \mathrm{k}}$
$\frac{\text { First half -life }}{\text { Second half -life }}=\frac{\frac{3}{2[A]_{0}^{2} k}}{\frac{6}{[A]_{0}^{2} k}}=3 / 12=1 / 4$
Because the first half-life is 40 . s, the second half-life will be four times this, or 160 s .
103. Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{x}\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]^{y}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{z}$; comparing the first and second experiments:

$$
\frac{18.7 \times 10^{-3}}{9.4 \times 10^{-3}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.0026)^{x}(0.012)^{y}(0.10)^{z}}{\mathrm{k}(0.0013)^{x}(0.012)^{y}(0.10)^{z}}, \quad 2.0=2.0^{x}, x=1
$$

Comparing the first and third experiments:

$$
\frac{9.4 \times 10^{-3}}{4.7 \times 10^{-3}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.0013)(0.012)^{y}(0.10)^{z}}{\mathrm{k}(0.0013)(0.0060)^{y}(0.10)^{z}}, \quad 2.0=2.0^{y}, y=1
$$

Comparing the first and sixth experiments:

$$
\frac{4.8 \times 10^{-3}}{9.4 \times 10^{-3}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(0.0013)(0.012)(0.20)^{z}}{\mathrm{k}(0.0013)(0.012)(0.10)^{z}}, \quad 1 / 2=2.0^{z}, z=-1
$$

Rate $=\frac{\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}$; the presence of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$decreases the rate of the reaction.
For the first experiment:

$$
\frac{9.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~s}}=\mathrm{k} \frac{(0.0013 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})(0.012 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})}{(0.10 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})}, \mathrm{k}=60.3 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=60 . \mathrm{s}^{-1}
$$

For all experiments, $\mathrm{k}_{\text {mean }}=60 . \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.
104. For second order kinetics: $\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}-\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}=\mathrm{kt}$ and $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}$
a. $\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=(0.250 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{t}+\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}}, \frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=0.250 \times 180 . \mathrm{s}+\frac{1}{1.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}}$

$$
\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~A}]}=145 \mathrm{M}^{-1},[\mathrm{~A}]=6.90 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}
$$

Amount of A that reacted $=0.0100-0.00690=0.0031 \mathrm{M}$.

$$
\left[\mathrm{A}_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(3.1 \times 10^{-3} M\right)=1.6 \times 10^{-3} M
$$

b. After 3.00 minutes ( $180 . \mathrm{s}$ ): $[\mathrm{A}]=3.00[\mathrm{~B}], 6.90 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}=3.00[\mathrm{~B}]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathrm{B}]=2.30 \times 10^{-3} M} \\
& \frac{1}{[\mathrm{~B}]}=\mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{t}+\frac{1}{[\mathrm{~B}]_{0}}, \frac{1}{2.30 \times 10^{-3} M}=\mathrm{k}_{2}(180 . \mathrm{s})+\frac{1}{2.50 \times 10^{-2} M}, \\
& \mathrm{k}_{2}=2.19 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}=\frac{1}{0.250 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s} \times 1.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=4.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~s}$
105. a. We check for first-order dependence by graphing $\ln$ [concentration] versus time for each set of data. The rate dependence on NO is determined from the first set of data because the ozone concentration is relatively large compared to the NO concentration, so $\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$ is effectively constant.

| Time $(\mathrm{ms})$ | $[\mathrm{NO}]\left(\right.$ molecules $\left./ \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | $\ln [\mathrm{NO}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $6.0 \times 10^{8}$ | 20.21 |
| 100. | $5.0 \times 10^{8}$ | 2.03 |
| 500. | $2.4 \times 10^{8}$ | 19.30 |
| 700. | $1.7 \times 10^{8}$ | 18.95 |
| 1000. | $9.9 \times 10^{7}$ | 18.41 |



Because $\ln [\mathrm{NO}]$ versus $t$ is linear, the reaction is first order with respect to NO.
We follow the same procedure for ozone using the second set of data. The data and plot are:

| Time (ms) | $\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]\left(\right.$ molecules $\left./ \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$ | $\ln \left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $1.0 \times 10^{10}$ | 23.03 |
| 50. | $8.4 \times 10^{9}$ | 22.85 |
| 100. | $7.0 \times 10^{9}$ | 22.67 |
| 200. | $4.9 \times 10^{9}$ | 22.31 |
| 300. | $3.4 \times 10^{9}$ | 21.95 |



The plot of $\ln \left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$ versus t is linear. Hence the reaction is first order with respect to ozone.
b. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NO}]\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$ is the overall rate law.
c. For NO experiment, Rate $=k^{\prime}[\mathrm{NO}]$ and $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=-($ slope from graph of $\ln [\mathrm{NO}]$ versus $t)$.

$$
\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=- \text { slope }=-\frac{18.41-20.21}{(1000 .-0) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}}=1.8 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

For ozone experiment, Rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$ and $\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}=-$ (slope from $\ln \left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$ versus t plot).

$$
\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}=- \text { slope }=-\frac{(21.95-23.03)}{(300 .-0) \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}}=3.6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

d. From the NO experiment, Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NO}]\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{NO}]$ where $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$.
$\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=1.8 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=\mathrm{k}\left(1.0 \times 10^{14}\right.$ molecules $\left./ \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right), \mathrm{k}=1.8 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ molecules $\bullet \mathrm{S}$
We can check this from the ozone data. Rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NO}]\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right]$, where $\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{NO}]$.
$\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}=3.6 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=\mathrm{k}\left(2.0 \times 10^{14}\right.$ molecules $\left./ \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right), \mathrm{k}=1.8 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ molecules $\cdot \mathrm{s}$
Both values of k agree.
106. On the energy profile to the right, $\mathrm{R}=$ reactants, $\mathrm{P}=$ products, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ activation energy, $\Delta \mathrm{E}=$ overall energy change for the reaction, $\mathrm{I}=$ intermediate, and $\mathrm{RC}=$ reaction coordinate, which is the same as reaction progress.
a-d. See plot to the right.
E

RC
e. This is a two-step reaction since an intermediate plateau appears between the reactant and the products. This plateau represents the energy of the intermediate. The general reaction mechanism for this reaction is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{I} \\
& \mathrm{I} \rightarrow \mathrm{P} \\
& \mathrm{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{P}
\end{aligned}
$$

In a mechanism, the rate of the slowest step determines the rate of the reaction. The activation energy for the slowest step will be the largest energy barrier that the reaction must overcome. Since the second hump in the diagram is at the highest energy, the second step has the largest activation energy and will be the rate-determining step (the slow step).
107. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)$; assuming $\frac{\mathrm{rate}_{2}}{\text { rate }_{1}}=\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}=40.0$ :

$$
\ln (40.0)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{308 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{328 \mathrm{~K}}\right), \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.55 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=155 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

(carrying an extra sig. fig.)
Note that the activation energy is close to the $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ bond energy. Therefore, the ratedetermining step probably involves breaking the $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ bond.
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g})$; for every 2 moles of HF produced, only 1 mole of the reactant is used up. Therefore, to convert the data to $\mathrm{P}_{\text {reactant }}$ versus time, $\mathrm{P}_{\text {reactant }}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}-(1 / 2) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HF}}$.

| $\mathrm{P}_{\text {reactant }}$ | Time |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1.000 atm | 0 min |
| 0.850 atm | 30.0 min |
| 0.700 atm | 65.8 min |
| 0.550 atm | 110.4 min |
| 0.400 atm | 169.1 min |
| 0.250 atm | 255.9 min |

The plot of $\ln \mathrm{P}_{\text {reactant }}$ versus time (plot not included) is linear with negative slope, so the reaction is first order with respect to the limiting reagent.

For the reactant in excess, because the values of the rate constant are the same for both experiments, one can conclude that the reaction is zero order in the excess reactant.
a. For a three-step reaction with the first step limiting, the energy-level diagram could be:


Note that the heights of the second and third humps must be lower than the first-step activation energy. However, the height of the third hump could be higher than the second hump. One cannot determine this absolutely from the information in the problem.
b. We know the reaction has a slow first step, and the calculated activation energy indicates that the rate-determining step involves breaking the $F_{2}$ bond. The reaction is also first order in one of the reactants and zero order in the other reactant. All this points to $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ being the limiting reagent. The reaction is first order in $F_{2}$, and the rate-determining step in the mechanism is $\mathrm{F}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~F}$. Possible second and third steps to complete the mechanism follow.

| $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~F}$ | slow |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{HF}+\mathrm{H}$ | fast |
| $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{F}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{HF}$ | fast |
| $\mathrm{F}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HF}$ |  |  |

c. $F_{2}$ was the limiting reactant.
108. We need the value of k at $500 . \mathrm{K} ; \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{2.3 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}}\right)=\frac{1.11 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{273 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{500 \mathrm{~K}}\right)=22.2 \\
& \frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{2.3 \times 10^{-12}}=\mathrm{e}^{22.2}, \mathrm{k}_{2}=1.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because the decomposition reaction is an elementary reaction, the rate law can be written using the coefficients in the balanced equation. For this reaction, Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}$. To solve for the time, we must use the integrated rate law for second-order kinetics. The major problem now is converting units so they match. Rearranging the ideal gas law gives $n / V=$ P/RT. Substituting P/RT for concentration units in the second-order integrated rate equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]_{0}}, \frac{1}{\mathrm{P} / \mathrm{RT}}=\mathrm{kt}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{P}_{0} / \mathrm{RT}}, \frac{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{P}}-\frac{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{P}_{0}}=\mathrm{kt}, \mathrm{t}=\frac{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{k}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{P}_{0}-\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P} \times \mathrm{P}_{0}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{t}=\frac{(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(500 . \mathrm{K})}{1.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}} \times\left(\frac{2.5 \mathrm{~atm}-1.5 \mathrm{~atm}}{1.5 \mathrm{~atm} \times 2.5 \mathrm{~atm}}\right)=1.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

109. a. [B] >> [A], so [B] can be considered constant over the experiments. This gives us a pseudo-order rate law equation.
b. Note that in each case, the half-life doubles as times increases (in experiment 1 , the first half-life is $40 . \mathrm{s}$, and the second half-life is $80 . \mathrm{s}$; in experiment 2 , the first half-life is 20 . s , and the second half-life is $40 . \mathrm{s}$. This occurs only for a second-order reaction, so the reaction is second order in [A]. Between experiment 1 and experiment 2, we double [B], and the reaction rate doubles, thus it is first order in [B]. The overall rate law equation is rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]^{2}[\mathrm{~B}]$.

Using $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}$, we get $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{(40 . \mathrm{s})\left(10.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}\right)}=0.25 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}$; but this is actually $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$, where Rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}]^{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{B}]$.
$\mathrm{k}=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}{[\mathrm{B}]}=\frac{0.25 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{s}}{5.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=0.050 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$
110. a. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]^{x}[\mathrm{~B}]^{y}$; looking at the data in experiment 2, notice that the concentration of A is cut in half every 10 . s. Only first-order reactions have a half-life that is independent of concentration. The reaction is first order in A. In the data for experiment 1 , notice that the half-life is 40 . s. This indicates that in going from experiment 1 to experiment 2 , where the B concentration doubled, the rate of reaction increased by a factor of four. This tells us that the reaction is second order in B.

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~A}][\mathrm{B}]^{2}
$$

b. This reaction in each experiment is pseudo-first order in [A] because the concentration of $B$ is so large, it is basically constant.

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~B}]^{2}[\mathrm{~A}]=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{A}] \text {, where } \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~B}]^{2}
$$

For a first-order reaction, the integrated rate law is:

$$
\ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{[\mathrm{A}]_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}
$$

Use any set of data you want to calculate kN . For example, in experiment 1 , from 0 to 20. $s$ the concentration of A decreased from 0.010 M to 0.0071 M :

$$
\ln \left(\frac{0.0071}{0.010}\right)=-\mathrm{k}^{\prime}(20 . \mathrm{s}), \quad \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=1.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~B}]^{2}, 1.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=\mathrm{k}(10.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})^{2} \\
& \mathrm{k}=1.7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

We get similar values for k using other data from either experiment 1 or experiment 2.
c. $\quad \ln \left(\frac{[\mathrm{A}]}{0.010 \mathrm{M}}\right)=-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}=-\left(1.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}\right) \times 30 . \mathrm{s}, \quad[\mathrm{A}]=6.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
111. Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]^{x}[\mathrm{~B}]^{y}[\mathrm{C}]^{2}$; during the course of experiment $1,[\mathrm{~A}]$ and $[\mathrm{C}]$ are essentially constant, and Rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}[\mathrm{B}]^{y}$, where $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}]_{0}^{x}[\mathrm{C}]_{0}^{\mathrm{z}}$.

| $[\mathrm{B}](M)$ | Time (s) | $\ln [\mathrm{B}]$ | $1 /[\mathrm{B}]\left(M^{-1}\right)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | 0 | -6.91 | $1.0 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $2.7 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.0 \times 10^{5}$ | -8.22 | $3.7 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $1.6 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.0 \times 10^{5}$ | -8.74 | $6.3 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.0 \times 10^{5}$ | -9.12 | $9.1 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $8.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4.0 \times 10^{5}$ | -9.37 | $12 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $6.9 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5.0 \times 10^{5}$ | -9.58 | $14 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $5.8 \times 10^{-5}$ | $6.0 \times 10^{5}$ | -9.76 | $17 \times 10^{3}$ |

A plot of $1 /[B]$ versus $t$ is linear (plot not included), so the reaction is second order in $B$, and the integrated rate equation is:

$$
1 /[\mathrm{B}]=\left(2.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}\right) \mathrm{t}+1.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=2.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

For experiment 2, $[B]$ and $[C]$ are essentially constant, and Rate $=k^{\prime \prime}[A]^{x}$, where $k^{\prime \prime}=$ $\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{B}]_{0}^{y}[\mathrm{C}]_{0}^{z}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{B}]_{0}^{2}[\mathrm{C}]_{0}^{z}$.

| $[\mathrm{A}](M)$ | Time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $\ln [\mathrm{A}]$ | $1 /[\mathrm{A}]\left(M^{-1}\right)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $1.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | 0 | -4.61 | $1.0 \times 10^{2}$ |
| $8.9 \times 10^{-3}$ | 1.0 | -4.95 | 140 |
| $5.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | 5.0 | -5.20 | 180 |
| $3.8 \times 10^{-3}$ | 8.0 | -5.57 | 260 |
| $2.9 \times 10^{-3}$ | 10.0 | -5.84 | 340 |
| $2.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | 13.0 | -6.21 | $5.0 \times 10^{2}$ |

A plot of $\ln [\mathrm{A}]$ versus t is linear, so the reaction is first order in A , and the integrated rate law is:

$$
\ln [\mathrm{A}]=-\left(0.123 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \mathrm{t}-4.61 ; \mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}=0.123 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

Note: We will carry an extra significant figure in $\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}$.

Experiment 3: $[\mathrm{A}]$ and $[\mathrm{B}]$ are constant; Rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime \prime}[\mathrm{C}]^{2}$
The plot of [C] versus $t$ is linear. Thus $z=0$.
The overall rate law is Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}][\mathrm{B}]^{2}$.
From Experiment 1 (to determine k ):

$$
\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=2.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}^{x}[\mathrm{C}]_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{~A}]_{0}=\mathrm{k}(2.0 \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{k}=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}
$$

From Experiment 2: $\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}=0.123 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{B}]_{0}^{2}, \mathrm{k}=\frac{0.123 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{(3.0 M)^{2}}=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$
Thus Rate $=\mathrm{k}[\mathrm{A}][\mathrm{B}]^{2}$ and $\mathrm{k}=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~s}$.
112. a. Rate $=\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{m}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{n}$

In all the experiments, the concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is small compared to the concentrations of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Therefore, the concentrations of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$are effectively constant, and the rate law reduces to:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{n} \text {, where } \mathrm{k}_{\text {obs }}=\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)\left[\left[^{-}\right]^{m}\right.
$$

Because all plots of $\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ versus time are linear, the reaction is first order with respect to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(n=1)$. The slopes of the $\ln \left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ versus time plots equal $-\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{obs}}$, which equals $-\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{m}$. To determine the order of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$, compare the slopes of two experiments in which $\mathrm{I}^{-}$changes and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is constant. Comparing the first two experiments:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\text { slope }(\exp .2)}{\text { slope }(\exp .1)}=\frac{-0.360}{-0.120}=\frac{-\left[\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0400 M)\right](0.3000 M)^{m}}{-\left[\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0400 M)\right](0.1000 M)^{m}} \\
& 3.00=\left(\frac{0.3000 M}{0.1000 M}\right)^{m}=(3.000)^{m}, m=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The reaction is also first order with respect to $\mathrm{I}^{-}$.
b. The slope equation has two unknowns, $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$. To solve for $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$, we must have two equations. We need to take one of the first set of three experiments and one of the second set of three experiments to generate the two equations in $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$.

Experiment 1: Slope $=-\left(\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]$

$$
-0.120 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}=-\left[\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0400 M)\right](0.1000 M) \text { or } 1.20=\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0400)
$$

Experiment 4:

$$
-0.0760 \mathrm{~min}^{-1}=-\left[\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0200 M)\right](0.0750 M) \text { or } 1.01=\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0200)
$$

Subtracting 4 from 1 :

$$
\begin{aligned}
1.20 & =\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0400) \\
-1.01 & =-\mathrm{k}_{1}-\mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0200) \\
\hline 0.19 & =\quad \mathrm{k}_{2}(0.0200), \mathrm{k}_{2}=9.5 \mathrm{~L}^{2} / \mathrm{mol}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~min}
\end{aligned}
$$

$1.20=\mathrm{k}_{1}+9.5(0.0400), \mathrm{k}_{1}=0.82 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{min}$
c. There are two pathways, one involving $\mathrm{H}^{+}$with Rate $=\mathrm{k}_{2}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ and another not involving $\mathrm{H}^{+}$with Rate $=\mathrm{k}_{1}\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$. The overall rate of reaction depends on which of these two pathways dominates, and this depends on the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$concentration.

## Integrative Problems

113. $8.75 \mathrm{~h} \times \frac{3600 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~h}}=3.15 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{\ln 2}{3.15 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~s}}=2.20 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

The partial pressure of a gas is directly related to the concentration in mol/L. So, instead of using $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ as the concentration units in the integrated first-order rate law, we can use partial pressures of $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.
$\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{P}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}, \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{P}}{791 \text { torr }}\right)=-\left(2.20 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \times 12.5 \mathrm{~h} \times \frac{3600 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~h}}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}=294$ torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}=0.387 \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.387 \mathrm{~atm} \times 1.25 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 593 \mathrm{~K}}=9.94 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$9.94 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}=5.99 \times 10^{21}$ molecules $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
114. $\mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{\ln 2}{667 \mathrm{~s}}=1.04 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
$\left[\mathrm{In}^{+}\right]_{0}=\frac{2.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{InCl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{InCl}}{150.3 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{In}^{+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{InCl}}}{0.500 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0317 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$\ln \left(\frac{\left[\mathrm{In}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{In}^{+}\right]_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}, \ln \left(\frac{\left[\mathrm{In}^{+}\right]}{0.0317 M}\right)=-\left(1.04 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \times 1.25 \mathrm{~h} \times \frac{3600 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~h}}$
$\left[\mathrm{In}^{+}\right]=2.94 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

The balanced equation for the reaction is: $3 \operatorname{In}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \operatorname{In}(\mathrm{~s})+\operatorname{In}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$
Mol $\mathrm{In}^{+}$reacted $=0.500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.0317 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}-0.500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{2.94 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}$

$$
=1.57 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{In}^{+}
$$

$1.57 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{In}^{+} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{In}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{In}^{+}} \times \frac{114.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{In}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{In}}=1.20 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{In}$
115. $\quad \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right) ; \ln \left(\frac{1.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{7.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}\left(\frac{1}{660 . \mathrm{K}}-\frac{1}{720 . \mathrm{K}}\right)$
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$
For k at $325^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(598 \mathrm{~K})$ :

$$
\ln \left(\frac{1.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\frac{2.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{598 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{720 . \mathrm{K}}\right), \mathrm{k}=1.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}
$$

For three half-lives, we go from $100 \% \rightarrow 50 \% \rightarrow 25 \% \rightarrow 12.5 \%$. After three half-lives, $12.5 \%$ of the original amount of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I}$ remains. Partial pressures are directly related to gas concentrations in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ :

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{I}}=894 \text { torr } \times 0.125=112 \text { torr after } 3 \text { half-lives }
$$

## Marathon Problem

116. a. Rate $=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right]^{x}[\mathrm{Y}]^{y}$; for experiment $1,[\mathrm{Y}]$ is in large excess, so its concentration will be constant. Rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right]^{x}$, where $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}=\mathrm{k}(3.0 M)^{y}$.

A plot (not included) of $\ln \left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right]$ versus t is linear $(x=1)$. The integrated rate law is:

$$
\ln \left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right]=-(0.93) \mathrm{t}-3.99 ; \mathrm{k}^{\prime}=0.93 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}
$$

For experiment 2, $[\mathrm{Y}]$ is again constant, with Rate $=\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right]^{x}$, where $\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{k}(4.5 M)^{y}$. The natural log plot is linear again with an integrated rate law:

$$
\ln \left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right]=-(0.93) \mathrm{t}-5.40 ; \mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}=0.93 \mathrm{~h}^{-1}
$$

Dividing the rate-constant values: $\frac{\mathrm{k}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}}=\frac{0.93}{0.93}=\frac{\mathrm{k}(3.0)^{y}}{\mathrm{k}(4.5)^{y}}, 1.0=(0.67)^{y}, y=0$
The reaction is first order in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}$ and zero order in Y . The overall rate law is:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}\right] \text {, where } \mathrm{k}=0.93 \mathrm{~h}^{-1} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}
$$

b. $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=(\ln 2) / \mathrm{k}=0.6931 /\left(7.88 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}\right)=8.80 \times 10^{-10}$ hour
c. $\quad \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{k}_{2}}{\mathrm{k}_{1}}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right), \ln \left(\frac{7.88 \times 10^{8}}{0.93}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}\left(\frac{1}{298 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{358 \mathrm{~K}}\right)$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=3.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

d. From part a, the reaction is first order in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X}$ and zero order in Y. From part c , the activation energy is close to the C-X bond energy. A plausible mechanism that explains the results in parts a and c is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{X} & \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{Y} & \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: This is a plausible mechanism because the derived rate law is the same as the experimental rate law (and the sum of the steps gives the overall balanced equation).

## CHAPTER 13

## CHEMICAL EQUILIBRIUM

## Questions

10. a. This experiment starts with only $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and no $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ present. From the initial mixture diagram, there is three times as many $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ molecules. So the green line, at the highest initial concentration is the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ plot, the blue line is the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ plot, and the red line, which has an initial concentration of zero, is the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ plot.
b. $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$; when a reaction starts with only reactants present initially, the reactant concentrations decrease with time while the product concentrations increase with time. This is seen in the various plots. Also notice that the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ concentration initially decreases more rapidly as compared to the initial decrease in $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ concentration. This is due to the stoichiometry in the balanced equation, which dictates that for every 1 molecule of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ that reacts, 3 molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ must also react. One would expect the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ plot to initially increase faster than the $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ plot decreases (due to the $2: 1$ mole ratio in the balanced equation), and for the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ plot to initially decrease faster than the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ plot increases (due to the $3: 2$ mole ratio). This is seen in the various plots.
c. Equilibrium is reached when the rate of the forward reaction equals the rate of the reverse reaction. At this time, there is no net change in any of the reactant and product concentrations; so the various plots indicate equilibrium has been reached when their concentrations no longer change with time (when the plots reach a plateau).
11. No, equilibrium is a dynamic process. Both reactions:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \text { and } \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}
$$

are occurring at equal rates. Thus ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ atoms will be distributed between CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.
12. No, it doesn't matter from which direction the equilibrium position is reached (as long as temperature is constant). Both experiments will give the same equilibrium position because both experiments started with stoichiometric amounts of reactants or products.
13. A $K$ value much greater than one ( $\mathrm{K} \gg 1$ ) indicates there are relatively large concentrations of product gases/solutes as compared with the concentrations of reactant gases/solutes at equilibrium. A reaction with a very large $K$ value is a good source of products.
14. A K value much less than one $(\mathrm{K} \ll 1)$ indicates that there are relatively large concentrations of reactant gases/solutes as compared with the concentrations of product gases/solutes at equilibrium. A reaction with a very small K value is a very poor source of products.
15. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right][\mathrm{CO}]}=2.0$

K is a unitless number because there are an equal number of moles of product gases as moles of reactant gases in the balanced equation. Therefore, we can use units of molecules per liter instead of moles per liter to determine K.

We need to start somewhere, so let's assume 3 molecules of CO react. If 3 molecules of CO react, then 3 molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ must react, and 3 molecules each of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are formed. We would have $6-3=3$ molecules of $\mathrm{CO}, 8-3=5$ molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0+3=3$ molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and $0+3=3$ molecules of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ present. This will be an equilibrium mixture if $\mathrm{K}=2.0$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left(\frac{3 \text { molecules } \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{3 \text { molecules } \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)}{\left(\frac{5 \text { molecules } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{3 \text { molecules } \mathrm{CO}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)}=\frac{3}{5}
$$

Because this mixture does not give a value of $K=2.0$, this is not an equilibrium mixture. Let's try 4 molecules of CO reacting to reach equilibrium.

Molecules CO remaining $=6-4=2$ molecules of CO
Molecules $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ remaining $=8-4=4$ molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Molecules $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ present $=0+4=4$ molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$
Molecules $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ present $=0+4=4$ molecules of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left(\frac{4 \text { molecules } \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{4 \text { molecules } \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)}{\left(\frac{4 \text { molecules } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{2 \text { molecules } \mathrm{CO}}{\mathrm{~L}}\right)}=2.0
$$

Because $\mathrm{K}=2.0$ for this reaction mixture, we are at equilibrium.
16. When equilibrium is reached, there is no net change in the amount of reactants and products present because the rates of the forward and reverse reactions are equal to each other. The first diagram has $4 A_{2} B$ molecules, $2 A_{2}$ molecules, and $1 B_{2}$ molecule present. The second diagram has $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ molecules, $4 \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ molecules, and $2 \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ molecules. Therefore, the first diagram cannot represent equilibrium because there was a net change in reactants and products. Is the second diagram the equilibrium mixture? That depends on whether there is a net change between reactants and products when going from the second diagram to the third diagram. The third diagram contains the same numbers and types of molecules as the second diagram, so the second diagram is the first illustration that represents equilibrium.

The reaction container initially contained only $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$. From the first diagram, $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ molecules and $1 \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ molecule are present (along with $4 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ molecules). From the balanced reaction, these $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2}$ molecules and $1 \mathrm{~B}_{2}$ molecule were formed when $2 \mathrm{~A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ molecules decomposed. Therefore, the initial number of $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$ molecules present equals $4+2=6$ molecules $\mathrm{A}_{2} \mathrm{~B}$.
17. $K$ and $K_{p}$ are equilibrium constants, as determined by the law of mass action. For $K$, concentration units of $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ are used, and for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$, partial pressures in units of atm are used (generally). Q is called the reaction quotient. Q has the exact same form as K or $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$, but instead of equilibrium concentrations, initial concentrations are used to calculate the Q value. The use of $Q$ is when it is compared with the $K$ value. When $Q=K$ (or when $Q_{p}=K_{p}$ ), the reaction is at equilibrium. When $\mathrm{Q} \neq \mathrm{K}$, the reaction is not at equilibrium, and one can deduce the net change that must occur for the system to get to equilibrium.
18. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{HI}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{HI}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}$ (Solids are not included in K expressions.)
Some property differences are:
(1) the reactions have different $K$ expressions.
(2) for the first reaction, $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (since $\Delta \mathrm{n}=0$ ), and for the second reaction, $K \neq K_{p}$ (since $\Delta n \neq 0$ ).
(3) a change in the container volume will have no effect on the equilibrium for reaction 1 , whereas a volume change will affect the equilibrium for reaction 2 (shifts the reaction left or right depending on whether the volume is decreased or increased).
19. We always try to make good assumptions that simplify the math. In some problems we can set up the problem so that the net change $x$ that must occur to reach equilibrium is a small number. This comes in handy when you have expressions like $0.12-x$ or $0.727+2 x$, etc. When $x$ is small, we can assume that it makes little difference when subtracted from or added to some relatively big number. When this is the case, $0.12-x \approx 0.12$ and $0.727+2 x \approx 0.727$, etc. If the assumption holds by the $5 \%$ rule, the assumption is assumed valid. The $5 \%$ rule refers to $x$ (or $2 x$ or $3 x$, etc.) that is assumed small compared to some number. If $x$ (or $2 x$ or $3 x$, etc.) is less than $5 \%$ of the number the assumption was made against, then the assumption will be assumed valid. If the $5 \%$ rule fails to work, one can use a math procedure called the method of successive approximations to solve the quadratic or cubic equation. Of course, one could always solve the quadratic or cubic equation exactly. This is generally a last resort (and is usually not necessary).
20. Only statement e is correct. Addition of a catalyst has no effect on the equilibrium position; the reaction just reaches equilibrium more quickly. Statement a is false for reactants that are either solids or liquids (adding more of these has no effect on the equilibrium). Statement $b$ is false always. If temperature remains constant, then the value of K is constant. Statement c is false for exothermic reactions where an increase in temperature decreases the value of K. For statement d, only reactions that have more reactant gases than product gases will shift left with an increase in container volume. If the moles of gas are equal, or if there are more moles of product gases than reactant gases, the reaction will not shift left with an increase in volume.

## The Equilibrium Constant

21. 

a. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}$
b. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]}$
c. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{SiH}_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{2}}$
d. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{3}}{\left[\mathrm{PBr}_{3}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{3}}$
22.
a. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}$
b. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}}$
c. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SiCl}_{4}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SiH}_{4}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}^{2}}$
d. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{3}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}^{3}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PBr}_{3}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}^{3}}$
23. $\mathrm{K}=1.3 \times 10^{-2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{3}}$ for $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$.

When a reaction is reversed, then $K_{\text {new }}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\text {original }}$. When a reaction is multiplied through by a value of $n$, then $K_{\text {new }}=\left(K_{\text {original }}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$.
a. $\quad 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}^{\prime}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}=\mathrm{K}^{1 / 2}=\left(1.3 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{1 / 2}=0.11$
b. $\quad 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{3}}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{2}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}}=\frac{1}{1.3 \times 10^{-2}}=77$
c. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{3 / 2}}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{1}{1.3 \times 10^{-2}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ $=8.8$
d. $\quad 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{6}}=(\mathrm{K})^{2}=\left(1.3 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}=1.7 \times 10^{-4}$
24. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \operatorname{HBr}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HBr}}^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}\right)}=3.5 \times 10^{4}$
a. $\mathrm{HBr} \rightleftharpoons 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{Br}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HBr}}}=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{1}{3.5 \times 10^{4}}\right)^{1 / 2}$ $=5.3 \times 10^{-3}$
b. $2 \mathrm{HBr} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}\right)}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HBr}}^{2}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}}=\frac{1}{3.5 \times 10^{4}}=2.9 \times 10^{-5}$

$$
\text { c. } 1 / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{Br}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HBr} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\prime \prime}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HBr}}}{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)^{1 / 2}=190
$$

25. $2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{NO}^{2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{2}\right.}$

$$
K=\frac{\left(5.3 \times 10^{-2}\right)\left(2.9 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}{\left(8.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}\left(4.1 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}=4.0 \times 10^{6}
$$

26. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=\frac{\left(4.7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)^{2}}{(0.041 \mathrm{M})(0.0078 \mathrm{M})}=6.9 \times 10^{-4}$
27. $[\mathrm{NO}]=\frac{4.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{3.0 \mathrm{~L}}=1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=\frac{2.4 \mathrm{~mol}}{3.0 \mathrm{~L}}=0.80 \mathrm{M}$
$[\mathrm{NOCl}]=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~mol}}{3.0 \mathrm{~L}}=0.33 \mathrm{M} ; \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}^{2}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]\right.}{[\mathrm{NOCl}]^{2}}=\frac{\left(1.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}(0.80)}{(0.33)^{2}}=1.7 \times 10^{-5}$
28. $\left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=\frac{2.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}}{2.00 \mathrm{~L}} ; \quad\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]=\frac{2.80 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}{2.00 \mathrm{~L}} ; \quad\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=\frac{2.50 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}}{2.00 \mathrm{~L}}$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=\frac{\left(\frac{2.00 \times 10^{-2}}{2.00}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{2.80 \times 10^{-4}}{2.00}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2.50 \times 10^{-5}}{2.00}\right)}=\frac{\left(1.00 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1.40 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}\left(1.25 \times 10^{-5}\right)}
$$

$$
=4.08 \times 10^{8}
$$

If the given concentrations represent equilibrium concentrations, then they should give a value of $K=4.08 \times 10^{8}$.

$$
\frac{(0.200)^{2}}{\left(2.00 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}(0.00245)}=4.08 \times 10^{8}
$$

Because the given concentrations when plugged into the equilibrium constant expression give a value equal to $\mathrm{K}\left(4.08 \times 10^{8}\right)$, this set of concentrations is a system at equilibrium.
29. $\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{2}}=\frac{\left(6.5 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}\left(4.5 \times 10^{-5}\right)}{(0.55)^{2}}=6.3 \times 10^{-13}$
30. $\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}^{3}}=\frac{\left(3.1 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}}{(0.85)\left(3.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{3}}=3.8 \times 10^{4}$

$$
\frac{(0.0167)^{2}}{(0.525)(0.00761)^{3}}=1.21 \times 10^{3}
$$

When the given partial pressures in atmospheres are plugged into the $K_{p}$ expression, the value does not equal the $K_{p}$ value of $3.8 \times 10^{4}$. Therefore, one can conclude that the given set of partial pressures does not represent a system at equilibrium.
31. $\quad K_{p}=K(R T)^{\Delta n}$, where $\Delta n=$ sum of gaseous product coefficients - sum of gaseous reactant coefficients. For this reaction, $\Delta \mathrm{n}=3-1=2$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{CO}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]}=\frac{(0.24)(1.1)^{2}}{(0.15)}=1.9 \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{RT})^{2}=1.9(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \times 600 . \mathrm{K})^{2}=4.6 \times 10^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

32. $K_{p}=K(R T)^{\Delta n}, K=\frac{K_{p}}{(R T)^{\Delta n}} ; \quad \Delta n=2-3=-1$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{0.25}{(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \mathrm{~mol} \times 1100 \mathrm{~K})^{-1}}=23
$$

33. Solids and liquids do not appear in equilibrium expressions. Only gases and dissolved solutes appear in equilibrium expressions.
a. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}}$
b. $\mathrm{K}=\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{3} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}^{3}$
c. $\mathrm{K}=\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{3} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}^{3}$
d. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}}$
34. 

a. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}\right)^{3 / 2}}$
b. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}}$
c. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}$
d. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}^{3}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{2}}$
35. $\quad K_{p}=K(R T)^{\Delta n}$, where $\Delta n$ equals the difference in the sum of the coefficients between gaseous products and gaseous reactants ( $\Delta \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{mol}$ gaseous products - mol gaseous reactants). When $\Delta n=0$, then $K_{p}=K$. In Exercise 33, only reaction d has $\Delta n=0$, so only reaction $d$ has $K_{p}=$ K.
36. $K_{p}=K$ when $\Delta n=0$. In Exercise 34, none of the reactions have $K_{p}=K$ because none of the reactions have $\Delta \mathrm{n}=0$. The values of $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ for the various reactions are $-1.5,-1,1$, and 1 , respectively.
37. Because solids do not appear in the equilibrium constant expression, $\mathrm{K}=1 /\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{3}$.

$$
\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}} ; \mathrm{K}=\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{3}}=\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}{2.0}\right)^{3}}=\frac{1}{\left(5.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{3}}=8.0 \times 10^{9}
$$

38. $\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}^{4}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{4}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}, 36.3$ torr $=15.0$ torr $+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=21.3$ torr

Because l atm $=760$ torr: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\left(21.3 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}\right)^{4}}{\left(15.0 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}\right)^{4}}=4.07$
Note: Solids and pure liquids are not included in K expressions.

## Equilibrium Calculations

39. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HOCl}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{HOCl}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}=0.0900$

Use the reaction quotient Q to determine which way the reaction shifts to reach equilibrium. For the reaction quotient, initial concentrations given in a problem are used to calculate the value for Q . If $\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}$, then the reaction shifts right to reach equilibrium. If $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}$, then the reaction shifts left to reach equilibrium. If $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}$, then the reaction does not shift in either direction because the reaction is already at equilibrium.
a. $\quad \mathrm{Q}=\frac{[\mathrm{HOCl}]_{0}^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{0}}=\frac{\left(\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{0.10 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{0.10 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)}=1.0 \times 10^{2}$

Q > K, so the reaction shifts left to produce more reactants to reach equilibrium.
b. $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\left(\frac{0.084 \mathrm{~mol}}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{0.98 \mathrm{~mol}}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{0.080 \mathrm{~mol}}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)}=0.090=\mathrm{K}$; at equilibrium
c. $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\left(\frac{0.25 \mathrm{~mol}}{3.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{0.56 \mathrm{~mol}}{3.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{0.0010 \mathrm{~mol}}{3.0 \mathrm{~L}}\right)}=110$

Q > K, so the reaction shifts to the left to reach equilibrium.
40. As in Exercise 39, determine Q for each reaction, compare this value to $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}(=0.0900)$, and then determine which direction the reaction shifts to reach equilibrium. Note that for this reaction, $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ because $\Delta \mathrm{n}=0$.
a. $\quad \mathrm{Q}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HOCl}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}=\frac{(1.00 \mathrm{~atm})^{2}}{(1.00 \mathrm{~atm})(1.00 \mathrm{~atm})}=1.00$
$Q>K_{p}$, so the reaction shifts left to reach equilibrium.
b. $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{(21.0 \text { torr })^{2}}{(200 . \operatorname{torr})(49.8 \text { torr })}=4.43 \times 10^{-2}<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$

The reaction shifts right to reach equilibrium. Note: Because Q and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ are unitless, we can use any pressure units when determining Q without changing the numerical value.
c. $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{(20.0 \text { torr })^{2}}{(296 \text { torr })(15.0 \text { torr })}=0.0901 \approx \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}$; at equilibrium
41. $\quad \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=1.04$
a. $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$; we only need the partial pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to determine Q because solids do not appear in equilibrium expressions (or Q expressions). At this temperature, all $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ will be in the gas phase. $\mathrm{Q}=2.55$, so $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$; the reaction will shift to the left to reach equilibrium; the mass of CaO will decrease.
b. $\quad \mathrm{Q}=1.04=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$, so the reaction is at equilibrium; mass of CaO will not change.
c. $\quad \mathrm{Q}=1.04=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$, so the reaction is at equilibrium; mass of CaO will not change.
d. $\mathrm{Q}=0.211<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$; the reaction will shift to the right to reach equilibrium; mass of CaO will increase.
42. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}\right]}=2.2$
a. $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{(0.22)(0.10)}{(0.010)(0.010)}=220>\mathrm{K}$; reaction will shift left to reach equilibrium, so the concentration of water will decrease.
b. $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{(0.22)(0.0020)}{(0.0020)(0.10)}=2.2=\mathrm{K} ; \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { reaction is at equilibrium, so the concentration of } \\ & \text { water will remain the same. }\end{aligned}$
c. $\quad \mathrm{Q}=\frac{(0.88)(0.12)}{(0.044)(6.0)}=0.40<\mathrm{K} ; \quad$ because $\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}$, the concentration of water will increase because the reaction shifts right to reach equilibrium.
d. $\quad \mathrm{Q}=\frac{(4.4)(4.4)}{(0.88)(10.0)}=2.2=\mathrm{K} ; \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { at equilibrium, so the water concentration is un- } \\ & \text { changed. }\end{aligned}$
e. $\quad \mathrm{K}=2.2=\frac{(2.0)\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}{(0.10)(5.0)},\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=0.55 \mathrm{M}$
f. Water is a product of the reaction, but it is not the solvent. Thus the concentration of water must be included in the equilibrium expression because it is a solute in the reaction. When water is the solvent, then it is not included in the equilibrium expression.
43. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{2}}, 2.4 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{\left(1.9 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{(0.11)^{2}}, \quad\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=0.080 \mathrm{M}$

Moles of $\mathrm{O}_{2}=2.0 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.080 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.16 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
44. $\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NOBr}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}}, 109=\frac{(0.0768)^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}^{2} \times 0.0159}, \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}=0.0583 \mathrm{~atm}$
45. $\quad \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right][\mathrm{NO}]}{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]}$

To determine K , we must calculate the equilibrium concentrations. The initial concentrations are:

$$
\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{0}=[\mathrm{NO}]_{0}=0 ; \quad\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]_{0}=\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]_{0}=\frac{2.00 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}=2.00 \mathrm{M}
$$

Next, we determine the change required to reach equilibrium. At equilibrium, [NO] = 1.30 $\mathrm{mol} / 1.00 \mathrm{~L}=1.30 \mathrm{M}$. Because there was zero NO present initially, 1.30 M of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and 1.30 M $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ must have reacted to produce 1.30 M NO as well as $1.30 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$, all required by the balanced reaction. The equilibrium concentration for each substance is the sum of the initial concentration plus the change in concentration necessary to reach equilibrium. The equilibrium concentrations are:

$$
\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]=[\mathrm{NO}]=0+1.30 \mathrm{M}=1.30 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]=2.00 \mathrm{M}-1.30 \mathrm{M}=0.70 \mathrm{M}
$$

We now use these equilibrium concentrations to calculate K :

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right][\mathrm{NO}]}{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]}=\frac{(1.30)(1.30)}{(0.70)(0.70)}=3.4
$$

46. $\quad \mathrm{S}_{8}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 4 \mathrm{~S}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}^{4}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}_{8}}}$

Initially: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}_{8}}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}=0 \mathrm{~atm}$
Change: Because 0.25 atm of $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ remain at equilibrium, $1.00 \mathrm{~atm}-0.25 \mathrm{~atm}=0.75 \mathrm{~atm}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ must have reacted in order to reach equilibrium. Because there is a $4: 1$ mole ratio between $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ (from the balanced reaction), $4(0.75 \mathrm{~atm})=3.0 \mathrm{~atm}$ of $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ must have been produced when the reaction went to equilibrium (moles and pressure are directly related at constant T and $V$ ).
Equilibrium: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}_{8}}=0.25 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}=0+3.0 \mathrm{~atm}=3.0 \mathrm{~atm} ;$ solving for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{(3.0)^{4}}{0.25}=3.2 \times 10^{2}
$$

47. When solving equilibrium problems, a common method to summarize all the information in the problem is to set up a table. We commonly call this table an ICE table because it summarizes initial concentrations, changes that must occur to reach equilibrium, and equilibrium concentrations (the sum of the initial and change columns). For the change column, we will generally use the variable $x$, which will be defined as the amount of reactant (or product) that must react to reach equilibrium. In this problem, the reaction must shift right to reach equilibrium because there are no products present initially. Therefore, $x$ is defined as the amount of reactant $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ that reacts to reach equilibrium, and we use the coefficients in the balanced equation to relate the net change in $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ to the net change in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. The general ICE table for this problem is:

$$
2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]^{2}}
$$

| Initial | $12.0 \mathrm{~mol} / 3.0 \mathrm{~L}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Let} x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ react to reach equilibrium. |  |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x / 2$ |
| Equil. | $4.0-x$ |  | $x$ | $x / 2$ |

From the problem, we are told that the equilibrium $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ concentration is $3.0 \mathrm{~mol} / 3.0 \mathrm{~L}=$ $1.0 M\left(\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=1.0 \mathrm{M}\right)$. From the ICE table setup, $\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=x$, so $x=1.0$. Solving for the other equilibrium concentrations: $\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=4.0-x=4.0-1.0=3.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=x / 2=1.0 / 2=$ 0.50 M .
$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]^{2}}=\frac{(1.0)^{2}(0.50)}{(3.0)^{2}}=0.056$
Alternate method: Fractions in the change column can be avoided (if you want) be defining $x$ differently. If we were to let $2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ react to reach equilibrium, then the ICE table setup is:

$$
2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]^{2}}
$$

Initial
$4.0 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad 0$

Let $2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ react to reach equilibrium.

| Change | $-2 x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $4.0-2 x$ |  | $2 x$ | $x$ |

Solving: $2 x=\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=1.0 \mathrm{M}, x=0.50 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=4.0-2(0.50)=3.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=x$

$$
=0.50 \mathrm{M}
$$

These are exactly the same equilibrium concentrations as solved for previously, thus K will be the same (as it must be). The moral of the story is to define $x$ in a manner that is most comfortable for you. Your final answer is independent of how you define $x$ initially.
48. When solving equilibrium problems, a common method to summarize all the information in the problem is to set up a table. We commonly call this table the ICE table because it summarizes initial concentrations, changes that must occur to reach equilibrium, and equilibrium concentrations (the sum of the initial and change columns). For the change column, we will generally use the variable $x$, which will be defined as the amount of reactant (or product) that must react to reach equilibrium. In this problem, the reaction must shift right since there are no products present initially. The general ICE table for this problem is:

$$
2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+\quad \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]\right.}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}}
$$

Initial
$8.0 \mathrm{~mol} / 1.0 \mathrm{~L} \quad 0 \quad 0$
Let $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ react to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x / 2$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $8.0-x$ |  | $x$ | $x / 2$ |

Note that we must use the coefficients in the balanced equation to determine the amount of products produced when $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ reacts to reach equilibrium. In the problem, we are told that $[\mathrm{NO}]_{\mathrm{e}}=2.0 \mathrm{M}$. From the set-up, $[\mathrm{NO}]_{\mathrm{e}}=x=2.0 \mathrm{M}$. Solving for the other concentrations: $[\mathrm{NO}]_{\mathrm{e}}=8.0-x=8.0-2.0=6.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=x / 2=2.0 / 2=1.0 \mathrm{M}$. Calculating K :

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}}=\frac{(2.0 \mathrm{M})^{2}(1.0 \mathrm{M})}{(6.0 \mathrm{M})^{2}}=0.11 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

Alternate method: Fractions in the change column can be avoided (if you want) by defining $x$ differently. If we were to let $2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ react to reach equilibrium, then the ICE table set-up is:

$$
2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+\quad \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]\right.}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}}
$$

$8.0 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad 0$
Let $2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ react to reach equilibrium
Change
$-2 x \rightarrow+2 x \rightarrow+x$
Equil.
$8.0-2 x$
$2 x$
$x$

Solving: $2 x=[\mathrm{NO}]_{\mathrm{e}}=2.0 \mathrm{M}, x=1.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=8.0-2(1.0)=6.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=x=1.0 \mathrm{M}$
These are exactly the same equilibrium concentrations as solved for previously; thus K will be the same (as it must be). The moral of the story is to define $x$ in a manner that is most comfortable for you. Your final answer is independent of how you define $x$ initially.
49.

$$
3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+\quad \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Initial $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{0} \quad\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]_{0} \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ reacts to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Change } & -3 x & -x & \rightarrow & +2 x \\ \text { Equil } & {\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{0}-3 x} & {\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]_{0}-x} & & 2 x\end{array}$
From the problem:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=4.0 \mathrm{M}=2 x, x=2.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=5.0 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{0}-3 x ;\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=8.0 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]_{0}-x} \\
& 5.0 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{0}-3(2.0 \mathrm{M}),\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{0}=11.0 \mathrm{M} ; 8.0 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{N}_{2}\right]_{0}-2.0 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]_{0}=10.0 \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

50. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$; with only reactants present initially, the net change that must occur to reach equilibrium is a conversion of reactants into products. At constant volume and temperature, $\mathrm{n} \propto \mathrm{P}$. Thus, if $x$ atm of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ reacts to reach equilibrium, then $3 x$ atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ must also react to form $2 x$ atm of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (from the balanced equation). Let's summarize the problem in a table that lists what is present initially, what change in terms of $x$ that occurs to reach equilibrium, and what is present at equilibrium (initial + change). This table is typically called an ICE table for initial, change, and equilibrium.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}^{3}}
$$

$\begin{array}{lccr}\text { Initial } & 1.00 \mathrm{~atm} & 2.00 \text { atm } & 0 \\ & x \text { atm of } \mathrm{N}_{2} \text { reacts to reach } & \text { equilibrium } \\ \text { Change } & -x & -3 x & \rightarrow \\ \text { Equil. } & 1.00-x & 2.00-3 x & \\ & & & 2 x\end{array}$
From the setup: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=2.00 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.00 \mathrm{~atm}=(1.00-x)+(2.00-3 x)+2 x=3.00-2 x, \quad x=0.500 \\
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} & =2.00-3 x=2.00-3(0.500)=0.50 \mathrm{~atm} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}} & =\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{(1.00-x)(2.00-3 x)^{3}}=\frac{[2(0.500)]^{2}}{(1.00-0.500)[2.00-3(0.500)]^{3}}=\frac{(1.00)^{2}}{(0.50)(0.50)^{3}}=16
\end{aligned}
$$

51. $\quad \mathrm{Q}=1.00$, which is less than K . The reaction shifts to the right to reach equilibrium. Summarizing the equilibrium problem in a table:

$$
\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=3.75
$$

| Initial | $\begin{array}{r} 0.800 \mathrm{M} \\ x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \end{array}$ | 0.800 M reacts to |  | 0.800 M quilibrium | 0.800 M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | -x | $-\chi$ | $\rightarrow$ | ${ }^{+} \times$ | + $X$ |
| Equil. | $0.800-x$ | $0.800-x$ |  | $0.800+x$ | $0.800+$ |

Plug the equilibrium concentrations into the equilibrium constant expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right][\mathrm{NO}]}{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]}, 3.75=\frac{(0.800+x)^{2}}{(0.800-x)^{2}} \text {; take the square root of both sides and solve } \\
& \text { for } x: \\
& \frac{0.800+x}{0.800-x}=1.94,0.800+x=1.55-(1.94) x,(2.94) x=0.75, x=0.26 \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

The equilibrium concentrations are:

$$
\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]=[\mathrm{NO}]=0.800+x=0.800+0.26=1.06 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{SO}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]=0.800-x=0.54 \mathrm{M}
$$

52. $\mathrm{Q}=1.00$, which is less than K . Reaction shifts right to reach equilibrium.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HI}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{HI}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]}=100 . \\
& \begin{array}{lll}
\text { Initial } & 1.00 \mathrm{M} & 1.00 \mathrm{M} \\
\text { I } & 1.00 \mathrm{M}
\end{array} \\
& x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { of } \mathrm{H}_{2} \text { reacts to reach equilibrium } \\
& \begin{array}{lcccc}
\text { Change } & -x & -x & \rightarrow & +2 x \\
\text { Equil. } & 1.00-x & 1.00-x & & 1.00+2 x
\end{array} \\
& K=100=\frac{(1.00+2 x)^{2}}{(1.00-x)^{2}} \text {; taking the square root of both sides: } \\
& 10.0=\frac{1.00+2 x}{1.00-x}, \quad 10.0-(10.0) x=1.00+2 x,(12.0) x=9.0, \quad x=0.75 \mathrm{M} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{I}_{2}\right]=1.00-0.75=0.25 \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{HI}]=1.00+2(0.75)=2.50 \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

53. Because only reactants are present initially, the reaction must proceed to the right to reach equilibrium. Summarizing the problem in a table:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+\quad \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.050
$$

| Initial | 0.80 atm | 0.20 atm | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $x$ atm of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | reacts to reach | equilibrium |
| Change | $-x$ | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| Equil. | $0.80-x$ | $0.20-x$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $2 x$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.050=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{(0.80-x)(0.20-x)}, 0.050\left[0.16-(1.00) x+x^{2}\right]=4 x^{2}
$$

$$
4 x^{2}=8.0 \times 10^{-3}-(0.050) x+(0.050) x^{2},(3.95) x^{2}+(0.050) x-8.0 \times 10^{-3}=0
$$

Solving using the quadratic formula (see Appendix 1 of the text):
$x=\frac{-\mathrm{b} \pm\left(\mathrm{b}^{2}-4 \mathrm{ac}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 \mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left.-0.050 \pm[(0.050)]^{2}-4(3.95)\left(-8.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}{2(3.95)}$
$x=3.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm}$ or $x=-5.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm}$; only $x=3.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm}$ makes sense $(x$ cannot be negative), so the equilibrium NO concentration is:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}=2 x=2\left(3.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm}\right)=7.8 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm}
$$

54. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HOCl}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=0.090=\frac{[\mathrm{HOCl}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}$
a. The initial concentrations of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are:

$$
\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=5.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \frac{2.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{86.90 \mathrm{~g}}=2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{HOCl}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Initial $\quad 5.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \quad 2.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ reacts to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lcccr}\text { Change } & -x & -x & \rightarrow & +2 x \\ \text { Equil. } & 5.5 \times 10^{-2}-x & 2.3 \times 10^{-2}-x & & 2 x\end{array}$
$K=0.090=\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{\left(5.5 \times 10^{-2}-x\right)\left(2.3 \times 10^{-2}-x\right)}$
$1.14 \times 10^{-4}-\left(7.02 \times 10^{-3}\right) x+(0.090) x^{2}=4 x^{2}$
$(3.91) x^{2}+\left(7.02 \times 10^{-3}\right) x-1.14 \times 10^{-4}=0 \quad$ (We carried extra significant figures.)
Solving using the quadratic formula:

$$
\frac{-7.02 \times 10^{-3} \pm\left(4.93 \times 10^{-5}+1.78 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{1 / 2}}{7.82}=4.6 \times 10^{-3} \text { or }-6.4 \times 10^{-3}
$$

A negative answer makes no physical sense; we can't have less than nothing. Thus $x=4.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$.
$[\mathrm{HOCl}]=2 x=9.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \quad\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=2.3 \times 10^{-2}-x=0.023-0.0046=1.8 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=5.5 \times 10^{-2}-x=0.055-0.0046=5.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \quad+\quad \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{HOCl}(\mathrm{g})$

| Initial | 0 | 0 | $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} / 2.0 \mathrm{~L}=0.50 \mathrm{M}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of HOCl reacts to reach equilibrium |  |  |
| Change | $+x$ | $+x$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Equil. | $x$ | $x$ | $-2 x$ |
|  |  |  | $0.50-2 x$ |
| $\mathrm{~K}=0.090=$ | $\frac{[\mathrm{HOCl}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]}=\frac{(0.50-2 x)^{2}}{x^{2}}$ |  |  |

The expression is a perfect square, so we can take the square root of each side:

$$
0.30=\frac{0.50-2 x}{x}, \quad(0.30) x=0.50-2 x,(2.30) x=0.50
$$

$x=0.217$ (We carried extra significant figures.)

$$
x=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]=0.217=0.22 \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{HOCl}]=0.50-2 x=0.50-0.434=0.07 \mathrm{M}
$$

55. 

$$
2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \leftrightharpoons 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.25
$$

Initial $\quad 0.50 \mathrm{~atm} \quad 0.50 \mathrm{~atm} \quad 0$
$2 x \mathrm{~atm}$ of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ reacts to reach equilibrium
Change $-2 x \quad-x \rightarrow+2 x$
Equil. $0.50-2 x \quad 0.50-x \quad 2 x$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.25=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{(0.50-2 x)^{2}(0.50-x)}
$$

This will give a cubic equation. Graphing calculators can be used to solve this expression. If you don't have a graphing calculator, an alternative method for solving a cubic equation is to use the method of successive approximations (see Appendix 1 of the text). The first step is to guess a value for $x$. Because the value of K is small ( $\mathrm{K}<1$ ), not much of the forward reaction will occur to reach equilibrium. This tells us that $x$ is small. Let's guess that $x=$ 0.050 atm . Now we take this estimated value for $x$ and substitute it into the equation everywhere that $x$ appears except for one. For equilibrium problems, we will substitute the estimated value for $x$ into the denominator and then solve for the numerator value of $x$. We continue this process until the estimated value of $x$ and the calculated value of $x$ converge on the same number. This is the same answer we would get if we were to solve the cubic equation exactly. Applying the method of successive approximations and carrying extra significant figures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{4 x^{2}}{[0.50-2(0.050)]^{2}[0.50-(0.050)]}=\frac{4 x^{2}}{(0.40)^{2}(0.45)}=0.25, x=0.067 \\
& \frac{4 x^{2}}{[0.50-2(0.067)]^{2}[0.50-(0.067)]}=\frac{4 x^{2}}{(0.366)^{2}(0.433)}=0.25, x=0.060
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{4 x^{2}}{(0.38)^{2}(0.44)}=0.25, x=0.063 ; \frac{4 x^{2}}{(0.374)^{2}(0.437)}=0.25, \quad x=0.062
$$

The next trial gives the same value for $x=0.062 \mathrm{~atm}$. We are done except for determining the equilibrium concentrations. They are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}=0.50-2 x=0.50-2(0.062)=0.376=0.38 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.50-x=0.438=0.44 \mathrm{~atm} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}=2 x=0.124=0.12 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

56. a. The reaction must proceed to products to reach equilibrium because no product is present initially. Summarizing the problem in a table where $x$ atm of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ reacts to reach equilibrium:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.25
$$

| Initial | 4.5 atm |  | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ |
| Equil. | $4.5-x$ |  | $2 x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{4.5-x}=0.25,4 x^{2}=1.125-(0.25) x, 4 x^{2}+(0.25) x-1.125=0$
We carried extra significant figures in this expression (as will be typical when we solve an expression using the quadratic formula). Solving using the quadratic formula (Appendix 1 of text):
$x=\frac{-0.25 \pm\left[(0.25)^{2}-4(4)(-1.125)\right]^{1 / 2}}{2(4)}=\frac{-0.25 \pm 4.25}{8}, x=0.50$ (Other value is $\begin{gathered}\text { negative.) }\end{gathered}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}=2 x=1.0 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}=4.5-x=4.0 \mathrm{~atm}$
b. The reaction must shift to reactants (shifts left) to reach equilibrium.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 0 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Change | $+x$ |  |  |
| Equil. | $x$ | $\leftarrow$ | 9.0 atm |
| $-2 x$ |  |  |  |
| $9.0-2 x$ |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{(9.0-2 x)^{2}}{x}=0.25,4 x^{2}-(36.25) x+81=0$ (carrying extra significant figures)
Solving: $x=\frac{-(-36.25) \pm\left[(-36.25)^{2}-4(4)(81)\right]^{1 / 2}}{2(4)}, x=4.0 \mathrm{~atm}$
The other value, 5.1, is impossible. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}=x=4.0 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}=9.0-2 x=1.0 \mathrm{~atm}$
c. No, we get the same equilibrium position starting with either pure $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ or pure $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ in stoichiometric amounts.
57. a. The reaction must proceed to products to reach equilibrium because only reactants are present initially. Summarizing the problem in a table:

$$
2 \mathrm{NOCl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Initial $\frac{2.0 \mathrm{~mol}}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}}=1.0 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad 0$
$2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of NOCl reacts to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-2 x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $1.0-2 x$ |  | $2 x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{[\mathrm{NO}]^{2}\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]}{[\mathrm{NOCl}]^{2}}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}(x)}{(1.0-2 x)^{2}}$
If we assume that $1.0-2 x \approx 1.0$ (from the small size of $K$, we know that the product concentrations will be small), then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.6 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{4 x^{3}}{1.0^{2}}, \quad x=1.6 \times 10^{-2} ; \text { now we must check the assumption. } \\
& 1.0-2 x=1.0-2(0.016)=0.97=1.0 \text { (to proper significant figures) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Our error is about $3 \%$; that is, $2 x$ is $3.2 \%$ of 1.0 M . Generally, if the error we introduce by making simplifying assumptions is less than $5 \%$, we go no further; the assumption is said to be valid. We call this the $5 \%$ rule. Solving for the equilibrium concentrations:

$$
[\mathrm{NO}]=2 x=0.032 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=x=0.016 \mathrm{M} ; \quad[\mathrm{NOCl}]=1.0-2 x=0.97 \mathrm{M} \approx 1.0 \mathrm{M}
$$

Note: If we were to solve this cubic equation exactly (a longer process), we get $x=0.016$. This is the exact same answer we determined by making a simplifying assumption. We saved time and energy. Whenever K is a very small value ( $\mathrm{K} \ll 1$ ), always make the assumption that $x$ is small. If the assumption introduces an error of less than $5 \%$, then the answer you calculated making the assumption will be considered the correct answer.
b.

$$
2 \mathrm{NOCl}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Initial $\quad 1.0 \mathrm{M} \quad 1.0 \mathrm{M} \quad 0$

$$
2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { of } \mathrm{NOCl} \text { reacts to reach equilibrium }
$$

$\begin{array}{lllcr}\text { Change } & -2 x & \rightarrow & +2 x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 1.0-2 x & & 1.0+2 x & x\end{array}$
$1.6 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{(1.0+2 x)^{2}(x)}{(1.0-2 x)^{2}}=\frac{(1.0)^{2}(x)}{(1.0)^{2}} \quad$ (assuming $2 x \ll 1.0$ )
$x=1.6 \times 10^{-5}$; assumptions are great ( $2 x$ is $3.2 \times 10^{-3} \%$ of 1.0 ).
$\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=1.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ and $[\mathrm{NOCl}]=[\mathrm{NO}]=1.0 \mathrm{M}$
c. $2 \mathrm{NOCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

| Initial | 2.0 M | 0 | 1.0 M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of NOCl reacts to reach equilibrium |  |  |
| Change | $-2 x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ |

$1.6 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}(1.0+x)}{(2.0-2 x)^{2}}=\frac{4 x^{2}}{4.0} \quad$ (assuming $x \ll 1.0$ )
Solving: $x=4.0 \times 10^{-3}$; assumptions good ( $x$ is $0.4 \%$ of 1.0 and $2 x$ is $0.4 \%$ of 2.0 ).
$\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=1.0+x=1.0 \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{NO}]=2\left(4.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)=8.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \quad[\mathrm{NOCl}]=2.0 \mathrm{M}$
58.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]}=4.0 \times 10^{-7}
$$

Initial $\quad 1.0 \mathrm{~mol} / 10.0 \mathrm{~L} \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ reacts to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $0.10-x$ |  | $2 x$ |

$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{0.10-x}=4.0 \times 10^{-7} ; \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { because } \mathrm{K} \text { has a small value, assume that } x \text { is small } \\ & \text { compared to } 0.10 \text {, so that } 0.10-x \approx 0.10 . \text { Solving: }\end{aligned}$

$$
4.0 \times 10^{-7} \approx \frac{4 x^{2}}{0.10}, 4 x^{2}=4.0 \times 10^{-8}, \quad x=1.0 \times 10^{-4} M
$$

Checking the assumption by the 5\% rule: $\frac{x}{0.10} \times 100=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-4}}{0.10} \times 100=0.10 \%$
Because this number is less than $5 \%$, we will say that the assumption is valid.

$$
\left[\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]=0.10-1.0 \times 10^{-4}=0.10 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]=2 x=2\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)=2.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}
$$

59. $2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{CO}]^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]^{2}}=2.0 \times 10^{-6}$

| Initial | $2.0 \mathrm{~mol} / 5.0 \mathrm{~L}$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | reacts to reach equilib |  |
| Change | $-2 x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{K}= & 2.0 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CO}^{2}\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]\right.}{\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]^{2}}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}(x)}{(0.40-2 x)^{2}} ; \text { assuming } 2 x \ll 0.40 \\
& 2.0 \times 10^{-6} \approx \frac{4 x^{3}}{(0.40)^{2}}, 2.0 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{4 x^{3}}{0.16}, x=4.3 \times 10^{-3} M
\end{aligned}
$$

Checking assumption: $\frac{2\left(4.3 \times 10^{-3}\right)}{0.40} \times 100=2.2 \%$; assumption is valid by the $5 \%$ rule.
$\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]=0.40-2 x=0.40-2\left(4.3 \times 10^{-3}\right)=0.39 \mathrm{M}$
$[\mathrm{CO}]=2 x=2\left(4.3 \times 10^{-3}\right)=8.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \quad\left[\mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=x=4.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
60. $\quad \operatorname{COCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{COCl}_{2}}}=6.8 \times 10^{-9}$

Initial $\quad 1.0 \mathrm{~atm} \quad 0 \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~atm}$ of $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$ reacts to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $1.0-x$ |  | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| $x$ | $x$ |  |  |  |

$6.8 \times 10^{-9}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{COCl}_{2}}}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.0-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.0} \quad$ (Assuming 1.0-x $\approx 1.0$.)
$x=8.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~atm}$; assumption is good $\left(x\right.$ is $8.2 \times 10^{-3} \%$ of 1.0$)$.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{COCl}_{2}}=1.0-x=1.0-8.2 \times 10^{-5}=1.0 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}=x=8.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~atm}$
61. This is a typical equilibrium problem except that the reaction contains a solid. Whenever solids and liquids are present, we basically ignore them in the equilibrium problem.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OCONH}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=2.9 \times 10^{-3}
$$

Initial
0
0
Some $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OCONH}_{2}$ decomposes to produce $2 x$ atm of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $x$ atm of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

| Change | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $2 x$ | $x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=2.9 \times 10^{-3}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=(2 x)^{2}(x)=4 x^{3}$
$x=\left(\frac{2.9 \times 10^{-3}}{4}\right)^{1 / 3}=9.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=2 x=0.18 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=x=9.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=0.18 \mathrm{~atm}+0.090 \mathrm{~atm}=0.27 \mathrm{~atm}$
62. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HCl}}$

For this system to reach equilibrium, some of the $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{s})$ decomposes to form equal moles of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$ at equilibrium. Because moles of HCl produced $=$ moles of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ produced, the partial pressures of each gas must be equal to each other.

At equilibrium: $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HCl}}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HCl}}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=4.4 \mathrm{~atm}=2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}, 2.2 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HCl}} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=(2.2)(2.2)=4.8$

## Le Châtelier's Principle

63. a. No effect; adding more of a pure solid or pure liquid has no effect on the equilibrium position.
b. Shifts left; $\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g})$ will be removed by reaction with the glass. As $\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g})$ is removed, the reaction will shift left to produce more $\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{g})$.
c. Shifts right; as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ is removed, the reaction will shift right to produce more $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$.
64. When the volume of a reaction container is increased, the reaction itself will want to increase its own volume by shifting to the side of the reaction that contains the most molecules of gas. When the molecules of gas are equal on both sides of the reaction, then the reaction will remain at equilibrium no matter what happens to the volume of the container.
a. Reaction shifts left (to reactants) because the reactants contain 4 molecules of gas compared with 2 molecules of gas on the product side.
b. Reaction shifts right (to products) because there are more product molecules of gas (2) than reactant molecules (1).
c. No change because there are equal reactant and product molecules of gas.
d. Reaction shifts right.
e. Reaction shifts right to produce more $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$. One can ignore the solids and only concentrate on the gases because gases occupy a relatively huge volume compared with solids. We make the same assumption when liquids are present (only worry about the gas molecules).
65. 

a. Right b. Right c. No effect; $\mathrm{He}(\mathrm{g})$ is neither a reactant nor a product.
d. Left; because the reaction is exothermic, heat is a product:

$$
\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\text { heat }
$$

Increasing T will add heat. The equilibrium shifts to the left to use up the added heat.
e. No effect; because the moles of gaseous reactants equals the moles of gaseous products ( 2 mol versus 2 mol ), a change in volume will have no effect on the equilibrium.
66. a. The moles of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ will increase because the reaction will shift left to use up the added $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$.
b. Increase; because there are fewer reactant gas molecules than product gas molecules, the reaction shifts left with a decrease in volume.
c. No effect; the partial pressures of sulfur trioxide, sulfur dioxide, and oxygen are unchanged, so the reaction is still at equilibrium.
d. Increase; heat $+2 \mathrm{SO}_{3} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$; decreasing T will remove heat, shifting this endothermic reaction to the left to add heat.
e. Decrease
67.
a. Left
b. Right
c. Left
d. No effect; the reactant and product concentrations/partial pressures are unchanged.
e. No effect; because there are equal numbers of product and reactant gas molecules, a change in volume has no effect on this equilibrium position.
f. Right; a decrease in temperature will shift the equilibrium to the right because heat is a product in this reaction (as is true in all exothermic reactions).
68. a. Shift to left
b. Shift to right; because the reaction is endothermic (heat is a reactant), an increase in temperature will shift the equilibrium to the right.
c. No effect; the reactant and product concentrations/partial pressures are unchanged.
d. Shift to right
e. Shift to right; because there are more gaseous product molecules than gaseous reactant molecules, the equilibrium will shift right with an increase in volume.
69. An endothermic reaction, where heat is a reactant, will shift right to products with an increase in temperature. The amount of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ will increase as the reaction shifts right, so the smell of ammonia will increase.
70. As temperature increases, the value of K decreases. This is consistent with an exothermic reaction. In an exothermic reaction, heat is a product, and an increase in temperature shifts the equilibrium to the reactant side (as well as lowering the value of K ).

## Additional Exercises

71. 

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \mathrm{K}=1 /\left(6.8 \times 10^{-49}\right)=1.5 \times 10^{48} \\
\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) & \mathrm{K}=1 /\left(5.8 \times 10^{-34}\right)=1.7 \times 10^{33} \\
\hline \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) & \mathrm{K}=\left(1.5 \times 10^{48}\right)\left(1.7 \times 10^{33}\right)=2.6 \times 10^{81}
\end{array}
$$

72. 

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { a. } & \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{l})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \mathrm{K}_{1} \\
2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) & 1 / \mathrm{K}_{3} \\
\hline & & \mathrm{~K}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)\left(1 / \mathrm{K}_{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{1}\right)\left(1 / \mathrm{K}_{3}\right)=\frac{2 \times 10^{-25}}{5 \times 10^{-29}}=4 \times 10^{3}
$$

b.

| $\mathrm{NaO}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{l})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{l})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |  |
| $2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ |  | $1 / \mathrm{K}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{NaO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{l})$ |  | $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{1}\right)\left(1 / \mathrm{K}_{3}\right)=8 \times 10^{-2}$ |

$$
\text { c. } \begin{array}{rlrl}
2 \mathrm{NaO}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)^{2} \\
2 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) & & 1 / \mathrm{K}_{3} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{NaO}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) & & \mathrm{K}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)^{2}\left(1 / \mathrm{K}_{2}\right)=8 \times 10^{18}
\end{array}
$$

73. $5.63 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{114.10 \mathrm{~g}}=0.0493 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} 5 \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ initially

Total moles of gas at equilibrium $=\mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }} \mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.63 \mathrm{~atm} \times 2.50 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 473 \mathrm{~K}}=0.105 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 0.0493 mol |
| :--- | :--- |
| Let $x \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ react to reach equilibrium. |  |


| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+3 x$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $0.0493-x$ |  | $x$ | $3 x$ |

0.105 mol total $=0.0493-x+x+3 x=0.0493+3 x, x=0.0186 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right][\mathrm{CO}]^{3}}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right]}=\frac{\left[\frac{0.0186 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{2.50 \mathrm{~L}}\right]\left[\frac{3(0.0186) \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}}{2.50 \mathrm{~L}}\right]^{3}}{\left[\frac{(0.0493-0.0186) \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{3}}{2.50 \mathrm{~L}}\right]}=6.74 \times 10^{-6}$
74.
a. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=1 \times 10^{-31}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}^{2}}{(0.8)(0.2)}$

Solving: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}=1 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{~atm}$
In $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of air: $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{NO}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\left(1 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{~atm}\right)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}\right)}{\left(\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}\right)(298 \mathrm{~K})}=4 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}$
$\frac{4 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}} \times \frac{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NO}}=\frac{2 \times 10^{3} \text { molecules NO }}{\mathrm{cm}^{3}}$
b. There is more NO in the atmosphere than we would expect from the value of K . The answer must lie in the rates of the reaction. At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the rates of both reactions:

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO} \text { and } 2 \mathrm{NO} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

are so slow that they are essentially zero. Very strong bonds must be broken; the activation energy is very high. Therefore, the reaction essentially doesn't occur at low temperatures. Nitric oxide, however, can be produced in high-energy or hightemperature environments because the production of NO is endothermic. In nature, some NO is produced by lightning, and the primary manmade source is automobiles. At these high temperatures, K will increase, and the rates of the reaction will also increase, resulting in a higher production of NO. Once the NO gets into a more normal temperature environment, it doesn't go back to $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ because of the slow rate.
75. a. $2 \mathrm{AsH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{As}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

| Initial | 392.0 torr | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $392.0-2 x$ | $3 x$ |

Using Dalton's law of partial pressure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=488.0 \text { torr }=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{AsH}_{3}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=392.0-2 x+3 x, x=96.0 \text { torr } \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=3 x=3(96.0)=288 \text { torr } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}=0.379 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{AsH}_{3}}=392.0-2(96.0)=200.0$ torr $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \text { torr }}=0.2632 \mathrm{~atm}$

$$
\left.\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)^{3}}{\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{AsH}}^{3}\right.}\right)^{2}\right)=\frac{(0.379)^{3}}{(0.2632)^{2}}=0.786
$$

76. 

$$
\mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+\mathrm{SCN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=9.1 \times 10^{-4}
$$

| Initial | 2.0 M |  | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}$ | 0 |  |
| reacts to |  |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |

$9.1 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SCN}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{2.0-x}=\frac{x^{2}}{2.0}$ (assuming 2.0-x $\approx 2.0$ )
$x=4.3 \times 10^{-2} M$; assumption good by the $5 \%$ rule ( $x$ is $2.2 \%$ of 2.0 ).
$\left[\mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}\right]=2.0-x=2.0-4.3 \times 10^{-2}=2.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{SCN}^{-}\right]=x=4.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
77. There is a little trick we can use to solve this problem without having to solve a quadratic equation. Because $K$ is very large ( $K \gg 1$ ), the reaction will have mostly products at equilibrium. So we will let the reaction go to completion (with $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ limiting), and then solve an equilibrium problem to determine the molarity of reactants present at equilibrium (see the following set-up).

$$
\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SCN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=1.1 \times 10^{3}
$$

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Before } & 0.020 \mathrm{M} & 0.10 \mathrm{M}\end{array}$
Let $0.020 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ react completely ( K is large; products dominate).

| Change | -0.020 | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.020 | React completely |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| After | 0 | 0.08 |  | 0.020 | New initial |

$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}$ reacts to reach equilibrium

| Change | $+x$ | $+x$ | $\leftarrow$ | $-x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $x$ | $0.08+x$ |  | $0.020-x$ |

$\mathrm{K}=1.1 \times 10^{3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SCN}^{-}\right]}=\frac{0.020-x}{(x)(0.08+x)} \approx \frac{0.020}{(0.08) x}$
$x=2 \times 10^{-4} M ; x$ is $1 \%$ of 0.020 . Assumptions are good by the $5 \%$ rule.
$x=\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]=2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{SCN}^{-}\right]=0.08+2 \times 10^{-4}=0.08 \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}\right]=0.020-2 \times 10^{-4}=0.020 \mathrm{M}$
Note: At equilibrium, we do indeed have mostly products present. Our assumption to first let the reaction go to completion is good.
78. a. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{5}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{5}} \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\frac{2.450 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PCl}_{5}}{208.22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 600 . \mathrm{K}}{0.500 \mathrm{~L}}=1.16 \mathrm{~atm}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{PCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{3}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{5}}}=11.5$

Initial $\begin{gathered}1.16 \mathrm{~atm} \\ x \mathrm{~atm} \text { of } \mathrm{PCl}_{5} \text { reacts to reach equilibrium }\end{gathered}$

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $1.16-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.16-x}=11.5, x^{2}+(11.5) x-13.3=0
$$

Using the quadratic formula: $x=1.06 \mathrm{~atm}$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PC}_{5}}=1.16-1.06=0.10 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{3}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}=1.06 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{5}}=0.10 \mathrm{~atm}$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{5}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{PCl}_{3}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}=0.10+1.06+1.06=2.22 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

d. Percent dissociation $=\frac{x}{1.16} \times 100=\frac{1.06}{1.16} \times 100=91.4 \%$
79. $\quad \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

| Initial | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ initial pressure of $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |

$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=0.900$ atm $=\mathrm{P}_{0}-x+x+x=\mathrm{P}_{0}+x$
$\frac{x}{\mathrm{P}_{0}} \times 100=12.5, \mathrm{P}_{0}=(8.00) x$
Solving: $0.900=\mathrm{P}_{0}+x=(9.00) x, x=0.100 \mathrm{~atm}$
$x=0.100 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}} ; \mathrm{P}_{0}-x=0.800-0.100=0.700 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}}}=\frac{(0.100)^{2}}{0.700}=1.43 \times 10^{-2}$
80. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{HF}]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}_{2}\right]}=\frac{(0.400 \mathrm{M})^{2}}{(0.0500 \mathrm{M})(0.0100 \mathrm{M})}=320 . ; 0.200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}_{2} / 5.00 \mathrm{~L}=0.0400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{F}_{2}$ added

After $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ has been added, the concentrations of species present are $[\mathrm{HF}]=0.400 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{F}_{2}\right]$ $=0.0500 \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{Q}=(0.400)^{2} /(0.0500)^{2}=64.0$; because $\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}$, the reaction will shift right to reestablish equilibrium.

$[\mathrm{HF}]=0.400+2(0.0249)=0.450 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{F}_{2}\right]=0.0500-0.0249=0.0251 \mathrm{M}$
81. $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$; if rain is imminent, there would be a lot of water vapor in the air. Because water vapor is a reactant gas, the reaction would shift to the right and would take on the color of $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pink.
82. a. Doubling the volume will decrease all concentrations by a factor of one-half.

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{FeSCN}^{2+}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{SCN}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)}=2 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}
$$

The reaction will shift to the left to reestablish equilibrium.
b. Adding $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$will remove $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$through the formation of $\mathrm{AgSCN}(\mathrm{s})$. The reaction will shift to the left to produce more SCN ${ }^{-}$.
c. Removing $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ as $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ will shift the reaction to the left to produce more $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$.
d. Reaction will shift to the right as $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ is added.
83. $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; sodium hydroxide $(\mathrm{NaOH})$ will react with the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$on the product side of the reaction. This effectively removes $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from the equilibrium, which will shift the reaction to the right to produce more $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. Because more $\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is produced, the solution turns yellow.
84. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+$ heat
a. This reaction is exothermic, so an increase in temperature will decrease the value of $K$ (see Table 13.3 of text.) This has the effect of lowering the amount of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ produced at equilibrium. The temperature increase, therefore, must be for kinetics reasons. As temperature increases, the reaction reaches equilibrium much faster. At low temperatures, this reaction is very slow, too slow to be of any use.
b. As $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ is removed, the reaction shifts right to produce more $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$.
c. A catalyst has no effect on the equilibrium position. The purpose of a catalyst is to speed up a reaction so it reaches equilibrium more quickly.
d. When the pressure of reactants and products is high, the reaction shifts to the side that has fewer gas molecules. Since the product side contains two molecules of gas as compared to four molecules of gas on the reactant side, then the reaction shifts right to products at high pressures of reactants and products.
85. $\quad \operatorname{PCl}_{5}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PCl}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{5}\right]}=4.5 \times 10^{-3}$

At equilibrium, $\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{5}\right]=2\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]$.
Substituting: $4.5 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]}{2\left[\mathrm{PCl}_{3}\right]},\left[\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]=2\left(4.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)=9.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
86. $\quad \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=1.16=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$

Some of the 20.0 g of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ will react to reach equilibrium. The amount that reacts is the quantity of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ required to produce a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ pressure of 1.16 atm (from the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ expression).
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.16 \mathrm{~atm} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 1073 \mathrm{~K}}=0.132 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$
Mass $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ reacted $=0.132 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{100.09 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}}=13.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$
Mass percent of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ reacted $=\frac{13.2 \mathrm{~g}}{20.0 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=66.0 \%$
87. alpha-glucose $\rightleftharpoons$ beta-glucose $\mathrm{K}=\frac{\text { [beta-glucose] }}{\text { [alpha-glucose] }}$

From the problem, [alpha-glucose] = 2[beta-glucose], so:

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{[\text { beta }- \text { glucose }]}{2[\text { beta }- \text { glucose }]}=\frac{1}{2}=0.50
$$

88. 

$$
\text { peptide }(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{acid} \operatorname{group}(\mathrm{aq})+\operatorname{amine} \operatorname{group}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{K}=3.1 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Initial $\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}=1.0 \mathrm{M}$
0
0
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ peptide reacts to reach equilibrium.

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $1.0-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

Note: Because water is not included in the K expression, the amount of water present initially and the amount of water that reacts are not needed to solve this problem.
$\mathrm{K}=3.1 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x(x)}{1.0-x}, 3.1 \times 10^{-5} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.0}$ (assuming $1.0-x \approx 1.0$ )
$x=\sqrt{3.1 \times 10^{-5}}=5.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; assumption good ( $0.56 \%$ error $)$.
[peptide] $=1.0-x=1.0-5.6 \times 10^{-3}=1.0 \mathrm{M}$
[acid group] $=$ [amine group] $=x=5.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
89.

$$
\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}=5.6 \times 10^{-11}
$$

| Initial | $0.16 \mathrm{~mol} / 1.0 \mathrm{~L}$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$ | reacts to reach equilibrium |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.16-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.5.6 \times 10^{-11}=\frac{x(x)}{0.16-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.16} \quad \text { (assuming } x \ll 0.16\right) \\
& x=\sqrt{5.6 \times 10^{-11}(0.16)}=3.0 \times 10^{-6} M ; \text { assumption good }\left(8 \times 10^{-3} \% \text { error }\right) . \\
& {\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}\right]=x=3.0 \times 10^{-6} M}
\end{aligned}
$$

90. 

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=3.7 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]}
$$

Initial $\begin{array}{llll}1.24 \mathrm{M} & 0 & 0\end{array}$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{LCH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ reacts to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $1.24-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.3.7 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x(x)}{1.24-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.24} \text { (assuming } x \ll 1.24\right) \\
& x=2.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \text { assumption good }\left(1.7 \times 10^{-3} \%\right. \text { error) } \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]=x=2.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]=1.24-2.1 \times 10^{-5}=1.24 \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

As formaldehyde is removed from the equilibrium by forming some other substance, the equilibrium shifts right to produce more formaldehyde. Hence the concentration of methanol (a reactant) decreases as formaldehyde (a product) reacts to form formic acid.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 91-98 (or a variation to these problem) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

99. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{0}\left(\right.$ for $\left.\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}} \mathrm{RT} / \mathrm{V}=(6.400 \mathrm{~g} \times 0.08206 \times 684 \mathrm{~K}) /(32.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} \times 2.50 \mathrm{~L})=4.49 \mathrm{~atm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
-y & 3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
-3 / 2 y & \rightarrow+y & +2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
+2 y
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Amount of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacted $=4.49 \mathrm{~atm}-0.326 \mathrm{~atm}=4.16 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{O}_{2}$

$$
2 x+3 / 2 y=4.16 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{O}_{2} \text { and } 2 x+2 y=4.45 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Solving using simultaneous equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 x+2 y=4.45 \\
&-2 x-(3 / 2) y=-4.16 \\
& \hline(0.50) y=0.29, \quad y=0.58 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}} \\
& 2 x+2(0.58)=4.45, \quad x=\frac{4.45-1.16}{2}=1.65 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

100. $\quad 4.72 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{32.04 \mathrm{~g}}=0.147 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ initially

Graham's law of effusion: $\frac{\text { Rate }_{1}}{\text { Rate }_{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{M}_{2}}{\mathrm{M}_{1}}}$

$$
\frac{\text { Rate }_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}}{\text { Rate }_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}}=\sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}}{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}}}=\sqrt{\frac{32.04}{2.016}}=3.987
$$

The effused mixture has 33.0 times as much $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. When the effusion rate ratio is multiplied by the equilibrium mole ratio of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, the effused mixture will have 33.0 times as much $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ as $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. Let $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}$ equal the equilibrium moles of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, respectively.
$33.0=3.987 \times \frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}}=8.28$

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 0.147 mol |  | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+2 x$ |
| Equil. | $0.147-x$ |  | $x$ | $2 x$ |

From the ICE table, $8.28=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}}}=\frac{2 x}{0.147-x}$
Solving: $x=0.118 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{[\mathrm{CO}]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right]}=\frac{\left(\frac{0.118 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{2(0.118 \mathrm{~mol})}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}}{\frac{(0.147-0.118) \mathrm{mol}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}}=0.23
$$

101. There is a little trick we can use to solve this problem in order to avoid solving a cubic equation. Because $K$ for this reaction is very small ( $\mathrm{K} \ll 1$ ), the reaction will contain mostly reactants at equilibrium (the equilibrium position lies far to the left). We will let the products react to completion by the reverse reaction, and then we will solve the forward equilibrium problem to determine the equilibrium concentrations. Summarizing these steps in a table:

$$
2 \mathrm{NOCl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}
$$

| Before | 0 | 2.0 M |  | 1.0 M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | Let $1.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Cl}$ |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | react completely. $\quad$ ( K is small, reactants dominate.)

Note: If we do not break this problem into two parts (a stoichiometric part and an equilibrium part), then we are faced with solving a cubic equation. The setup would be:

|  | 2 NOCl | $\rightleftharpoons$ | 2 NO | + | $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0 |  | 2.0 M |  | 1.0 M |
| Change | +2y | $\leftarrow$ | -2y |  | -y |
| Equil. | $2 y$ |  | $2.0-2 y$ |  | $1.0-y$ |

$$
1.6 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{(2.0-2 y)^{2}(1.0-y)}{(2 y)^{2}}
$$

If we say that $y$ is small to simplify the problem, then:

$$
1.6 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{2.0^{2}}{4 y^{2}} ; \text { we get } y=250 . \text { This is impossible! }
$$

To solve this equation, we cannot make any simplifying assumptions; we have to solve the cubic equation exactly.
102. a.

$$
2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NOBr}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 98.4 torr | 41.3 torr |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 x$ torr of NO reacts to reach equilibrium |  |  |  |
| Change | $-2 x$ | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ |
| Equil. | $98.4-2 x$ | $41.3-x$ |  | $2 x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NOBr}}=(98.4-2 x)+(41.3-x)+2 x=139.7-x \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=110.5=139.7-x, x=29.2 \text { torr; } \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}=98.4-2(29.2)=40.0 \text { torr }=0.0526 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}=41.3-29.2=12.1 \text { torr }=0.0159 \mathrm{~atm} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NOBr}}=2(29.2)=58.4 \text { torr }=0.0768 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NOBr}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}}=\frac{(0.0768 \mathrm{~atm})^{2}}{(0.0526 \mathrm{~atm})^{2}(0.0159 \mathrm{~atm})}=134
\end{aligned}
$$

b.

$$
2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{NOBr}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 0.30 atm | 0.30 atm | 0 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $2 x$ atm of NO reacts to reach equilibrium |  |  |  |
| Change | $-2 x$ | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ |
| Equil. | $0.30-2 x$ | $0.30-x$ |  | $2 x$ |

This would yield a cubic equation, which can be difficult to solve unless you have a graphing calculator. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$ is pretty large, let's approach equilibrium in two steps: Assume the reaction goes to completion, and then solve the back-equilibrium problem.

|  | 2 NO | + | $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Before | 0.30 atm | 0.30 atm | 0 NOr |  |
|  | Let 0.30 atm | NO react completely. |  |  |
| Change | -0.30 | -0.15 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.30 |
| After | 0 | 0.15 |  | 0.30 | | React completely |
| :--- |
| New initial |

$$
2 y \text { atm of } \mathrm{NOBr} \text { reacts to reach equilibrium }
$$

$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Change } & +2 y & +y & \leftarrow & -2 y \\ \text { Equil. } & 2 y & 0.15+y & 0.30-2 y\end{array}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=134=\frac{(0.30-2 y)^{2}}{(2 y)^{2}(0.15+y)}, \frac{(0.30-2 y)^{2}}{(0.15+y)}=134 \times 4 y^{2}=536 y^{2}
$$

If $y \ll 0.15: \frac{(0.30)^{2}}{0.15} \approx 536 y^{2}$, then $y=0.034$; assumptions are poor ( $y$ is $23 \%$ of 0.15 ).
Use 0.034 as an approximation for $y$, and solve by successive approximations (see Appendix 1 in the text):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{(0.30-0.068)^{2}}{0.15+0.034}=536 y^{2}, y=0.023 ; \quad \frac{(0.30-0.046)^{2}}{0.15+0.023}=536 y^{2}, y=0.026 \\
\frac{(0.30-0.052)^{2}}{0.15+0.026}=536 y^{2}, y=0.026 \mathrm{~atm} \\
\begin{array}{c}
\text { (We have converged on the correct } \\
\text { answer.) }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

So: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}=2 y=0.052 \mathrm{~atm} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}=0.15+y=0.18 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NOBr}}=0.30-2 y=0.25 \mathrm{~atm}$
103.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=5.3 \times 10^{5}
$$

Initial $\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & \mathrm{P}_{0} & \mathrm{P}_{0}=\text { initial pressure of } \mathrm{NH}_{3}\end{array}$
$2 x$ atm of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reacts to reach equilibrium
Change $+x \quad+3 x \leftarrow-2 x$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Equil. } x & 3 x & \mathrm{P}_{0}-2 x\end{array}$
From problem, $\mathrm{P}_{0}-2 x=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{0}}{2.00}$, so $\mathrm{P}_{0}=(4.00) x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{[(4.00) x-2 x]^{2}}{(x)(3 x)^{3}}=\frac{[(2.00) x]^{2}}{(x)(3 x)^{3}}=\frac{(4.00) x^{2}}{27 x^{4}}=\frac{4.00}{27 x^{2}}=5.3 \times 10^{5}, x=5.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{P}_{0}=(4.00) x=4.00\left(5.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~atm}\right)=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~atm}$
104. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.100=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{4}}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{4}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{4}}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}-\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}$

Let $y=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}$ at equilibrium, then $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{y^{2}}{1.00-y}=0.100$
Solving: $y=0.270 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{2}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{4}}=1.00-0.270=0.73 \mathrm{~atm}$
To solve for the fraction dissociated, we need the initial pressure of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ ( $\mathrm{mol} \propto$ pressure).

$$
\mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Initial $\mathrm{P}_{0} \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}=$ initial pressure of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ in atm. $x$ atm of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ reacts to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lllr}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +2 x \\ \text { Equil. } & \mathrm{P}_{0}-x & & 2 x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{0}-x+2 x=1.00$ atm $=\mathrm{P}_{0}+x$
Solving: $0.270 \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}_{2}}=2 x, x=0.135 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{0}=1.00-0.135=0.87 \mathrm{~atm}$
Fraction dissociated $=\frac{x}{\mathrm{P}_{0}}=\frac{0.135}{0.87}=0.16$, or $16 \%$ of $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ is dissociated to reach equilibrium.
105. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}}=\frac{(1.20)^{2}}{0.34}=4.2$

Doubling the volume decreases each partial pressure by a factor of $2(P=n R T / V)$.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}=0.600 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}=0.17 \mathrm{~atm}$ are the new partial pressures.
$\mathrm{Q}=\frac{(0.600)^{2}}{0.17}=2.1$, so $\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}$; equilibrium will shift to the right.

Initial $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \mathrm{~atm} \quad 0.600 \mathrm{~atm} \\ & \\ & x \text { atm of } \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \text { reacts to reach equilibrium }\end{aligned}$
Change $-x \rightarrow+2 x$
Equil. $0.17-x \quad 0.600+2 x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=4.2=\frac{(0.600+2 x)^{2}}{(0.17-x)}, 4 x^{2}+(6.6) x-0.354=0 \quad$ (carrying extra significant figures)
Solving using the quadratic formula: $x=0.052$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}=0.600+2(0.052)=0.704 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}=0.17-0.052=0.12 \mathrm{~atm}$
106. a.

$$
2 \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.25
$$

Initial
$0 \quad 0$
Let some $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ decompose to form $x$ atm each of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ at equilibrium.
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Change } & \rightarrow & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & x & +x \\ & & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.25=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}, \quad 0.25=x^{2}, \quad x=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=0.50 \mathrm{~atm}$
b. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}} \mathrm{~V}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{(0.50 \mathrm{~atm})(1.00 \mathrm{~L})}{(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(398 \mathrm{~K})}=1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$

Mass of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ produced:

$$
1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{105.99 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}}=1.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}
$$

Mass of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ reacted:

$$
1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{84.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=2.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}
$$

Mass of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ remaining $=10.0-2.5=7.5 \mathrm{~g}$
c. $10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}{84.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}}=5.95 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$

When all of the $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ has just been consumed, we will have $5.95 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ gas at a pressure of 0.50 atm (from a).

$$
\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\left(5.95 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}\right)(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(398 \mathrm{~K})}{(0.50 \mathrm{~atm})}=3.9 \mathrm{~L}
$$

107. a. $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$; because the temperature is constant, the value of K will be the same for both container volumes. Since we now the volume in the final mixture, let’s calculate K using this mixture. In this final mixture, $2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ molecules, $2 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules, and $6 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules are present in a 1.0 L container. Using units of molecules/L for concentrations:

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~N}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{3}}=\frac{\left(\frac{6 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \text { molecules }}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \text { molecules }}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}\right)\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \text { molecules }}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}\right)^{3}}=2.25 \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}{\text { molecules }^{2}}
$$

For the K value in typical $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ units for the concentrations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=2.25 \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}{\text { molecules }^{2}} \times\left(\frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{mol}}\right)^{2}=8.16 \times 10^{47} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{2}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{2}} \\
&=8.16 \times 10^{47}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Because temperature is constant, the initial mixture at the larger volume must also have $\mathrm{K}=2.25 \mathrm{~L}^{2} /$ molecules ${ }^{2}$. In the initial mixture, there are $2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules, $4 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ molecules, and $8 \mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules in some unknown volume, V.
$\mathrm{K}=2.25=\frac{\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{V}}\right)\left(\frac{8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \text { molecules }}{\mathrm{V}}\right)^{3}}=\frac{4 \mathrm{~V}^{2}}{4(512)}=\frac{\mathrm{V}^{2}}{512}$
$\mathrm{V}=\sqrt{2.25(512)}=33.9 \mathrm{~L}$; the volume of the initial container would be 33.9 L .
108. a. If the volume is increased, equilibrium will shift to the right, so the mole percent of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ decomposed will be greater than $0.50 \%$.
b.

$$
2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 4 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad+\quad \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 1.000 atm |  | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | -0.0050 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.010 | +0.0025 |
| Equil. | 0.995 |  | 0.010 | 0.0025 |

$$
K_{p}=\frac{(0.010)^{4}(0.0025)}{(0.995)^{2}}=2.5 \times 10^{-11}
$$

The new volume is 10.0 times the old volume. Therefore, the initial partial pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ will decrease by a factor of 10.0.

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times \frac{1.00}{10.0}=0.100 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

$$
2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \rightleftharpoons 4 \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

| Initial | 0.100 atm |  | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | $-2 x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+4 x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.100-2 x$ |  | $4 x$ | $x$ |

$$
2.5 \times 10^{-11}=\frac{(4 x)^{4}(x)}{(0.100-2 x)^{2}} \approx \frac{(4 x)^{4}(x)}{(0.100)^{2}}, \quad 2 x=2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~atm}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}} \text { decomposed }
$$

$$
\frac{2.0 \times 10^{-3}}{0.100} \times 100=2.0 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} \text { decomposed (moles and } \mathrm{P} \text { are directly related) }
$$

109. 

$$
\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ initial pressure of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x / 2$ |  |
| Equil. | $\mathrm{P}_{0}-x$ |  | $x$ | $x / 2$ |  |

Average molar mass of the mixture is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { average molar mass }=\frac{\mathrm{dRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{(1.60 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(873 \mathrm{~K})}{1.80 \mathrm{~atm}} & =63.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

The average molar mass is determined by:

$$
\text { average molar mass }=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}(80.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}(64.07 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}(32.00 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}}
$$

Because $\chi_{\mathrm{A}}=$ mol fraction of component $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{n}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}} / \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$ :
$63.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}==\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}(80.07)+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}(64.07)+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}(32.00)}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{0}-x+x+x / 2=\mathrm{P}_{0}+x / 2=1.80 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{P}_{0}=1.80-x / 2
$$

$63.7=\frac{\left(\mathrm{P}_{0}-x\right)(80.07)+x(64.07)+\frac{x}{2}(32.00)}{1.80}$
$63.7=\frac{(1.80-3 / 2 x)(80.07)+x(64.07)+\frac{x}{2}(32.00)}{1.80}$
$115=144-(120.1) x+(64.07) x+(16.00) x,(40.0) x=29, x=0.73 \mathrm{~atm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}=\mathrm{P}_{0}-x=1.80-(3 / 2) x=0.71 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}=0.73 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=x / 2=0.37 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}^{1 / 2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}}=\frac{(0.73)(0.37)^{1 / 2}}{(0.71)}=0.63
\end{aligned}
$$

110. The first reaction produces equal amounts of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$. Using the second reaction, calculate the $\mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ partial pressures at equilibrium.

$$
\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ |  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ initial pressure of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ and |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x / 2$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ after first reaction occurs. |
| Equil. | $\mathrm{P}_{0}-x$ |  | $\mathrm{P}_{0}+x$ | $x / 2$ |  |

$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{0}-x+\mathrm{P}_{0}+x+x / 2=2 \mathrm{P}_{0}+x / 2=0.836$ atm
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=x / 2=0.0275 \mathrm{~atm}, x=0.0550 \mathrm{~atm}$
$2 \mathrm{P}_{0}+x / 2=0.836 \mathrm{~atm} ; 2 \mathrm{P}_{0}=0.836-0.0275=0.809 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{P}_{0}=0.405 \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}=\mathrm{P}_{0}-x=0.405-0.0550=0.350 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{0}+x=0.405+0.0550=0.460 \mathrm{~atm}$
For the reaction $2 \mathrm{FeSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}=(0.460)(0.350)=0.161
$$

For the reaction $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}):$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}^{1 / 2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}}=\frac{(0.460)(0.0275)^{1 / 2}}{0.350}=0.218
$$

111. When exactly $100 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules are initially present at 5000 K and 1.000 atm :

$$
\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 100 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Change | -83 | +166 |
| Equil. | 17 | 166 |

Mole fraction $\mathrm{O}=\chi_{\mathrm{O}}=\frac{166}{183}=0.9071$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.0929 ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=\chi_{\mathrm{O}_{2}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}}=\chi_{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}$
Because initially $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=1.000 \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.0929 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}}=0.9071 \mathrm{~atm}$.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}=\frac{(0.9071)^{2}}{0.0929}=8.86 \mathrm{~atm}$

At $95.0 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}$ dissociated, let $x=$ initial partial pressure of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $y=$ amount (atm) of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ that dissociates to reach equilibrium.

$$
\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{O}
$$

$\begin{array}{lccc}\text { Initial } & x & & 0 \\ \text { Change } & -y & \rightarrow & +2 y \\ \text { Equil. } & x-y & & 2 y\end{array}$

$$
\frac{(2 y)^{2}}{x-y}=8.86 ; \frac{y}{x} \times 100=95.0 ; \text { we have two equations and two unknowns. }
$$

Solving: $x=0.123 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $y=0.117 \mathrm{~atm} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=(x-y)+2 y=0.240 \mathrm{~atm}$
112. a.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | $x$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Change | $-(0.16) x$ |
| Equil. | $(0.84) x$ |$\quad$| 0 |
| :---: |
|  |

$(0.84) x+(0.32) x=1.5 \mathrm{~atm}, x=1.3 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{(0.42)^{2}}{1.1}=0.16$
b. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; x+y=1.0 \mathrm{~atm} ; \quad \frac{y^{2}}{x}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.16$

Equil. $x \quad y$
We have 2 equations and 2 unknowns. Solving:

$$
x=0.67 \operatorname{atm}\left(=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}\right) \text { and } y=0.33 \operatorname{atm}\left(=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}\right)
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}$

| Initial | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ initial pressure of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | $-x$ | $+2 x$ |  |
| Equil. | 0.67 atm | 0.33 atm |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 x=0.33, x=0.165 \text { (using extra sig. figs.) } \\
& P_{0}-x=0.67, P_{0}=0.67+0.165=0.84 \mathrm{~atm} ; \frac{0.165}{0.84} \times 100=20 . \% \text { dissociated }
\end{aligned}
$$

113. a. Because the density (mass/volume) decreased while the mass remained constant (mass is conserved in a chemical reaction), the volume must have increased as reactants were converted to products. The volume increased because the number of moles of gas increased ( $\mathrm{V} \propto \mathrm{n}$ at constant T and P ).

$$
\frac{\text { Density (initial) }}{\text { Density (equil.) }}=\frac{4.495 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}}{4.086 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}}=1.100=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\text {equil. }}}{\mathrm{V}_{\text {initial }}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {equil. }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {initial }}}
$$

Assuming an initial volume of 1.000 L :
$4.495 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NOBr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NOBr}}{109.91 \mathrm{~g}}=0.04090 \mathrm{~mol}$ NOBr initially

$$
2 \mathrm{NOBr}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 0.04090 mol |  | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Change | $-2 x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.04090-2 x$ |  | $2 x$ | $x$ |

$$
\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\text {equil. }}}{\mathrm{n}_{\text {initial }}}=\frac{0.04090-2 x+2 x+x}{0.04090}=1.100 ; \text { solving: } x=0.00409 \mathrm{~mol}
$$

If the initial volume is 1.000 L , then the equilibrium volume will be $1.110(1.000 \mathrm{~L})=$ 1.110 L . Solving for the equilibrium concentrations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathrm{NOBr}]=\frac{0.03272 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.100 \mathrm{~L}}=0.02975 \mathrm{M} ; \quad[\mathrm{NO}]=\frac{0.00818 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.100 \mathrm{~L}}=0.00744 \mathrm{M} } \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]=\frac{0.00409 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.100 \mathrm{~L}}=0.00372 \mathrm{M} } \\
\mathrm{~K}= & \frac{(0.00744)^{2}(0.00372)}{(0.02975)^{2}}=2.33 \times 10^{-4}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. The argon gas will increase the volume of the container. This is because the container is a constant-pressure system, and if the number of moles increases at constant T and P , the volume must increase. An increase in volume will dilute the concentrations of all gaseous reactants and gaseous products. Because there are more moles of product gases versus reactant gases ( 3 mol versus 2 mol ), the dilution will decrease the numerator of K more than the denominator will decrease. This causes $\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}$ and the reaction shifts right to get back to equilibrium.

Because temperature was unchanged, the value of K will not change. K is a constant as long as temperature is constant.
114.

$$
\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=0.76
$$

| Initial | $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ | 0 | $\mathrm{P}_{0}=$ initial pressure of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{0}-x+2 x=\mathrm{P}_{0}+x=1.20 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{0}-x}=0.76,4 x^{2}=(0.76) \mathrm{P}_{0}-(0.76) x, \mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{4 x^{2}+(0.76) x}{0.76}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting into $\mathrm{P}_{0}+x=1.20$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{4 x^{2}}{0.76}+x+x=1.20 \mathrm{~atm},(5.3) x^{2}+2 x-1.20=0 ; \text { solving using the quadratic formula: } \\
x=\frac{-2 \pm(4+25.4)^{1 / 2}}{2(5.3)}=0.32 \mathrm{~atm} ; \quad \mathrm{P}_{0}+0.32=1.20, \mathrm{P}_{0}=0.88 \mathrm{~atm}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Integrative Problems

115. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HS}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{K}=400 .=\frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]}$

Initial $\frac{2.00 \mathrm{~mol}}{5.00 \mathrm{~L}} \quad \frac{2.00 \mathrm{~mol}}{5.00 \mathrm{~L}}$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reacts to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lcc}\text { Change } & -x & -x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.400-x & 0.400-x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}=400 .=\frac{1}{(0.400-x)(0.400-x)}, \quad 0.400-x=\left(\frac{1}{400 .}\right)^{1 / 2}=0.0500, \quad x=0.350 \mathrm{M}$
Moles $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HS}(\mathrm{s})$ produced $=5.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.350 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HS}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=1.75 \mathrm{~mol}$
Total moles $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HS}(\mathrm{s})=2.00 \mathrm{~mol}$ initially +1.75 mol produced $=3.75 \mathrm{~mol}$ total
$3.75 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HS} \times \frac{51.12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HS}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HS}}=192 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{HS}$
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]_{\mathrm{e}}=0.400 M-x=0.400 M-0.350 M=0.050 M \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}}}{\mathrm{~V}} \times \mathrm{RT}=\frac{0.050 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 308.2 \mathrm{~K}=1.3 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

116. See the hint for Exercise 71.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~g}) & \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{K}_{1}=(1 / 3.50)^{2}=8.16 \times 10^{-2} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{2}=7.10
\end{array} \\
2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~g}) & \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \times \mathrm{K}_{2}=0.579 \\
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~g}) & \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{RT})^{\Delta \mathrm{n}}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{n}=2-(1+1)=0 ; & \text { because } \Delta \mathrm{n}=0, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{K}=0.579 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

| Initial | 1.50 atm | 1.50 atm | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Equil. | $1.50-x$ | $1.50-x$ | $2 x$ |

$0.579=\mathrm{K}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{(1.50-x)(1.50-x)}=\frac{(2 x)^{2}}{(1.50-x)^{2}}$

$$
\frac{2 x}{1.50-x}=(0.579)^{1 / 2}=0.761, x=0.413 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

$P_{B}($ at equilibrium $)=2 x=2(0.413)=0.826 \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{D}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=2(1.50-0.413)+0.826=3.00 \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=\chi_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{P}_{\text {total, }}, \chi_{\mathrm{B}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}}=\frac{0.826 \mathrm{~atm}}{3.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=0.275$
117. Initial moles $\mathrm{VCl}_{4}=6.6834 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{VCl}_{4} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{VCl}_{4} / 192.74 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{VCl}_{4}=3.4676 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{VCl}_{4}$

Total molality of solute particles $=\mathrm{i} m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{5.97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{29.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.200 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
Because we have $0.1000 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, the total moles of solute particles present is:
$0.200 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}(0.1000 \mathrm{~kg})=0.0200 \mathrm{~mol}$

$$
2 \mathrm{VCl}_{4} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{~V}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{8} \quad \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{VCl}_{4}\right]^{2}}
$$

Initial $\quad 3.4676 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}$
$2 x \mathrm{~mol}_{\mathrm{VCl}}^{4}$ reacts to reach equilibrium
Equil. $3.4676 \times 10^{-2}-2 x$
Total moles solute particles $=0.0200 \mathrm{~mol}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{VCl}_{4}+\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}=3.4676 \times 10^{-2}-2 x+x$
$0.0200=3.4676 \times 10^{-2}-x, x=0.0147 \mathrm{~mol}$
At equilibrium, we have $0.0147 \mathrm{~mol}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}$ and $0.0200-0.0147=0.0053 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{VCl}_{4}$. To determine the equilibrium constant, we need the total volume of solution in order to calculate equilibrium concentrations. The total mass of solution is $100.0 \mathrm{~g}+6.6834 \mathrm{~g}=106.7 \mathrm{~g}$.

Total volume $=106.7 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / 1.696 \mathrm{~g}=62.91 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}=0.06291 \mathrm{~L}$
The equilibrium concentrations are:
$\left[\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}\right]=\frac{0.0147 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.06291 \mathrm{~L}}=0.234 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;\left[\mathrm{VCl}_{4}\right]=\frac{0.0053 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.06291 \mathrm{~L}}=0.084 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{8}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{VCl}_{4}\right]^{2}}=\frac{0.234}{(0.084)^{2}}=33$
118. Assuming 100.00 g naphthalene:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 93.71 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g}}=7.803 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} \\
& 6.29 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g}}=6.24 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; \quad \frac{7.803}{6.24}=1.25
\end{aligned}
$$

Empirical formula $=\left(\mathrm{C}_{1.25} \mathrm{H}\right)_{\times 4}=\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} ;$ molar mass $=\frac{32.8 \mathrm{~g}}{0.256 \mathrm{~mol}}=128 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Because the empirical mass ( $64.08 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) is one-half of 128 , the molecular formula is $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=4.29 \times 10^{-6}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]
$$

Initial
Let some $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~s})$ sublime to form $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})$ at equilibrium.
Equil.
$x$
$\mathrm{K}=4.29 \times 10^{-6}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right]=x$
Mol $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ sublimed $=5.00 \mathrm{~L} \times 4.29 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=2.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ sublimed
Mol C $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ initially $=3.00 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}}{128.16 \mathrm{~g}}=2.34 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ initially
Percent $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ sublimed $=\frac{2.15 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}}{2.34 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 100=0.0919 \%$

## Marathon Problem

119. $\frac{2.00 \mathrm{~g}}{165 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}=0.0121 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{XY}$ (initially)
$(0.350)(0.0121 \mathrm{~mol})=4.24 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{XY}$ dissociated

|  | XY | $\rightleftharpoons$ | X | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Y |  |  |
| Initial | 0.0121 mol |  | 0 | 0 |
| Change | -0.00424 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.00424 | +0.00424 |
| Equil. | 0.0079 mol |  | 0.00424 mol | 0.00424 mol |

Total moles of gas $=0.0079+0.00424+0.00424=0.0164 \mathrm{~mol}$
For an ideal gas at constant $P$ and $T, V \propto n$. So: $\frac{V_{\text {final }}}{V_{\text {initial }}}=\frac{n_{\text {final }}}{n_{\text {initial }}}=\frac{0.0164 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.0121 \mathrm{~mol}}=1.36$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {initial }}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{(0.0121 \mathrm{~mol})(0.008206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}{0.967 \mathrm{~atm}}=0.306 \mathrm{~L}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {final }}=0.306 \mathrm{~L}(1.36)=0.416 \mathrm{~L}$

Because mass is conserved in a chemical reaction:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { density (final) }=\frac{\text { mass }}{\text { volume }}=\frac{2.00 \mathrm{~g}}{0.416 \mathrm{~L}}=4.81 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \\
\mathrm{~K}=\frac{[\mathrm{X}][\mathrm{Y}]}{[\mathrm{XY}]}=\frac{\left(\frac{0.00424 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.416 \mathrm{~L}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\frac{0.0079 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.416 \mathrm{~L}}\right)}=5.5 \times 10^{-3}
\end{array}
$$

## CHAPTER 14

## ACIDS AND BASES

## Questions

19. Acids are proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$donors, and bases are proton acceptors.
$\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$as an acid: $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$as a base: $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$as an acid: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$as a base: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
20. Acidic solutions (at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) have an $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]>1.0 \times 10^{-7} M$, which gives a $\mathrm{pH}<7.0$. Because $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-14}$ and $\mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{pOH}=14.00$ for an aqueous solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, an acidic solution must also have $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]<1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{pOH}>7.00$. From these relationships, the solutions in parts $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and d are acidic. The solution in part c will have a $\mathrm{pH}>7.0(\mathrm{pH}=$ $14.00-4.51=9.49$ ) and is therefore not acidic (solution is basic).
21. Basic solutions (at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) have an $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]>1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$, which gives a $\mathrm{pOH}<7.0$. Because $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-14}$ and $\mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{pOH}=14.00$ for any aqueous solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a basic solution must also have $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]<1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{pH}>7.00$. From these relationships, the solutions in parts $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$, and d are basic solutions. The solution in part a will have a $\mathrm{pH}<7.0$ ( $\mathrm{pH}=14.00-11.21=2.79$ ) and is therefore not basic (solution is acidic).
22. When a strong acid ( HX ) is added to water, the reaction $\mathrm{HX}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}+\mathrm{X}^{-}$essentially goes to completion. All strong acids in water are completely converted into $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{X}^{-}$. Thus no acid stronger than $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$will remain undissociated in water. Similarly, when a strong base (B) is added to water, the reaction $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{BH}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$essentially goes to completion. All bases stronger than $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$are completely converted into $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$. Even though there are acids and bases stronger than $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, in water these acids and bases are completely converted into $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$.
23. $\quad 10.78$ (4 S.F.); 6.78 (3 S.F.); 0.78 (2 S.F.); a pH value is a logarithm. The numbers to the left of the decimal point identify the power of 10 to which $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$is expressed in scientific notation, for example, $10^{-11}, 10^{-7}, 10^{-1}$. The number of decimal places in a pH value identifies the number of significant figures in $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. In all three pH values, the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$should be expressed only to two significant figures because these pH values have only two decimal places.
24. A Lewis acid must have an empty orbital to accept an electron pair, and a Lewis base must have an unshared pair of electrons.
25. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$

Acid Base Conjugate Conjugate

One of the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules acts as a base and accepts a proton to form $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$. The other $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecule acts as an acid and donates a proton to form $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-} . \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is the conjugate acid of the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ base. In the reverse reaction, $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$donates a proton. $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$is the conjugate base of the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ acid. In the reverse reaction, $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{-}$accepts a proton. Conjugate acid-base pairs only differ by a $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in the formula.
26. a. The first equation is for the reaction of some generic acid, HA , with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

$$
\underset{\text { Acid }}{\mathrm{HA}}+\underset{\text { Base }}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \underset{\substack{\text { Conjugate } \\ \text { Acid of } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \underset{\text { Conjugate }}{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}}+\underset{\text { Base of HA }}{\mathrm{A}^{-}}}{+}
$$

HA is the proton donor (the acid) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is the proton acceptor (the base). In the reverse reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$is the proton donor (the acid) and $\mathrm{A}^{-}$is the proton acceptor (the base).

The second equation is for some generic base, B, with some generic acid, HX. Note that $B$ has three hydrogens bonded to it.

$$
\underset{\text { Base }}{\mathrm{B}+\underset{\text { Acid }}{\mathrm{HX}} \underset{\substack{\text { Conjugate } \\ \text { Acid of } \mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{BH}^{+}}+\underset{\substack{\text { Conjugate } \\ \text { Base of HX }}}{\mathrm{X}^{-}}}
$$

B is the proton acceptor (the base) and HX is the proton donor (the acid). When B accepts a proton, the central atom goes from having 3 bonded hydrogens to 4 bonded hydrogens. In the reverse reaction, $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$is the proton donor (the acid) and $\mathrm{X}^{-}$is the proton acceptor (the base).
b. Arrhenius acids produce $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in solution. So HA in the first equation is an Arrhenius acid. However, in the second equation, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is not a product, so HX is not an Arrhenius acid. Both HA in the first equation and HX in the second equation are proton donors, so both are considered Brønsted-Lowry acids. All Brønsted-Lowry acids are Lewis acids, that is, all Brønsted-Lowry acids are electron pair acceptors. So both HA and HX are Lewis acids. It is the proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$that accepts the lone pair

For the bases in the two equations, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and B , none of them produce $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$in their equations, so none of them are Arrhenius bases. Both $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and B accept protons, so both are Brønsted-Lowry bases. As with Brønsted-Lowry acids, all Brønsted-Lowry bases are also electron-pair donors, so both $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and B are Lewis bases. The oxygen in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the central atom in B will always have a lone pair to donate to the Lewis acid (the proton).
27. a. These are solutuions of strong acids like $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$. So 0.10 M solutions of any of the acids would be examples of a strong electrolyte solution that is very acidic.
b. These are solutions containing salts of the conjugate acids of the bases in Table 14.3. These conjugate acids are all weak acids, and they are cations with a $1+$ charge. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ are three examples of this type of slightly acidic salts. Note that the anions used to form these salts are conjugate bases of strong acids; this is so because they have no acidic or basic properties in water (with the exception of $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$, which has weak acid properties).
c. These are solutuions of strong bases like $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{RbOH}, \mathrm{CsOH}, \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. All of these strong bases are strong electrolytes.
d. These are solutions containing salts of the conjugate bases of the neutrally charged weak acids in Table 14.2. These conjugate bases are all weak bases, and they are anions with a 1 - charge. Three examples of this type of slightly basic salts are $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{KC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$. The cations used to form these salts are $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}, \mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ because these cations have no acidic or basic properties in water. Notice that these are the cations of the strong bases you should memorize.
e. There are two ways to make a neutral salt solutuions. The easiest way is to combine a conjugate base of a strong acid (except for $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$) with one of the cations from a strong base. These ions have no acidic/basic properties in water, so salts of these ions are neutral. Three examples are $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{KNO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{SrI}_{2}$. Another type of strong electrolyte that can produce neutral solutions are salts that contain an ion with weak acid properties combined with an ion of opposite charge having weak base properties. If the $K_{a}$ for the weak acid ion is equal to the $K_{b}$ for the weak base ion, then the salt will produce a neutral solution. The most common example of this type of salt is ammonium acetate $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$. For this salt, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}$. This salt at any concentration produces a neutral solution.
28. $\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}},-\log \left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=-\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$

$$
-\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}-\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=-\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}, \mathrm{p} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{p} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{p} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{w}}=14.00\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} \text { at } 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)
$$

29. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ or

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]
$$

b. $\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ or

c. $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}
$$

30. Only statement a is true (assuming the species is not amphoteric). You cannot add a base to water and get an acidic $\mathrm{pH}(\mathrm{pH}<7.0)$. For statement b , you can have negative pH values; this just indicates an $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]>1.0 \mathrm{M}$. For statement c , a dilute solution of a strong acid can have a higher pH than a more concentrated weak acid solution. For statement d , the $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ solution will have an $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$] twice of the same concentration of KOH , but this does not correspond to a pOH value twice that of the same concentration of KOH (prove it to yourselves).
31. a. This expression holds true for solutions of strong acids having a concentration greater than $1.0 \times 10^{-6} M .0 .10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}^{2} 7.8 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$, and $3.6 \times 10^{-4} M \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ are examples where this expression holds true.
b. This expression holds true for solutions of weak acids where the two normal assumptions hold. The two assumptions are that water does not contribute enough $\mathrm{H}^{+}$to solution to make a difference, and that the acid is less than $5 \%$ dissociated in water (from the assumption that $x$ is small compared to some number). This expression will generally hold true for solutions of weak acids having a $K_{a}$ value less than $1 \times 10^{-4}$, as long as there is a significant amount of weak acid present. Three example solutions are 1.5 M $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HOCl}$, and 0.72 M HCN .
c. This expression holds true for strong bases that donate $2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions per formula unit. As long as the concentration of the base is above $5 \times 10^{-7} M$, this expression will hold true. Three examples are $5.0 \times 10^{-3} M \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, 2.1 \times 10^{-4} M \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $9.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$.
d. This expression holds true for solutions of weak bases where the two normal assumptions hold. The assumptions are that the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$contribution from water is negligible and that and that the base is less than $5 \%$ ionized in water (for the $5 \%$ rule to hold). For the $5 \%$ rule to hold, you generally need bases with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}<1 \times 10^{-4}$, and concentrations of weak base greater than $0.10 M$. Three examples are $0.10 M \mathrm{NH}_{3}, 0.54 M \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, and 1.1 M $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$.
32. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is a weak acid with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=4.3 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=5.6 \times 10^{-11}$. The $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$concentration in solution will be determined from the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}$ reaction because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \gg \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \ll 1$, the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]<0.10 \mathrm{M}$; only a small percentage of the $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ will dissociate into $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. So statement a best describes the $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ is a strong acid as well as a very good weak acid ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \gg 1, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}$ ). All the $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution will dissociate into $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$and $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$. However, because $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$is a good weak acid due to the relatively large $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value, some of the $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$will dissociate into some more $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. Therefore, the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$] will be greater than 0.10 M but will not reach 0.20 M because only some of $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$will dissociate. Statement c is best for a $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution.
33. One reason HF is a weak acid is that the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ bond is unusually strong and is difficult to break. This contributes significantly to the reluctance of the HF molecules to dissociate in water.
34. a. Sulfur reacts with oxygen to produce $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$. These sulfur oxides both react with water to produce $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, respectively. Acid rain can result when sulfur emissions are not controlled. Note that, in general, nonmetal oxides react with water to produce acidic solutions.
b. CaO reacts with water to produce $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, a strong base. A gardener mixes lime $(\mathrm{CaO})$ into soil in order to raise the pH of the soil. The effect of adding lime is to add $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. Note that, in general, metal oxides react with water to produce basic solutions.

## Exercises

## Nature of Acids and Bases

35. a. $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. Only the forward reaction is indicated because $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ is a strong acid and is basically $100 \%$ dissociated in water. For acids, the dissociation reaction is commonly written without water as a reactant. The common abbreviation for this reaction is $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. This reaction is also called the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction because the equilibrium constant for this reaction is designated as $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
b. Propanoic acid is a weak acid, so it is only partially dissociated in water. The dissociation reaction is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \text { or } \\
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid. Similar to propanoic acid, the dissociation reaction is:

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \text { or } \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

36. The dissociation reaction (the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction) of an acid in water commonly omits water as a reactant. We will follow this practice. All dissociation reactions produce $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and the conjugate base of the acid that is dissociated.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } \quad \mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HCN}]} \\
\text { b. } \quad \mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]} \\
\text { c. } & \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{array} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}\right]}
$$

37. An acid is a proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$donor, and a base is a proton acceptor. A conjugate acid-base pair differs by only a proton $\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Acid Base Base of Acid

| a. | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| b. | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ |
| c. | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ |

38. 

Acid
a. $\quad \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+} \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+} \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
c. $\mathrm{HOCl} \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$

Conjugate
Base of Acid

$$
\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}
$$

$\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$
$\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$

Conjugate
Acid of Base
$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
Conjugate
Acid of Base
$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$
$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$
$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$
39. Strong acids have a $K_{a} \gg 1$, and weak acids have $K_{a}<1$. Table 14.2 in the text lists some $K_{a}$ values for weak acids. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for strong acids are hard to determine, so they are not listed in the text. However, there are only a few common strong acids so, if you memorize the strong acids, then all other acids will be weak acids. The strong acids to memorize are HCl , $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
a. $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ is a strong acid.
b. HOCl is a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.5 \times 10^{-8}\right)$.
c. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ is a strong acid.
d. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ is a weak diprotic acid because the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ values are much less than 1 .
40. The beaker on the left represents a strong acid in solution; the acid HA is $100 \%$ dissociated into the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ions. The beaker on the right represents a weak acid in solution; only a little bit of the acid HB dissociates into ions, so the acid exists mostly as undissociated HB molecules in water.
a. $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ : weak acid beaker
b. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ : strong acid beaker
c. HCl: strong acid beaker
d. HF: weak acid beaker
e. $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : weak acid beaker
41. The $K_{a}$ value is directly related to acid strength. As $K_{a}$ increases, acid strength increases. For water, use $K_{w}$ when comparing the acid strength of water to other species. The $K_{a}$ values are:
$\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ : strong acid ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \gg 1$ ); $\mathrm{HClO}_{2}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}$

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}
$$

From the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, the ordering is $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}>\mathrm{HClO}_{2}>\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
42. Except for water, these are the conjugate bases of the acids in the previous exercise. In general, the weaker the acid, the stronger is the conjugate base. $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$is the conjugate base of a strong acid; it is a terrible base (worse than water). The ordering is $\mathrm{NH}_{3}>\mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}>$ $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$
43. a. HCl is a strong acid, and water is a very weak acid with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} . \mathrm{HCl}$ is a much stronger acid than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
b. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} ; \mathrm{HNO}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4} ; \mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ is a stronger acid than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
c. $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \mathrm{HCN}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.2 \times 10^{-10}$; HCN is a slightly stronger acid than $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{HCN}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$.
44. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; the conjugate bases of strong acids are extremely weak bases $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}<1 \times 10^{-14}\right)$.
b. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$; the conjugate bases of weak acids are weak bases $\left(1 \times 10^{-14}<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}<1\right)$.
c. $\quad \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$; for a conjugate acid-base pair, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$. From this relationship, the stronger the acid, the weaker is the conjugate base ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ decreases as $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ increases). Because HCN is a stronger acid than $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ for $\mathrm{HCN}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\left.\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right), \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}$ will be a stronger base than $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$.

## Autoionization of Water and the pH Scale

45. At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the relationship $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}$ always holds for aqueous solutions. When $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$is greater than $1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$, the solution is acidic; when $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$is less than $1.0 \times$ $10^{-7} M$, the solution is basic; when $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-7} M$, the solution is neutral. In terms of $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$, an acidic solution has $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]<1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$, a basic solution has $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]>1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ $M$, and a neutral solution has $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$.
a. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.0 \times 10^{-7}}=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$; the solution is neutral.
b. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{8.3 \times 10^{-16}}=12 \mathrm{M}$; the solution is basic.
c. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{12}=8.3 \times 10^{-16} M$; the solution is acidic.
d. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{5.4 \times 10^{-5}}=1.9 \times 10^{-10} M$; the solution is acidic.
46. a. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.5}=6.7 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M}$; basic
b. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{3.6 \times 10^{-15}}=2.8 \mathrm{M}$; acidic
c. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.0 \times 10^{-7}}=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$; neutral
d. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{7.3 \times 10^{-4}}=1.4 \times 10^{-11} M$; basic
47. a. Because the value of the equilibrium constant increases as the temperature increases, the reaction is endothermic. In endothermic reactions, heat is a reactant, so an increase in temperature (heat) shifts the reaction to produce more products and increases K in the process.
b. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=5.47 \times 10^{-14}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$at $50 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

In pure water $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$, so $5.47 \times 10^{-14}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.34 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$
48. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=2.92 \times 10^{-14}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$

In pure water: $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right], 2.92 \times 10^{-14}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.71 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$
b. $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=-\log \left(1.71 \times 10^{-7}\right)=6.767$
c. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\left(2.92 \times 10^{-14}\right) / 0.10=2.9 \times 10^{-13} M ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(2.9 \times 10^{-13}\right)=12.54$
49. $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] ;$at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{pOH}=14.00$; for Exercise 45:
a. $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=-\log \left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)=7.00 ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-\mathrm{pH}=14.00-7.00=7.00$
b. $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(8.3 \times 10^{-16}\right)=15.08 ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-15.08=-1.08$
c. $\mathrm{pH}=-\log (12)=-1.08 ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-(-1.08)=15.08$
d. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(5.4 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.27 ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-4.27=9.73$

Note that pH is less than zero when $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$is greater than 1.0 M (an extremely acidic solution). For Exercise 46:
a. $\quad \mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=-\log (1.5)=-0.18 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-(-0.18)=14.18$
b. $\mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left(3.6 \times 10^{-15}\right)=14.44 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-14.44=-0.44$
c. $\quad \mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)=7.00 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-7.00=7.00$
d. $\mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left(7.3 \times 10^{-4}\right)=3.14 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-3.14=10.86$

Note that pH is greater than 14.00 when $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$is greater than 1.0 M (an extremely basic solution).
50. a. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pH}},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-7.40}=4.0 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-\mathrm{pH}=14.00-7.40=6.60 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pOH}}=10^{-6.60}=2.5 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}
$$

or $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.0 \times 10^{-8}}=2.5 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$; this solution is basic since $\mathrm{pH}>7.00$.
b. $\quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-15.3}=5 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-15.3=-1.3 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-(-1.3)}=20 \mathrm{M}$; basic
c. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-(-1.0)}=10 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.0-(-1.0)=15.0 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-15.0}=$ $1 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M}$; acidic
d. $\quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-3.20}=6.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-3.20=10.80 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-10.80}=$ $1.6 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$; acidic
e. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-5.0}=1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=14.0-\mathrm{pOH}=14.0-5.0=9.0 ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-9.0}=$ $1 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$; basic
f. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-9.60}=2.5 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-9.60=4.40 ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-4.40}=4.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$; acidic
51. a. $\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-6.88=7.12 ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-6.88}=1.3 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-7.12}=7.6 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$; acidic
b. $\quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{8.4 \times 10^{-14}}=0.12 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log (0.12)=0.92$
$\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-0.92=13.08$; acidic
c. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=14.00-3.11=10.89 ; \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-10.89}=1.3 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-3.11}=7.8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$; basic
d. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)=7.00 ; \quad \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-7.00=7.00$ $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-7.00}=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$; neutral
52. a. $\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-9.63=4.37 ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-9.63}=2.3 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-4.37}=4.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$; basic
b. $\quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{3.9 \times 10^{-6}}=2.6 \times 10^{-9} M ; \quad \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(2.6 \times 10^{-9}\right)=8.59$
$\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-8.59=5.41 ;$ basic
c. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=-\log (0.027)=1.57 ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-1.57=12.43$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-12.43}=3.7 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M}$; acidic
d. $\mathrm{pH}=14.00-1.22=12.78 ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-12.78}=1.7 \times 10^{-13} M$

$$
\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-1.22}=0.060 \mathrm{M} ; \text { basic }
$$

53. $\quad \mathrm{pOH}=14.0-\mathrm{pH}=14.0-2.1=11.9 ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pH}}=10^{-2.1}=8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ (1 sig. fig.)
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{8 \times 10^{-3}}=1 \times 10^{-12} M$ or $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pOH}}=10^{-11.9}=1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M}$
The sample of gastric juice is acidic because the pH is less than 7.00 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
54. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=14.00-\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-5.74=8.26 ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pH}}=10^{-8.26}=5.5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{5.5 \times 10^{-9}}=1.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ or $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pOH}}=10^{-5.74}=1.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$
The solution of baking soda is basic because the pH is greater than 7.00 at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Solutions of Acids

55. All the acids in this problem are strong acids that are always assumed to completely dissociate in water. The general dissociation reaction for a strong acid is $\mathrm{HA}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+$ $\mathrm{A}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$, where $\mathrm{A}^{-}$is the conjugate base of the strong acid HA. For 0.250 M solutions of these strong acids, $\quad 0.250 M \mathrm{H}^{+}$and $0.250 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{A}^{-}$are present when the acids completely dissociate. The amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$donated from water will be insignificant in this problem since $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a very weak acid.
a. $\quad$ Major species present after dissociation $=\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$;

$$
\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=-\log (0.250)=0.602
$$

b. $\quad$ Major species $=\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{pH}=0.602$
56. Both are strong acids, which are assumed to completely dissociate in water.
$0.0500 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.050 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=2.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HBr}=2.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}+2.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Br}^{-}$
$0.1500 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HI}=1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}+1.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{I}^{-}$

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{\left(2.5 \times 10^{-3}+1.5 \times 10^{-2}\right) \mathrm{mol}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.088 \mathrm{M} ; \quad \mathrm{pH}=-\log (0.088)=1.06
$$

57. Strong acids are assumed to completely dissociate in water; for example; $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
$\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ or $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$.
a. A 0.10 M HCl solution gives $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$and $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$because HCl completely dissociates. The amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will be insignificant.

$$
\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=-\log (0.10)=1.00
$$

b. $\quad 5.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$is produced when 5.0 M HClO 4 completely dissociates. The amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will be insignificant. $\mathrm{pH}=-\log (5.0)=-0.70$ (Negative pH values just indicate very concentrated acid solutions.)
c. $\quad 1.0 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$is produced when $1.0 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HI}$ completely dissociates. If you take the negative $\log$ of $1.0 \times 10^{-11}$, this gives $\mathrm{pH}=11.00$. This is impossible! We dissolved an acid in water and got a basic pH . What we must consider in this problem is that water by itself donates $1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$. We can normally ignore the small amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ except when we have a very dilute solution of an acid (as in the case here). Therefore, the pH is that of neutral water $(\mathrm{pH}=7.00)$ because the amount of HI present is insignificant.
58. $\quad \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is a strong acid, which means it is assumed to completely dissociate in water. The initial concentration of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ will equal the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$ donated by the strong acid.
a. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=-\log \left(2.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)=1.70$
b. $\mathrm{pH}=-\log (4.0)=-0.60$
c. Because the concentration of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is so dilute, the pH will be that of neutral water ( pH $=7.00$ ). In this problem, water is the major $\mathrm{H}^{+}$producer present. Whenever the strong acid has a concentration less than $1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$, the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$contribution from water must be considered.
59. $\quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pH}}=10^{-2.50}=3.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$. Because HI is a strong acid, a $3.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HI}$ solution will produce $3.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$, giving a $\mathrm{pH}=2.50$.
60. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pH}}=10^{-4.25}=5.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$. Because HBr is a strong acid, a $5.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HBr}$ solution is necessary to produce a $\mathrm{pH}=4.25$ solution.
61. HCl is a strong acid. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-1.50}=3.16 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ (carrying one extra sig. fig.)
$M_{1} \mathrm{~V}_{1}=M_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{~V}_{1}=\frac{M_{2} \mathrm{~V}_{2}}{M_{1}}=\frac{3.16 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \times 1.6 \mathrm{~L}}{12 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=4.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}$
Add 4.2 mL of 12 M HCl to water with mixing; add enough water to make 1600 mL of solution. The resulting solution will have $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{pH}=1.50$.
62. 50.0 mL conc. $\mathrm{HCl} \operatorname{soln} \times \frac{1.19 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HCl}}{100 \mathrm{~g} \text { conc. } \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{soln}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}}{36.5 \mathrm{~g}}=0.62 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}$
20.0 mL conc. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3} \operatorname{soln} \times \frac{1.42 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{70 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{100 \mathrm{~g} \text { soln }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}}{63.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HNO}} 3 \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad$ (Both are strong acids.)
So we will have $0.62+0.32=0.94 \mathrm{~mol}$ of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in the final solution.
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{0.94 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}=0.94 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=-\log (0.94)=0.027=0.03$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{0.94}=1.1 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{M}$
63. a. $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)$ are the major species. $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ is a much stronger acid than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, so it is the major source of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. However, $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ is a weak acid ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}<1$ ), so it only partially dissociates in water. We must solve an equilibrium problem to determine $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. In the Solutions Guide, we will summarize the initial, change, and equilibrium concentrations into one table called the ICE table. Solving the weak acid problem:

$$
\mathrm{HNO}_{2} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}
$$

Initial $0.250 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.250-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.250-x}$; if we assume $x \ll 0.250$, then:

$$
4.0 \times 10^{-4} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.250}, \quad x=\sqrt{4.0 \times 10^{-4}(0.250)}=0.010 \mathrm{M}
$$

We must check the assumption: $\frac{x}{0.250} \times 100=\frac{0.010}{0.250} \times 100=4.0 \%$
All the assumptions are good. The $\mathrm{H}^{+}$contribution from water $\left(1 \times 10^{-7} M\right)$ is negligible, and $x$ is small compared to 0.250 (percent error $=4.0 \%$ ). If the percent error is less than $5 \%$ for an assumption, we will consider it a valid assumption (called the $5 \%$ rule). Finishing the problem:

$$
x=0.010 M=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log (0.010)=2.00
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)$ are the major species.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ is the major source of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Solving the weak acid problem:

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}
$$

Initial

$$
0.250 M \quad \sim 0
$$

0
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium
Change
Equil.

| $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.250-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]}, 1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.250-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.250} \quad$ (assuming $x \ll 0.250$ )
$x=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; checking assumption: $\frac{2.1 \times 10^{-3}}{0.250} \times 100=0.84 \%$. Assumptions good .
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=x=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(2.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.68$
64. a. $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)$ are the major species. The major equilibrium is the dissociation of $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$. Solving the weak acid problem:

$$
\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}
$$

Initial $0.250 M \quad \sim 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lclrr}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.250-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.250-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.250} \quad$ (assuming $x \ll 0.250$ )
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=6.3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$; checking assumption: $x$ is $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \%$ of 0.250 , so assumption is valid by the $5 \%$ rule.
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(6.3 \times 10^{-6}\right)=5.20$
b. $\mathrm{HCN}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.2 \times 10^{-10}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are the major species. HCN is the major source of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$.

|  | HCN | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ |  |  |
| Initial | $0.250 M$ | $\sim 0$ | 0 |  |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ HCN dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.250-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.2 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HCN}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.250-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.250} \quad$ (assuming $x \ll 0.250$ )
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$; checking assumption: $x$ is $4.8 \times 10^{-3} \%$ of 0.250 .
Assumptions good. $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.2 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.92$
65. This is a weak acid in water. Solving the weak acid problem:

$$
\mathrm{HF} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{F}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}
$$

Initial $0.020 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HF}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lclrr}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.020-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.020-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.020} \quad$ (assuming $x \ll 0.020$ )
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; check assumptions:

$$
\frac{x}{0.020} \times 100=\frac{3.8 \times 10^{-3}}{0.020} \times 100=19 \%
$$

The assumption $x \ll 0.020$ is not good ( $x$ is more than $5 \%$ of 0.020 ). We must solve $x^{2} /(0.020-x)=7.2 \times 10^{-4}$ exactly by using either the quadratic formula or the method of successive approximations (see Appendix 1 of the text). Using successive approximations, we let $0.016 M$ be a new approximation for [HF]. That is, in the denominator try $x=0.0038$ (the value of $x$ we calculated making the normal assumption) so that $0.020-0.0038=0.016$; then solve for a new value of $x$ in the numerator.

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{0.020-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.016}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}, x=3.4 \times 10^{-3}
$$

We use this new value of $x$ to further refine our estimate of [HF], that is, $0.020-x=$ $0.020-0.0034=0.0166$ (carrying an extra sig. fig.).

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{0.020-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0166}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}, x=3.5 \times 10^{-3}
$$

We repeat until we get a self-consistent answer. This would be the same answer we would get solving exactly using the quadratic equation. In this case it is, $x=3.5 \times 10^{-3}$. Thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]=x=3.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.9 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M}} \\
& {[\mathrm{HF}]=0.020-x=0.020-0.0035=0.017 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=2.46}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: When the 5\% assumption fails, use whichever method you are most comfortable with to solve exactly. The method of successive approximations is probably fastest when the percent error is less than $\sim 25 \%$ (unless you have a graphing calculator).
66. $\mathrm{HClO}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}$

| Initial | 0.22 M | $\sim 0$ | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol}^{2} / \mathrm{HClO}$ | dissociates to rea |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HClO}_{2}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.22-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.22}, x=5.1 \times 10^{-2}$
The assumption that $x$ is small is not good ( $x$ is $23 \%$ of 0.22 ). Using the method of successive approximations and carrying extra significant figures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x^{2}}{0.22-0.051} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.169}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}, x=4.5 \times 10^{-2} \\
& \frac{x^{2}}{0.175}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}, x=4.6 \times 10^{-2} \text { (consistent answer) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}\right]=x=4.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$; percent dissociation $=\frac{4.6 \times 10^{-2}}{0.22} \times 100=21 \%$
67. $\quad \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)$ are the major species present. $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ will be the dominant producer of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$because $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is a stronger acid than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Solving the weak acid problem:

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}
$$

Initial $0.100 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.3 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.96$
Assumption follows the $5 \%$ rule ( $x$ is $1.1 \%$ of 0.100 ).
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=1.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{w} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=9.1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=0.100-1.1 \times 10^{-3}=0.099 \mathrm{M}$
Percent dissociation $=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0}} \times 100=\frac{1.1 \times 10^{-3}}{0.100} \times 100=1.1 \%$
68. This is a weak acid in water. We must solve a weak acid problem. Let $\mathrm{HBz}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$.

$$
0.56 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HBz} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HBz}}{122.1 \mathrm{~g}}=4.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} ;[\mathrm{HBz}]_{0}=4.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HBz} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Bz}^{-} \\
& \text {Initial } \quad 4.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0 \\
& x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HBz} \text { dissociates to reach equilibrium } \\
& \begin{array}{lcccc}
\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\
\text { Equil. } & 4.6 \times 10^{-3}-x & & x & x
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.4 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Bz}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HBz}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{\left(4.6 \times 10^{-3}-x\right)} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{4.6 \times 10^{-3}} \\
& x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=5.4 \times 10^{-4} ; \quad \text { check assumptions: } \frac{x}{4.6 \times 10^{-3}} \times 100=\frac{5.4 \times 10^{-4}}{4.6 \times 10^{-3}} \times 100=12 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

Assumption is not good ( $x$ is $12 \%$ of $4.6 \times 10^{-3}$ ). When assumption(s) fail, we must solve exactly using the quadratic formula or the method of successive approximations (see Appendix 1 of text). Using successive approximations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x^{2}}{\left(4.6 \times 10^{-3}\right)-\left(5.4 \times 10^{-4}\right)}=6.4 \times 10^{-5}, x=5.1 \times 10^{-4} \\
& \frac{x^{2}}{\left(4.6 \times 10^{-3}\right)-\left(5.1 \times 10^{-4}\right)}=6.4 \times 10^{-5}, x=5.1 \times 10^{-4} M \text { (consistent answer) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus: $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{Bz}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right]=5.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
$[\mathrm{HBz}]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]=4.6 \times 10^{-3}-x=4.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(5.1 \times 10^{-4}\right)=3.29 ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-\mathrm{pH}=10.71 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-10.71}=1.9 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$
69. Major species: $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.35 \times 10^{-3}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; major source of $\mathrm{H}^{+}: \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}$

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}
$$

Initial
$0.10 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.10-x$ |  |  |  |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.35 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}, x=1.2 \times 10^{-2} M
$$

Checking the assumptions finds that $x$ is $12 \%$ of 0.10 , which fails the $5 \%$ rule. We must solve $1.35 \times 10^{-3}=x^{2} /(0.10-x)$ exactly using either the method of successive approximations or the quadratic equation. Using either method gives $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$.
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=-\log \left(1.1 \times 10^{-2}\right)=1.96$.
70. $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]=\frac{2 \text { tablets } \times \frac{0.325 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}}{\text { tablet }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}}{180.15 \mathrm{~g}}}{0.237 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0152 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}
$$

Initial $0.0152 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lclrr}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.0152-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.3 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0152-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0152}, x=2.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
Assumption that $0.0152-x \approx 0.0152$ fails the $5 \%$ rule: $\frac{2.2 \times 10^{-3}}{0.0152} \times 100=14 \%$
Using successive approximations or the quadratic equation gives an exact answer of $x=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$.
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=x=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(2.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.68$
71. HF and $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ are both weak acids with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values of $7.2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $1.6 \times 10^{-10}$, respectively. Since the $K_{a}$ value for HF is much greater than the $K_{a}$ value for $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, HF will be the dominant producer of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$(we can ignore the amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$produced from $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ because it will be insignificant).

|  | HF | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ |  |  |  |
| Initial | 1.0 M |  | $\sim$ | 0 |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ | HF | dissociates to reach | 0 equilibrium |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $1.0-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.0-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.0}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(2.7 \times 10^{-2}\right)=1.57$; assumptions good.

Solving for $\left[\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}\right]$using $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$equilibrium:

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]}=\frac{\left(2.7 \times 10^{-2}\right)\left[\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}\right]}{1.0},\left[\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}\right]=5.9 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}
$$

Note that this answer indicates that only $5.9 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ dissociates, which confirms that HF is truly the only significant producer of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in this solution.
72. a. The initial concentrations are halved since equal volumes of the two solutions are mixed.

|  | $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$ |  |  |
| Initial | 0.100 M | $5.00 \times 10^{-4} M$ | 0 |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ | $5.00 \times 10^{-4}+x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x\left(5.00 \times 10^{-4}+x\right)}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x\left(5.00 \times 10^{-4}\right)}{0.100}$
$x=3.6 \times 10^{-3}$; assumption is horrible. Using the quadratic formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x^{2}+\left(5.18 \times 10^{-4}\right) x-1.8 \times 10^{-6}=0 \\
& x=1.1 \times 10^{-3} M ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=5.00 \times 10^{-4}+x=1.6 \times 10^{-3} M ; \mathrm{pH}=2.80
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $x=\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=1.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
73. In all parts of this problem, acetic acid $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ is the best weak acid present. We must solve a weak acid problem.
a.

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}
$$

Initial

$$
0.50 \mathrm{M}
$$

~0
0
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.50-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.50-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.50}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; assumptions good.
Percent dissociation $=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0}} \times 100=\frac{3.0 \times 10^{-3}}{0.50} \times 100=0.60 \%$
b. The setup for solutions b and c are similar to solution a except that the final equation is different because the new concentration of $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is different.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.050}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=9.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$; assumptions good.
Percent dissociation $=\frac{9.5 \times 10^{-4}}{0.050} \times 100=1.9 \%$
c. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0050-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0050}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=3.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$; check assumptions.

Assumption that $x$ is negligible is borderline ( $6.0 \%$ error). We should solve exactly. Using the method of successive approximations (see Appendix 1 of the text):

$$
1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0050-\left(3.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0047}, x=2.9 \times 10^{-4}
$$

Next trial also gives $x=2.9 \times 10^{-4}$.
Percent dissociation $=\frac{2.9 \times 10^{-4}}{5.0 \times 10^{-3}} \times 100=5.8 \%$
d. As we dilute a solution, all concentrations are decreased. Dilution will shift the equilibrium to the side with the greater number of particles. For example, suppose we double the volume of an equilibrium mixture of a weak acid by adding water; then:

$$
\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\left(\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}}{2}\right)\left(\frac{\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]_{\mathrm{eq}}}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{[\mathrm{HX}]_{\mathrm{eq}}}{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}
$$

$\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$, so the equilibrium shifts to the right or toward a greater percent dissociation.
e. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$depends on the initial concentration of weak acid and on how much weak acid dissociates. For solutions a-c, the initial concentration of acid decreases more rapidly than the percent dissociation increases. Thus $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$decreases.
74. a. $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is a strong acid; it is assumed $100 \%$ dissociated in solution.
b. $\quad \mathrm{HNO}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}$

Initial $0.20 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lclcr}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.20-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.20}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\right]=8.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; assumptions good.
Percent dissociation $=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]_{0}} \times 100=\frac{8.9 \times 10^{-3}}{0.20} \times 100=4.5 \%$
c.
$\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}$
Initial $\begin{gathered}0.20 \mathrm{M} \\ \\ \\ x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \text { dissociates to reach equilibrium }\end{gathered}$
Change $-x \rightarrow+x \quad+x$
Equil. $0.20-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.20}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}\right]=5.7 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ;$ assumptions good.
Percent dissociation $=\frac{5.7 \times 10^{-6}}{0.20} \times 100=2.9 \times 10^{-3} \%$
d. For the same initial concentration, the percent dissociation increases as the strength of the acid increases (as $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ increases).
75. Let HA symbolize the weak acid. Set up the problem like a typical weak acid equilibrium problem.

| Initial | $0.15 M$ |  | $\sim 0$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ | HA dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |

If the acid is $3.0 \%$ dissociated, then $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$is $3.0 \%$ of $0.15: x=0.030 \times(0.15 \mathrm{M})=$
$4.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$. Now that we know the value of $x$, we can solve for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.15-x}=\frac{\left(4.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}{0.15-\left(4.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)}=1.4 \times 10^{-4}$
76. $\quad \mathrm{HX} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{X}^{-}$

Initial | I |
| :---: |
| $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ |
|  |
|  |
| HXX |
| dissociates to reach equilibrium |$\quad$ where $\mathrm{I}=[\mathrm{HX}]_{0}$

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $\mathrm{I}-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

From the problem, $x=0.25(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{I}-x=0.30 \mathrm{M}$.
$\mathrm{I}-0.25(\mathrm{I})=0.30 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{I}=0.40 \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{x}=0.25(0.40 \mathrm{M})=0.10 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HX}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{\mathrm{I}-x}=\frac{(0.10)^{2}}{0.30}=0.033$
77. $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ is a strong acid with $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.040 \mathrm{M}$. This equals the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$in the trichloroacetic acid solution. Set up the problem using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ equilibrium reaction for $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$.

$$
\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}
$$

Initial $0.050 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $0.050-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-x} ;$ from the problem, $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}}{0.050-\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)}=0.16$
78. Set up the problem using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ equilibrium reaction for HOBr .
$\mathrm{HOBr} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OBr}^{-}$
Initial $0.063 M \quad \sim 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HOBr}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.063-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OBr}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HOBr}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.063-x}$; from $\mathrm{pH}=4.95: x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-\mathrm{pH}}=10^{-4.95}=1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$
$K_{a}=\frac{\left(1.1 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}{0.063-1.1 \times 10^{-5}}=1.9 \times 10^{-9}$
79. Major species: HCOOH and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; major source of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$: HCOOH

$$
\mathrm{HCOOH} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{HCOO}^{-}
$$

Initial $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{C} \\ x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \\ \mathrm{HCOOH} \\ \sim\end{gathered} \underset{0}{\sim} \quad 0 \quad$ where $\mathrm{C}=[\mathrm{HCOOH}]_{0}$

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $\mathrm{C}-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{HCOO}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HCOOH}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{\mathrm{C}-x}$, where $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$
$1.8 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\mathrm{C}-\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}$; because $\mathrm{pH}=2.70:\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-2.70}=2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$1.8 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left(2.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{C}-\left(2.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)}, \mathrm{C}-\left(2.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)=\frac{4.0 \times 10^{-6}}{1.8 \times 10^{-4}}, \mathrm{C}=2.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
A 0.024 M formic acid solution will have $\mathrm{pH}=2.70$.
80. Major species: $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (acetic acid) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; major source of $\mathrm{H}^{+}: \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}
$$

| Initial | C | $\sim 0$ | 0 | where $\mathrm{C}=\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HC}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $\mathrm{C}-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{\mathrm{C}-x}$, where $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$
$1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\mathrm{C}-\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]} ;$from $\mathrm{pH}=3.0:\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-3.0}=1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left(1 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{C}-\left(1 \times 10^{-3}\right)}, \mathrm{C}-\left(1 \times 10^{-3}\right)=\frac{1 \times 10^{-6}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}, \mathrm{C}=5.7 \times 10^{-2} \approx 6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
A $6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ acetic acid solution will have $\mathrm{pH}=3.0$.
81. $[\mathrm{HA}]_{0}=\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~mol}}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}}=0.50 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; solve using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ equilibrium reaction.

|  | HA | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ |  |  |
| Initial | $0.50 M$ |  | $\sim 0$ |  |
| Equil. | $0.50-x$ |  | $x$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.50-x}$; in this problem, $[\mathrm{HA}]=0.45 \mathrm{M}$ so:

$$
[\mathrm{HA}]=0.45 \mathrm{M}=0.50 \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{x}, \quad x=0.05 \mathrm{M}
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{(0.05)^{2}}{0.45}=6 \times 10^{-3}$
82. Let HSac $=$ saccharin and $\mathrm{I}=[\mathrm{HSac}]_{0}$.

$$
\mathrm{HSac} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Sac}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=10^{-11.70}=2.0 \times 10^{-12}
$$

| Initial | I | $\sim 0$ | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $\mathrm{I}-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.0 \times 10^{-12}=\frac{x^{2}}{\mathrm{I}-x} ; x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-5.75}=1.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} \\
& 2.0 \times 10^{-12}=\frac{\left(1.8 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{I}-\left(1.8 \times 10^{-6}\right)}, \quad \mathrm{I}=1.6 \mathrm{M}=[\mathrm{HSac}]_{0} \\
& 100.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NSO}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{183.19 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{1.6 \mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}}=340 \mathrm{~mL}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Solutions of Bases

83. All $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reactions refer to the base reacting with water to produce the conjugate acid of the base and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$.
a. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}$
b. $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}$
84. a. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]}$
b. $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]}
$$

85. $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$: Because $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ is a strong acid, $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$is a terrible base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} \ll \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$. All conjugate bases of strong acids have no base strength.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} ; \mathrm{NH}_{3}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5} ; \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.7 \times 10^{-9}$
Base strength $=\mathrm{NH}_{3}>\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}>\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(As $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ increases, base strength increases.)
86. Excluding water, these are the conjugate acids of the bases in the preceding exercise. In general, the stronger the base, the weaker is the conjugate acid. Note: Even though $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}$are conjugate acids of weak bases, they are still weak acids with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values between $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and 1. Prove this to yourself by calculating the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\right.$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ).

Acid strength $=\mathrm{HNO}_{3}>\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}>\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
87.
a. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$
b. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$
c. $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$
d. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$

The base with the largest $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value is the strongest base ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}}=3.8 \times 10^{-10}$, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}}=4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ ). $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is the strongest base possible in water.
88.
a. $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ (a strong acid)
b. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$
c. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}$

The acid with the largest $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value is the strongest acid. To calculate $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$, use $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$, where $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ refers to the bases $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$.
89. $\mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{NaOH}$ is a strong base that completely dissociates into $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. The initial concentration of NaOH will equal the concentration of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$donated by NaOH .
a. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.10 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=-\log (0.10)=1.00$
$\mathrm{pH}=14.00-\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-1.00=13.00$
Note that $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is also present, but the amount of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$produced by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will be insignificant compared to the $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$produced from the NaOH .
b. The $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$concentration donated by the NaOH is $1.0 \times 10^{-10} M$. Water by itself donates $1.0 \times 10^{-7} M$. In this exercise, water is the major $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$contributor, and $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times$ $10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$.
$\mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)=7.00 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-7.00=7.00$
c. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2.0 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=-\log (2.0)=-0.30 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-(-0.30)=14.30$
90. a. $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} ; \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is a strong base and dissociates completely.
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2(0.00040)=8.0 \times 10^{-4} M ; \mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=3.10$
$\mathrm{pH}=14.00-\mathrm{pOH}=10.90$
b. $\frac{25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}{56.11 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KOH}}=0.45 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH} / \mathrm{L}$

KOH is a strong base, so $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.45 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=-\log (0.45)=0.35 ; \mathrm{pH}=13.65$
c. $\frac{150.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{40.00 \mathrm{~g}}=3.750 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{NaOH}$ is a strong base, so $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=3.750 \mathrm{M}$.
$\mathrm{pOH}=-\log (3.750)=-0.5740$ and $\mathrm{pH}=14.0000-(-0.5740)=14.5740$
Although we are justified in calculating the answer to four decimal places, in reality, the pH can only be measured to $\pm 0.01 \mathrm{pH}$ units.
91. a. Major species: $\mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{KOH}$ is a strong base.)
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.015 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pOH}=-\log (0.015)=1.82 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-\mathrm{pOH}=12.18$
b. Major species: $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$; because each mole of the strong base $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ dissolves in water to produce two $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-},\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=$ $2(0.015 M)=0.030 M$.
$\mathrm{pOH}=-\log (0.030)=1.52 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-1.52=12.48$
92. a. Major species: $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NaOH}$ and LiOH are both strong bases.)
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.050+0.050=0.100 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.000 ; \mathrm{pH}=13.000$
b. Major species: $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; Both $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and RbOH are strong bases, and $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ donates $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$per $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$.
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2(0.0010)+0.020=0.022 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=-\log (0.022)=1.66 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.34$
93. $\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-11.56=2.44 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=[\mathrm{KOH}]=10^{-2.44}=3.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$0.8000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{56.11 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KOH}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{KOH}}=0.16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KOH}$
94. $\mathrm{pH}=10.50 ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-10.50=3.50 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-3.50}=3.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ donates $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$per mol $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]=\frac{3.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}-}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}=1.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$
A $1.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ solution will produce a $\mathrm{pH}=10.50$ solution.
95. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a weak base with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}$. The major species present will be $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)$. Because $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ has a much larger $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is the stronger base present and will be the major producer of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. To determine the amount of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$produced from $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, we must perform an equilibrium calculation using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $0.150 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$

$$
x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \text { reacts with } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { to reach equilibrium }
$$

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.150-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.150-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.150} \quad($ assuming $x \ll 0.150)$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; check assumptions: $x$ is $1.1 \%$ of 0.150 , so the assumption $0.150-$ $x \approx 0.150$ is valid by the $5 \%$ rule. Also, the contribution of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from water will be insignificant (which will usually be the case). Finishing the problem:

$$
\mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=-\log \left(1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)=2.80 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-2.80=11.20 .
$$

96. Major species: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}=3.0 \times 10^{-6}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)$; the weak base $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$ will dominate $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$production. We must perform a weak base equilibrium calculation.

$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=2.62 ; \mathrm{pH}=11.38 ;$ assumptions $\operatorname{good}(x$ is $0.12 \%$ of 2.0$)$.

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}^{+}\right]=2.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right]=2.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-11.38}=4.2 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M}
$$

97. These are solutions of weak bases in water. In each case we must solve an equilibrium weak base problem.
a.

$$
\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}
$$

Initial $0.20 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $0.20-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.20}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=8.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
Assumptions good ( $x$ is $4.5 \%$ of 0.20 ). $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=8.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{8.9 \times 10^{-3}}=1.1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=11.96$
b. $\quad \mathrm{HONH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.1 \times 10^{-8}$

| Initial | $0.20 M$ | 0 | $\sim 0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.20-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.1 \times 10^{-8}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.20}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=4.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ;$ assumptions good.
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.1 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=9.68$
98. These are solutions of weak bases in water.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. } \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=3.8 \times 10^{-10} \\
& \text { Initial } 0.40 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0 \\
& x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { of } \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \text { reacts with } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { to reach equilibrium } \\
& \begin{array}{lccrr}
\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\
\text { Equil. } & 0.40-x & & x & x
\end{array} \\
& 3.8 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.40-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.40}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \text { assumptions good } . \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=8.3 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=9.08}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=4.38 \times 10^{-4}$
$\begin{array}{lrlr}\text { Initial } & 0.40 M & 0 & \sim 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.40-x & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=4.38 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.40-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.40}, x=1.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ;$ assumptions good.
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=7.7 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=12.11$
99. This is a solution of a weak base in water. We must solve the weak base equilibrium problem.

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.20}$ (assuming $x \ll 0.20$ )
$x=1.1 \times 10^{-2}$; checking assumption: $\frac{1.1 \times 10^{-2}}{0.20} \times 100=5.5 \%$
The assumption fails the $5 \%$ rule. We must solve exactly using either the quadratic equation or the method of successive approximations (see Appendix 1 of the text). Using successive approximations and carrying extra significant figures:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-0.011}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.189}=5.6 \times 10^{-4}, x=1.0 \times 10^{-2} M \text { (consistent answer) } \\
x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-2} M ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.0 \times 10^{-2}}=1.0 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=12.00
\end{gathered}
$$

100. 

$$
\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.3 \times 10^{-3}
$$

Initial $0.050 \mathrm{M} 00 \sim 0$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lclrr}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.050-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.3 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.050}$
$x=8.1 \times 10^{-3}$; assumption is bad ( $x$ is $16 \%$ of 0.20 ).
Using successive approximations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.3 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-0.081}, \quad x=7.4 \times 10^{-3} \\
1.3 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-0.074}, \quad x=7.4 \times 10^{-3} \text { (consistent answer) } \\
{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=x=7.4 \times 10^{-3} M ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{w} /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.4 \times 10^{-12} M ; \mathrm{pH}=11.85}
\end{gathered}
$$

101. To solve for percent ionization, we first solve the weak base equilibrium problem.
a.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Initial $0.10 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
Equil. $0.10-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-3} M ;$ assumptions good .
Percent ionization $=\frac{x}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]_{0}} \times 100=\frac{1.3 \times 10^{-3} M}{0.10 M} \times 100=1.3 \%$
b. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$

| Initial | $0.010 M$ | 0 | $\sim 0$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.010-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

$1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.010-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.010}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=4.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$; assumptions good.
Percent ionization $=\frac{4.2 \times 10^{-4}}{0.010} \times 100=4.2 \%$
Note: For the same base, the percent ionization increases as the initial concentration of base decreases.
c.

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=4.38 \times 10^{-4}
$$

$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { Initial } & 0.10 M & 0 & \sim 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.10-x & x & x\end{array}$
$4.38 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}, x=6.6 \times 10^{-3}$; assumption fails the $5 \%$ rule $(x$ is
$6.6 \%$ of 0.10 ). Using successive approximations and carrying extra significant figures:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{x^{2}}{0.10-0.0066}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.093}=4.38 \times 10^{-4}, x=6.4 \times 10^{-3} \quad(\text { consistent answer }) \\
& \text { Percent ionization }=\frac{6.4 \times 10^{-3}}{0.10} \times 100=6.4 \%
\end{aligned}
$$

102. 

$$
\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.7 \times 10^{-9}
$$

Initial $0.10 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
Equil. $0.10-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.7 \times 10^{-9}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}, x=\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-5} M ;$ assumptions good.
Percent $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$ ionized $=\frac{1.3 \times 10^{-5} M}{0.10 M} \times 100=1.3 \times 10^{-2} \%$
103. Using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction to solve where $\mathrm{PT}=$ p-toluidine $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\mathrm{PT}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PTH}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

| Initial | $0.016 M$ |  | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of PT reacts | with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach | $\sim 0$ |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{PTH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{PT}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.016-x}$
Because $\mathrm{pH}=8.60: \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-8.60=5.40$ and $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=x=10^{-5.40}=4.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left(4.0 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2}}{0.016-\left(4.0 \times 10^{-6}\right)}=1.0 \times 10^{-9}$
104.

$$
\mathrm{HONH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HONH}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.1 \times 10^{-8}
$$

$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { Initial } & \mathrm{I} & 0 & \sim 0 & \mathrm{I}=\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right]_{0} \\ \text { Equil. } & \mathrm{I}-x & x & x & \end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.1 \times 10^{-8}=\frac{x^{2}}{\mathrm{I}-x}$
From problem, $\mathrm{pH}=10.00$, so $\mathrm{pOH}=4.00$ and $x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$.
$1.1 \times 10^{-8}=\frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{I}-\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)}, \quad \mathrm{I}=0.91 \mathrm{M}$
Mass $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}=0.2500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.91 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HONH}_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{33.03 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HONH}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HONH}_{2}}=7.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HONH}_{2}$

## Polyprotic Acids

105. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HSO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{3}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}\right]} \\
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{SO}_{3}^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{3}^{-}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{HSO}_{3}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{SO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
106. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}\right]}
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{-}\right]}$
$\mathrm{HC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{3}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{3-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}\right]}$
107. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=7.9 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.6 \times 10^{-12}$. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \gg \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$, the amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$produced by the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ reaction will be negligible.
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]_{0}=\frac{0.500 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}}{176.12 \mathrm{~g}}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0142 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=7.9 \times 10^{-5}
$$

$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { Initial } & 0.0142 M & 0 & \sim 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.0142-x & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=7.9 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0142-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0142}, x=1.1 \times 10^{-3} ;$ assumption fails the $5 \%$ rule.
Solving by the method of successive approximations:

$$
7.9 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0142-1.1 \times 10^{-3}}, x=1.0 \times 10^{-3} M \text { (consistent answer) }
$$

Because $\mathrm{H}^{+}$produced by the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ reaction will be negligible, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\mathrm{pH}=$ 3.00.
108. The reactions are:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=5.5 \times 10^{-3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.7 \times 10^{-7} \\
& \mathrm{HAsO}_{4}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{3-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{3}}=5.1 \times 10^{-12}
\end{aligned}
$$

We will deal with the reactions in order of importance, beginning with the largest $K_{a}, K_{a_{1}}$.
$\begin{array}{lrcc}\text { Initial } & 0.20 \mathrm{M} & \sim 0 & 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.20-x & x & x\end{array}$
$5.5 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.20}, x=3.3 \times 10^{-2} M$; assumption fails the $5 \%$ rule.
Solving by the method of successive approximations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5.5 \times 10^{-3}=x^{2} /(0.20-0.033), x=3.03 \times 10^{-2} \quad \text { (carrying an extra significant figure) } \\
& 5.5 \times 10^{-3}=x^{2} /(0.20-0.0303), x=3.06 \times 10^{-2} \\
& 5.5 \times 10^{-3}=x^{2} /(0.20-0.0306), x=3.05 \times 10^{-2} \quad \text { (consistent answer) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{-}\right]=3.05 \times 10^{-2}=3.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right]=0.20-0.031=0.17 \mathrm{M}
$$

Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}=1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ is much smaller than the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}$ value, very little of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{-}$(and $\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ) dissociates as compared to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$. Therefore, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$and [ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{-}$] will not change significantly by the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ reaction. Using the previously calculated concentrations of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{-}$to calculate the concentration of $\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ :

$$
1.7 \times 10^{-7}=\frac{\left(3.1 \times 10^{-2}\right)\left[\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{3.1 \times 10^{-2}}, \quad\left[\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=1.7 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}
$$

The assumption that the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ reaction does not change $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$and $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$is good. We repeat the process using $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{3}}$ to get $\left[\mathrm{AsO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]$.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{3}}=5.1 \times 10^{-12}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{AsO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}=\frac{\left(3.1 \times 10^{-2}\right)\left[\mathrm{AsO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]}{1.7 \times 10^{-7}}
$$

$\left[\mathrm{AsO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]=2.8 \times 10^{-17} ;$ assumption good.
So in 0.20 M analytical concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}\right]=0.17 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{-}\right]=3.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{HAsO}_{4}^{2-}\right]=1.7 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{AsO}_{4}^{3-}\right]=2.8 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.2 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

109. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ is so small, we can ignore the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$contribution from the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ reaction.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \quad \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{HS}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=1.0 \times 10^{-7}
$$

$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { Initial } & 0.10 M & \sim 0 & 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.10-x & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=1.0 \times 10^{-7}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-4} ;$ assumptions good.
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)=4.00$
Use the $K_{a_{2}}$ reaction to determine $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right.$.

$$
\mathrm{HS}^{-} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{S}^{2-}
$$

Initial $\quad 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \quad 0$
Equil. $1.0 \times 10^{-4}-x \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-4}+x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.0 \times 10^{-19}=\frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}+x\right) X}{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}-x\right)} \approx \frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right) x}{1.0 \times 10^{-4}}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{M}$; assumptions good.
110. The relevant reactions are:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=4.3 \times 10^{-7} ; \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=5.6 \times 10^{-11}
$$

Initially, we deal only with the first reaction (since $K_{a_{1}} \gg K_{a_{2}}$ ), and then let those results control values of concentrations in the second reaction.

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$ | $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | $0.010 M$ |  | $\sim 0$ | 0 |
| Equil. | $0.010-x$ |  | $x$ |  |
| E |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=4.3 \times 10^{-7}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.010-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.010}$
$x=6.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right] ;$assumptions good.

$$
\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}
$$

Initial
$6.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$
$6.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$
0
Equil. $\quad 6.6 \times 10^{-5}-y$
$6.6 \times 10^{-5}+y$
y
If $y$ is small, then $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]$, and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=5.6 \times 10^{-11}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]} \approx y$.
$y=\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=5.6 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$; assumptions good.
The amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from the second dissociation is $5.6 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M}$ or:

$$
\frac{5.6 \times 10^{-11}}{6.6 \times 10^{-5}} \times 100=8.5 \times 10^{-5} \%
$$

This result justifies our treating the equilibria separately. If the second dissociation contributed a significant amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, we would have to treat both equilibria simultaneously.

The reaction that occurs when acid is added to a solution of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$is:

$$
\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

The bubbles are $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and are formed by the breakdown of unstable $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ molecules. We should write $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ or $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$ for what we call carbonic acid. It is for convenience, however, that we write $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$.
111. The dominant $\mathrm{H}^{+}$producer is the strong acid $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. A $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution produces 2.0 M $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$and $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$. However, $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$is a weak acid that could also add $\mathrm{H}^{+}$to the solution.

|  | $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 2.0 M |  | 2.0 M |  |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}$ | ociates tor | equilib |
| Change | -x | $\rightarrow$ | + $x$ | + $x$ |
| Equil. | $2.0-x$ |  | $2.0+x$ | $x$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}=\frac{(2.0+x) x}{2.0-x} \approx \frac{2.0(x)}{2.0}, x=1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}
$$

Because $x$ is $0.60 \%$ of 2.0 , the assumption is valid by the $5 \%$ rule. The amount of additional $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$is $1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$. The total amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$present is:

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.0+\left(1.2 \times 10^{-2}\right)=2.0 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log (2.0)=-0.30
$$

Note: In this problem, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$could have been ignored. However, this is not usually the case in more dilute solutions of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
112. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, the first dissociation occurs to completion. The hydrogen sulfate ion $\left(\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}\right)$is a weak acid with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}$. We will consider this equilibrium for additional $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ production:

|  | $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ |  |  |
| Initial | 0.0050 M |  | 0.0050 M | 0 |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}$dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.0050-x$ |  | $0.0050+x$ | $x$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=0.012=\frac{(0.0050+x) x}{0.0050-x} \approx x, x=0.012 ; \text { assumption is horrible ( } 240 \% \text { error). }
$$

Using the quadratic formula:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6.0 \times 10^{-5}-(0.012) x=x^{2}+(0.0050) x, x^{2}+(0.017) x-6.0 \times 10^{-5}=0 \\
& x=\frac{-0.017 \pm\left(2.9 \times 10^{-4}+2.4 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2}=\frac{-0.017 \pm 0.023}{2}, x=3.0 \times 10^{-3} M \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.0050+x=0.0050+0.0030=0.0080 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=2.10}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: We had to consider both $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$for $\mathrm{H}^{+}$production in this problem.

## Acid-Base Properties of Salts

113. One difficult aspect of acid-base chemistry is recognizing what types of species are present in solution, that is, whether a species is a strong acid, strong base, weak acid, weak base, or a neutral species. Below are some ideas and generalizations to keep in mind that will help in recognizing types of species present.
a. Memorize the following strong acids: $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
b. Memorize the following strong bases: $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{RbOH}, \mathrm{CsOH}, \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$
c. Weak acids have a $K_{a}$ value of less than 1 but greater than $K_{w}$. Some weak acids are listed in Table 14.2 of the text. Weak bases have a $K_{b}$ value of less than 1 but greater than $K_{w}$. Some weak bases are listed in Table 14.3 of the text.
d. Conjugate bases of weak acids are weak bases; that is, all have a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value of less than 1 but greater than $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$. Some examples of these are the conjugate bases of the weak acids listed in Table 14.2 of the text.
e. Conjugate acids of weak bases are weak acids; that is, all have a $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of less than 1 but greater than $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$. Some examples of these are the conjugate acids of the weak bases listed in Table 14.3 of the text.
f. Alkali metal ions $\left(\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}, \mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right)$and heavier alkaline earth metal ions $\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right.$, $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ ) have no acidic or basic properties in water.
g. All conjugate bases of strong acids $\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}\right)$have no basic properties in water ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} \ll \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ), and only $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$has any acidic properties in water.

Let's apply these ideas to this problem to see what type of species are present. The letters in parenthesis is(are) the generalization(s) above that identifies the species.

KOH: Strong base (b)
$\mathrm{KNO}_{3}: ~ N e u t r a l ; \mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$have no acidic/basic properties (f and g).
$\mathrm{KCN}: \quad \mathrm{CN}^{-}$is a weak base, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HCN}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} / 6.2 \times 10^{-10}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}$ ( c and d). Ignore $\mathrm{K}^{+}$(f).
$\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}$ (c and e). Ignore $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$.
HCl : Strong acid (a)
The most acidic solution will be the strong acid solution, with the weak acid solution less acidic. The most basic solution will be the strong base solution, with the weak base solution less basic. The $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}$ solution will be neutral at $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$.

Most acidic $\rightarrow$ most basic: $\mathrm{HCl}>\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}>\mathrm{KNO}_{3}>\mathrm{KCN}>\mathrm{KOH}$
114. See Exercise 113 for some generalizations on acid-base properties of salts. The letters in parenthesis is(are) the generalization(s) listed in Exercise 113 that identifies the species.
$\mathrm{CaBr}_{2}$ : $\quad$ Neutral; $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$have no acidic/basic properties (f and g).
$\mathrm{KNO}_{2}: \quad \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$is a weak base, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HNO}_{2}}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right) /\left(4.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)$
$=2.5 \times 10^{-11}$ (c and d). Ignore $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{f})$.
$\mathrm{HClO}_{4}: \quad$ Strong acid (a)
$\mathrm{HNO}_{2}: \quad$ Weak acid, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ (c)
$\mathrm{HONH}_{3} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}: \quad \mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{HONH}_{2}}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right) /\left(1.1 \times 10^{-8}\right)$ $=9.1 \times 10^{-7}$ (c and e). Ignore $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{g})$. Note that $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ has a larger $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value than $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$, so $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ is a stronger weak acid than $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.

Using the information above (identity and the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ or $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ values), the ordering is:

$$
\text { Most acidic } \rightarrow \text { most basic: } \mathrm{HClO}_{4}>\mathrm{HNO}_{2}>\mathrm{HONH}_{3} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}>\mathrm{CaBr}_{2}>\mathrm{KNO}_{2}
$$

115. From the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, acetic acid is a stronger acid than hypochlorous acid. Conversely, the conjugate base of acetic acid, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$, will be a weaker base than the conjugate base of hypochlorous acid, $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$. Thus the hypochlorite ion, $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$, is a stronger base than the acetate ion, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$. In general, the stronger the acid, the weaker the conjugate base. This statement comes from the relationship $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$, which holds for all conjugate acid-base pairs.
116. Because $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a weaker base (smaller $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value) than $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, the conjugate acid of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ will be a stronger acid than the conjugate acid of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$. Thus $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a stronger acid than $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.
117. a. KCl is a soluble ionic compound that dissolves in water to produce $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. $\mathrm{K}^{+}$(like the other alkali metal cations) has no acidic or basic properties. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is the conjugate base of the strong acid $\mathrm{HCl} . \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$has no basic (or acidic) properties. Therefore, a solution of KCl will be neutral because neither of the ions has any acidic or basic properties. The 1.0 M KCl solution has $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pOH}=$ 7.00.
b. $\mathrm{KC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is also a soluble ionic compound that dissolves in water to produce $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. The difference between the KCl solution and the $\mathrm{KC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution is that $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$does have basic properties in water, unlike $\mathrm{Cl}^{-} . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$is the conjugate base of the weak acid $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and as is true for all conjugate bases of weak acids, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$
is a weak base in water. We must solve an equilibrium problem in order to determine the amount of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$this weak base produces in water.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}} \\
& \text { Initial } 1.0 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0 \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} \\
& x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { of } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-} \text {reacts with } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { to reach equilibrium } \\
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}, 5.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.0-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.0} \\
& x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2.4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \text {; assumptions good } \\
& \mathrm{pOH}=4.62 ; \mathrm{pH}=14.00-4.62=9.38 ; \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-9.38}=4.2 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

118. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} ; \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is the conjugate acid of the weak base $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.6 \times 10^{-4}\right)$. As is true for all conjugate acids of weak bases, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$has no basic (or acidic) properties. Ignore $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Solving the weak acid problem:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / 5.6 \times 10^{-4}=1.8 \times 10^{-11}
$$

| Initial | 0.25 M |  | 0 | $\sim 0$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.25-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-11}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.25-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.25} \quad$ (assuming $x \ll 0.25$ )
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=5.68$; assumptions good.
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]=0.25 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]=0.25 \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.8 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$
119. a. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is the conjugate base of a strong acid. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$has no basic (or acidic) properties.

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}\right]}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.00 \times 10^{-14}}{4.38 \times 10^{-4}}
$$

$$
=2.28 \times 10^{-11}
$$

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+}
$$

| Initial | 0.10 M |  | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.28 \times 10^{-11}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10} \quad$ (assuming $x \ll 0.10$ )
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.5 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=5.82$; assumptions good.
b. $\quad \mathrm{NaCN} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{CN}^{-}: \mathrm{CN}^{-}$is a weak base. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$has no acidic (or basic) properties.

$$
\mathrm{CN}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{6.2 \times 10^{-10}}
$$

Initial $0.050 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0 \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.050-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{[\mathrm{HCN}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.050}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=8.9 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=3.05 ; \mathrm{pH}=10.95$; assumptions good.
120. a. $\mathrm{KNO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}: \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$is a weak base. Ignore $\mathrm{K}^{+}$.

$$
\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HNO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.0 \times 10^{-4}}=2.5 \times 10^{-11}
$$

Initial $0.12 M \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
Equil. $0.12-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=2.5 \times 10^{-11}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.12-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.12}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.7 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=5.77 ; \mathrm{pH}=8.23$; assumptions good.
b. $\mathrm{NaOCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{OCl}^{-}: \mathrm{OCl}^{-}$is a weak base. Ignore $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.

$$
\mathrm{OCl}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HOCl}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{3.5 \times 10^{-8}}=2.9 \times 10^{-7}
$$

| Initial | $0.45 M$ | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. $0.45-x$ | $x$ | $\sim 0$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=2.9 \times 10^{-7}=\frac{[\mathrm{HOCl}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.45-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.45}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=3.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=3.44 ; \mathrm{pH}=10.56 ;$ assumptions good.
c. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}: \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid. $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$is the conjugate base of a strong acid. $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$has no basic (or acidic) properties.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \quad \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}
$$

$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Initial } & 0.40 M & 0 \\ \text { Equil. } 0.40-x & x & \sim \\ \end{array}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.40-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.40}
$$

$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=4.82 ;$ assumptions good.
121. $\mathrm{NaN}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}$; azide $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}\right)$is a weak base because it is the conjugate base of a weak acid. All conjugate bases of weak acids are weak bases ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}<1$ ). Ignore $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.

$$
\mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HN}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.9 \times 10^{-5}}=5.3 \times 10^{-10}
$$

Initial $0.010 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.010-x\end{array}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HN}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}\right]}, 5.3 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.010-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.010} \text { (assuming } x \ll 0.010 \text { ) } \\
& x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2.3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{2.3 \times 10^{-6}}=4.3 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M} ; \text { assumptions good. } \\
& {\left[\mathrm{HN}_{3}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2.3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]=0.010 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{N}_{3}^{-}\right]=0.010-2.3 \times 10^{-6}=0.010 \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

122. $\frac{30.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{papH}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{soln}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~mL}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{papH}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}{378.85 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{papH}^{+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{papH}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}$

$$
=0.0792 \mathrm{M}
$$

$$
\mathrm{papH}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \text { pap }+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}, \text { pap }}}=\frac{2.1 \times 10^{-14}}{8.33 \times 10^{-9}}=2.5 \times 10^{-6}
$$

$\begin{array}{lc}\text { Initial } & 0.0792 M \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.0792-x\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{cc}\sim & \sim \\ x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.5 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0792-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0792}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.4 \times 10^{-4} M$
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(4.4 \times 10^{-4}\right)=3.36 ;$ assumptions good.
123. All these salts contain $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, which has no acidic/basic properties, and a conjugate base of a weak acid (except for NaCl , where $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is a neutral species). All conjugate bases of weak acids are weak bases since $K_{b}$ values for these species are between $K_{w}$ and 1. To identify the species, we will use the data given to determine the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value for the weak conjugate base. From the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value and data in Table 14.2 of the text, we can identify the conjugate base present by calculating the $K_{a}$ value for the weak acid. We will use $A^{-}$as an abbreviation for the weak conjugate base.

$$
\mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

Initial $0.100 \mathrm{~mol} / 1.00 \mathrm{~L} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{A}^{-}$reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium
Equil.

$$
0.100-x
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
\rightarrow & +x & +x \\
x & x
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{[\mathrm{HA}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} ;$ from the problem, $\mathrm{pH}=8.07$ :
$\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-8.07=5.93 ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=x=10^{-5.93}=1.2 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left(1.2 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2}}{0.100-\left(1.2 \times 10^{-6}\right)}=1.4 \times 10^{-11}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value for the conjugate base of a weak acid.
The $K_{a}$ value for the weak acid equals $K_{w} / K_{b}: K_{a}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.4 \times 10^{-11}}=7.1 \times 10^{-4}$
From Table 14.2 of the text, this $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value is closest to HF. Therefore, the unknown salt is NaF .
124. $\quad \mathrm{BHCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{BH}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} ; \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is the conjugate base of the strong acid HCl , so $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$has no acidic/ basic properties. $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$is a weak acid because it is the conjugate acid of a weak base B . Determining the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$:

$$
\mathrm{BH}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{B} \quad+\quad \mathrm{H}^{+}
$$

| Initial | 0.10 M | 0 |  | $\sim 0$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$ | dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.10-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{[\mathrm{B}]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} ; \text { from the problem, } \mathrm{pH}=5.82: \\
& \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=x=10^{-5.82}=1.5 \times 10^{-6} M ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left(1.5 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2}}{0.10-\left(1.5 \times 10^{-6}\right)}=2.3 \times 10^{-11}
\end{aligned}
$$

$K_{b}$ for the base $B=K_{w} / K_{a}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right) /\left(2.3 \times 10^{-11}\right)=4.3 \times 10^{-4}$.
From Table 14.3 of the text, this $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value is closest to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, so the unknown salt is $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$.
125. $\mathrm{B}^{-}$is a weak base. Use the weak base data to determine $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for $\mathrm{B}^{-}$.

$$
\mathrm{B}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HB}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

| Initial | $0.050 M$ | 0 | $\sim 0$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.050-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

From $\mathrm{pH}=9.00: \mathrm{pOH}=5.00,[\mathrm{OH}]=10^{-5.00}=1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}=x$.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{[\mathrm{HB}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-x}=\frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}{0.050-\left(1.0 \times 10^{-5}\right)}=2.0 \times 10^{-9}
$$

Because $\mathrm{B}^{-}$is a weak base, HB will be a weak acid. Solve the weak acid problem.

|  | HB | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{B}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Initial | $0.010 M$ |  | $\sim 0$ |
| Equil. | $0.010-x$ |  | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{2.0 \times 10^{-9}}, 5.0 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.010-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.010}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=3.66$; assumptions good.
126. From the $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}$is a weak base. Use the weak base data to determine $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}$(which we will abbreviate as $\mathrm{CB}^{-}$).

$$
\mathrm{CB}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HCB}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Initial } & 0.20 M & 0 & \sim 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.20-x & x & x\end{array}$
Because $\mathrm{pH}=8.65, \mathrm{pOH}=5.35$ and $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-5.35}=4.5 \times 10^{-6}=x$.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{[\mathrm{HCB}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CB}^{-}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-x}=\frac{\left(4.5 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2}}{0.20-\left(4.5 \times 10^{-6}\right)}=1.0 \times 10^{-10}$
Because $\mathrm{CB}^{-}$is a weak base, HCB , chlorobenzoic acid, is a weak acid. Solving the weak acid problem:

|  | HCB | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CB}^{-}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | 0.20 M |  | $\sim 0$ |  |
| Equil. | $0.20-x$ |  | $x$ |  |
| lan |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.0 \times 10^{-10}}, 1.0 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.20-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.20} \\
& x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=2.35 ; \text { assumptions good. }
\end{aligned}
$$

127. Major species present: $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.4 \times 10^{-5}\right), \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(neutral), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=\right.$ $\left.1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right) ; \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ is a stronger acid than water, so it will be the dominant $\mathrm{H}^{+}$producer.

|  | $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |  |  |
| Initial | $0.050 M$ |  | 0 | $\sim 0$ |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ | dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |
| Change | $-X$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+X$ | $+X$ |
| Equil. | $0.050-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.4 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.050} \\
& x=8.4 \times 10^{-4} M=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(8.4 \times 10^{-4}\right)=3.08 ; \text { assumptions good. }
\end{aligned}
$$

128. Major species: $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-5}\right)$, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$(neutral), and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)$; $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ will determine the pH because it is a stronger acid than water. Solving the weak acid problem in the usual manner:

$$
\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-5}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text { Initial } & 0.10 M & 0 & \sim 0 \\
\text { Equil. } & 0.10-x & x & x
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-3} M
$$

$$
\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)=3.00 ; \text { assumptions good. }
$$

129. Reference Table 14.6 of the text and the solution to Exercise 113 for some generalizations on acid-base properties of salts.
a. $\mathrm{NaNO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$neutral; neither species has any acidic/basic properties.
b. $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$basic; $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$is a weak base, and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$has no effect on pH .

$$
\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HNO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HNO}_{2}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.0 \times 10^{-4}}=2.5 \times 10^{-11}
$$

c. $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NHClO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}+\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$acidic; $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}$is a weak acid, and $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$has no effect on pH .

$$
\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.7 \times 10^{-9}}=5.9 \times 10^{-6}
$$

d. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$acidic; $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$is a weak base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=2.5 \times 10^{-11}\right)$. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}}$, the solution is acidic.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HNO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=2.5 \times 10^{-11}
$$

e. $\mathrm{KOCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$basic; $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$is a weak base, and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$has no effect on pH .

$$
\mathrm{OCl}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HOCl}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HOCl}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{3.5 \times 10^{-8}}=2.9 \times 10^{-7}
$$

f. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$basic; $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, and $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$is a weak base. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{OCl}}{ }^{-}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}$, the solution is basic.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \mathrm{OCl}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HOCl}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=2.9 \times 10^{-7}
$$

130. a. $\mathrm{Sr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$neutral; $\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$have no effect on pH .
b. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$neutral; $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$is a weak base. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}}$, the solution will be neutral $(\mathrm{pH}=7.00)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$acidic; $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$has no effect on pH . Because only a weak acid is present, the solution will be acidic.

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}}}=\frac{1.00 \times 10^{-14}}{4.38 \times 10^{-4}}=2.28 \times 10^{-11}
$$

d. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{ClO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}$acidic; $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, and $\mathrm{ClO}_{2}{ }^{-}$is a very weak base. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}}$, the solution is acidic.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{3.8 \times 10^{-10}}=2.6 \times 10^{-5} \\
& \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HClO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HClO}}^{2}}
\end{aligned}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.2 \times 10^{-2}}=8.3 \times 10^{-13} .
$$

e. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{~F} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{F}^{-}$acidic; $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$is a weak base. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{F}^{-}}$, the solution is acidic.
$\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \quad \mathrm{F}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HF}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.4 \times 10^{-11}$
f. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{CN}^{-}$basic; $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$is a weak base. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{CN}}{ }^{-}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}}$, the solution is basic.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2.28 \times 10^{-11} \\
\mathrm{CN}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HCN}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{6.2 \times 10^{-10}}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}
\end{array}
$$

## Relationships Between Structure and Strengths of Acids and Bases

131. a. $\mathrm{HIO}_{3}<\mathrm{HBrO}_{3}$; as the electronegativity of the central atom increases, acid strength increases.
b. $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}<\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$; as the number of oxygen atoms attached to the central nitrogen atom increases, acid strength increases.
c. $\mathrm{HOI}<\mathrm{HOCl}$; same reasoning as in a.
d. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}<\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$; same reasoning as in b .
132. a. $\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}<\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}$; these are the conjugate bases of the acids in Exercise 131a. Since $\mathrm{HBrO}_{3}$ is the stronger acid, the conjugate base of $\mathrm{HBrO}_{3}\left(\mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}\right)$will be the weaker base. $\mathrm{IO}_{3}{ }^{-}$will be the stronger base because $\mathrm{HIO}_{3}$ is the weaker acid.
b. $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}<\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$; these are the conjugate bases of the acids in Exercise 131b. Conjugate base strength is inversely related to acid strength.
c. $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}<\mathrm{OI}^{-}$; these are the conjugate bases of the acids in Exercise 131c.
133. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}<\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}<\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Se}$; as the strength of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{X}$ bond decreases, acid strength increases.
b. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}<\mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}<\mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}<\mathrm{F}_{3} \mathrm{CCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$; as the electronegativity of neighboring atoms increases, acid strength increases.
c. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}<\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$; same reason as in b.
d. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}<\mathrm{PH}_{4}{ }^{+}$; same reason as in a.
134. In general, the stronger the acid, the weaker is the conjugate base.
a. $\mathrm{SeH}^{-}<\mathrm{SH}^{-}<\mathrm{OH}^{-}$; these are the conjugate bases of the acids in Exercise 133a. The ordering of the base strength is the opposite of the acids.
b. $\mathrm{PH}_{3}<\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (See Exercise 133d.)
c. $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}<\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (See Exercise133c.)
135. In general, metal oxides form basic solutions when dissolved in water, and nonmetal oxides form acidic solutions in water.
a. Basic; $\mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is a strong base.
b. Acidic; $\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ is a weak diprotic acid.
c. Acidic; $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HOCl}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{HOCl}$ is a weak acid.
136. a. Basic; $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{LiOH}(\mathrm{aq})$; LiOH is a strong base.
b. Acidic; $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is a weak diprotic acid.
c. Basic; $\mathrm{SrO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is a strong base.

## Lewis Acids and Bases

137. A Lewis base is an electron pair donor, and a Lewis acid is an electron pair acceptor.
a. $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$, acid; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, base
b. $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$, acid; $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, base
c. $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$, acid; $\mathrm{F}^{-}$, base
138. 

a. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$, acid; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, base
b. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acid; $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$, base
c. $\mathrm{HgI}_{2}$, acid; $\mathrm{I}^{-}$, base
139. $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \quad$ (Brønsted-Lowry base, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$acceptor)
$\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad$ (Lewis acid, electron pair acceptor)
140. $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \quad$ (Brønsted-Lowry base)
$\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad$ (Lewis acid)
141. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ should be the stronger Lewis acid. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ is smaller and has a greater positive charge. Because of this, $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ will be more strongly attracted to lone pairs of electrons as compared to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$.
142. The Lewis structures for the reactants and products are:


In this reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ donates a pair of electrons to carbon in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, which is followed by a proton shift to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is the Lewis base, and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is the Lewis acid.

## Additional Exercises

143. At $\mathrm{pH}=2.000,\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-2.000}=1.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$

At $\mathrm{pH}=4.000,\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-4.000}=1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
Moles $\mathrm{H}^{+}$present $=0.0100 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.0100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{L}}=1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$
Let $\mathrm{V}=$ total volume of solution at $\mathrm{pH}=4.000$ :

$$
1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=\frac{1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{V}}, \mathrm{~V}=1.00 \mathrm{~L}
$$

Volume of water added $=1.00 \mathrm{~L}-0.0100 \mathrm{~L}=0.99 \mathrm{~L}=990 \mathrm{~mL}$
144. Conjugate acid-base pairs differ by an $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in the formula. Pairs in parts $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}$, and d are conjugate acid-base pairs. For part b, $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$is the conjugate base of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. In addition, $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$is the conjugate acid of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}$.
145. The light bulb is bright because a strong electrolyte is present; that is, a solute is present that dissolves to produce a lot of ions in solution. The pH meter value of 4.6 indicates that a weak acid is present. (If a strong acid were present, the pH would be close to zero.) Of the possible substances, only HCl (strong acid), NaOH (strong base), and $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ are strong electrolytes. Of these three substances, only $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ contains a weak acid (the HCl solution would have a pH close to zero, and the NaOH solution would have a pH close to 14.0 ). $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ dissociates into $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions when dissolved in water. $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is the conjugate base of a strong acid, so it has no basic (or acidic properties) in water. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$, however, is the conjugate acid of the weak base $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, so $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid and would produce a solution with a $\mathrm{pH}=4.6$ when the concentration is $\sim 1.0 \mathrm{M} . \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ is the solute.
146. $\quad \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]}$

During exercise: $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]=26.3 \mathrm{~m} M$ and $\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]=1.63 \mathrm{~m} M$, so: $\mathrm{K}=\frac{26.3 \mathrm{mM}}{1.63 \mathrm{~m} M}=16.1$
At rest: $\mathrm{K}=16.1=\frac{24.9 \mathrm{mM}}{\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]},\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]=1.55 \mathrm{~m} M$
147. a. In the lungs there is a lot of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, and the equilibrium favors $\mathrm{Hb}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{4}$. In the cells there is a lower concentration of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, and the equilibrium favors $\mathrm{HbH}_{4}{ }^{4+}$.
b. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a weak acid, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Removing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ essentially decreases $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, which causes the hemoglobin reaction to shift right. $\mathrm{Hb}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)_{4}$ is then favored, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is not released by hemoglobin in the cells. Breathing into a paper bag increases $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the blood, thus increasing $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$, which shifts the hemoglobin reaction left.
c. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ builds up in the blood, and it becomes too acidic, driving the hemoglobin equilibrium to the left. Hemoglobin can't bind $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as strongly in the lungs. Bicarbonate ion acts as a base in water and neutralizes the excess acidity.
148. $\quad \mathrm{CaO}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{0.25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CaO} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaO}}{56.08 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CaO}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}}{1.5 \mathrm{~L}}=5.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left(5.9 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.23, \mathrm{pH}=14.00-2.23=11.77$
149.
$\mathrm{HBz} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Bz}^{-}$
$\begin{array}{lccc}\text { Initial } & \mathrm{C} & \sim 0 & 0 \\ & x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} & \mathrm{HBz} \text { dissociates to reach equilibrium }\end{array}$

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $\mathrm{C}-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Bz}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HBz}]}=6.4 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{\mathrm{C}-x}$, where $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$
$\mathrm{HBz}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$
$\mathrm{C}=[\mathrm{HBz}]_{0}=$ concentration of HBz that dissolves to give saturated solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 6.4 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\mathrm{C}-\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]} ; \mathrm{pH}=2.80 ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-2.80}=1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{C}-\left(1.6 \times 10^{-3}\right)=\frac{\left(1.6 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}{6.4 \times 10^{-5}}=4.0 \times 10^{-2} \\
& \mathrm{C}=\left(4.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)+\left(1.6 \times 10^{-3}\right)=4.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

The molar solubility of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ is $4.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.
150. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{0}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)+\left(1.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)=2.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$ from strong acids HCl and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
$\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$is a good weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.012\right)$. However, HCN is a poor weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.2 \times\right.$ $10^{-10}$ ) and can be ignored. Calculating the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$contribution from $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}$ :

|  | $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + | $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.012$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0.010 M | 0.020 M |  | 0 |  |
| Equil. | $0.010-x$ | $0.020+x$ |  | $x$ |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{x}{}$ | $\frac{020+x)}{10-x},$ | $\approx \frac{x(0.020)}{0.010}$ |  | .0060; | mption poor |

Using the quadratic formula: $x^{2}+(0.032) x-1.2 \times 10^{-4}=0, x=3.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.020+x=0.020+\left(3.4 \times 10^{-3}\right)=0.023 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.64$
151. For this problem we will abbreviate $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ as Hacr and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2}^{-}$as acr ${ }^{-}$.
a. Solving the weak acid problem:

|  | Hacr | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + | $\mathrm{acr}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0.10 M |  | $\sim 0$ |  | 0 |  |
| Equil. | $0.10-x$ |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  |

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x}=5.6 \times 10^{-5} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.4 \times 10^{-3} M ; \mathrm{pH}=2.62 ; \text { assumptions good. }
$$

b. Percent dissociation $=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{[\mathrm{Hacr}]_{0}} \times 100=\frac{2.4 \times 10^{-3}}{0.10} \times 100=2.4 \%$
c. $\mathrm{acr}^{-}$is a weak base and the major source of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$in this solution.

|  | $\mathrm{acr}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons$ | Hacr | $+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{5.6 \times 10^{-5}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0 | $\sim 0$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| Initial 0.050 M | $x$ | $x$ |  |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{[\mathrm{Hacr}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{acr}^{-}\right]}, 1.8 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.050} \\
& x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=3.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=5.52 ; \mathrm{pH}=8.48 ; \text { assumptions good. }
\end{aligned}
$$

152. In deciding whether a substance is an acid or a base, strong or weak, you should keep in mind a couple of ideas:
(1) There are only a few common strong acids and strong bases, all of which should be memorized. Common strong acids $=\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Common strong bases $=\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{RbOH}, \mathrm{CsOH}, \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$.
(2) All other acids and bases are weak and will have $K_{a}$ and $K_{b}$ values of less than 1 but greater than $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)$. Reference Table 14.2 for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values for some weak acids and Table 14.3 for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ values for some weak bases. There are too many weak acids and weak bases to memorize them all. Therefore, use the tables of $K_{a}$ and $K_{b}$ values to help you identify weak acids and weak bases. Appendix 5 contains more complete tables of $K_{a}$ and $K_{b}$ values.
a. weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)$
b. strong acid
c. weak base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=4.38 \times 10^{-4}\right)$
d. strong base
e. weak base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)$
f. $\quad$ weak acid $\left(K_{a}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}\right)$
g. weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-4}\right)$
h. strong base
i. strong acid
153. a. HA is a weak acid. Most of the acid is present as HA molecules; only one set of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ions is present. In a strong acid, all of the acid would be dissociated into $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ions.
b. This picture is the result of 1 out of 10 HA molecules dissociating.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Percent dissociation }=\frac{1}{10} \times 100=10 \% \text { (an exact number) } \\
& \mathrm{HA} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{A}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]} \\
& \text { Initial } 0.20 \mathrm{M} \sim 0 \quad 0 \\
& x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { HA dissociates to reach equilibrium } \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]=x=0.10 \times 0.20 \mathrm{M}=0.020 \mathrm{M} ;[\mathrm{HA}]=0.20-0.020=0.18 \mathrm{M}} \\
& K_{a}=\frac{(0.020)^{2}}{0.18}=2.2 \times 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

154. $\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { quinine }}{1.9000 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \text { mol quinine }}{324.4 \mathrm{~g} \text { quinine }}=1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ quinine; let $\mathrm{Q}=$ quinine $=\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

$$
\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{QH}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=10^{-5.1}=8 \times 10^{-6}
$$

Initial

$$
1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0
$$

$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ quinine reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium
Change $-x \rightarrow+x \quad+x$
Equil. $1.6 \times 10^{-3}-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=8 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{QH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{Q}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{\left(1.6 \times 10^{-3}-x\right)} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.6 \times 10^{-3}}$
$x=1 \times 10^{-4}$; assumption fails $5 \%$ rule ( $x$ is $6 \%$ of 0.0016 ). Using successive approximations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x^{2}}{\left(1.6 \times 10^{-3}-1 \times 10^{-4}\right)}=8 \times 10^{-6}, x=1 \times 10^{-4} M \text { (consistent answer) } \\
x= & {\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1 \times 10^{-4} M ; \mathrm{pOH}=4.0 ; \mathrm{pH}=10.0 }
\end{aligned}
$$

155. Let cod = codeine, $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$; using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction to solve:

$$
\operatorname{cod}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{codH}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

| Initial | $1.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ |  | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ codeine reacts with | $\sim 0$ |  |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.7 \times 10^{-3}-x} ; \quad \mathrm{pH}=9.59 ; \quad \mathrm{pOH}=14.00-9.59=4.41 \\
& {\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=x=10^{-4.41}=3.9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left(3.9 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}{1.7 \times 10^{-3}-\left(3.9 \times 10^{-5}\right)}=9.2 \times 10^{-7}}
\end{aligned}
$$

156. $\quad$ Codeine $=\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3} ;$ codeine sulfate $=\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}$

The formula for codeine sulfate works out to $\left(\text { codeineH }{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, where codeineH ${ }^{+}=$ $\mathrm{HC}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{+}$. Two codeine molecules are protonated by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, forming the conjugate acid of codeine. The $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ then acts as the counter ion to give a neutral compound. Codeine sulfate is an ionic compound that is more soluble in water than codeine, allowing more of the drug into the bloodstream.
157.

| a. | $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}$ | $+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$ |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $0.10 M$ | 0 | $\sim 0$ |
| Equil. | $0.10-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}(\mathrm{OH})^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}\right]}, 6.0 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}
$$

$x=2.4 \times 10^{-2}$; assumption is poor ( $x$ is $24 \%$ of 0.10 ). Using successive approximations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x^{2}}{0.10-0.024}=6.0 \times 10^{-3}, x=0.021 \\
& \frac{x^{2}}{0.10-0.021}=6.0 \times 10^{-3}, x=0.022 ; \frac{x^{2}}{0.10-0.022}=6.0 \times 10^{-3}, x=0.022 \\
& x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.022 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.66
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Because of the lower charge, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ will not be as strong an acid as $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$. A solution of iron(II) nitrate will be less acidic (have a higher pH ) than a solution with the same concentration of iron(III) nitrate.
158. One difficult aspect of acid-base chemistry is recognizing what types of species are present in solution, that is, whether a species is a strong acid, strong base, weak acid, weak base, or a neutral species. Below are some ideas and generalizations to keep in mind that will help in recognizing types of species present.
a. Memorize the following strong acids: $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
b. Memorize the following strong bases: $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{RbOH}, \mathrm{CsOH}, \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, $\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$
c. Weak acids have a $K_{a}$ value of less than 1 but greater than $K_{w}$. Some weak acids are listed in Table 14.2 of the text. Weak bases have a $K_{b}$ value of less than 1 but greater than $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$. Some weak bases are listed in Table 14.3 of the text.
d. Conjugate bases of weak acids are weak bases; that is, all have a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value of less than 1 but greater than $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$. Some examples of these are the conjugate bases of the weak acids listed in Table 14.2 of the text.
e. Conjugate acids of weak bases are weak acids; that is, all have a $K_{a}$ value of less than 1 but greater than $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$. Some examples of these are the conjugate acids of the weak bases listed in Table 14.3 of the text.
f. Alkali metal ions $\left(\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}, \mathrm{Cs}^{+}\right)$and some alkaline earth metal ions $\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right.$, $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ ) have no acidic or basic properties in water.
g. Conjugate bases of strong acids $\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{I}^{-}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}\right)$have no basic properties in water ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} \ll \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ), and only $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$has any acidic properties in water.

Let's apply these ideas to this problem to see what types of species are present.
a. HI: Strong acid; HF: weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}\right)$
$\mathrm{NaF}: \quad \mathrm{F}^{-}$is the conjugate base of the weak acid HF , so $\mathrm{F}^{-}$is a weak base. The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value for $\mathrm{F}^{-}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HF}}=1.4 \times 10^{-11}$. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$has no acidic or basic properties.

NaI: $\quad$ Neutral ( $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$ ); $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$have no acidic/basic properties.
In order of increasing pH , we place the compounds from most acidic (lowest pH ) to most basic (highest pH ). Increasing $\mathrm{pH}: \mathrm{HI}<\mathrm{HF}<\mathrm{NaI}<\mathrm{NaF}$.
b. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ : $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$is a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)$, and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$is a neutral species.

## HBr : Strong acid

KBr : $\quad$ Neutral; $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$have no acidic/basic properties.
$\mathrm{NH}_{3}: \quad$ Weak base, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Increasing } \mathrm{pH}: \mathrm{HBr}<\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Br}<\mathrm{KBr}<\mathrm{NH}_{3} \\
& \text { Most Most } \\
& \text { acidic basic }
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}: \quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}}=\right.$ $\left.1.0 \times 10^{-14} / 3.8 \times 10^{-10}=2.6 \times 10^{-5}\right)$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$is a neutral species.
$\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}: \quad$ Neutral; $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$have no acidic/basic properties.
$\mathrm{NaOH}: \quad$ Strong base
$\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}: \quad$ Weak acid $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)$
$\mathrm{KOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}: \quad \quad \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}$is a weak base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}}=6.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)$, and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$is a neutral species.
$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ : $\quad$ Weak base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=3.8 \times 10^{-10}\right)$
$\mathrm{HNO}_{3}: \quad$ Strong acid
This is a little more difficult than the previous parts of this problem because two weak acids and two weak bases are present. Between the weak acids, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a stronger weak acid than $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ since the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is larger than the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$. Between the two weak bases, because the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value for $\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$is larger than the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}^{-}$is a stronger weak base than $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$.
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Increasing pH: } \mathrm{HNO}_{3}<\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{3}<\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}<\mathrm{NaNO}_{3}<\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}<\mathrm{KOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}<\mathrm{NaOH} \\ & \text { Most acidic }\end{aligned}$
159. The solution is acidic from $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. Solving the weak acid problem:

$$
\begin{array}{lcccc} 
& \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-} & \rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{H}^{+} & + \\
\text {Initial } & 0.10 \mathrm{M} & \sim 0 & \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2} \\
\text { Equil. } & 0.10-x & x & x \\
1.2 \times 10^{-2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.10}, x=0.035
\end{array}
$$

Assumption is not good ( $x$ is $35 \%$ of 0.10 ). Using successive approximations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-0.035}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}, x=0.028 \\
& \frac{x^{2}}{0.10-0.028}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}, x=0.029 ; \quad \frac{x^{2}}{0.10-0.029}=1.2 \times 10^{-2}, x=0.029 \\
x= & {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.029 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.54 }
\end{aligned}
$$

160. 

a. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}} \text { for } \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} \text { for } \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}=1.8 \times 10^{9}
$$

b. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HNO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\text {eq }}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}} \text { for } \mathrm{HNO}_{2}}=\frac{1}{4.0 \times 10^{-4}}$

$$
=2.5 \times 10^{3}
$$

c. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}} \text { for } \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\frac{1}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}=5.6 \times 10^{4}$
d. $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]} \times \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \text { for } \mathrm{HNO}_{2}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{4.0 \times 10^{-4}}{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}=4.0 \times 10^{10}
$$

161. 

a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$
b. $\mathrm{HClO}_{3}$
c. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$

NaOH and KOH are soluble ionic compounds composed of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{K}^{+}$cations and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ anions. All soluble ionic compounds dissolve to form the ions from which they are formed. In oxyacids, the compounds are all covalent compounds in which electrons are shared to form bonds (unlike ionic compounds). When these compounds are dissolved in water, the covalent bond between oxygen and hydrogen breaks to form $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 162-169 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

170. The pH of this solution is not 8.00 because water will donate a significant amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from the autoionization of water. You can't add an acid to water and get a basic pH . The pertinent equations are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-14} \\
& \mathrm{HCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \text { is very large, so we assume that only the forward reaction occurs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In any solution, the overall net positive charge must equal the overall net negative charge (called the charge balance). For this problem:
[positive charge $]=$ [negative charge $]$, so $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$
From $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}},\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$, and from $1.0 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl},\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M}$. Substituting into the charge balance equation:

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}+1.0 \times 10^{-8},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}-\left(1.0 \times 10^{-8}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]-1.0 \times 10^{-14}=0
$$

Using the quadratic formula to solve:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{-\left(-1.0 \times 10^{-8}\right) \pm\left[\left(-1.0 \times 10^{-8}\right)^{2}-4(1)\left(-1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}{2(1)},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.1 \times 10^{-7} M} \\
& \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.1 \times 10^{-7}\right)=6.96
\end{aligned}
$$

171. Because this is a very dilute solution of NaOH , we must worry about the amount of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ donated from the autoionization of water.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NaOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-14}
\end{aligned}
$$

This solution, like all solutions, must be charge balanced; that is, [positive charge] = [negative charge]. For this problem, the charge balance equation is:

$$
\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] \text {, where }\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-7} M \text { and }\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}
$$

Substituting into the charge balance equation:

$$
1.0 \times 10^{-7}+\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}-\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]-1.0 \times 10^{-14}=0
$$

Using the quadratic formula to solve:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{-\left(-1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right) \pm\left[\left(-1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{2}-4(1)\left(-1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}{2(1)}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left(1.6 \times 10^{-7}\right)=6.80 ; \mathrm{pH}=7.20}
\end{aligned}
$$

172. $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$

This is a very dilute solution of $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, so we can't ignore the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$contribution from $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. From the dissociation of $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ alone, $2\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$. Including the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ autoionization into $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, the overall charge balance is:

$$
2\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]
$$

$2\left(3.0 \times 10^{-7} M\right)+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=\left(6.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}-\left(6.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]-1.0 \times 10^{-14}=0$; using quadratic formula: $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=6.2 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$
173.
$\mathrm{HA} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{A}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.00 \times 10^{-6}$
Initial $\mathrm{C} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0 \quad \mathrm{C}=[\mathrm{HA}]_{0}$, for $\mathrm{pH}=4.000$,
Equil. $\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{C}-1.00 \times 10^{-4} & 1.00 \times 10^{-4} \quad 1.00 \times 10^{-4} \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left(1.00 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{C}-1.00 \times 10^{-4}\right)}=1.00 \times 10^{-6}$; solving: $\mathrm{C}=0.0101 \mathrm{M}$
The solution initially contains $50.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L} \times 0.0101 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=5.05 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}$. We then dilute to a total volume V in liters. The resulting $\mathrm{pH}=5.000$, so $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.00 \times 10^{-5}$. In the typical weak acid problem, $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$, so:

|  | HA | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | + | $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ |  |
| Initial | $5.05 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{V}$ | $\sim 0$ | 0 |
| Equil. | $\left(5.05 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{V}\right)-\left(1.00 \times 10^{-5}\right)$ |  | $1.00 \times 10^{-5}$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left(1.00 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}{\left(5.05 \times 10^{-4} / \mathrm{V}\right)-\left(1.00 \times 10^{-5}\right)}=1.00 \times 10^{-6}$
$1.00 \times 10^{-4}=\left(5.05 \times 10^{-4} / V\right)-1.00 \times 10^{-5}$
$\mathrm{V}=4.59 \mathrm{~L} ; 50.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ are present initially, so we need to add 4540 mL of water.
174.

$$
\mathrm{HBrO} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{H}^{+}+\quad \mathrm{BrO}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2 \times 10^{-9}
$$

$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Initial } & 1.0 \times 10^{-6} M & \sim 0 & 0 \\ & x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HBrO} & \text { dissociates to reach equilibrium } & \\ \text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 1.0 \times 10^{-6}-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=2 \times 10^{-9}=\frac{x^{2}}{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-6}-x\right)} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.0 \times 10^{-6}}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4 \times 10^{-8} M ; \mathrm{pH}=7.4$
Let's check the assumptions. This answer is impossible! We can't add a small amount of an acid to water and get a basic solution. The highest possible pH for an acid in water is 7.0. In the correct solution we would have to take into account the autoionization of water.
175. Major species present are $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right) /\left(1.7 \times 10^{-9}\right)=\right.$ $\left.5.9 \times 10^{-6}\right]$, and $\mathrm{F}^{-}\left[\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HF}}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right) /\left(7.2 \times 10^{-4}\right)=1.4 \times 10^{-11}\right]$. The reaction to consider is the best acid present $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right)$reacting with the best base present ( $\mathrm{F}^{-}$). Let's solve by first setting up an ICE table.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

| Initial | $0.200 M$ | $0.200 M$ |  | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | $-x$ | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.200-x$ | $0.200-x$ |  | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HF}}}=5.9 \times 10^{-6} \times \frac{1}{7.2 \times 10^{-4}}=8.2 \times 10^{-3}$
$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right][\mathrm{HF}]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}, \quad 8.2 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{x^{2}}{(0.200-x)^{2}}$; taking the square root of both sides:
$0.091=\frac{x}{0.200-x}, \quad x=0.018-(0.091) x, \quad x=0.016 M$
From the setup to the problem, $x=\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]=[\mathrm{HF}]=0.016 \mathrm{M}$, and $0.200-x=0.200-0.016$ $=0.184 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]$. To solve for the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$, we can use either the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ equilibrium for $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}$or the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ equilibrium for HF. Using $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}$data:

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}}=5.9 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}=\frac{(0.016)\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{0.184},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=6.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}
$$

$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(6.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.17$
As one would expect, because the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for the weak acid is larger than the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for the weak base, a solution of this salt should be acidic.
176. Major species: $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{OCl}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right) /\left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)=5.6 \times 10^{-10}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right) /\left(3.5 \times 10^{-8}\right)=2.9 \times 10^{-7}$.

Because $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$is a better base than $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is an acid, the solution will be basic. The dominant equilibrium is the best acid $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$reacting with the best base $\left(\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right)$present.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OCl}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{HOCl}
$$

| Initial | 0.50 M | 0.50 M |  | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | -x | $x$ | $\rightarrow$ | + $x$ | + ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |
| Equil. | $0.50-x$ | $0.50-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}+} \times \frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HOCl}}}=\left(5.6 \times 10^{-10}\right) /\left(3.5 \times 10^{-8}\right)=0.016
$$

$$
\mathrm{K}=0.016=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right][\mathrm{HOCl}]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]}=\frac{x(x)}{(0.50-x)(0.50-x)}
$$

$$
\frac{x^{2}}{(0.50-x)^{2}}=0.016, \frac{x}{0.50-x}=(0.016)^{1 / 2}=0.13, x=0.058 \mathrm{M}
$$

To solve for the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, use any pertinent $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ or $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ value. Using $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$:

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}=\frac{(0.058)\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{0.50-0.058},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.3 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=8.37
$$

177. Because $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is so concentrated, we need to calculate the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$contribution from the weak base $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Initial $15.0 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad 0.0100 \mathrm{M}$ (Assume no volume change.)
Equil. $15.0-x \quad x \quad 0.0100+x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x(0.0100+x)}{15.0-x} \approx \frac{x(0.0100)}{15.0}, x=0.027$; assumption is horrible ( $x$ is $270 \%$ of 0.0100 ).
Using the quadratic formula:

$$
\left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)(15.0-x)=(0.0100) x+x^{2}, x^{2}+(0.0100) x-2.7 \times 10^{-4}=0
$$

$$
x=1.2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \quad\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\left(1.2 \times 10^{-2}\right)+0.0100=0.022 \mathrm{M}
$$

178. For $0.0010 \%$ dissociation: $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-5}(0.050)=5.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left(5.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{0.050-5.0 \times 10^{-7}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Solving: $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.8 \mathrm{M}$; assuming no volume change:
$1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1.8 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{40.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=72 \mathrm{~g}$ of NaOH
179. $1.000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}}{\mathrm{L}}=1.00 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}$
25.0\% dissociation gives:
moles $\mathrm{H}^{+}=0.250 \times\left(1.00 \times 10^{-4}\right)=2.50 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$
moles $\mathrm{A}^{-}=0.250 \times\left(1.00 \times 10^{-4}\right)=2.50 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$
moles HA $=0.750 \times\left(1.00 \times 10^{-4}\right)=7.50 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol}$
$1.00 \times 10^{-4}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}=\frac{\left(\frac{2.50 \times 10^{-5}}{\mathrm{~V}}\right)\left(\frac{2.50 \times 10^{-5}}{\mathrm{~V}}\right)}{\left(\frac{7.50 \times 10^{-5}}{\mathrm{~V}}\right)}$

$$
1.00 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left(2.50 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}{\left(7.50 \times 10^{-5}\right)(\mathrm{V})}, \quad \mathrm{V}=\frac{\left(2.50 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}{\left(1.00 \times 10^{-4}\right)\left(7.50 \times 10^{-5}\right)}=0.0833 \mathrm{~L}=83.3 \mathrm{~mL}
$$

The volume goes from 1000. mL to 83.3 mL , so 917 mL of water evaporated.
180.

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Initial $1.00 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $1.00-x \quad x \quad x$
$1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.00-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.00}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.24 \times 10^{-3} M$ (using one extra sig. fig.)
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(4.24 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.37$; assumptions good.

We want to double the pH to $2(2.37)=4.74$ by addition of the strong base NaOH . As is true with all strong bases, they are great at accepting protons. In fact, they are so good that we can assume they accept protons $100 \%$ of the time. The best acid present will react the strong base. This is $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. The initial reaction that occurs when the strong base is added is:

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Note that this reaction has the net effect of converting $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ into its conjugate base, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$.

For a $\mathrm{pH}=4.74$, let's calculate the ratio of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right] /\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ necessary to achieve this pH .
$\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}$
When $\mathrm{pH}=4.74,\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-4.74}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}$.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=1.0$
For a solution having $\mathrm{pH}=4.74$, we need to have equal concentrations (equal moles) of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Therefore, we need to add an amount of NaOH that will convert onehalf of the $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$. This amount is 0.50 M NaOH .

|  | $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

From the preceding stoichiometry problem, adding enough NaOH (s) to produce a 0.50 M $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$solution will convert one-half the $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$; this results in a solution with $\mathrm{pH}=4.74$.
Mass $\mathrm{NaOH}=1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{40.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{mol}}=20 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{NaOH}$
181. $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is the conjugate base of $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{3}}=4.8 \times 10^{-13}$.

$$
\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{3}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.8 \times 10^{-13}}=0.021
$$

$\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is the conjugate base of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=6.2 \times 10^{-8}\right)$.

$$
\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{6.2 \times 10^{-8}}=1.6 \times 10^{-7}
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$is the conjugate base of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=7.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)$.

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{7.5 \times 10^{-3}}=1.3 \times 10^{-12}
$$

From the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ values, $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is the strongest base. This is expected because $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is the conjugate base of the weakest acid $\left(\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right)$.
182. Major species: $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ (a weak base), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; From the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ values calculated in Exercise 181, the dominant producer of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction for $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$. We can ignore the contribution of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reactions for $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$. From Exercise 181, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ $=0.021$.

$$
\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=0.021
$$

Initial $0.10 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
Equil. $0.10-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=0.021=\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x}$; because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is so large, the $5 \%$ assumption will not hold. Solving using the quadratic equation:

$$
x^{2}+(0.021) x-0.0021=0, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=3.7 \times 10^{-2} M, \quad \mathrm{pOH}=1.43, \mathrm{pH}=12.57
$$

183. a. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.3 \times 10^{-7}}=2.3 \times 10^{-8}
$$

The solution is basic because $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$is a stronger base than $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is as an acid. The acidic properties of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$were ignored because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ is very small $\left(4.8 \times 10^{-11}\right)$.
b. $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$; ignore $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}}=6.2 \times 10^{-8} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{7.5 \times 10^{-3}}=1.3 \times 10^{-12}
$$

Solution is acidic because $K_{a}>K_{b}$.
c. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$; ignore $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{3}, \mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}}=4.8 \times 10^{-13} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{6.2 \times 10^{-8}}=1.6 \times 10^{-7}
$$

Solution is basic because $K_{b}>K_{a}$.
d. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$
$\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$is also acidic (see part b). Solution with both ions present will be acidic.
e. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{2}^{-}$; from Appendix $5, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}}=1.8 \times 10^{-4}$.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2}^{-}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-4}}=5.6 \times 10^{-11}
$$

Solution is acidic because $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a stronger acid than $\mathrm{HCO}_{2}^{-}$is a base.
184.
a. $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]} \times \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}}=\frac{5.6 \times 10^{-11}}{4.3 \times 10^{-7}}=1.3 \times 10^{-4}
$$

b. $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]=\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]$ since the reaction in part a is the principal equilibrium reaction.
c. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$

Because $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]=\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]$ from part $\mathrm{b},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$.
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}$, or taking the - log of both sides: $\mathrm{pH}=\frac{\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}+\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}}{2}$
d. $\quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\left(4.3 \times 10^{-7}\right) \times\left(5.6 \times 10^{-11}\right)\right]^{1 / 2},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.9 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=8.31$
185. Molality $=m=\frac{0.100 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{100.0 \mathrm{~g}}}{0.5000 \mathrm{~kg}}=2.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg} \approx 2.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, \quad 0.0056^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{i}\left(1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}\right)\left(2.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{molal}\right), \mathrm{i}=1.5$

If $\mathrm{i}=1.0$, the percent dissociation of the acid $=0 \%$, and if $\mathrm{i}=2.0$, the percent dissociation of the acid $=100 \%$. Because $\mathrm{i}=1.5$, the weak acid is $50 . \%$ dissociated.

$$
\mathrm{HA} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{A}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}
$$

Because the weak acid is $50 . \%$ dissociated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]=[\mathrm{HA}]_{0} \times 0.50=2.00 \times 10^{-3} M \times 0.50=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} } \\
& {[\mathrm{HA}]=[\mathrm{HA}]_{0}-\text { amount HA reacted }=2.00 \times 10^{-3} M-1.0 \times 10^{-3} M=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} } \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}= & \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}=\frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)}{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}=1.0 \times 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

186. a. Assuming no ion association between $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$, then $\mathrm{i}=5$ for $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$.

$$
\pi=\mathrm{i} M R T=5(0.0500 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})=6.11 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{Fe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$

Under ideal circumstances, $2 / 5$ of $\pi$ calculated above results from $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ and $3 / 5$ results from $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. The contribution to $\pi$ from $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is $3 / 5 \times 6.11 \mathrm{~atm}=3.67 \mathrm{~atm}$. Because $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is assumed unchanged in solution, the $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ contribution in the actual solution will also be 3.67 atm. The contribution to the actual osmotic pressure from the $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ dissociation reaction is $6.73-3.67=3.06 \mathrm{~atm}$.

The initial concentration of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ is $2(0.0500)=0.100 \mathrm{M}$. The set up for the weak acid problem is:

$$
\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}{ }^{2+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}\right]}
$$

Initial $0.100 \mathrm{M} \underset{\sim}{\sim} \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ reacts to reach equilibrium
Equil. $0.100-x \quad x \quad x$
$\pi=\mathrm{i} M \mathrm{RT}$; total ion concentration $=\mathrm{i} M=\frac{\pi}{R T}=\frac{3.06 \mathrm{~atm}}{0.8206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(298)}=0.125 \mathrm{M}$
$0.125 M=0.100-x+x+x=0.100+x, x=0.025 M$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x}=\frac{(0.025)^{2}}{(0.100-0.025)}=\frac{(0.025)^{2}}{0.075}$
$K_{a}=8.3 \times 10^{-3}$

## Integrative Problems

187. $\left[\mathrm{IO}^{-}\right]=\frac{2.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaIO} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaIO}}{165.89 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{IO}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaIO}}}{1.25 \mathrm{~L}}=1.03 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{IO}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{IO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HIO}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{[\mathrm{HIO}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{IO}^{-}\right]}
$$

Initial $1.03 \times 10^{-2} M \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
Equil. $1.03 \times 10^{-2}-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.03 \times 10^{-2}-x} ; \quad$ from the problem, $\mathrm{pOH}=14.00-11.32=2.68$.
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-2.68}=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}=x ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left(2.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}{1.03 \times 10^{-2}-2.1 \times 10^{-3}}=5.4 \times 10^{-4}$
188. If NaOCN is limiting:

$$
10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOCN} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOCN}}{65.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOCN}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNCO}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOCN}}=0.154 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNCO}
$$

If $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is limiting:

$$
10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}{90.04 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNCO}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}=0.222 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNCO}
$$

Because NaOCN produces the smaller amount of product, NaOCN is limiting and 0.154 mol HNCO can be produced.

Initial $0.154 \mathrm{~mol} / 0.100 \mathrm{~L} \sim 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $1.54-x \quad x \quad x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.2 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.54-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.54}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.4 \times 10^{-2}\right)=1.85 ; \quad \text { assumptions good } .
\end{aligned}
$$

189. Molar mass $=\frac{\mathrm{dRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{5.11 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=125 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$

$$
[\mathrm{HA}]_{0}=\frac{1.50 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{125 \mathrm{~g}}}{0.100 \mathrm{~L}}=0.120 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.80,\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-1.80}=1.6 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}
$$

$\mathrm{HA} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{A}^{-}$
Initial $0.120 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $0.120-x \quad x \quad x \quad$ where $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.6 \times 10^{-2} M$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}=\frac{\left(1.6 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}}{0.120-0.016}=2.5 \times 10^{-3}$

## Marathon Problems

190. Let's abbreviate $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ with HA and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ with HB . Fom the problem, we have:
$0.0500 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{HA}), \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.77 \times 10^{-4} ; 0.150 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{HB}), \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.34 \times 10^{-5}$
Because two comparable weak acids are present, each will contribute to the total pH . In order to solve for the pH , we need to determine some relationships that must hold true in this solution. Two equations that must hold true are the $K_{a}$ expressions for the two acids:

$$
\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}=1.77 \times 10^{-4} ; \quad \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HB}]}=1.34 \times 10^{-5}
$$

Another relationship that must hold true recognizes that all of the HA originally dissolved $(0.0500 \mathrm{M})$ must be present as either $\mathrm{A}^{-}$or HA at equilibrium. So:

$$
0.0500=[\mathrm{HA}]+\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]
$$

A similar relationship holds for HB: $0.150=[\mathrm{HB}]+\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]$
The last relationship we need recognizes that in any solution, the net positive charge must equal the net negative charge. This is called the charge balance equation. This equation is:

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]
$$

We now have five equations and five unknowns ([HA], [HB], [ $\left.\mathrm{A}^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]$, and $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$). We should be able to manipulate the equations to solve for $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$. Solving:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ;\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right]+\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]=\left(1.77 \times 10^{-4}\right)[\mathrm{HA}]=\left(1.77 \times 10^{-4}\right)\left(0.0500-\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]\right)} \\
& \text {If }\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right] \ll 0.0500 \text {, then }\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right] \approx\left(1.77 \times 10^{-4}\right)(0.0500)=8.85 \times 10^{-6} . \\
& \text { Similarly, assume }\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}^{-}\right] \approx\left(1.34 \times 10^{-5}\right)(0.150)=2.01 \times 10^{-6} . \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}=8.85 \times 10^{-6}+2.01 \times 10^{-6}+1.00 \times 10^{-14}, \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.30 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Checking assumptions: $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right] \approx 8.85 \times 10^{-6},\left[\mathrm{~A}^{-}\right] \approx \frac{8.85 \times 10^{-6}}{3.30 \times 10^{-3}} \approx 2.68 \times 10^{-3}$
We assumed $0.0500-\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right] \approx 0.0500$. This assumption is borderline ( $2.68 \times 10^{-3}$ is $5.4 \%$ of 0.0500 ). The HB assumption is good ( $0.4 \%$ error).

Using successive approximations to refine the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$value gives $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]=8.39 \times 10^{-6}$, which gives:

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.22 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(3.22 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.492
$$

Note: If we treat each acid separately:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{+} \text {from } \mathrm{HA}=2.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{+} \text {from } \mathrm{HB}=1.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \\
& \hline 4.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {total }}
\end{aligned}
$$

This assumes the acids did not suppress each other's ionization. They do, and we expect the $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$to be less than $4.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$. We get such an answer $\left(\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.22 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$.
191. a. Strongest acid from group $\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{HCl}$; weakest base (smallest $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) from group II $=\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$
$0.20 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}+0.20 M \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$; major species $=\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; let the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ react to completion with the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$; then solve the back equilibrium problem.

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{HNO}_{2}
$$

$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Before } & 0.10 \mathrm{M} & 0.10 \mathrm{M} & 0 & \text { (Molarities are halved due to dilution.) } \\ \text { After } & 0 & 0 & 0.10 \mathrm{M} & \end{array}$

$$
\mathrm{HNO}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}
$$

$\begin{array}{lclcc}\text { Initial } & 0.10 M & & 0 & 0 \\ \text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.10-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\frac{x^{2}}{0.10-x}=4.0 \times 10^{-4} ;$ solving: $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=6.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=2.21$
b. Weakest acid from group I $=\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NHCl}$; best base from group II $=$ KOI; the dominant equilibrium will be the best base reacting with the best acid.

$$
\mathrm{OI}^{-}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HOI}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

Equil. $0.10-x \quad 0.10-x \quad x \quad x$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a},\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \text { ноI }}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.0 \times 10^{-4}} \times \frac{1}{2.0 \times 10^{-11}}=1.25 \text { (carrying extra sig. fig.) }
$$

$\frac{x^{2}}{(0.10-x)^{2}}=1.25, \frac{x}{0.10-x}=1.12, x=0.053 \mathrm{M}$
So [HOI] $=0.053 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{OI}^{-}\right]=0.10-x=0.047 \mathrm{M}$; using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ equilibrium constant for HOI to solve for $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$:

$$
2.0 \times 10^{-11}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right](0.047)}{(0.053)},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.3 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=10.64
$$

c. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.0 \times 10^{-4}}=2.5 \times 10^{-11}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.0 \times 10^{-4}}=2.5 \times 10^{-11}$
Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$, mixing $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3} \mathrm{NHCl}$ with $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ will result in a solution with $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$.

## CHAPTER 15

## ACID-BASE EQUILIBRIA

## Questions

9. When an acid dissociates, ions are produced. The common ion effect is observed when one of the product ions in a particular equilibrium is added from an outside source. For a weak acid dissociating to its conjugate base and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, the common ion would be the conjugate base; this would be added by dissolving a soluble salt of the conjugate base into the acid solution. The presence of the conjugate base from an outside source shifts the equilibrium to the left so less acid dissociates.
10. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\text { [base] }}{\text { [acid] }}$; when [acid] $>$ [base], then $\frac{\text { [base] }}{\text { [acid] }}<1$ and $\log \left(\frac{\text { [base] }}{\text { [acid] }}\right)<0$.

From the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation, if the log term is negative, then $\mathrm{pH}<\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. When one has more acid than base in a buffer, the pH will be on the acidic side of the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value; that is, the pH is at a value lower than the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value. When one has more base than acid in a buffer ([conjugate base] > [weak acid]), then the log term in the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation is positive, resulting in $\mathrm{pH}>\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. When one has more base than acid in a buffer, the pH is on the basic side of the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value; that is, the pH is at a value greater than the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value. The other scenario you can run across in a buffer is when [acid] = [base]. Here, the log term is equal to zero, and $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
11. The more weak acid and conjugate base present, the more $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and/or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$that can be absorbed by the buffer without significant pH change. When the concentrations of weak acid and conjugate base are equal (so that $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ), the buffer system is equally efficient at absorbing either $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. If the buffer is overloaded with weak acid or with conjugate base, then the buffer is not equally efficient at absorbing either $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$.
12. a. The red plot is the pH curve for the strong acid and the blue plot is the pH curve for the weak acid. The pH at the equivalence point is 7.00 for the strong acid-strong base titration, while the pH is greater than 7.00 if a weak acid is titrated. Another point one could look at is the initial point. Because both acids have the same concentration, the strong acid curve will be at the lowest initial pH . Actually, any point at any volume up to the equivalence point for the strong acid plot will have a lower pH than the weak acid plot (assuming equal concentrations and volumes). Another difference would be the pH at the halfway point to equivalence. For the weak acid titration, the pH of solution equals the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for the weak acid at the halfway point to equivalence; this is not the case when a strong acid is titrated.
b. A buffer is a solution that resists pH change. From this definition, both titrations have regions where the pH doesn't change much on addition of strong base, so both could be labeled to have buffer regions. However, we don't normally include strong acids as a component of buffer solutions. Strong acids certainly can absorb added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$by reacting
with it to form water. But when more strong acid is added, the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$concentration increases steadily; there is nothing present in a strong acid solution to react with added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$.

This is not the case in the weak acid-strong base titration. After some $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has been added, some weak acid is converted into its conjugate base. We now have a typical buffer solution because there are significant amounts of weak acid and conjugate base present at the same time. The buffer region extends from a little past the initial point in the titration up to just a little before the equivalence point. This entire region is a buffer region because both the weak acid and conjugate base are present in significant quantities in this region.
c. True; $\mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; both reactions have the same neutralization reaction. In both cases, the equivalence point is reached when enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has been added to exactly react with the acid present initially. Because all acid concentrations and volumes are the same, we have equal moles of each acid which requires the same moles of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to reach the equivalence point. Therefore, each acid requires the same volume of 0.10 M NaOH to reach the equivalence point.
d. False; the pH for the strong acid-strong base titration will be 7.00 at the equivalence point. The pH for the weak acid-strong base titration will be greater than 7.00 at the equivalence point. In both titrations, the major species present at the equivalence points are $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and the conjugate base of the acid titrated. Because the conjugate base of a strong acid has no basic characteristics, $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$ at the equivalence point. However, the conjugate base of a weak acid is a weak base. A weak base is present at the equivalence point of a weak acid-strong base titration, so the pH is basic ( $\mathrm{pH}>7.0$ ).
13. a. Let's call the acid HA, which is a weak acid. When HA is present in the beakers, it exists in the undissociated form, making it a weak acid. A strong acid would exist as separate $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ions.
b. Beaker a contains 4 HA molecules and $2 \mathrm{~A}^{-}$ions, beaker b contains $6 \mathrm{~A}^{-}$ions, beaker c contains 6 HA molecules, beaker d contains $6 \mathrm{~A}^{-}$and $6 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions, and beaker e contains 3 HA molecules and $3 \mathrm{~A}^{-}$ions. $\mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; this is the neutralization reaction that occurs when $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is added. We start off the titration with a beaker full of weak acid (beaker c). When some $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is added, we convert some weak acid HA into its conjugate base $\mathrm{A}^{-}$(beaker a). At the halfway point to equivalence, we have converted exactly one-half of the initial amount of acid present into its conjugate base (beaker e). We finally reach the equivalence point when we have added just enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to convert all of the acid present initially into its conjugate base (beaker b). Past the equivalence point, we have added an excess of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, so we have excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$present as well as the conjugate base of the acid produced from the neutralization reaction (beaker d). The order of the beakers from start to finish is:
beaker $\mathrm{c} \rightarrow$ beaker a $\rightarrow$ beaker $\mathrm{e} \rightarrow$ beaker $\mathrm{b} \rightarrow$ beaker d
c. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ when a buffer solution is present that has equal concentrations of the weak acid and conjugate base. This is beaker e.
d. The equivalence point is when just enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has been added to exactly react with all of the acid present initially. This is beaker b.
e. Past the equivalence point, the pH is dictated by the concentration of excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$added from the strong base. We can ignore the amount of hydroxide added by the weak conjugate base that is also present. This is beaker d.
14. Titration i is a strong acid titrated by a strong base. The pH is very acidic until just before the equivalence point; at the equivalence point, $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$, and past the equivalence the pH is very basic. Titration ii is a strong base titrated by a strong acid. Here, the pH is very basic until just before the equivalence point; at the equivalence point, $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$, and past the equivalence point the pH is very acidic. Titration iii is a weak base titrated by a strong acid. The pH starts out basic because a weak base is present. However, the pH will not be as basic as in titration ii, where a strong base is titrated. The pH drops as HCl is added; then at the halfway point to equivalence, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. Because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=4.4 \times 10^{-4}$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$has $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=2.3 \times 10^{-11}$ and $\mathrm{pK}=10.64$. So, at the halfway point to equivalence for this weak base-strong acid titration, $\mathrm{pH}=10.64$. The pH continues to drop as HCl is added; then at the equivalence point the pH is acidic ( $\mathrm{pH}<7.00$ ) because the only important major species present is a weak acid (the conjugate acid of the weak base). Past the equivalence point the pH becomes more acidic as excess HCl is added. Titration iv is a weak acid titrated by a strong base. The pH starts off acidic, but not nearly as acidic as the strong acid titration (i). The pH increases as NaOH is added; then at the halfway point to equivalence, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{HF}=-\log \left(7.2 \times 10^{-4}\right)=3.14$. The pH continues to increase past the halfway point; then at the equivalence point the pH is basic ( $\mathrm{pH}>7.0$ ) because the only important major species present is a weak base (the conjugate base of the weak acid). Past the equivalence point the pH becomes more basic as excess NaOH is added.
a. All require the same volume of titrant to reach the equivalence point. At the equivalence point for all these titrations, moles acid $=$ moles base $\left(M_{A} V_{A}=M_{B} V_{B}\right)$. Because all the molarities and volumes are the same in the titrations, the volume of titrant will be the same ( 50.0 mL titrant added to reach equivalence point).
b. Increasing initial pH : i < iv < iii < ii; the strong acid titration has the lowest pH , the weak acid titration is next, followed by the weak base titration, with the strong base titration having the highest pH .
c. i < iv < iii < ii; the strong acid titration has the lowest pH at the halfway point to equivalence, and the strong base titration has the highest halfway point pH . For the weak acid titration, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.14$, and for the weak base titration, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=10.64$.
d. Equivalence point pH: iii < ii = i < iv; the strong-by-strong titrations have $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$ at the equivalence point. The weak base titration has an acidic pH at the equivalence point, and a weak acid titration has a basic equivalence point pH .
The only different answer when the weak acid and weak base are changed would be for part c. This is for the halfway point to equivalence, where $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}, \mathrm{pK}=-\log \left(1.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)=9.80 \\
& \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.7 \times 10^{-9}}=5.9 \times 10^{-6}, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.23
\end{aligned}
$$

From the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values, the correct ordering at the halfway point to equivalence would be i < iii < iv < ii. Note that for the weak base-strong acid titration using $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}$, the pH is acidic at the halfway point to equivalence, whereas the weak acid-strong base titration using $\mathrm{HOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ is basic at the halfway point to equivalence. This is fine; this will always happen when the weak base titrated has a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}<1 \times 10^{-7}$ (so $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the conjugate acid is greater than $1 \times 10^{-7}$ ) and when the weak acid titrated has a $K_{a}<1 \times 10^{-7}$ (so $K_{b}$ of the conjugate base is greater than $1 \times 10^{-7}$ ).
15. The three key points to emphasize in your sketch are the initial pH , the pH at the halfway point to equivalence, and the pH at the equivalence point. For all the weak bases titrated, pH $=\mathrm{pK}$ at the halfway point to equivalence ( 50.0 mL HCl added) because [weak base] $=$ [conjugate acid] at this point. Here, the weak base with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \times 10^{-5}$ has a conjugate acid with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1 \times 10^{-9}$, so $\mathrm{pH}=9.0$ at the halfway point. The weak base with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1 \times 10^{-10}$ has a $\mathrm{pH}=4.0$ at the halfway point to equivalence. For the initial pH , the strong base has the highest pH (most basic), whereas the weakest base has the lowest pH (least basic). At the equivalence point ( 100.0 mL HCl added), the strong base titration has $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$. The weak bases titrated have acidic pH 's because the conjugate acids of the weak bases titrated are the major species present. The weakest base has the strongest conjugate acid so its pH will be lowest (most acidic) at the equivalence point.

16. $\mathrm{HIn} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{In}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{In}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HIn}]}$

Indicators are weak acids themselves. The special property they have is that the acid form of the indicator (HIn) has one distinct color, whereas the conjugate base form ( $\mathrm{In}^{-}$) has a different distinct color. Which form dominates and thus determines the color of the solution is determined by the pH . An indicator is chosen in order to match the pH of the color change at about the pH of the equivalence point.

## Exercises

## Buffers

17. Only the third (lower) beaker represents a buffer solution. A weak acid and its conjugate base must both be present in large quantities in order to have a buffer solution. This is only the case in the third beaker. The first beaker represents a beaker full of strong acid which is $100 \%$ dissociated. The second beaker represents a weak acid solution. In a weak acid solution, only a small fraction of the acid is dissociated. In this representation, $1 / 10$ of the weak acid has dissociated. The only $\mathrm{B}^{-}$present in this beaker is from the dissociation of the weak acid. A buffer solution has $\mathrm{B}^{-}$added from another source.
18. A buffer solution is a solution containing a weak acid plus its conjugate base or a weak base plus its conjugate acid. Solution c contains a weak acid ( HOCl ) plus its conjugate base $\left(\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right)$, so it is a buffer. Solution e is also a buffer solution. It contains a weak base $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right)$ plus its conjugate acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$.

Solution a contains a strong acid ( HBr ) and a weak acid ( HOBr ). Solution b contains a strong acid $\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right)$ and a strong base ( RbOH ). Solution d contains a strong base $(\mathrm{KOH})$ and a weak base $\left(\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right)$.
19. When strong acid or strong base is added to a bicarbonate-carbonate mixture, the strong acid(base) is neutralized. The reaction goes to completion, resulting in the strong acid(base) being replaced with a weak acid(base), resulting in a new buffer solution. The reactions are:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{--}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

20. Similar to the $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-} / \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ buffer discussed in Exercise 19, the $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+} / \mathrm{HONH}_{2}$ buffer absorbs added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in the same fashion.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HONH}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HONH}_{3}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& \mathrm{HONH}_{3}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HONH}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{aligned}
$$

21. a. This is a weak acid problem. Let $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{HOPr}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}=\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$.

b. This is a weak base problem.

$$
\begin{array}{llcl} 
& \mathrm{OPr}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{HOPr}(\mathrm{aq}) & +\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
\text { Initial } & 0.100 \mathrm{M} & 0 & \sim 0
\end{array}
$$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{OPr}^{-}$reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium

$\begin{array}{lccc}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.100-x & & +x \\ x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=7.7 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{[\mathrm{HOPr}]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{OPr}^{-}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=8.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=5.06 ; \mathrm{pH}=8.94$; assumptions good.
c. Pure $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=7.00$
d. This solution contains a weak acid and its conjugate base. This is a buffer solution. We will solve for the pH through the weak acid equilibrium reaction.

Initial $0.100 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0.100 \mathrm{M}$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HOPr}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ |  | $x$ | $0.100+x$ |

$1.3 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{(0.100+x)(x)}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{(0.100)(x)}{0.100}=x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=4.89$; assumptions good.
Alternately, we can use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to calculate the pH of buffer solutions.
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{\text { [acid] }]}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \left(\frac{0.100}{0.100}\right)=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(1.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.89$
The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation will be valid when an assumption of the type $0.1+$ $x \approx 0.1$ that we just made in this problem is valid. From a practical standpoint, this will almost always be true for useful buffer solutions. If the assumption is not valid, the solution will have such a low buffering capacity it will not be of any use to control the pH . Note: The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation can only be used to solve for the pH of buffer solutions.
22. a. Weak base problem:

|  | $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$ | $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.1 \times 10^{-8}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.1 \times 10^{-8}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100} \\
& x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=3.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=4.48 ; \mathrm{pH}=9.52 ; \text { assumptions good. }
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Weak acid problem ( $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$has no acidic/basic properties);

|  | $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}^{+}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0.100 M |  | 0 | $\sim 0$ |  |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |  |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |  |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=9.1 \times 10^{-7}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{3}^{+}\right]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.0 \times 10^{-4} M ; \mathrm{pH}=3.52 ;$ assumptions good.
c. Pure $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{pH}=7.00$
d. Buffer solution where $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(9.1 \times 10^{-7}\right)=6.04$. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=6.04+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{3}^{+}\right]}=6.04+\log \frac{(0.100)}{(0.100)}=6.04
$$

23. $0.100 M_{H C_{3}} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : Percent dissociation $=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]_{0}} \times 100=\frac{1.1 \times 10^{-3} M}{0.100 \mathrm{M}} \times 100$
= 1.1\%
$0.100 M \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+0.100 M \mathrm{NaC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \%$ dissociation $=\frac{1.3 \times 10^{-5}}{0.100} \times 100=1.3 \times 10^{-2} \%$
The percent dissociation of the acid decreases from $1.1 \%$ to $1.3 \times 10^{-2} \%$ (a factor of 85 ) when $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$is present. This is known as the common ion effect. The presence of the conjugate base of the weak acid inhibits the acid dissociation reaction.
24. $0.100 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HONH}_{2}:$ Percent ionization $\frac{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right]_{0}} \times 100=\frac{3.3 \times 10^{-5} M}{0.100 M} \times 100$

$$
=3.3 \times 10^{-2} \%
$$

$0.100 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HONH}_{2}+0.100 \mathrm{MHONH}_{3}^{+}: \%$ ionization $=\frac{1.1 \times 10^{-8}}{0.100} \times 100=1.1 \times 10^{-5} \%$
The percent ionization decreases by a factor of 3000 . The presence of the conjugate acid of the weak base inhibits the weak base reaction with water. This is known as the common ion effect.
25. a. We have a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{HOPr}=\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ and a strong acid $(\mathrm{HCl})$ present. The amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$donated by the weak acid will be negligible. To prove it, consider the weak acid equilibrium reaction:

|  | $\mathrm{HOPr} \rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$ | $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.3 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $\begin{array}{ccc} 0.100 \mathrm{M} & 0.020 \mathrm{M} & 0 \\ x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { HOPr dissociates to reach equilibrium } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Change | $-x \quad \rightarrow$ | + $X$ | + $X$ |  |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ | $0.020+x$ | $x$ |  |

$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.020+x \approx 0.020 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.70$; assumption good $\left(x=6.5 \times 10^{-5}\right.$ is $\left.\ll 0.020\right)$.
Note: The $\mathrm{H}^{+}$contribution from the weak acid HOPr was negligible. The pH of the solution can be determined by only considering the amount of strong acid present.
b. Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely with the best base present, $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$.

|  | $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$ | $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ | HOPr |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | $0.100 M$ | $0.020 M$ | 0 |  |
| Change | -0.020 | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.020 |$\quad$ Reacts completely

After reaction, a weak acid, HOPr , and its conjugate base, $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$, are present. This is a buffer solution. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation where $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log (1.3 \times$ $10^{-5}$ ) $=4.89$ :

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=4.89+\log \frac{(0.080)}{(0.020)}=5.49 ; \text { assumptions good } .
$$

c. This is a strong acid problem. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.020 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.70$
d. Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely with the best base present, $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$.

|  | $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ | HOPr |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.100 M |  | 0.020 M |  | 0.100 M |
| Change | -0.020 |  | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | $+0.020 \quad$ Reacts completely |
| After | 0.080 | 0 |  | 0.120 |  |

A buffer solution results (weak acid + conjugate base). Using the HendersonHasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=4.89+\log \frac{(0.080)}{(0.120)}=4.71 ; \text { assumptions good. }
$$

26. a. Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely with $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$ (the best base present) to form $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.

|  | $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $0.020 M$ |  | 0 |  |
| Before | 0.100 M |  | 0.020 |  | 0 |
| Change | -0.020 |  | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.020 |
| After | 0.080 | 0 |  | 0.020 |  |
| Aeacts completely |  |  |  |  |  |

After this reaction, a buffer solution exists; that is, a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$and its conjugate base $\left(\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right)$ are present at the same time. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to solve for the pH where $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=6.04$ :

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=6.04+\log \frac{(0.080)}{(0.020)}=6.04+0.60=6.64
$$

b. We have a weak acid and a strong acid present at the same time. The $\mathrm{H}^{+}$contribution from the weak acid, $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$, will be negligible. So we have to consider only the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ from HCl . $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.020 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.70$
c. This is a strong acid in water. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.020 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.70$
d. Major species: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{HONH}_{2}, \mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$
$\mathrm{H}^{+}$will react completely with $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$, the best base present.

|  | $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 0.100 M |  | 0.020 M |  | 0.100 M |  |
| Before | 0.020 |  | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.020 | Reacts completely |
| Change | -0.020 | 0 |  | 0.120 |  |  |
| After | 0.080 |  |  |  |  |  |

A buffer solution results after reaction. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=6.04+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]}=6.04+\log \frac{(0.080)}{(0.120)}=6.04-0.18=5.86
$$

27. a. $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will react completely with the best acid present, HOPr.

|  | HOPr | + | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$ | $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.100 M | 0.020 M |  | 0 |  |  |
| Change | -0.020 | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.020 |  | Reacts completely |
| After | 0.080 | 0 |  | 0.020 |  |  |

A buffer solution results after the reaction. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=4.89+\log \frac{(0.020)}{(0.080)}=4.29 ; \text { assumptions good. }
$$

b. We have a weak base and a strong base present at the same time. The amount of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ added by the weak base will be negligible. To prove it, let's consider the weak base equilibrium:

$$
\mathrm{OPr}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HOPr}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=7.7 \times 10^{-10}
$$

Initial

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
0.100 \mathrm{M} & 0 & 0.020 \mathrm{M} \\
x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{OPr}^{-} & \text {reacts with } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { to reach equilibrium }
\end{array}
$$

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ |  | $x$ | $0.020+x$ |

$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.020+x \approx 0.020 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.70 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.30$; assumption good.
Note: The $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$contribution from the weak base $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$was negligible ( $x=3.9 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$ as compared to $0.020 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base). The pH can be determined by only considering the amount of strong base present.
c. This is a strong base in water. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.020 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.70 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.30$
d. $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will react completely with HOPr, the best acid present.

|  | HOPr | $+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$ | $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | 0.100 M | 0.020 M | 0.100 M |  |  |
| Change | -0.020 | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.020 | Reacts completely |
| After | 0.080 | 0 |  | 0.120 |  |

Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to solve for the pH of the resulting buffer solution:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=4.89+\log \frac{(0.120)}{(0.080)}=5.07 ; \text { assumptions good. }
$$

28. a. We have a weak base and a strong base present at the same time. The $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$contribution from the weak base, $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$, will be negligible. Consider only the added strong base as the primary source of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$.
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.020 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.70 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.30$
b. Added strong base will react to completion with the best acid present, $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.

|  | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | + | $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.020 M | 0.100 M |  | 0 |  |  |
| Change | -0.020 | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.020 |  | Reacts completely |
| After | 0 | 0.080 |  | 0.020 |  |  |

The resulting solution is a buffer (a weak acid and its conjugate base). Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=6.04+\log \frac{(0.020)}{(0.080)}=6.04-0.60=5.44
$$

c. This is a strong base in water. $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.020 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.70 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.30$
d. Major species: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{HONH}_{2}, \mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}$; again, the added strong base reacts completely with the best acid present, $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.

|  | $\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 0.100 M | 0.020 M |  | 0.100 M |  |
| Before | 0.1020 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.020 |  | Reacts completely |
| Change | -0.020 | -0.020 | $\rightarrow$ | 0.120 |  |

A buffer solution results. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=6.04+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]}=6.04+\log \frac{(0.120)}{(0.080)}=6.04+0.18=6.22
$$

29. Consider all the results to Exercises 21, 25, and 27:

| Solution | Initial pH | After Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | After Added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 2.96 | 1.70 | 4.29 |
| b | 8.94 | 5.49 | 12.30 |
| c | 7.00 | 1.70 | 1.30 |
| d | 4.89 | 4.71 | 5.07 |

The solution in Exercise 21d is a buffer; it contains both a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ and a weak base $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right)$. Solution d shows the greatest resistance to changes in pH when either a strong acid or a strong base is added, which is the primary property of buffers.
30. Consider all of the results to Exercises 22, 26, and 28.

| Solution | Initial pH | After Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | After Added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | 9.52 | 6.64 | 12.30 |
| b | 3.52 | 1.70 | 5.44 |
| c | 7.00 | 1.70 | 12.30 |
| d | 6.04 | 5.86 | 6.22 |

The solution in Exercise 22d is a buffer; it shows the greatest resistance to a change in pH when strong acid or base is added. The solution in Exercise 22d contains a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{HONH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$and a weak base $\left(\mathrm{HONH}_{2}\right)$, which constitutes a buffer solution.
31. Major species: $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Na}^{+} . \mathrm{Na}^{+}$has no acidic or basic properties. One appropriate equilibrium reaction you can use is the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction of $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$, which contains both $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}$. However, you could also use the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction for $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$and come up with the same answer. Solving the equilibrium problem (called a buffer problem):


Note: We would get the same answer using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation. Use whichever method you prefer.
32. Major species: HF, $\mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{K}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{K}^{+}$has no acidic or basic properties. This is a solution containing a weak acid and its conjugate base. This is a buffer solution. One appropriate equilibrium reaction you can use is the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction of HF , which contains both HF and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$. However, you could also use the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction for $\mathrm{F}^{-}$and come up with the same answer. Alternately, you could use the Henderson-Hasselblach equation to solve for the pH . For this problem, we will use the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction and set up an ICE table to solve for the pH .

$$
\begin{array}{lccc} 
& \mathrm{HF} & \rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{~F}^{-} \\
\text {Initial } & 0.60 \mathrm{M} & + & \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
& x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { HF dissociates to reach equilibrium } \\
\text { Change } & -x & 1.00 \mathrm{M} & \sim 0 \\
\text { Equil. } & 0.60-x & +x & 1.00+x
\end{array}
$$

33. Major species after NaOH added: $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. The $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base will react with the best acid present $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right)$. Any reaction involving a strong base is assumed to go to completion. Because all species present are in the same volume of solution, we can use molarity units to do the stoichiometry part of the problem (instead of moles). The stoichiometry problem is:

|  | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | + | $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $0.10 \mathrm{~mol} / 1.00 \mathrm{~L}$ | 1.00 M |  | 1.00 M |  |  |
| Before | 0.10 M |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change | -0.10 M | -0.10 M | $\rightarrow$ | +0.10 M | Reacts completely |  |
| After | 0 | 0.90 |  | 1.10 |  |  |

After all the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts, we are left with a solution containing a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right)$ and its conjugate base $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right)$. This is what we call a buffer problem. We will solve this buffer problem using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ equilibrium reaction. One could also use the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ equilibrium reaction or use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to solve for the pH .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HNO}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \\
& \text {Initial } 0.90 \mathrm{M} \quad 1.10 \mathrm{M} \sim 0 \\
& x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HNO}_{2} \text { dissociates to reach equilibrium } \\
& \begin{array}{lcccc}
\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\
\text { Equil. } & 0.90-x & & 1.10+x & x
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{(1.10+x)(x)}{0.90-x} \approx \frac{(1.10)(x)}{0.90}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.3 \times 10^{-4} M ; \mathrm{pH}=3.48 ; \\
& \text { assumptions good. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: The added NaOH to this buffer solution changes the pH only from 3.40 to 3.48 . If the NaOH were added to 1.0 L of pure water, the pH would change from 7.00 to 13.00 .

Major species after HCl added: $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$; the added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from the strong acid will react completely with the best base present $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\right)$.

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{0.20 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}$ |  | 1.00 M |  | 1.00 M |
| Before |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change | -0.20 M |  | -0.20 M | $\rightarrow$ | +0.20 M | Reacts completely

After all the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has reacted, we have a buffer solution (a solution containing a weak acid and its conjugate base). Solving the buffer problem:

$$
\begin{array}{rccc} 
& \mathrm{HNO}_{2} & \rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \\
\text {Initial } & 1.20 M & & + \\
\text { Equil. } & 1.20-x & & 0.80 \mathrm{M} \\
& & \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.0 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{(0.80+x)(x)}{1.20-x} \approx \frac{(0.80)(x)}{1.20}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=6.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=3.22 ;
\end{array}
$$

Note: The added HCl to this buffer solution changes the pH only from 3.40 to 3.22 . If the HCl were added to 1.0 L of pure water, the pH would change from 7.00 to 0.70 .
34. Major species after NaOH added: $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{OH}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base will react with the best acid present (HF). Any reaction involving a strong base is assumed to go to completion. Because all species present are in the same volume of solution, we can use molarity units to do the stoichiometry part of the problem (instead of moles). The stoichiometry problem is:

|  | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | + | HF | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{F}^{-} \quad+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Before | $0.10 \mathrm{~mol} / 1.00 \mathrm{~L}$ | 0.60 M |  | 1.00 M |  |  |
| Change | -0.10 M | -0.10 M | $\rightarrow$ | +0.10 M | Reacts completely |  |
| After | 0 | 0.50 |  | 1.10 |  |  |

After all the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts, we are left with a solution containing a weak acid (HF) and its conjugate base ( $\mathrm{F}^{-}$). This is what we call a buffer problem. We will solve this buffer problem using the $K_{a}$ equilibrium reaction. One could also use the $K_{b}$ equilibrium reaction or use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to solve for the pH .

assumptions good.

Note: The added NaOH to this buffer solution changes the pH only from 3.37 to 3.48 . If the NaOH were added to 1.0 L of pure water, the pH would change from 7.00 to 13.00 .

Major species after HCl added: $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{F}^{-}, \mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; the added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from the strong acid will react completely with the best base present ( $\mathrm{F}^{-}$).

|  |  $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ + $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ $\rightarrow$ HF <br>       <br> Before $\frac{0.20 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}}$  1.00 M  0.60 M <br>       <br> Change -0.20 M  -0.20 M $\rightarrow$ +0.20 M | Reacts completely |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After | 0 | 0.80 |  | 0.80 |  |

After all the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has reacted, we have a buffer solution (a solution containing a weak acid and its conjugate base). Solving the buffer problem:

|  | HF | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |  |  |  |
| Initial | $0.80 M$ |  | $0.80 M$ |  |
| Equil. | $0.80-x$ |  | $0.80+x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{(0.80+x)(x)}{0.80-x} \approx \frac{(0.80)(x)}{0.80}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=7.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=3.14 ;$ assumptions good.

Note: The added HCl to this buffer solution changes the pH only from 3.37 to 3.14 . If the HCl were added to 1.0 L of pure water, the pH would change from 7.00 to 0.70 .
35. a.

$$
\begin{array}{llccc} 
& \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} & \rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{H}^{+} & +\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{l}
$$

Alternatively, we can use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}, \text { where } \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.74 \\
& \mathrm{pH}=4.74+\log \frac{(0.25)}{(0.10)}=4.74+0.40=5.14
\end{aligned}
$$

The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation will be valid when assumptions of the type, $0.10-x \approx$ 0.10 , that we just made are valid. From a practical standpoint, this will almost always be true for useful buffer solutions. Note: The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation can only be used to solve for the pH of buffer solutions.
b. $\mathrm{pH}=4.74+\log \frac{(0.10)}{(0.25)}=4.74+(-0.40)=4.34$
c. $\mathrm{pH}=4.74+\log \frac{(0.20)}{(0.080)}=4.74+0.40=5.14$
d. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=4.74+\log \frac{(0.080)}{(0.20)}=4.74+(-0.40)=4.34$
36. We will use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to solve for the pH of these buffer solutions.
a. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]} ;[$ base $]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]=0.50 \mathrm{M} ;[$ acid $]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]=0.25 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{5.6 \times 10^{-4}}=1.8 \times 10^{-11} \\
& \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.8 \times 10^{-11}\right)+\log \frac{(0.50 \mathrm{M})}{(0.25 \mathrm{M})}=10.74+0.30=11.04
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\mathrm{pH}=10.74+\log \frac{(0.25 M)}{(0.50 M)}=10.74+(-0.30)=10.44$
c. $\mathrm{pH}=10.74+\log \frac{(0.50 \mathrm{M})}{(0.50 M)}=10.74+0=10.74$
37. $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=\frac{21.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{122.12 \mathrm{~g}}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=0.880 \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=\frac{37.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{144.10 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=1.31 \mathrm{M}$
We have a buffer solution since we have both a weak acid and its conjugate base present at the same time. One can use the $K_{a}$ reaction or the $K_{b}$ reaction to solve. We will use the $K_{a}$ reaction for the acid component of the buffer.

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}
$$

Initial $\quad 0.880 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 1.31 \mathrm{M}$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.880-x$ |  | $x$ | $1.31+x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.4 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x(1.31+x)}{0.880-x} \approx \frac{x(1.31)}{0.880}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.3 \times 10^{-5} M$
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(4.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.37$; assumptions good.

Alternatively, we can use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to calculate the pH of buffer solutions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]} \\
& \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(6.4 \times 10^{-5}\right)+\log \left(\frac{1.31}{0.880}\right)=4.19+0.173=4.36
\end{aligned}
$$

Within round-off error, this is the same answer we calculated solving the equilibrium problem using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction.

The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation will be valid when an assumption of the type $1.31+x \approx$ 1.31 that we just made in this problem is valid. From a practical standpoint, this will almost always be true for useful buffer solutions. If the assumption is not valid, the solution will have such a low buffering capacity that it will be of no use to control the pH . Note: The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation can only be used to solve for the pH of buffer solutions.
38. $\quad 50.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}}{53.49 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}}=0.935 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ added to $1.00 \mathrm{~L} ;\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]=0.935 \mathrm{M}$

Using the Henderson Hasselbalch equation to solve for the pH of this buffer solution:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}=-\log \left(5.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)+\log \left(\frac{0.75}{0.935}\right)=9.25-0.096=9.15
$$

39. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$added $=\frac{0.010 \mathrm{~mol}}{0.2500 \mathrm{~L}}=0.040 \mathrm{M}$; the added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to form $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$.
a.

|  | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0.040 M |  | 0.15 M |  |  |
| Before | 0.050 M |  | 0.040 | Reacts completely |  |  |
| Change | -0.040 | -0.040 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.040 |  |  |
| After | 0.010 | 0 |  | 0.19 |  |  |

A buffer solution still exists after $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely. Using the HendersonHasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]}=-\log \left(5.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)+\log \left(\frac{0.010}{0.19}\right)=9.25+(-1.28)=7.97
$$

b.

|  | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.50 M | 0.040 M | 1.50 M |  |
| Change | -0.040 | -0.040 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.040 | Reacts completely

A buffer solution still exists. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]}, \quad 9.25+\log \left(\frac{0.46}{1.54}\right)=8.73$

The two buffers differ in their capacity and not their initial pH (both buffers had an initial $\mathrm{pH}=8.77$ ). Solution b has the greatest capacity since it has the largest concentrations of weak acid and conjugate base. Buffers with greater capacities will be able to absorb more added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$.
40. a. $\quad \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}=-\log \left(3.8 \times 10^{-10}\right)=9.42 ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}=14.00-9.42=4.58$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]}, 4.20=4.58+\log \frac{0.50 \mathrm{M}}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]} \\
& -0.38=\log \frac{0.50 \mathrm{M}}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]},\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\right]=1.2 \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\quad 4.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{40.00 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=0.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-} ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{0.10 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.0 \mathrm{~L}}=0.10 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Before | 1.2 M | 0.10 M | 0.50 M |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | -0.10 | -0.10 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.10 |
| After | 1.1 | 0 |  | 0.60 |

A buffer solution exists. $\mathrm{pH}=4.58+\log \left(\frac{0.60}{1.1}\right)=4.32$
41. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.74$

Because the buffer components, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$and $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, are both in the same volume of solution, the concentration ratio of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]$: $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ will equal the mole ratio of mol $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$to mol $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5.00=4.74+\log \frac{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}} ; \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=0.5000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~L}}=0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \\
& 0.26=\log \frac{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}}{0.100 \mathrm{~mol}}, \frac{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}}{0.100}=10^{0.26}=1.8, \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}=0.18 \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \text { Mass } \mathrm{NaC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=0.18 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaC} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \times \frac{82.03 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

42. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]}, 3.55=-\log \left(4.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]}$

$$
3.55=3.40+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HNO}_{2}\right]}=10^{0.15}=1.4=\frac{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HNO}}{ }_{2}
$$

Let $x=$ volume $(\mathrm{L})$ of $\mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ solution needed; then $1.00-x=$ volume of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ solution needed to form this buffer solution.
$\frac{\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}{ }^{-}}{\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{HNO}_{2}}=1.4=\frac{(1.00-x) \times \frac{0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaNO}}{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} x_{x \times \frac{0.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HNO}}{2}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\frac{0.50-(0.50) x}{(0.50) x}$
$(0.70) x=0.50-(0.50) x,(1.20) x=0.50, x=0.42 \mathrm{~L}$

We need 0.42 L of $0.50 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{2}$ and $1.00-0.42=0.58 \mathrm{~L}$ of $0.50 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ to form a $\mathrm{pH}=$ 3.55 buffer solution.
43. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.7 \times 10^{-9}}=5.9 \times 10^{-6}$
$\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(5.9 \times 10^{-6}\right)=5.23$
We will use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to calculate the concentration ratio necessary for each buffer.
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}, \mathrm{pH}=5.23+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}$
a. $4.50=5.23+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}=10^{-0.73}=0.19$
b. $5.00=5.23+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}=10^{-0.23}=0.59$
c. $5.23=5.23+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}=10^{0.0}=1.0$
d. $5.50=5.23+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}=10^{0.27}=1.9$
44. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \quad \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(5.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)=9.25$; we will use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to calculate the concentration ratio necessary for each buffer.

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}, \quad \mathrm{pH}=9.25+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}
$$

a. $9.00=9.25+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}=10^{-0.25}=0.56$
b. $8.80=9.25+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]}=10^{-0.45}=0.35$
c. $10.00=9.25+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}=10^{0.75}=5.6$
d. $\quad 9.60=9.25+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]}=10^{0.35}=2.2$
45. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}, 7.40=-\log \left(4.3 \times 10^{-7}\right)+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}{0.0012}$

$$
\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]}{0.0012}=7.40-6.37=1.03, \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]}{0.0012}=10^{1.03},\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}
$$

46. At $\mathrm{pH}=7.40: 7.40=-\log \left(4.3 \times 10^{-7}\right)+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}$
$\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}=7.40-6.37=1.03, \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}=10^{1.03}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}=10^{-1.03}=0.093$
At pH = 7.35: $\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}=7.35-6.37=0.98, \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}=10^{0.98}$

$$
\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]}=10^{-0.98}=0.10
$$

The $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]$ : $\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]$concentration ratio must increase from 0.093 to 0.10 in order for the onset of acidosis to occur.
47. A best buffer has large and equal quantities of weak acid and conjugate base. Because [acid] $=[$ base $]$ for a best buffer, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+0=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\mathrm{pH} \approx \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}\right.$ for a best buffer).

The best acid choice for a $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$ buffer would be the weak acid with a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ close to 7.0 or $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \approx 1 \times 10^{-7}$. HOCl is the best choice in Table $14.2\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.5 \times 10^{-8} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.46\right)$. To make this buffer, we need to calculate the [base] : [acid] ratio.

$$
7.00=7.46+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}, \quad \frac{\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HOCl}]}=10^{-0.46}=0.35
$$

Any $\mathrm{OCl}^{-} / \mathrm{HOCl}$ buffer in a concentration ratio of $0.35: 1$ will have a $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$. One possibility is $[\mathrm{NaOCl}]=0.35 \mathrm{M}$ and $[\mathrm{HOCl}]=1.0 \mathrm{M}$.
48. For a $\mathrm{pH}=5.00$ buffer, we want an acid with a $\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}$ close to 5.00 . For a conjugate acid-base pair, $14.00=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{b}}$. So, for a $\mathrm{pH}=5.00$ buffer, we want the base to have a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{b}}$ close to $(14.0-5.0=) 9.0$ or a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ close to $1 \times 10^{-9}$. The best choice in Table 14.3 is pyridine $\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ with $K_{b}=1.7 \times 10^{-9}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.7 \times 10^{-9}}=5.9 \times 10^{-6} \\
& 5.00=-\log \left(5.9 \times 10^{-6}\right)+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}, \quad \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]}=10^{-0.23}=0.59
\end{aligned}
$$

There are many possibilities to make this buffer. One possibility is a solution of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right]=$ 0.59 M and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NHCl}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}$. The pH of this solution will be 5.00 because the base to acid concentration ratio is $0.59: 1$.
49. $\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} / 3.0 \times 10^{-6}=3.3 \times 10^{-9}$
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]}=-\log \left(3.3 \times 10^{-9}\right)+\log \left(\frac{0.40}{0.80}\right)=8.48+(-0.30)=8.18$
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}$ a for a buffer when [acid] = [base]. Here, the acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$concentration needs to decrease, while the base $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right)$ concentration needs to increase in order for $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]=$ $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right]$. Both of these changes are accomplished by adding a strong base (like NaOH ) to the original buffer. The added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base converts the acid component of the buffer into the conjugate base. Here, the reaction is $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Because a strong base is reacting, the reaction is assumed to go to completion. The following set-up determines the number of moles of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}(x)$ that must be added so that mol $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}=$ mol $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$. When mol acid = mol base in a buffer, then [acid] = [base] and $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Before | $1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ | $x$ |  | $1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | Reacts completely |
| After | $0.80-x$ | 0 |  | $0.40+x$ |  |

We want mol $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$. Therefore:

$$
0.80-x=0.40+x, 2 x=0.40, x=0.20 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

 while $\mathrm{mol}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$ is increased to 0.60 mol . Therefore, 0.20 mol of NaOH must be added to the initial buffer solution in order to produce a solution where $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
50. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HOCl}]}=-\log \left(3.5 \times 10^{-8}\right)+\log \left(\frac{0.90}{0.20}\right)=7.46+0.65=8.11$
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ when $[\mathrm{HOCl}]=\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]$(or when $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HOCl}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{OCl}{ }^{-}$). Here, the moles of the base component of the buffer must decrease, while the moles of the acid component of the buffer must increase in order to achieve a solution where $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. Both of these changes occur when a strong acid (like HCl ) is added. Let $x=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$added from the strong acid HCl .

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $+\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | HOCl |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Before | $x$ | $1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ |  | $1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | Reacts completely |
| After | 0 | $0.90-x$ |  | $0.20+x$ |  |

We want $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HOCl}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{OCl}{ }^{-}$. Therefore:

$$
0.90-x=0.20+x, 2 x=0.70, x=0.35 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}
$$

When $0.35 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$is added, $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{OCl}^{-}$is decreased to 0.55 mol , while the mol HOCl is increased to 0.55 mol Therefore, 0.35 mol of HCl must be added to the original buffer solution in order to produce a solution where $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
51. The reaction $\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ goes to completion for solutions a, c, and d (no reaction occurs between the species in solution b because both species are bases). After the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts completely, there must be both $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ in solution for it to be a buffer. The important components of each solution (after the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts completely) is(are):
a. $\quad 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (no $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$remains, no buffer)
b. $\quad 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$and $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (two bases present, no buffer)
c. $\quad 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$and $0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (too much $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$added, no $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$remains, no buffer)
d. $\quad 0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $0.05 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$(a buffer solution results)

Only the combination in mixture d results in a buffer. Note that the concentrations are halved from the initial values. This is so because equal volumes of two solutions were added together, which halves the concentrations.
52. a. No; a solution of a strong acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ and its conjugate base $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}\right)$is not generally considered a buffer solution.
b. No; two acids are present $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right.$ and HF$)$, so it is not a buffer solution.
c. Yes; $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely with $\mathrm{F}^{-}$. Since equal volumes are mixed, the initial concentrations in the mixture are $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ and 0.20 M NaF .

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + | $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ |  | $\rightarrow$ | HF |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.10 M |  | 0.20 M |  | 0 |  |
| Change | -0.10 | -0.10 |  | +0.10 | Reacts completely |  |
| After | 0 | 0.10 |  | 0.10 |  |  |

After $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely, a buffer solution results; that is, a weak acid (HF) and its conjugate base ( $\mathrm{F}^{-}$) are both present in solution in large quantities.
d. No; a strong acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ and a strong base $(\mathrm{NaOH})$ do not form buffer solutions. They will neutralize each other to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
53. Added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$converts $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}: \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

From this reaction, the moles of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$produced equal the moles of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$added. Also, the total concentration of acetic acid plus acetate ion must equal 2.0 M (assuming no volume change on addition of NaOH ). Summarizing for each solution:
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right]=2.0 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]$produced $=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$added
a. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}$; for $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}, \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=0$

Therefore, $\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=1.0$ and $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$.
Because $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=2.0 \mathrm{M}$ :

$$
\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] \text {added }
$$

To produce a $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$solution, we need to add 1.0 mol of NaOH to 1.0 L of the $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution. The resulting solution will have $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.74$.
b. $4.00=4.74+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=10^{-0.74}=0.18$
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=0.18\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=5.6\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]$
Because $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=2.0 \mathrm{M}$ :

$$
\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]+5.6\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=2.0 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=\frac{2.0}{6.6}=0.30 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] \text {added }
$$

We need to add 0.30 mol of NaOH to 1.0 L of $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ solution to produce 0.30 $M \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$. The resulting solution will have $\mathrm{pH}=4.00$.
c. $5.00=4.74+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=10^{0.26}=1.8$
$1.8\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]$or $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=0.56\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]$
$1.56\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=2.0 \mathrm{M},\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=1.3 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$added
We need to add 1.3 mol of NaOH to 1.0 L of $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to produce a solution with $\mathrm{pH}=5.00$.
54. When $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is added, it converts $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$into $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. From this reaction, the moles of $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ produced must equal the moles of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$added and the total concentration of acetate ion + acetic acid must equal 1.0 $M$ (assuming no volume change). Summarizing for each solution:

$$
\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M} \text { and }\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] \text {added }
$$

a. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}$; for $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}},\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$.

For this to be true, $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=0.50 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$added, which means that 0.50 mol of HCl must be added to 1.0 L of the initial solution to produce a solution with $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
b. $4.20=4.74+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=10^{-0.54}=0.29$
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=0.29\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right] ; 0.29\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=0.78 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$added
0.78 mol of HCl must be added to produce a solution with $\mathrm{pH}=4.20$.
c. $\quad 5.00=4.74+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=10^{0.26}=1.8$
$\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=1.8\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right] ; 1.8\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]+\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=0.36 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$added
0.36 mol of HCl must be added to produce a solution with $\mathrm{pH}=5.00$.

## Acid-Base Titrations

55. 


$\mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base converts the weak acid HA into its conjugate base $\mathrm{A}^{-}$. Initially, before any $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is added (point d), HA is the dominant species present. After $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is added, both HA and $\mathrm{A}^{-}$are present, and a buffer solution results (region b). At the equivalence point (points a and e), exactly enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has been added to
convert all the weak acid HA into its conjugate base $\mathrm{A}^{-}$. Past the equivalence point (region f), excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is present. For the answer to part b, we included almost the entire buffer region. The maximum buffer region (or the region which is the best buffer solution) is around the halfway point to equivalence (point c). At this point, enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has been added to convert exactly one-half of the weak acid present initially into its conjugate base, so [HA] $=\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]$and $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. $\quad \mathrm{A}$ "best" buffer has about equal concentrations of weak acid and conjugate base present.
56.

$\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{BH}^{+}$; added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from the strong acid converts the weak base B into its conjugate acid $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$. Initially, before any $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is added (point d), B is the dominant species present. After $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is added, both B and $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$are present, and a buffered solution results (region b). At the equivalence point (points a and e), exactly enough $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has been added to convert all the weak base present initially into its conjugate acid $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$. Past the equivalence point (region f ), excess $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is present. For the answer to b , we included almost the entire buffer region. The maximum buffer region is around the halfway point to equivalence (point c ), where $[\mathrm{B}]=$ $\left[\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right]$. Here, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}$, which is a characteristic of a best buffer.
57. This is a strong acid $\left(\mathrm{HClO}_{4}\right)$ titrated by a strong base $(\mathrm{KOH})$. Added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base will react completely with the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$present from the strong acid to produce $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
a. Only strong acid present. $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.200 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=0.699$
b. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}$ - added $=10.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mL}}=1.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
$\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}$present $=40.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{mL}}=8.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$
Note: The units millimoles are usually easier numbers to work with. The units for molarity are moles per liter but are also equal to millimoles per milliliter.

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 8.00 mmol | 1.00 mmol |  | Reacts completely |
| Change | -1.00 mmol | -1.00 mmol |  |  |
| After | 7.00 mmol | 0 |  |  |

The excess $\mathrm{H}^{+}$determines the $\mathrm{pH} .\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{7.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}}{}{ }^{+}{ }_{40.0 \mathrm{~mL}+10.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.140 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log (0.140)=0.854$
c. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}$ - added $=40.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=4.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Before $\quad 8.00 \mathrm{mmol} \quad 4.00 \mathrm{mmol}$
After $\quad 4.00 \mathrm{mmol} \quad 0$
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{4.00 \mathrm{mmol}}{(40.0+40.0) \mathrm{mL}}=0.0500 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.301$
d. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}$ - added $=80.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=8.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$; this is the equivalence point because we have added just enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to react with all the acid present. For a strong acid-strong base titration, $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$ at the equivalence point because only neutral species are present $\left(\mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.


$$
\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Before $\quad 8.00 \mathrm{mmol} \quad 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { After } & 0 & 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}\end{array}$
Past the equivalence point, the pH is determined by the excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$present.

$$
\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{2.0 \mathrm{mmol}}{(40.0+100.0) \mathrm{mL}}=0.014 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.85 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.15
$$

58. This is a strong base, $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, titrated by a strong acid, HCl . The added strong acid will neutralize the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base. As is always the case when a strong acid and/or strong base reacts, the reaction is assumed to go to completion.
a. Only a strong base is present, but it breaks up into 2 moles of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions for every mole of $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} .\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2 \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=0.200 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=0.699 ; \mathrm{pH}=13.301$
 $=16.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
$\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}$added $=20.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.400 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{mL}}=8.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$
$\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| Before | 16.0 mmol | 8.00 mmol |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | -8.00 mmol | -8.00 mmol | Reacts completely |
| After | 8.0 mmol | 0 |  |

$$
\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{8.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}}{}{ }^{-}-2.080 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.10 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.90
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}$ + added $=30.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.400 \mathrm{M}=12.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \begin{array}{lcc}
\text { Before } & 16.0 \mathrm{mmol} & 12.0 \mathrm{mmol} \\
\text { After } & 4.0 \mathrm{mmol} & 0
\end{array} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{4.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}}{}{ }^{-}-0.036 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.44 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.56}
\end{aligned}
$$

d. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}$added $=40.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.400 \mathrm{M}=16.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$; this is the equivalence point. Because the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$will exactly neutralize the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base, all we have in solution is $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. All are neutral species, so $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$.
e. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}$ + added $=80.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.400 \mathrm{M}=32.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$

$$
\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lcc}
\text { Before } & 16.0 \mathrm{mmol} & 32.0 \mathrm{mmol} \\
\text { After } & 0 & 16.0 \mathrm{mmol} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{16.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}}{}} \\
(80.0+80.0) \mathrm{mL} & =0.100 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.000
\end{array}
$$

59. This is a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ titrated by a strong base (KOH).
a. Only weak acid is present. Solving the weak acid problem:

|  | $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0.200 M |  | $\sim 0$ | 0 |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HC}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |
| Change | $-X$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $0.200-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.200-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.200}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pH}=2.72$; assumptions good.
b. The added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will react completely with the best acid present, $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
mmol $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ present $=100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=20.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}{ }^{-}$added $=50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mL}}=5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$

|  | $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $+0 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$ | $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | 20.0 mmol | 5.00 mmol |  | 0 |  |
| Change | -5.00 mmol | -5.00 mmol | $\rightarrow$ | +5.00 mmol | Reacts Completely |
| After | 15.0 mmol | 0 |  | 5.00 mmol |  |

After reaction of all of the strong base, we have a buffer solution containing a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ and its conjugate base $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right)$. We will use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to solve for the pH .
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=-\log \left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)+\log \left(\frac{5.00 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}{15.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right), \begin{gathered}\text { where } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}= \\ \text { total volume }\end{gathered}$
$\mathrm{pH}=4.74+\log \left(\frac{5.00}{15.0}\right)=4.74+(-0.477)=4.26$
Note that the total volume cancels in the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation. For the [base]/[acid] term, the mole ratio equals the concentration ratio because the components of the buffer are always in the same volume of solution.
c. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}=$ added $=100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times\left(0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-} / \mathrm{mL}\right)=10.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$; the same amount ( 20.0 mmol ) of $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is present as before (it doesn't change). As before, let the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$react to completion, then see what is remaining in solution after this reaction.

|  | $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |
| Before | 20.0 mmol | 10.0 mmol | 0 |  |
| After | 10.0 mmol | 0 | 0.0 mmol |  |

A buffer solution results after reaction. Because $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]=10.0$ $\mathrm{mmol} /$ total volume, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. This is always true at the halfway point to equivalence for a weak acid-strong base titration, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.74$
d. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added $=150.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=15.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$. Added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts completely with the weak acid.

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

| Before | 20.0 mmol | 15.0 mmol | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After | 5.0 mmol | 0 | 15.0 mmol |

We have a buffer solution after all the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts to completion. Using the HendersonHasselbalch equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=4.74+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=4.74+\log \left(\frac{15.0 \mathrm{mmol}}{5.0 \mathrm{mmol}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{pH}=4.74+0.48=5.22
\end{aligned}
$$

e. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH} ~$ added $=200.00 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=20.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$; as before, let the added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$react to completion with the weak acid; then see what is in solution after this reaction.

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

| Before | 20.0 mmol | 20.0 mmol | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After | 0 | 0 | 20.0 mmol |

This is the equivalence point. Enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has been added to exactly neutralize all the weak acid present initially. All that remains that affects the pH at the equivalence point is the conjugate base of the weak acid $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right)$. This is a weak base equilibrium problem.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}
$$

Initial
$20.0 \mathrm{mmol} / 300.0 \mathrm{~mL}$
0
0
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $0.0667-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.6 \times 10^{-9}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0667-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0667}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=6.1 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}
$$

$\mathrm{pOH}=5.21 ; \mathrm{pH}=8.79$; assumptions good .
f. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added $=250.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=25.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

| Before | 20.0 mmol | 25.0 mmol | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| After | 0 | 5.0 mmol | 20.0 mmol |

After the titration reaction, we have a solution containing excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$and a weak base $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$. When a strong base and a weak base are both present, assume that the amount of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$added from the weak base will be minimal; that is, the pH past the equivalence point is determined by the amount of excess strong base.

$$
\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{5.0 \mathrm{mmol}}{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}+250.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.014 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.85 ; \mathrm{pH}=12.15
$$

60. This is a weak base $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right)$ titrated by a strong acid $\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$. To calculate the pH at the various points, let the strong acid react completely with the weak base present; then see what is in solution.
a. Only a weak base is present. Solve the weak base equilibrium problem.

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0.100 M | 0 | $\sim 0$ |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=3.0 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=5.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pOH}=3.26 ; \mathrm{pH}=10.74$; assumptions good.
b. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$ present $=100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=10.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$
$\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}$added $=20.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{mL}}=4.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 10.0 mmol | 4.00 mmol |  | 0 |  |
| Change | -4.00 mmol | -4.00 mmol | $\rightarrow$ | +4.00 mmol | Reacts completely |
| After | 6.0 mmol | 0 |  | 4.00 mmol |  |

A buffer solution results after the titration reaction. Solving using the HendersonHasselbalch equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{3.0 \times 10^{-6}}=3.3 \times 10^{-9} \\
& \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(3.3 \times 10^{-9}\right)+\log \left(\frac{6.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}{4.00 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right), \text { where } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}=\text { total volume, which } \\
& \text { cancels. } \\
& \mathrm{pH}=8.48+\log (1.5)=8.48+0.18=8.66
\end{aligned}
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}$ + added $=25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.200 \mathrm{M}=5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2} \quad+\quad \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}^{+}
$$

| Before | 10.0 mmol | 5.00 mmol | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After | 5.0 mmol | 0 | 5.00 mmol |

This is the halfway point to equivalence, where $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}\right]$. At this point, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (which is characteristic of the halfway point for any weak base-strong acid titration).
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(3.3 \times 10^{-9}\right)=8.48$
d. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}$ + added $=40.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.200 \mathrm{M}=8.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 10.0 mmol | 8.00 mmol | 0 |  |
| After | 2.0 mmol | 0 | 8.00 mmol |  |

A buffer solution results.

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=8.48+\log \left(\frac{2.0 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}{8.00 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)=8.48+(-0.60)=7.88
$$

e. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}$added $=50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.200 \mathrm{M}=10.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$

|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ |  |
| Before | 10.0 mmol | 10.0 mmol | 0 |
| After | 0 | 0 | 10.0 mmol |

As is always the case in a weak base-strong acid titration, the pH at the equivalence point is acidic because only a weak acid $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)$is present. Solving the weak acid equilibrium problem:

$\mathrm{pH}=4.82$; assumptions good.
f. $\quad \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}$added $=100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.200 \mathrm{M}=20.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{2} \quad+\quad \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}^{+}
$$

| Before | 10.0 mmol | 20.0 mmol | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After | 0 | 10.0 mmol | 10.0 mmol |

Two acids are present past the equivalence point, but the excess $\mathrm{H}^{+}$will determine the pH of the solution since $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid.
$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{10.0 \mathrm{mmol}}{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}+100.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.0500 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.301$
61. We will do sample calculations for the various parts of the titration. All results are summarized in Table 15.1 at the end of Exercise 64.

At the beginning of the titration, only the weak acid $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ is present. Let HLac $=$ $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Lac}^{-}=\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{-}$.

|  | $\mathrm{HLac} \rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{Lac}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=10^{-3.86}=1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0.100 M | $\sim 0$ | 0 |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ HLac dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |


| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$1.4 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.7 \times 10^{-3} M ; \mathrm{pH}=2.43 ;$ assumptions good.
Up to the stoichiometric point, we calculate the pH using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation. This is the buffer region. For example, at 4.0 mL of NaOH added:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { initial mmol HLac present }=25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=2.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HLac} \\
& \text { mmol } \mathrm{OH}^{-} \text {added }=4.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=0.40 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: The units millimoles are usually easier numbers to work with. The units for molarity are moles per liter but are also equal to millimoles per milliliter.

The 0.40 mmol of added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$converts 0.40 mmol HLac to $0.40 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Lac}{ }^{-}$according to the equation:

$$
\mathrm{HLac}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lac}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \text { Reacts completely since a strong base is added. }
$$

mmol HLac remaining $=2.50-0.40=2.10 \mathrm{mmol} ; \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Lac}^{-}$produced $=0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$
We have a buffer solution. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation where $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.86$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Lac}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HLac}]}=3.86+\log \frac{(0.40)}{(2.10)} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { (Total volume cancels, so we can use } \\
\text { use the ratio of moles or millimoles.) }
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{pH}=3.86-0.72=3.14
\end{aligned}
$$

Other points in the buffer region are calculated in a similar fashion. Perform a stoichiometry problem first, followed by a buffer problem. The buffer region includes all points up to and including $24.9 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added.

At the stoichiometric point ( $25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OH}{ }^{-}$added), we have added enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to convert all of the HLac ( 2.50 mmol ) into its conjugate base ( $\mathrm{Lac}^{-}$). All that is present is a weak base. To determine the pH , we perform a weak base calculation.
$\left[\mathrm{Lac}^{-}\right]_{0}=\frac{2.50 \mathrm{mmol}}{25.0 \mathrm{~mL}+25.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.0500 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{Lac}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{HLac} \quad+\quad \mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.4 \times 10^{-4}}=7.1 \times 10^{-11}
$$

Initial $0.0500 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad 0$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Lac}^{-}$reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lccrr}\text { Change } & -x & \rightarrow & +x & +x \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.0500-x & & x & x\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0500-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0500}=7.1 \times 10^{-11}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.9 \times 10^{-6} M ; \mathrm{pOH}=5.72 ; \mathrm{pH}=8.28 ;$ assumptions good.

Past the stoichiometric point, we have added more than 2.50 mmol of NaOH . The pH will be determined by the excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ion present. An example of this calculation follows.

At $25.1 \mathrm{~mL}: \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added $=25.1 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=2.51 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
$2.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$neutralizes all the weak acid present. The remainder is excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$.
Excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}=2.51-2.50=0.01 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{0.01 \mathrm{mmol}}{(25.0+25.1) \mathrm{mL}}=2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=3.7 ; \mathrm{pH}=10.3$
All results are listed in Table 15.1 at the end of the solution to Exercise 64.
62. Results for all points are summarized in Table 15.1 at the end of the solution to Exercise 64. At the beginning of the titration, we have a weak acid problem:

$$
\mathrm{HOPr} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OPr}^{-} \quad \mathrm{HOPr}=\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{OPr}^{-}=\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}
$$

Initial $\quad 0.100 \mathrm{M} \quad \sim 0 \quad 0$ $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HOPr}$ acid dissociates to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. | $0.100-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OPr}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HOPr}]}=1.3 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=2.96 ;$ assumptions good.
The buffer region is from 4.0 to 24.9 mL of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$added. We will do a sample calculation at $24.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added.

Initial mmol HOPr present $=25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=2.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HOPr}$
mmol OH - added $=24.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=2.40 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
The added strong base converts HOPr into $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$.

|  | HOPr | + | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$ | $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 2.50 mmol | 2.40 mmol | 0 |  |  |  |
| Change | -2.40 | -2.40 | $\rightarrow$ | +2.40 | Reacts completely |  |
| After | 0.10 mmol | 0 |  | 2.40 mmol |  |  |

A buffer solution results. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation where $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ $-\log \left(1.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.89$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{pH} & =\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}=4.89+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{OPr}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HOPr}]} \\
\mathrm{pH}=4.89+\log \left(\frac{2.40}{0.10}\right)=4.89+1.38=6.27 & \begin{array}{l}
\text { (Volume cancels, so we can use the } \\
\text { millimole ratio in the log term.) }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

All points in the buffer region 4.0 mL to 24.9 mL are calculated this way. See Table 15.1 at the end of Exercise 64 for all the results.

At the stoichiometric point ( 25.0 mL NaOH added), only a weak base ( $\mathrm{OPr}^{-}$) is present:

$$
\mathrm{OPr}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{HOPr}
$$

Initial $\frac{2.50 \mathrm{mmol}}{50.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.0500 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad 0$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{OPr}^{-}$reacts with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ | $+x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $0.0500-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right][\mathrm{HOPr}]}{\left[\mathrm{OPr}^{-}\right]}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=7.7 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0500-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0500}$
$x=6.2 \times 10^{-6} M=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right], \mathrm{pOH}=5.21, \mathrm{pH}=8.79$; assumptions good.
Beyond the stoichiometric point, the pH is determined by the excess strong base added. The results are the same as those in Exercise 61 (see Table 15.1).

For example, at 26.0 mL NaOH added:

$$
\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{2.60 \mathrm{mmol}-2.50 \mathrm{mmol}}{(25.0+26.0) \mathrm{mL}}=2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=2.70 ; \mathrm{pH}=11.30
$$

63. At beginning of the titration, only the weak base $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is present. As always, solve for the pH using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}
$$

| Initial | $0.100 M$ | 0 | $\sim 0$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Equil | $0.100-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

Equil. $0.100-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=2.89 ; \mathrm{pH}=11.11$; assumptions good.
In the buffer region ( $4.0-24.9 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), we can use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.25 ; \mathrm{pH}=9.25+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]}
$$

We must determine the amounts of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$present after the added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely with the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. For example, after 8.0 mL HCl added:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { initial } \mathrm{mmol}_{\mathrm{NH}}^{3} \text { present }=25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=2.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \\
& \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to completion: $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$
mmol NH 33 remaining $=2.50-0.80=1.70 \mathrm{mmol} ; \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$produced $=0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$
$\mathrm{pH}=9.25+\log \frac{1.70}{0.80}=9.58$ (Mole ratios can be used since the total volume cancels.)
Other points in the buffer region are calculated in similar fashion. Results are summarized in Table 15.1 at the end of Exercise 64.

At the stoichiometric point ( $25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}$added), just enough HCl has been added to convert all the weak base $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)$ into its conjugate acid $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)$. Perform a weak acid calculation.
$\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]_{0}=2.50 \mathrm{mmol} / 50.0 \mathrm{~mL}=0.0500 \mathrm{M}$

|  | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}$ |  |  |
| Initial | 0.0500 M |  | 0 | 0 |
| Equil. | $0.0500-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |

$5.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0500-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0500}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=5.3 \times 10^{-6} M ; \mathrm{pH}=5.28 ; \quad \begin{gathered}\text { assumptions } \\ \text { good. }\end{gathered}$
Beyond the stoichiometric point, the pH is determined by the excess $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. For example, at 28.0 mL of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$added:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}^{+} \text {added }=28.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=2.80 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
& \text {Excess } \mathrm{H}^{+}=2.80 \mathrm{mmol}-2.50 \mathrm{mmol}=0.30 \mathrm{mmol} \text { excess } \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{0.30 \mathrm{mmol}}{(25.0+28.0) \mathrm{mL}}=5.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=2.24}
\end{aligned}
$$

All results are summarized in Table 15.1 at the end of Exercise 64.
64. Initially, a weak base problem:

|  | $\mathrm{py}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Hpy}^{+}$ | + | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$ py is pyridine.

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Hpy}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{py}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.100-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.100} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{-9}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=4.89 ; \mathrm{pH}=9.11$; assumptions good.

Buffer region ( $4.0-24.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ): Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely with py: py $+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hpy}^{+}$.
Determine the moles (or millimoles) of py and $\mathrm{Hpy}^{+}$after reaction, then use the HendersonHasselbalch equation to solve for the pH .
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.7 \times 10^{-9}}=5.9 \times 10^{-6} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.23 ; \mathrm{pH}=5.23+\log \frac{[\mathrm{py}]}{\left[\mathrm{Hpy}^{+}\right]}$
Results in the buffer region are summarized in Table 15.1, which follows this problem. See Exercise 63 for a similar sample calculation.

At the stoichiometric point ( $25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}$added), this is a weak acid problem since just enough $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has been added to convert all the weak base into its conjugate acid. The initial concentration of $\left[\mathrm{Hpy}^{+}\right]=0.0500 \mathrm{M}$.

|  | $\mathrm{Hpy}^{+}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | py | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.9 \times 10^{-6}$ |  |  |  |
| Initial | 0.0500 M |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Equil. | $0.0500-x$ |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |

$5.9 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0500-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0500}, x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=5.4 \times 10^{-4} M ; \mathrm{pH}=3.27$; assumptions good.
Beyond the equivalence point, the pH determination is made by calculating the concentration of excess $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. See Exercise 63 for an example. All results are summarized in Table 15.1.

Table 15.1 Summary of pH Results for Exercises 61-64 (Plot on next page)

| Titrant <br> mL | Exercise | Exercise | Exercise <br> 62 | Exercise <br> 64 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 62 | 63 |  |
| 0.0 | 2.43 | 2.96 | 11.11 | 9.11 |
| 4.0 | 3.14 | 4.17 | 9.97 | 5.95 |
| 8.0 | 3.53 | 4.56 | 9.58 | 5.56 |
| 12.5 | 3.86 | 4.89 | 9.25 | 5.23 |
| 20.0 | 4.46 | 5.49 | 8.65 | 4.63 |
| 24.0 | 5.24 | 6.27 | 7.87 | 3.85 |
| 24.5 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 7.6 | 3.5 |
| 24.9 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 6.9 | - |
| 25.0 | 8.28 | 8.79 | 5.28 | 3.27 |
| 25.1 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 3.7 | - |
| 26.0 | 11.30 | 11.30 | 2.71 | 2.71 |
| 28.0 | 11.75 | 11.75 | 2.24 | 2.24 |
| 30.0 | 11.96 | 11.96 | 2.04 | 2.04 |


65. a. This is a weak acid-strong base titration. At the halfway point to equivalence, [weak acid] $=$ [conjugate base], so $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (always for a weak acid-strong base titration).
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(6.4 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.19$
mmol $\mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ present $=100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.10 \mathrm{M}=10 . \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. For the equivalence point, 10 mmol of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$must be added. The volume of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$added to reach the equivalence point is:

$$
\text { 10. } \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL}}{0.10 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}=1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

At the equivalence point, 10. mmol of $\mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is neutralized by $10 . \mathrm{mmol}$ of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to produce 10. mmol of $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$. This is a weak base. The total volume of the solution is $100.0 \mathrm{~mL}+1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mL}=2.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mL}$. Solving the weak base equilibrium problem:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{HC}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{6.4 \times 10^{-5}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}
$$

Initial $10 \mathrm{mmol} / 2.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mL} \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $0.050-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.050-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.050}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pOH}=5.55 ; \mathrm{pH}=8.45$; assumptions good.
b. At the halfway point to equivalence for a weak base-strong acid titration, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ because [weak base] = [conjugate acid].

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{5.6 \times 10^{-4}}=1.8 \times 10^{-11} ; \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(1.8 \times 10^{-11}\right)=10.74
$$

For the equivalence point (mmol acid added = mmol base present):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mmol } \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \text { present }=100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.10 \mathrm{M}=10 . \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}^{+} \text {added }=10 . \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL}}{0.20 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}=50 . \mathrm{mL} \mathrm{H}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

The strong acid added completely converts the weak base into its conjugate acid.
Therefore, at the equivalence point, $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]_{0}=10 . \mathrm{mmol} /(100.0+50) \mathrm{mL}=$.
M. Solving the weak acid equilibrium problem:
$\begin{array}{lccc} & \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+} & \rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{H}^{+}\end{array}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$.
$\mathrm{pH}=5.96$; assumptions good.
c. In a strong acid-strong base titration, the halfway point has no special significance other than that exactly one-half of the original amount of acid present has been neutralized.
mmol H ${ }^{+}$present $=100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.50 M=50 . \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$
$\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added $=25 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}+\frac{1 \mathrm{~mL}}{0.25 \mathrm{mmol}}=1.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |
| Before | $50 . \mathrm{mmol}$ | 25 mmol |  |  |
| After | 25 mmol | 0 |  |  |

$\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{25 \mathrm{mmol}}{\left(100.0+1.0 \times 10^{2}\right) \mathrm{mL}}=0.13 M ; \mathrm{pH}=0.89$
At the equivalence point of a strong acid-strong base titration, only neutral species are present $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right.$, and $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, so the $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$.
66. $\quad 50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 1.0 \mathrm{M}=50 . \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ present initially; $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}$
a. $\quad 50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.50 \mathrm{M}=25$. mmol HCl added. The added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$will convert one-half of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$. This is the halfway point to equivalence, where $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]=$ $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]$.
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.4 \times 10^{-4}}=2.3 \times 10^{-11}$
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(2.3 \times 10^{-11}\right)=10.64$
b. It will take 100. mL of HCl solution to reach the stoichiometric (equivalence) point. Here the added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$will convert all of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ into its conjugate acid, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$.
$\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]_{0}=\frac{50 . \mathrm{mmol}}{150 . \mathrm{mL}}=0.33 \mathrm{M}$

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=2.3 \times 10^{-11}
$$

$\begin{array}{lrll}\text { Initial } & 0.33 M & 0 & 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.33-x & x & x\end{array}$
$2.3 \times 10^{-11}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.33-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.33}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=2.8 \times 10^{-6} M ; \mathrm{pH}=5.55 ; \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { assumptions } \\ & \text { good. }\end{aligned}$
67. $75.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.10 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=7.5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HA} ; \quad 30.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.10 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=3.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added

The added strong base reacts to completion with the weak acid to form the conjugate base of the weak acid and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

|  | $\mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 7.5 mmol | 3.0 mmol | 0 |  |
| Before | 7.5 mmol |  |  |  |
| After | 4.5 mmol | 0 |  | 3.0 mmol |

A buffer results after the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts to completion. Using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}, 5.50=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \left(\frac{3.0 \mathrm{mmol} / 105.0 \mathrm{~mL}}{4.5 \mathrm{mmol} / 105.0 \mathrm{~mL}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.50-\log (3.0 / 4.5)=5.50-(-0.18)=5.68 ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=10^{-5.68}=2.1 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

68. $\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$added $=0.0400 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.100 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=0.00400 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$

The added strong acid reacts to completion with the weak base to form the conjugate acid of the weak base and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Let $\mathrm{B}=$ weak base:

|  | B | + | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.0100 mol | 0.00400 mol | 0 |  |
| After | 0.0060 | 0 |  | 0.0400 mol |

After the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts to completion, we have a buffer solution. Using the HendersonHasselbalch equation:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}, 8.00=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left(0.0060 / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)}{\left(0.00400 / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)} \text {, where } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}=\begin{gathered}
\text { total volume } \\
\text { of solution }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=8.00-\log \frac{(0.0060)}{(0.00400)}=8.00-0.18, \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.82
$$

For a conjugate acid-base pair, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=14,00$, so:

$$
\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{b}}=14.00-7.82=6.18 ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=10^{-6.18}=6.6 \times 10^{-7}
$$

## Indicators

69. $\mathrm{HIn} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{In}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{In}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{[\mathrm{HIn}]}=1.0 \times 10^{-9}$
a. In a very acid solution, the HIn form dominates, so the solution will be yellow.
b. The color change occurs when the concentration of the more dominant form is approximately ten times as great as the less dominant form of the indicator.

$$
\frac{[\mathrm{HIn}]}{\left[\mathrm{In}^{-}\right]}=\frac{10}{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-9}=\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right],\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{M} ; \quad \mathrm{pH}=8.0 \text { at color } \quad \text { change }
$$

c. This is way past the equivalence point ( $100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added), so the solution is very basic, and the $\mathrm{In}^{-}$form of the indicator dominates. The solution will be blue.
70. The color of the indicator will change over the approximate range of $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \pm 1=5.3 \pm 1$. Therefore, the useful pH range of methyl red where it changes color would be about 4.3 (red) to 6.3 (yellow). Note that at $\mathrm{pH}<4.3$, the HIn form of the indicator dominates, and the color of the solution is the color of HIn (red). At $\mathrm{pH}>6.3$, the $\mathrm{In}^{-}$form of the indicator dominates, and the color of the solution is the color of $\mathrm{In}^{-}$(yellow). In titrating a weak acid with base, we start off with an acidic solution with $\mathrm{pH}<4.3$, so the color would change from red to reddish orange at $\mathrm{pH} \approx 4.3$. In titrating a weak base with acid, the color change would be from yellow to yellowish orange at $\mathrm{pH} \approx 6.3$. Only a weak base-strong acid titration would have an acidic pH at the equivalence point, so only in this type of titration would the color change of methyl red indicate the approximate endpoint.
71. At the equivalence point, $\mathrm{P}^{2-}$ is the major species. $\mathrm{P}^{2-}$ is a weak base in water because it is the conjugate base of a weak acid.
$\begin{array}{lllll} & \frac{0.5 \mathrm{~g}}{0.1 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{204.2 \mathrm{~g}}=0.024 \mathrm{M} & 0 & \sim 0 & \text { (carry extra sig. fig.) } \\ \text { Initial } & x & x\end{array}$
$x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HP}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\mathrm{P}^{2-}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{10^{-5.51}}, 3.2 \times 10^{-9}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.024-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.024}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=8.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=5.1 ; \mathrm{pH}=8.9 ;$ assumptions good .
Phenolphthalein would be the best indicator for this titration because it changes color at around $\mathrm{pH} \approx 9$ (from acid color to base color).
72. $\mathrm{HIn} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{In}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{In}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{[\mathrm{HIn}]}=10^{-3.00}=1.0 \times 10^{-3}$

At 7.00\% conversion of HIn into $\mathrm{In}^{-},\left[\mathrm{In}^{-}\right] /[\mathrm{HIn}]=7.00 / 93.00$.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{In}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HIn}]} \times\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\frac{7.00}{93.00} \times\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right], \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=1.89$
The color of the base form will start to show when the pH is increased to 1.89 .
73. When choosing an indicator, we want the color change of the indicator to occur approximately at the pH of the equivalence point. Because the pH generally changes very rapidly at the equivalence point, we don't have to be exact. This is especially true for strong acid-strong base titrations. The following are some indicators where the color change occurs at about the pH of the equivalence point:

| Exercise | pH at Eq. Pt. | Indicator |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| 57 |  |  |  |
| 59 | 8.79 |  | bromthymol blue or phenol red |
|  |  |  | o-cresolphthalein or phenolphthalein |

74. Exercise

58
60
75. Exercise

61
63
76. Exercise

62
64
pH at Eq. P
7.00
4.82
pH at Eq. P
8.28
5.28
pH at Eq. Pt.
8.79
3.27

Indicator
bromthymol blue or phenol red bromcresol green

Indicator
o-cresolphthalein or phenolphthalein bromcresol green

Indicator
o-cresolphthalein or phenolphthalein 2,4-dinitrophenol

In the titration in Exercise 64, it will be very difficult to mark the equivalence point. The pH break at the equivalence point is too small.
77. $\mathrm{pH}>5$ for bromcresol green to be blue. $\mathrm{pH}<8$ for thymol blue to be yellow. The pH is between 5 and 8 .
78. The pH will be less than about 0.5 because crystal violet is yellow at a pH less than about 0.5 . The methyl orange result only tells us that the pH is less than about 3.5 .
79.
a. yellow
b. green (Both yellow and blue forms are present.)
c. yellow
d. blue
80.
a. yellow
b. yellow
c. green (Both yellow and blue forms are present.)
d. colorless

## Additional Exercises

81. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}$; taking the -log of the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ expression:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]-\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}, \quad-\log \left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=-\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]} \\
& \mathrm{pOH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{b}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]} \text { or } \mathrm{pOH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{b}}+\log \frac{[\text { acid }]}{[\text { base }]}
\end{aligned}
$$

82. a. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(6.4 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.19$ since $[\mathrm{HBz}]=\left[\mathrm{Bz}^{-}\right]$, where $\mathrm{HBz}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Bz}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}$.
b. [ $\left.\mathrm{Bz}^{-}\right]$will increase to 0.120 M and $[\mathrm{HBz}]$ will decrease to 0.080 M after $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts completely with HBz. The Henderson-Hasselbalch equation is derived from the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ dissociation reaction.
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Bz}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HBz}]}, \mathrm{pH}=4.19+\log \frac{(0.120)}{(0.080)}=4.37$; assumptions good.
c. $\mathrm{Bz}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HBz}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$

Initial $0.120 \mathrm{M} \quad 0.080 \mathrm{M} \quad 0$
Equil. $0.120-x \quad 0.080+x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{6.4 \times 10^{-5}}=\frac{(0.080+x)(x)}{(0.120-x)} \approx \frac{(0.080)(x)}{0.120}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2.34 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$ (carrying extra sig. fig.); assumptions good.
$\mathrm{pOH}=9.63 ; \mathrm{pH}=4.37$
d. We get the same answer. Both equilibria involve the two major species, benzoic acid and benzoate anion. Both equilibria must hold true. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is related to $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ by $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$] is related to $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$by $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$, so all constants are interrelated.
83. a. The optimum pH for a buffer is when $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$. At this pH a buffer will have equal neutralization capacity for both added acid and base. As shown next, because the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for TRISH $^{+}$is 8.1, the optimal buffer pH is about 8.1.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.19 \times 10^{-6} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=8.40 \times 10^{-9} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(8.40 \times 10^{-9}\right)=8.076
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { TRIS }]}{\left[\mathrm{TRISH}^{+}\right]}, \quad 7.00=8.076+\log \frac{[\text { TRIS }]}{\left[\mathrm{TRISH}^{+}\right]}$

$$
\left.\frac{[\mathrm{TRIS}]}{\left[\mathrm{TRISH}^{+}\right]}=10^{-1.08}=0.083 \quad \text { (at } \mathrm{pH}=7.00\right)
$$

$9.00=8.076+\log \frac{[\mathrm{TRIS}]}{\left[\mathrm{TRISH}^{+}\right]}, \frac{[\mathrm{TRIS}]}{\left[\mathrm{TRISH}^{+}\right]}=10^{0.92}=8.3 \quad($ at $\mathrm{pH}=9.00)$
c. $\frac{50.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { TRIS }}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{121.14 \mathrm{~g}}=0.206 \mathrm{M}=0.21 \mathrm{M}=$ [TRIS]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{65.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { TRISHCl }}{2.0 \mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{157.60 \mathrm{~g}}=0.206 \mathrm{M}=0.21 \mathrm{M}=[\mathrm{TRISHCl}]=\left[\mathrm{TRISH}^{+}\right] \\
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\mathrm{TRIS}]}{\left[\mathrm{TRISH}^{+}\right]}=8.076+\log \frac{(0.21)}{(0.21)}=8.08
\end{aligned}
$$

The amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$added from HCl is: $\left(0.50 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~L}\right) \times 12 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=6.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$
The $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from HCl will convert TRIS into TRISH ${ }^{+}$. The reaction is:
TRIS $+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \quad$ TRISH $^{+}$

| Before | 0.21 M | $\frac{6.0 \times 10^{-3}}{0.2005}=0.030 \mathrm{M}$ | 0.21 M |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change | -0.030 | -0.030 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.030 | Reacts completely |
| After | 0.18 | 0 |  | 0.24 |  |

Now use the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to solve this buffer problem.

$$
\mathrm{pH}=8.076+\log \left(\frac{0.18}{0.24}\right)=7.95
$$

84. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}, \quad 4.00=-\log \left(1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}$
$\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=0.18$; this is also equal to the mole ratio between $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$and $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
Let $x=$ volume of $1.00 M \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $y=$ volume of $1.00 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$x+y=1.00 \mathrm{~L}, x=1.00-\mathrm{y}$
$x(1.00 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; y(1.00 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$
Thus: $\frac{y}{x}=0.18$ or $\frac{y}{1.00-y}=0.18$; solving: $y=0.15 \mathrm{~L}$, so $x=1.00-0.15=0.85 \mathrm{~L}$.
We need 850 mL of $1.00 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and 150 mL of $1.00 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to produce a buffer solution at $\mathrm{pH}=4.00$.
85. A best buffer is when $\mathrm{pH} \approx \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$; these solutions have about equal concentrations of weak acid and conjugate base. Therefore, choose combinations that yield a buffer where $\mathrm{pH} \approx \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$; that is, look for acids whose $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ is closest to the pH .
a. Potassium fluoride +HCl will yield a buffer consisting of $\mathrm{HF}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.14\right)$ and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$.
b. Benzoic acid +NaOH will yield a buffer consisting of benzoic acid $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.19\right)$ and benzoate anion.
c. Sodium acetate + acetic acid $\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.74\right)$ is the best choice for $\mathrm{pH}=5.0$ buffer since acetic acid has a $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value closest to 5.0.
d. HOCl and NaOH : This is the best choice to produce a conjugate acid-base pair with $\mathrm{pH}=$ 7.0. This mixture would yield a buffer consisting of $\mathrm{HOCl}\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.46\right)$ and $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$. Actually, the best choice for a $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$ buffer is an equimolar mixture of ammonium chloride and sodium acetate. $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$is a weak acid ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}$ ), and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$is a weak base ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.6 \times 10^{-10}$ ). A mixture of the two will give a buffer at $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$ because the weak acid and weak base are the same strengths ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$). $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is commercially available, and its solutions are used for $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$ buffers.
e. Ammonium chloride +NaOH will yield a buffer consisting of $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\left(\mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}=9.26\right)$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.
86. Let's abbreviate the carboxylic acid group in alanine as RCOOH and the amino group in alanine as $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction for the carboxylic acid group is:

$$
\mathrm{RCOOH} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{RCOO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.5 \times 10^{-7}
$$

From Le Chatelier's principle, if we have a very acidic solution, a lot of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is present. This drives the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction to the left, and the dominant form of the carboxylic acid group will be RCOOH (an overall neutral charge). If we have a very basic solution, the excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will remove $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from solution. As $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is removed, the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction shifts right, and the dominant form of the carboxylic acid group will be $\mathrm{RCOO}^{-}$(an overall 1- charged ion).

The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction for the amino group is:

$$
\mathrm{RNH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{RNH}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

If we have a very acidic solution, the excess protons present will remove $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from solution, and the dominant form of the amino group will be $\mathrm{RNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$(an overall $1+$ charged ion). If we have a very basic solution, a lot of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is present, and the dominant form of the amino group will be $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ (an overall neutral charge).

In alanine, both an RCOOH group and an $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ group are present. The dominant form of alanine in a very acidic solution will be the form with the protons attached to the two groups that have acid-base properties. This form of alanine is:

which has an overall charge of $1+$. The dominant form of alanine in a very basic solution will be in the form with the protons removed from the two groups that have acid-base properties. This form of alanine is:

which has an overall charge of $1-$.
87.
a. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{[\text { base }]}{[\text { acid }]}, 7.15=-\log \left(6.2 \times 10^{-8}\right)+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}$

$$
7.15=7.21+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}=10^{-0.06}=0.9, \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}=\frac{1}{0.9}=1.1 \approx 1
$$

b. A best buffer has approximately equal concentrations of weak acid and conjugate base, so $\mathrm{pH} \approx \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for a best buffer. The $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value for a $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}$buffer is $-\log (7.5 \times$ $\left.10^{-3}\right)=2.12$. A pH of 7.15 is too high for a $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$buffer to be effective. At this high of pH , there would be so little $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ present that we could hardly consider it a buffer; this solution would not be effective in resisting pH changes, especially when a strong base is added.
88. At $\mathrm{pH}=0.00,\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-0.00}=1.0 \mathrm{M}$. We begin with $1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times 2.0 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{OH}^{-}=2.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$. We will need 2.0 mol HCl to neutralize the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, plus an additional 1.0 mol excess $\mathrm{H}^{+}$to reduce the pH to 0.00 . We need 3.0 mol HCl total assuming 1.0 L of solution.
89. a. $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]} \times \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{w}}}=\frac{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}=1.8 \times 10^{9}
$$

Note: This reaction is the reverse of the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}$ reaction for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$.
b. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\text {eq }}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}}}=5.6 \times 10^{4}$
c. $\mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{NaOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{NaCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Net ionic equation is $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{w}}}=1.0 \times 10^{14}$
90. a. Because all acids are the same initial concentration, the pH curve with the highest pH at 0 mL of NaOH added will correspond to the titration of the weakest acid. This is pH curve f.
b. The pH curve with the lowest pH at 0 mL of NaOH added will correspond to the titration of the strongest acid. This is pH curve a.

The best point to look at to differentiate a strong acid from a weak acid titration (if initial concentrations are not known) is the equivalence point pH . If the $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$, the acid titrated is a strong acid; if the pH is greater than 7.00 , the acid titrated is a weak acid.
c. For a weak acid-strong base titration, the pH at the halfway point to equivalence is equal to the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value. The pH curve, which represents the titration of an acid with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times$ $10^{-6}$, will have a $\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(1 \times 10^{-6}\right)=6.0$ at the halfway point. The equivalence point, from the plots, occurs at 50 mL NaOH added, so the halfway point is 25 mL . Plot d has a $\mathrm{pH} \approx 6.0$ at 25 mL of NaOH added, so the acid titrated in this pH curve (plot d) has $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \approx$ $1 \times 10^{-6}$.
91. In the final solution: $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-2.15}=7.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$

Beginning mmol $\mathrm{HCl}=500.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.200 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}=100 . \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HCl}$
Amount of HCl that reacts with $\mathrm{NaOH}=1.50 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL} \times \mathrm{V}$
$\frac{7.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=\frac{\text { final } \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\text {total volume }}=\frac{100 .-(0.0150) \mathrm{V}}{500.0+\mathrm{V}}$
$3.6+\left(7.1 \times 10^{-3}\right) V=100 .-\left(1.50 \times 10^{-2}\right) V,\left(2.21 \times 10^{-2}\right) V=100 .-3.6$
$\mathrm{V}=4.36 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~mL}=4.36 \mathrm{~L}=4.4 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaOH}$
92. For a titration of a strong acid with a strong base, the added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts completely with the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$present. To determine the pH , we calculate the concentration of excess $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$after the neutralization reaction, and then calculate the pH .
$0 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.100 \mathrm{M}$ from $\mathrm{HNO}_{3} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.000$
$4.0 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad$ Initial $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$present $=25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{mL}}=2.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$

$$
\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}+\quad \text { added }=4.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mL}}=0.40 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

$0.40 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts completely with $0.40 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}: \mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.50-0.40) \mathrm{mmol}}{(25.0+4.0) \mathrm{mL}}=7.24 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.140
$$

We follow the same procedure for the remaining calculations.
$8.0 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.50-0.80) \mathrm{mmol}}{33.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=5.15 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.288$
$12.5 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.50-1.25) \mathrm{mmol}}{37.5 \mathrm{~mL}}=3.33 \times 10^{-2} M ; \mathrm{pH}=1.478$
$20.0 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.50-2.00) \mathrm{mmol}}{45.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=1.1 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=1.96$
$24.0 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.50-2.40) \mathrm{mmol}}{49.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=2.70$
$24.5 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.50-2.45) \mathrm{mmol}}{49.5 \mathrm{~mL}}=1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=3.0$
$24.9 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.50-2.49) \mathrm{mmol}}{49.9 \mathrm{~mL}}=2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=3.7$
25.0 mL : Equivalence point; we have a neutral solution because there is no excess $\mathrm{H}^{+}$or $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$remaining after the neutralization reaction. $\mathrm{pH}=7.00$
$25.1 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad$ Base in excess; $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.51-2.50) \mathrm{mmol}}{50.1 \mathrm{~mL}}=2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=3.7$ $\mathrm{pH}=14.00-3.7=10.3$
$26.0 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.60-2.50) \mathrm{mmol}}{51.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=2.70 ; \mathrm{pH}=11.30$
$28.0 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(2.80-2.50) \mathrm{mmol}}{53.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=5.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=2.24 ; \mathrm{pH}=11.76$
$30.0 \mathrm{~mL}: \quad\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{\text {excess }}=\frac{(3.00-2.50) \mathrm{mmol}}{55.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=9.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=2.04 ; \mathrm{pH}=11.96$

93. $\quad \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$; let $\mathrm{C}_{0}=$ initial concentration of $\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$

From normal weak acid setup: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{0}-\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}$

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-2.68}=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ; 1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left(2.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{0}-\left(2.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)}, \mathrm{C}_{0}=0.25 \mathrm{M}
$$

$25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.25 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}=6.3 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
Need $6.3 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{KOH}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {КоН }} \times 0.0975 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}, \mathrm{V}_{\text {КоН }}=65 \mathrm{~mL}$
94. Mol acid $=0.210 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{192 \mathrm{~g}}=0.00109 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added $=0.0305 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.108 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=0.00329 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
$\frac{\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\text {Mol acid }}=\frac{0.00329}{0.00109}=3.02$
The acid is triprotic $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~A}\right)$ because 3 mol of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$are required to react with 1 mol of the acid; that is, the acid must have $3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$in the formula to react with 3 mol of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$.
95. $\mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, where $\mathrm{HA}=$ acetylsalicylic acid (assuming it is a monoprotic acid). mmol HA present $=27.36 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{OH}^{-} \times \frac{0.5106 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{OH}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HA}}{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}=13.97 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HA}$

Molar mass of HA $=\frac{2.51 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HA}}{13.97 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HA}}=180 . \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$
To determine the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value, use the pH data. After complete neutralization of acetylsalicylic acid by $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, we have 13.97 mmol of $\mathrm{A}^{-}$produced from the neutralization reaction. $\mathrm{A}^{-}$will react completely with the added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and re-form acetylsalicylic acid HA.

$$
\begin{array}{llclll}
\text { mmol } \mathrm{H}^{+} \text {added }=13.68 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.5106 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{mL}} & =6.985 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+} & \\
& \mathrm{A}^{-} & + & \mathrm{H}^{+} & \rightarrow & \mathrm{HA} \\
& & 6.98 \mathrm{mmol} & 0 & \\
\text { Before } & 13.97 \mathrm{mmol} & 6.985 & \rightarrow 6.985 & \text { Reacts completely } \\
\text { Change } & -6.985 & 0.985 \mathrm{mmol} & 0 & & 6.985 \mathrm{mmol}
\end{array}
$$

We have back titrated this solution to the halfway point to equivalence, where $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (assuming HA is a weak acid). This is true because after $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely, equal millimoles of HA and $\mathrm{A}^{-}$are present, which only occurs at the halfway point to equivalence. Assuming acetylsalicylic acid is a weak monoprotic acid, then $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.48 . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=10^{-3.48}$ $=3.3 \times 10^{-4}$.
96. NaOH added $=50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.500 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=25.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH}$

NaOH left unreacted $=31.92 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{0.289 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HCl}}=9.22 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH}$
NaOH reacted with aspirin $=25.0-9.22=15.8 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH}$
$15.8 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol} \text { aspirin }}{2 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH}} \times \frac{180.2 \mathrm{mg}}{\mathrm{mmol}}=1420 \mathrm{mg}=1.42 \mathrm{~g}$ aspirin
Purity $=\frac{1.42 \mathrm{~g}}{1.427 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=99.5 \%$
Here, a strong base is titrated by a strong acid. The equivalence point will be at $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$. Bromthymol blue would be the best indicator since it changes color at $\mathrm{pH} \approx 7$ (from base color to acid color), although phenolphthalein is commonly used for the indicator. See Fig. 15.8 of the text.
97. $50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH}$ initially

At $\mathrm{pH}=10.50, \mathrm{pOH}=3.50,\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=10^{-3.50}=3.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
mmol OH - remaining $=3.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL} \times 73.75 \mathrm{~mL}=2.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol}$
$\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$that reacted $=5.00-0.024=4.98 \mathrm{mmol}$
Because the weak acid is monoprotic, 23.75 mL of the weak acid solution contains 4.98 mmol HA.
$[\mathrm{HA}]_{0}=\frac{4.98 \mathrm{mmol}}{23.75 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.210 \mathrm{M}$
98. $\mathrm{HA}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; it takes 25.0 mL of 0.100 M NaOH to reach the equivalence point, where $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HA}=\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}=25.0 \mathrm{~mL}(0.100 \mathrm{M})=2.50 \mathrm{mmol}$. At the equivalence point, some HCl is added. The $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from the strong acid reacts to completion with the best base present, $\mathrm{A}^{-}$.

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$ | $\mathrm{A}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | HA |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| Before | $13.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}$ | 2.5 mmol | 0 |  |
| Change | -1.3 mmol | -1.3 mmol | +1.3 mmol |  |
| After | 0 | 1.2 mmol | 1.3 mmol |  |

A buffer solution is present after the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has reacted completely.
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HA}]}, \quad 4.70=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \left(\frac{1.2 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}{1.3 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ total volume
Because the $\log$ term will be negative $[\log (1.2 / 1.3)=-0.035)]$, the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value of the acid must be greater than $4.70\left(\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.70+0.035=4.74\right)$.
99. At equivalence point: $16.00 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.125 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}=2.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added; there must be 2.00 mmol HX present initially.
$\mathrm{HX}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (neutralization rection)
2.00 mL NaOH added $=2.00 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.125 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{mL}=0.250 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-} ; 0.250 \mathrm{mmol}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ added will convert 0.250 mmol HX into $0.250 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{X}^{-}$. Remaining HX $=2.00-0.250=$ 1.75 mmol HX ; this is a buffer solution where $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-6.912}=1.22 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$. Because total volume cancels:

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HX}]}=\frac{1.22 \times 10^{-7}\left(0.250 / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)}{1.75 / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}=\frac{1.22 \times 10^{-7}(0.250)}{1.75}=1.74 \times 10^{-8}
$$

Note: We could solve for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 100-107 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

108. At 4.0 mL NaOH added: $\left|\frac{\Delta \mathrm{pH}}{\Delta \mathrm{mL}}\right|=\left|\frac{2.43-3.14}{0-4.0}\right|=0.18$

The other points are calculated in a similar fashion. The results are summarized and plotted below. As can be seen from the plot, the advantage of this approach is that it is much easier to accurately determine the location of the equivalence point.

109. $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC} 3 \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ present initially $=45.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.750 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=33.8 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$

$$
\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{C} 3_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-} \text {present initially }=55.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.700 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=38.5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}
$$

The initial pH of the buffer is:

$$
\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]}=-\log \left(1.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)+\log \frac{\frac{38.5 \mathrm{mmol}}{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}}}{\frac{33.8 \mathrm{mmol}}{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}}}=4.89+\log \frac{38.5}{33.8}=4.95
$$

Note: Because the buffer components are in the same volume of solution, we can use the mole (or millimole) ratio in the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation to solve for pH instead of using the concentration ratio of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]$: $\left[\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$.

When NaOH is added, the pH will increase, and the added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will convert $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$. The pH after addition of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$increases by $2.5 \%$, so the resulting pH is:

$$
4.95+0.025(4.95)=5.07
$$

At this pH , a buffer solution still exists, and the millimole ratio between $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}}{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}}, 5.07=4.89+\log \frac{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}}{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}} \\
& \frac{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}}{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HC}} \mathrm{~B}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}
\end{aligned}=10^{0.18}=1.5 \mathrm{l}
$$

Let $x=\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$added to increase pH to 5.07. Because $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will essentially react to completion with $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, the setup to the problem using millimoles is:

|  | $\mathrm{HC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Before | 33.8 mmol | $x \mathrm{mmol}$ |  | 38.5 mmol |  |
| Change | $-x$ | $-x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |  |$\quad$ Reacts completely

The volume of NaOH necessary to raise the pH by $2.5 \%$ is:

$$
4.9 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL}}{0.10 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=49 \mathrm{~mL}
$$

49 mL of 0.10 M NaOH must be added to increase the pH by $2.5 \%$.
110. $\quad 0.400 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$after reaction with HCl at the equivalence point.

At the equivalence point: $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}}{\text {total volume }}=\frac{0.400 \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}}{1.50 \times \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}}=0.267 \mathrm{M}$

|  | $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | $+\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 0.267 M |  | 0 |  |
| Equil. | $0.267-x$ | $x$ |  | $x$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{1.8 \times 10^{-5}}, 5.6 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.267-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.267}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=4.92 ;$ assumption good.
111. For $\mathrm{HOCl}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.5 \times 10^{-8}$ and $\mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=-\log \left(3.5 \times 10^{-8}\right)=7.46$. This will be a buffer solution because the pH is close to the $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value.
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HOCl}]}, \quad 8.00=7.46+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HOCl}]}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HOCl}]}=10^{0.54}=3.5$
$1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.0500 \mathrm{M}=0.0500 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HOCl}$ initially. Added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$converts HOCl into $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$. The total moles of $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$and HOCl must equal 0.0500 mol . Solving where $n=$ moles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n_{\mathrm{OCl}^{-}}+n_{\mathrm{HOCl}}=0.0500 \text { and } n_{\mathrm{OCl}^{-}}=(3.5) n_{\mathrm{Hocl}} \\
& (4.5) n_{\mathrm{HoCl}}=0.0500, n_{\mathrm{HOCl}}=0.011 \mathrm{~mol} ; n_{\mathrm{OCl}^{-}}=0.039 \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

Need to add 0.039 mol NaOH to produce $0.039 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OCl}^{-}$.

$$
0.039 \mathrm{~mol}=\mathrm{V} \times 0.0100 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{~V}=3.9 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{NaOH}
$$

Note: Normal buffer assumptions hold.
112. $50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} ; \quad 30.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=3.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HOCl}$
$25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.200 \mathrm{M}=5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH} ; 10.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.150 \mathrm{M}=1.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{KOH}$
$25.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.100 \mathrm{M}=2.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}=5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$; we've added $11.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ total.

Let $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$react completely with the best acid present $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$.
$10.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}+5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \rightarrow 0.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$
$\mathrm{OH}^{-}$still remains after reacting completely with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} . \mathrm{OH}^{-}$will then react with the next best acid ( HOCl ). The remaining $1.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$will convert 1.50 mmol HOCl into 1.50 $\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OCl}{ }^{-}$, resulting in a solution with $1.50 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OCl}^{-}$and ( $3.00-1.50=$ ) 1.50 mmol

HOCl . The major species at this point are $\mathrm{HOCl}, \mathrm{OCl}^{-}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ plus cations that don't affect $\mathrm{pH} . \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is an extremely weak base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=8.3 \times 10^{-13}\right)$. We have a buffer solution composed of HOCl and $\mathrm{OCl}^{-}$. Because $[\mathrm{HOCl}]=\left[\mathrm{OCl}^{-}\right]$:

$$
\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=3.5 \times 10^{-8} M ; \mathrm{pH}=7.46 ; \text { assumptions good. }
$$

113. The first titration plot (from $0-100.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) corresponds to the titration of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ by $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. The reaction is $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{HA}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After all the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ has been reacted, the second titration (from $100.0-200.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) corresponds to the titration of $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$by $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$. The reaction is $\mathrm{HA}^{-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
a. At 100.0 mL of NaOH , just enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has been added to react completely with all of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ present $\left(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}\right.$added $=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ present initially). From the balanced equation, the mol of $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$produced will equal the mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ present initially. Because mol of $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$present at 100.0 mL OH 100.0 mL more of NaOH must be added to react with all of the $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$. The volume of NaOH added to reach the second equivalence point equals $100.0 \mathrm{~mL}+100.0 \mathrm{~mL}=200.0$ mL .
b. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{HA}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is the reaction occurring from $0-100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{NaOH}$ added.
$\mathrm{HA}^{-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is the reaction occurring from $100.0-200.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{NaOH}$ added.
i. No reaction has taken place, so $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are the major species.
ii. Adding $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$converts $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ into $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$. The major species between 0 and 100.0 mL NaOH added are $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{HA}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.
iii. At 100.0 mL NaOH added, mol of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}=\mathrm{mol}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{A}$, so all of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ present initially has been converted into $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$. The major species are $\mathrm{HA}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.
iv. Between 100.0 and 200.0 mL NaOH added, the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$converts $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$into $\mathrm{A}^{2-}$. The major species are $\mathrm{HA}^{-}, \mathrm{A}^{2-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.
v. At the second equivalence point ( 200.0 mL ), just enough $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$has been added to convert all of the $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$into $\mathrm{A}^{2-}$. The major species are $\mathrm{A}^{2-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.
vi. Past 200.0 mL NaOH added, excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is present. The major species are $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, $\mathrm{A}^{2-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$.
c. 50.0 mL of NaOH added corresponds to the first halfway point to equivalence. Exactly one-half of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}$ present initially has been converted into its conjugate base $\mathrm{HA}^{-}$, so $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HA}^{-}\right]$in this buffer solution.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HA}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HA}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}\right]}$
When $\left[\mathrm{HA}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~A}\right]$, then $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$or $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=\mathrm{pH}$.
Here, $\mathrm{pH}=4.0$, so $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=4.0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=10^{-4.0}=1 \times 10^{-4}$.
150.0 mL of NaOH added correspond to the second halfway point to equivalence, where $\left[\mathrm{HA}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{A}^{2-}\right]$ in this buffer solution.

$$
\mathrm{HA}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{A}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{A}^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HA}^{-}\right]}
$$

$$
\text { When }\left[\mathrm{A}^{2-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HA}^{-}\right] \text {, then } \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] \text {or } \mathrm{pK} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=\mathrm{pH} \text {. }
$$

$$
\text { Here, } \mathrm{pH}=8.0 \text {, so } \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=8.0 \text { and } \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=10^{-8.0}=1 \times 10^{-8} \text {. }
$$

114. We will see only the first stoichiometric point in the titration of salicylic acid because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ is so small. For adipic acid, the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ values are fairly close to each other. Both protons will be titrated almost simultaneously, giving us only one break. The stoichiometric points will occur when 1 mol of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is added per mole of salicylic acid present and when 2 mol of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ is added per mole of adipic acid present. Thus the $25.00-\mathrm{mL}$ volume corresponded to the titration of salicylic acid, and the $50.00-\mathrm{mL}$ volume corresponded to the titration of adipic acid.
115. a. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$is present in all solutions. The added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$from HCl reacts completely with $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ to convert it into $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$(points A-C). After all of the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ is reacted (after point C, the first equivalence point), $\mathrm{H}^{+}$then reacts completely with the next best base present, $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ (points C-E). Point E represents the second equivalence point. The major species present at the various points after $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts completely follow.
A. $\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$
B. $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$
C. $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$
D. $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$
E. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}$
F. $\mathrm{H}^{+}$(excess), $\mathrm{CO}_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-} . \mathrm{Na}^{+}$
b. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=4.3 \times 10^{-7}$
$\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=5.6 \times 10^{-11}$

The first titration reaction occurring between points A and C is:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}
$$

At point B , enough $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has been added to convert one-half of the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ into its conjugate acid. At this halfway point to equivalence, $\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]$. For this buffer solution,
$\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=-\log \left(5.6 \times 10^{-11}\right)=10.25$
The second titration reaction occurring between points $C$ and $E$ is:

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}
$$

Point $D$ is the second halfway point to equivalence, where $\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right]$. Here, $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=-\log \left(4.3 \times 10^{-7}\right)=6.37$.
116. a. $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ corresponds to the titration reaction of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-} ; \mathrm{V}_{2}$ corresponds to the titration reaction of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$.

Here, there are two sources of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}: \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the titration of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, so $\mathrm{V}_{2}>\mathrm{V}_{1}$.
b. $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ corresponds to two titration reactions: $\mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$.
$\mathrm{V}_{2}$ corresponds to just one titration reaction: $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$.
Here, $\mathrm{V}_{1}>\mathrm{V}_{2}$ due to the presence of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$, which is titrated in the $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ region.
c. $\quad 0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{mL} \times 18.9 \mathrm{~mL}=1.89 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$; Because the first stoichiometric point only involves the titration of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ by $\mathrm{H}^{+}, 1.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ has been converted into $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. The sample contains $1.89 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{CO}_{3} \times 105.99 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mmol}=2.00 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{mg}=$ $0.200 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$.

The second stoichiometric point involves the titration of $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$by $\mathrm{H}^{+}$.

$$
\frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\mathrm{mL}} \times 36.7 \mathrm{~mL}=3.67 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}=3.67 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}
$$

$1.89 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ came from the first stoichiometric point of the $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ titration.
$3.67-1.89=1.78 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$came from $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in the original mixture.
$1.78 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaHCO} 3 \times 84.01 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NaHCO} 3 / 2 \mathrm{mmol}=1.50 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}=$ $0.150 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$
Mass \% $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}=\frac{0.200 \mathrm{~g}}{(0.200+0.150) \mathrm{g}} \times 100=57.1 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
Mass \% $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}=\frac{0.150 \mathrm{~g}}{0.350 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=42.9 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$
117. An indicator changes color at $\mathrm{pH} \approx \mathrm{p} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \pm 1$. The results from each indicator tells us something about the pH . The conclusions are summarized below:

| Results from | pH |
| :--- | :--- |
| bromphenol blue | $\geq \sim 5.0$ |
| bromcresol purple | $\leq \sim 5.0$ |
| bromcresol green $*$ | $\mathrm{pH} \approx \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \approx 4.8$ |
| alizarin | $\leq \sim 5.5$ |

[^0]From the indicator results, the pH of the solution is about 5.0. We solve for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ by setting up the typical weak acid problem.

|  | HX | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + | $\mathrm{X}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | 1.0 M |  | $\sim 0$ |  | 0 |
| Equil. | $1.0-x$ |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HX}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{1.0-x} ; \text { because } \mathrm{pH} \approx 5.0,\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=x \approx 1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} . \\
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}} \approx \frac{\left(1 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}{1.0-1 \times 10^{-5}} \approx 1 \times 10^{-10}
\end{aligned}
$$

118. Phenolphthalein will change color at $\mathrm{pH} \approx 9$. Phenolphthalein will mark the second end point of the titration. Therefore, we have titrated both protons on malonic acid.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Mal}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Mal}^{2-}$ where $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Mal}=$ malonic acid
$31.50 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.0984 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{\mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Mal}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=1.55 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Mal}$
$\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Mal}\right]=\frac{1.55 \mathrm{mmol}}{25.00 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.0620 \mathrm{M}$

## Integrative Problems

119. $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}\right]}=2.90+\log \left[\frac{(55.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.472 \mathrm{M}) / 130.0 \mathrm{~mL}}{(75.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.275 \mathrm{M}) / 130.0 \mathrm{~mL}}\right]$
$\mathrm{pH}=2.90+\log \left(\frac{26.0}{20.6}\right)=2.90+0.101=3.00$
120. a. $1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.100 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=0.100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}$ added to reach stoichiometric point.

The $10.00-\mathrm{g}$ sample must have contained 0.100 mol of $\mathrm{NaA} \cdot \frac{10.00 \mathrm{~g}}{0.100 \mathrm{~mol}}=100 . \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\quad 500.0 \mathrm{~mL}$ of HCl added represents the halfway point to equivalence. Thus $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ 5.00 and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-5}$. At the equivalence point, enough $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has been added to convert all the $\mathrm{A}^{-}$present initially into HA. The concentration of HA at the equivalence point is:

$$
[\mathrm{HA}]_{0}=\frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.10 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0909 \mathrm{M}
$$

HA $\rightleftharpoons$

Initial |  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $\mathrm{A}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |
| $0.0909-x$ | 0 | 0 |

$\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0909-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0909}$
$x=9.5 \times 10^{-4} M=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right] ; \mathrm{pH}=3.02 ;$ assumptions good.
121. The added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the strong base reacts to completion with the best acid present, HF. To determine the pH , see what is in solution after the $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$reacts to completion.
$\mathrm{OH}^{-}$added $=38.7 \mathrm{~g}$ soln $\times \frac{1.50 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaOH}}{100.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{soln}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}{40.00 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaOH}}=0.0145 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
For the 0.174 m HF solution, if we had exactly 1 kg of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then the solution would contain 0.174 mol HF .
$0.174 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HF} \times \frac{20.01 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HF}}=3.48 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HF}$
Mass of solution $=1000.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+3.48 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{HF}=1003.48 \mathrm{~g}$
Volume of solution $=1003.48 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mL}}{1.10 \mathrm{~g}}=912 \mathrm{~mL}$
Mol HF $=250 . \mathrm{mL} \times \frac{0.174 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HF}}{912 \mathrm{~mL}}=4.77 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HF}$

|  | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | + | HF | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | + | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.0145 mol | 0.0477 mol | 0 |  |  |
| Change | -0.0145 | -0.0145 | +0.0145 |  |  |
| After | 0 | 0.0332 mol | 0.0145 mol |  |  |

After reaction, a buffer solution results containing HF , a weak acid, and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$, its conjugate base. Let $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ total volume of solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]}=-\log \left(7.2 \times 10^{-4}\right)+\log \left(\frac{0.0145 / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}{0.0332 / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}}\right) \\
& \mathrm{pH}=3.14+\log \left(\frac{0.0145}{0.0332}\right)=3.14+(-0.360), \mathrm{pH}=2.78
\end{aligned}
$$

## Marathon Problem

122. Major species: $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}, \quad \mathrm{OH}^{-}, \quad \mathrm{H}^{+}, \quad \mathrm{CN}^{-}, \quad \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \quad \mathrm{K}^{+}, \quad \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \quad 5.00 \mathrm{mmol} \quad 15.0 \mathrm{mmol} \quad 7.50 \mathrm{mmol}$
$\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$are weak bases.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{3}}=2.1 \times 10^{-2} \\
\mathrm{CN}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HCN}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.6 \times 10^{-5}
\end{array}
$$

One of the keys to this problem is to recognize that $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}=7.21\left[-\log \left(6.2 \times 10^{-8}\right)\right.$ $=7.21$ ]. The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ reaction for $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ is:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=6.2 \times 10^{-8} ; \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=7.21
$$

The pH of the final solution will equal 7.21 when we have a buffer solution where $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$ $=\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$. To solve this problem, we need to determine the quantity of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ that must be added so that the final solution contains equal moles of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$and $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$.

To start the problem, let's see what is in solution after we let the best acid and best base react in a series of reactions. In each of the following reactions, something strong is reacting, so we assume the reactions will go to completion. The first reaction to run to completion is the strong acid reacting with the strong base: $\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$ | $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |$\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

After all of the strong base is neutralized, the next best base present is $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$.

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$ | $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}^{2-}{ }^{2-}$

The next best base present is $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$.

$$
\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad+\mathrm{CN}^{-} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{HCN}
$$

| Before | 5.0 mmol | 7.50 mmol | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| After | 0 | 2.5 mmol | 5.0 mmol |

We need to add $2.5 \mathrm{mmol}^{+}$to convert all the $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$into HCN ; then all that remains is 5.00 mmol $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and 7.5 mmol HCN (a very weak acid with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.2 \times 10^{-10}$ ). From here, we would need to add another $2.5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}^{+}$in order to convert one-half of the $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ present into its conjugate acid so that $\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}\right]$and $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=7.21$. Adding 5.0 mmol $\mathrm{H}^{+}$to the original solution:

|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$ | $\mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightarrow$ | HCN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | 5.0 mmol | 2.5 mmol | 5.0 mmol |
| After | 2.5 mmol | 0 | 7.5 mmol |
|  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}+$ | $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$ |
| Before | 2.5 mmol | 5.00 mmol | 0 |
| After | 0 | 2.5 mmol | 2.5 mmol |

After $5.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{H}{ }^{+}\left(\mathrm{HNO}_{3}\right)$ has been added to the original mixture, we have a final solution containing equal moles of $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-}$so that $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=7.21$. Note that HCN , with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.2 \times 10^{-10}$, is too weak of an acid to interfere with the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-} / \mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ buffer.


## CHAPTER 16

## SOLUBILITY AND COMPLEX ION EQUILIBRIA

## Questions

8. $\quad \mathrm{MX}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}-}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}-}\right]$; the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ reaction always refers to a solid breaking up into its ions. The representations all show $1: 1$ salts, i.e., the formula of the solid contains 1 cation for every 1 anion (either +1 and -1 , or +2 and -2 , or +3 and -3 ). The solution with the largest number of ions (largest $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{nt}}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ ) will have the largest $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ value. From the representations, the second beaker has the largest number of ions present, so this salt has the largest $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ value. Conversely, the third beaker, with the fewest number of hydrated ions, will have the smallest $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ value.
9. $\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ values can only be directly compared to determine relative solubilities when the salts produce the same number of ions (have the same stoichiometry). Here, $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ and CuS do not produce the same number of ions when they dissolve, so each has a different mathematical relationship between the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ value and the molar solubility. To determine which salt has the larger molar solubility, you must do the actual calculations and compare the two molar solubility values.
10. The solubility product constant $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}\right)$ is an equilibrium constant that has only one value for a given solid at a given temperature. Solubility, on the other hand, can have many values for a given solid at a given temperature. In pure water, the solubility is some value, yet the solubility is another value if a common ion is present. And the actual solubility when a common ion is present varies according to the concentration of the common ion. However, in all cases the product of the ion concentrations must satisfy the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ expression and give that one unique $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ value at that particular temperature.
11. i. This is the result when you have a salt that breaks up into two ions. Examples of these salts include $\mathrm{AgCl}, \mathrm{SrSO}_{4}, \mathrm{BaCrO}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{ZnCO}_{3}$.
ii. This is the result when you have a salt that breaks up into three ions, either two cations and one anion or one cation and two anions. Some examples are $\mathrm{SrF}_{2}, \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
iii. This is the result when you have a salt that breaks up into four ions, either three cations and one anion $\left(\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ or one cation and three anions (ignoring the hydroxides, there are no examples of this type of salt in Table 16.1).
iv. This is the result when you have a salt that breaks up into five ions, either three cations and two anions $\left[\mathrm{Sr}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$ or two cations and three anions (no examples of this type of salt are in Table 16.1).
12. The obvious choice is that the metal ion reacts with $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ and forms an insoluble phosphate salt. The other possibility is due to the weak base properties of $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right.$ is the conjugate base of the weak acid $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, so it is a weak base). Because $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is a weak base in water, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions are present at a fairly large concentration. Hence the other potential precipitate is the metal ion reacting with $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to form an insoluble hydroxide salt.
13. For the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ reaction of a salt dissolving into its respective ions, a common ion would be one of the ions in the salt added from an outside source. When a common ion (a product in the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ reaction) is present, the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ equilibrium shifts to the left, resulting in less of the salt dissolving into its ions (solubility decreases).
14. $\quad \mathrm{S}^{2-}$ is a very basic anion and reacts significantly with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$to form $\mathrm{HS}^{-}\left(\mathrm{S}^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HS}^{-}\right)$. Thus, the actual concentration of $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ in solution depends on the amount of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$present. In basic solutions, little $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is present, which shifts the above reaction to the left. In basic solutions, the $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ concentration is relatively high. So, in basic solutions, a wider range of sulfide salts will precipitate. However, in acidic solutions, added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$shifts the equilibrium to the right resulting in a lower $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ concentration. In acidic solutions, only the least soluble sulfide salts will precipitate out of solution.
15. Some people would automatically think that an increase in temperature would increase the solubility of a salt. This is not always the case as some salts show a decrease in solubility as temperature increases. The two major methods used to increase solubility of a salt both involve removing one of the ions in the salt by reaction. If the salt has an ion with basic properties, adding $\mathrm{H}^{+}$will increase the solubility of the salt because the added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$will react with the basic ion, thus removing it from solution. More salt dissolves in order to to make up for the lost ion. Some examples of salts with basic ions are $\mathrm{AgF}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$. The other way to remove an ion is to form a complex ion. For example, the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ion in silver salts forms the complex ion $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$as ammonia is added. Silver salts increase their solubility as $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is added because the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ion is removed through complex ion formation.
16. Because the formation constants are usually very large numbers, the stepwise reactions can be assumed to essentially go to completion. Thus an equilibrium mixture of a metal ion and a specific ligand will mostly contain the final complex ion in the stepwise formation reactions.
17. In $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3}$, the soluble complex ion $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$forms, which increases the solubility of $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$. The reaction is $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. In $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is only formed by the dissociation of the weak acid $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$. There is not enough $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ produced by this reaction to dissolve $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ by the formation of the complex ion.
18. Unlike $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s}), \mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ shows a significant increase in solubility with an increase in temperature. Hence add NaCl to the solution containing the metal ion to form the chloride salt precipitate, and then heat the solution. If the precipitate dissolves, then $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}$ is present, and the metal ion is $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$. If the precipitate does not dissolve with an increase in temperature, then AgCl is the precipitate, and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is the metal ion present.

## Exercises

## Solubility Equilibria

19. a. $\mathrm{AgC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}\right]$
b. $\quad \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}$
c. $\quad \mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 3 \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]^{3}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]^{2}$
20. a. $\quad \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]$
b. $\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{IO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ce}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ce}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}\right]^{3}$
c. $\mathrm{BaF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]^{2}$
21. In our setup, $s=$ solubility of the ionic solid in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$. This is defined as the maximum amount of a salt that can dissolve. Because solids do not appear in the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ expression, we do not need to worry about their initial and equilibrium amounts.
a.

$$
\mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial
0
0
$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-s$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+s$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Equil. |  | $s$ | $+s$ |
|  |  |  |  |

From the problem, $s=4.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}\right]=(\mathrm{s})(\mathrm{s})=s^{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left(4.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}=2.3 \times 10^{-9}
$$

b.

$$
\operatorname{BiI}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial
0
0
$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{BiI}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium
Change $-s \quad \rightarrow \quad+s \quad+3 s$
Equil.
s
3s
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{3}=(s)(3 s)^{3}=27 \mathrm{~s}^{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{\text {sp }}=27\left(1.32 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{4}=8.20 \times 10^{-19}$
22. a.

$$
\mathrm{Pb}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 3 \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial
$0 \quad 0$
$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Pb}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(s)$ dissolves to reach equilibrium = molar solubility

| Change $-s$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+3 s$ | $+2 s$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. |  | $3 s$ | $2 s$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]^{3}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}\right]^{2}=(3 s)^{3}(2 s)^{2}=108 \mathrm{~s}^{5}, \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=108\left(6.2 \times 10^{-12}\right)^{5}=9.9 \times 10^{-55}$
$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { b. } & \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightleftharpoons & 2 \mathrm{Li}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) & + \\ \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\ \text { Initial } & s=\text { solubility }(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) & 0 & 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & & 2 s & s\end{array}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Li}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=(2 s)^{2}(s)=4 s^{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4\left(7.4 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{3}=1.6 \times 10^{-3}
$$

23. Solubility $=s=\frac{0.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{92.71 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}=1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

$$
\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $0 \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ (from water) $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ dissolves to reach equailibrium
Change $-s \rightarrow+s \quad+2 s$
Equil. $s \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 s$
From the calculated molar solubility, $1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 \mathrm{~s} \approx 2 \mathrm{~s}$.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=s(2 s)^{2}=4 \mathrm{~s}^{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4\left(1.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{3}=1.4 \times 10^{-8}$
24.

$$
\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 2 \mathrm{M}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{X}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{3+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{X}^{2-}\right]^{3}
$$

Initial $\quad s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0$
0 $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-s$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2 s$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $2 s$ | $+3 s$ |  |  |
| Equil. |  | $3 s$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=(2 \mathrm{~s})^{2}(3 \mathrm{~s})^{3}=108 \mathrm{~s}^{5} ; \quad s=\frac{3.60 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{3}}{288 \mathrm{~g}}=1.25 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=108\left(1.25 \times 10^{-9}\right)^{5}=3.30 \times 10^{-43}$
25.

$$
\operatorname{PbBr}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+\quad 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial
0
0 $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{PbBr}_{2}(s)$ dissolves to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-s$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+s$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Equil. |  | $s$ | $+2 s$ |
| $2 s$ |  |  |  |

From the problem, $s=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=2.14 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$. So:

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]^{2}=s(2 s)^{2}=4 s^{3}, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4\left(2.14 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{3}=3.92 \times 10^{-5}
$$

26. 

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |  |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0 |
| Equil. |  | $2 s$ | $s$ |

From problem, $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=2 s=2.2 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{s}=1.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=(2 s)^{2}(s)=4 s^{3}=4\left(1.1 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{3}=5.3 \times 10^{-12}$
27. In our setup, $s=$ solubility in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$. Because solids do not appear in the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ expression, we do not need to worry about their initial or equilibrium amounts.
a.

$$
\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 3 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial
0
0
$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium
$\begin{array}{lllr}\text { Change } & -s & \rightarrow & +3 s \\ \text { Equil. } & & 3 s & +s \\ & & s\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.8 \times 10^{-18}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{3}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]=(3 s)^{3}(s)=27 \mathrm{~s}^{4}$
$27 s^{4}=1.8 \times 10^{-18}, \quad s=\left(6.7 \times 10^{-20}\right)^{1 / 4}=1.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=$ molar solubility
b.
$\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$
Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $s$ s
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=8.7 \times 10^{-9}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=s^{2}, s=9.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
c.
$\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil.
s
$2 s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.1 \times 10^{-18}=\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]^{2}=(s)(2 s)^{2}=4 s^{3}, s=6.5 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
28.
a.
$\mathrm{PbI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.4 \times 10^{-8}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{2}=s(2 s)^{2}=4 s^{3}$
$s=\left(1.4 \times 10^{-8} / 4\right)^{1 / 3}=1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=$ molar solubility
b.

$$
\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil.
$s$ s
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=5.2 \times 10^{-12}=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=s^{2}, s=2.3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
c.

$$
\mathrm{Sr}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 3 \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. |  | $3 s$ | $2 s$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1 \times 10^{-31}=\left[\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right]^{3}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}\right]^{2}=(3 s)^{3}(2 s)^{2}=108 s^{5}, s=2 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

29. KBT dissolves to form the potassium ion $\left(\mathrm{K}^{+}\right)$and the bitartrate ion (abbreviated as $\mathrm{BT}^{-}$).

|  | $\mathrm{KBT}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{K}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+\mathrm{BT}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=3.8 \times 10^{-4}$

$3.8 \times 10^{-4}=\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BT}^{-}\right]=s(\mathrm{~s})=s^{2}, s=1.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$0.2500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1.9 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{KBT}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{188.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KBT}}{\mathrm{mol}}=0.89 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{KBT}$
30.

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil.
$s \quad s$
$1.5 \times 10^{-9}=\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=s^{2}, s=3.9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$0.1000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.9 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{233.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=9.1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}$
31.

Initial $\quad s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$
Equil.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=s\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 s\right)^{2}$; assume that $1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 s \approx 2 \mathrm{~s}$, then:

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=8.9 \times 10^{-12}=s(2 \mathrm{~s})^{2}=4 s^{3}, \quad s=1.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

Assumption is good ( $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ is $0.04 \%$ of 2 s$)$. Molar solubility $=1.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
32.

$$
\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=5.9 \times 10^{-15}
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility (mol/L) 0
Equil. $s$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.0 \times 10^{-7} M \\
& 1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 \mathrm{~s}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=s\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 \mathrm{~s}\right)^{2}$; assume that $1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 \mathrm{~s} \approx 2 \mathrm{~s}$, then:

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=5.9 \times 10^{-15}=s(2 s)^{2}=4 \mathrm{~s}^{3}, \quad s=1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

Assumption is good ( $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ is $0.4 \%$ of 2 s$)$. Molar solubility $=1.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
33. Let $s=$ solubility of $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$. Note: Because solids do not appear in the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ expression, we do not need to worry about their initial or equilibrium amounts.

$$
\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+\quad 3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial
0
$1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ (from water)
$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium $=$ molar solubility


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2 \times 10^{-32}=\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}=(s)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+3 s\right)^{3} \approx s\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{3} \\
& s=\frac{2 \times 10^{-32}}{1.0 \times 10^{-21}}=2 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \text { assumption good }\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+3 \mathrm{~s} \approx 1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

34. Let $s=$ solubility of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$.

$$
\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Co}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $0 \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ (from water) $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium = molar solubility
Change $-s \quad \rightarrow \quad+s \quad+3 s$
Equil.
$s$
$1.0 \times 10^{-7}+3 s$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2.5 \times 10^{-43}=\left[\mathrm{Co}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}=(s)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+3 s\right)^{3} \approx s\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{3} \\
& s=\frac{2.5 \times 10^{-43}}{1.0 \times 10^{-21}}=2.5 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \text { assumption good }\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+3 s \approx 1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

35. a. Because both solids dissolve to produce three ions in solution, we can compare values of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ to determine relative solubility. Because the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ for $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ is the smallest, $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ has the smallest molar solubility.
b. We must calculate molar solubilities because each salt yields a different number of ions when it dissolves.

|  | $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $3 \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.3 \times 10^{-32}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility ( $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Equil. |  | $3 s$ | $2 s$ |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]^{3}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}\right]^{2}=(3 s)^{3}(2 s)^{2}=108 s^{5}, \quad s=\left(1.3 \times 10^{-32} / 108\right)^{1 / 5}=1.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{FePO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.0 \times 10^{-22}$ |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility (mol/L) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Equil. |  | $s$ | $s$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]=s^{2}, \quad s=\sqrt{1.0 \times 10^{-22}}=1.0 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$\mathrm{FePO}_{4}$ has the smallest molar solubility.
36.
a.

$$
\mathrm{FeC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Equil. $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad s \quad s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=2.1 \times 10^{-7}=\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}\right]=s^{2}, s=4.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

$$
\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{IO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Equil.
s
2s
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.4 \times 10^{-7}=\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}\right]^{2}=s(2 \mathrm{~s})^{2}=4 s^{3}, \quad s=\left(1.4 \times 10^{-7} / 4\right)^{1 / 3}=3.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
By comparing calculated molar solubilities, $\mathrm{FeC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ is less soluble (in mol/L).
b. Each salt produces three ions in solution, so we can compare $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ values to determine relative molar solubilities. Therefore, $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will be less soluble (in $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) because it has a smaller $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ value.
37. a.

$$
\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $0 \quad 1 \times 10^{-7} M$ (from water) $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium = molar solubility

| Change | $-s$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+s$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |$c+3 s$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4 \times 10^{-38}=\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}=(s)\left(1 \times 10^{-7}+3 s\right)^{3} \approx s\left(1 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{3}$
$s=4 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;$ assumption good $\left(3 s \ll 1 \times 10^{-7}\right)$
b.

$$
\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{pH}=5.0,\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}
$$

Initial
$0 \quad 1 \times 10^{-9} M$ (buffered)
$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ dissolves to reach equilibrium
Change
$-s \quad \rightarrow \quad+s$ (assume no pH change in buffer)
Equil.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4 \times 10^{-38}=\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}=(\mathrm{s})\left(1 \times 10^{-9}\right)^{3}, s=4 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=$ molar solubility
c.

$$
\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{pH}=11.0,\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}
$$

Initial $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ dissolves to reach equilibrium
Change $\quad-s \quad+s \quad$ (assume no pH change)
Equil.
S
0.001
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4 \times 10^{-38}=\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}=(\mathrm{s})(0.001)^{3}, s=4 \times 10^{-29} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=$ molar solubility

Note: As $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$increases, solubility decreases. This is the common ion effect.
38.

$$
\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Co}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{pH}=11.00,\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-3} M
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ (buffered)
Equil. $s \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ (assume no pH change)
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=2.5 \times 10^{-16}=\left[\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=s\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}, s=2.5 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
39. a.

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |  |  |  |
| a. |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| Equil. |  | $2 s$ | $s$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.2 \times 10^{-5}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=(2 s)^{2} s=4 s^{3}, s=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
b.

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | $0.10 M$ | 0 |  |
| Equil. |  | $0.10+2 \mathrm{~s}$ | $s$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.2 \times 10^{-5}=(0.10+2 s)^{2}(s) \approx(0.10)^{2}(s), s=1.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;$ assumption good.
c.

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |  |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0.20 M |
| Equil |  | 2 s | $0.20+\mathrm{s}$ |

$1.2 \times 10^{-5}=(2 s)^{2}(0.20+s) \approx 4 s^{2}(0.20), s=3.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; assumption good.
Note: Comparing the solubilities of parts b and c to that of part a illustrates that the solubility of a salt decreases when a common ion is present.
40. a.

|  | $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |  |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0 |
| Equil. |  | $s$ | $2 s$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.4 \times 10^{-8}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{2}=4 \mathrm{~s}^{3}, s=1.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
b.

$$
\mathrm{PbI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $\quad s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0.10 \mathrm{M} \quad 0$
Equil.
$0.10+s \quad 2 s$
$1.4 \times 10^{-8}=(0.10+s)(2 s)^{2} \approx(0.10)(2 s)^{2}=(0.40) s^{2}, s=1.9 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; assumption good.
c.
$\mathrm{PbI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons$

| $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0.010 M |
| s | $0.010+2 \mathrm{~s}$ |


| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $s$ | 0.010 M |
| Equil. |  | $0.010+2 s$ |

$1.4 \times 10^{-8}=(s)(0.010+2 s)^{2} \approx(s)(0.010)^{2}, s=1.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;$ assumption good.
Note that in parts b and c, the presence of a common ion decreases the solubility as compared to the solubility of $\mathrm{Pb}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ in water.
41.

Initial

$$
\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 3 \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Change
Equil.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.3 \times 10^{-32}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]^{2}=(3 \mathrm{~s})^{3}(0.20+2 \mathrm{~s})^{2}
$$

Assuming $0.20+2 s \approx 0.20: 1.3 \times 10^{-32}=(3 s)^{3}(0.20)^{2}=27 s^{3}(0.040)$
$s=$ molar solubility $=2.3 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; assumption good .
42.

$$
\mathrm{Pb}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 3 \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1 \times 10^{-54}
$$

Initial $\quad s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$
Equil.

$$
\begin{array}{rc}
0.10 M & 0 \\
0.10+3 s & 2 s
\end{array}
$$

$1 \times 10^{-54}=(0.10+3 s)^{3}(2 s)^{2} \approx(0.10)^{3}(2 s)^{2}, s=2 \times 10^{-26} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; assumption good.
43.

$$
\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{IO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ce}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0.20 \mathrm{M}$
Equil. $s \quad 0.20+3 s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Ce}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}\right]^{3}=s(0.20+3 s)^{3}$
From the problem, $s=4.4 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; solving for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left(4.4 \times 10^{-8}\right)\left[0.20+3\left(4.4 \times 10^{-8}\right)\right]^{3}=3.5 \times 10^{-10}
$$

44. 

$$
\mathrm{Pb}\left(\mathrm{IO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+2 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0.10 \mathrm{M}$
Equil. $s \quad 0.10+2 s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}\right]^{2}=(s)(0.10+2 s)^{2}$
From the problem, $s=2.6 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; solving for $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left(2.6 \times 10^{-11}\right)\left[0.10+2\left(2.6 \times 10^{-11}\right)\right]^{2}=2.6 \times 10^{-13}
$$

45. If the anion in the salt can act as a base in water, then the solubility of the salt will increase as the solution becomes more acidic. Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$will react with the base, forming the conjugate acid. As the basic anion is removed, more of the salt will dissolve to replenish the basic anion. The salts with basic anions are $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Sr}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} . \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PbI}_{2}$ do not have any pH dependence because $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$are terrible bases (the conjugate bases of a strong acids).
$\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \xrightarrow{\text { excess } \mathrm{H}^{+}} 3 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{\text { excess } \mathrm{H}^{+}} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$
$\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{\text { excess } \mathrm{H}^{+}} \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})\right]$
$\mathrm{Sr}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-} \xrightarrow{\text { excess } \mathrm{H}^{+}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$
46. 

a. AgF
b. $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$
c. $\mathrm{Sr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$
d. $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$

All these salts have anions that are bases. The anions of the other choices are conjugate bases of strong acids. They have no basic properties in water and, therefore, do not have solubilities that depend on pH .

## Precipitation Conditions

47. 

$$
\begin{array}{lcccc} 
& \mathrm{ZnS}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & +\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right] \\
\text { Initial } & \mathrm{s}=\text { solubility }(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) & 0.050 \mathrm{M} & 0 & \\
\text { Equil. } & & 0.050+\mathrm{s} & \mathrm{~s}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2.5 \times 10^{-22}=(0.050+s)(s) \approx(0.050) \mathrm{s}, \quad s=5.0 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;$ assumption good.
Mass ZnS that dissolves $=0.3000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{5.0 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ZnS}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{97.45 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{ZnS}}{\mathrm{mol}}=1.5 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~g}$
48. For $99 \%$ of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ to be removed, we need, at equilibrium, $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=0.01(0.052 \mathrm{M})$. Using the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ equilibrium constant, calculate the $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]$required to reach this reduced $\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]$.
$\operatorname{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=8.9 \times 10^{-12}$
$8.9 \times 10^{-12}=\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=[0.01(0.052 M)]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2},\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-4} M$ (extra sig. fig.)
$\mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left(1.3 \times 10^{-4}\right)=3.89 ; \mathrm{pH}=10.11$; at a $\mathrm{pH}=10.1,99 \%$ of the $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ in seawater will be removed as $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$.
49. The formation of $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ is the only possible precipitate. $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will form if Q > $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$.
$\operatorname{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=8.9 \times 10^{-12}$
$\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\frac{100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Mg}}{}{ }^{2+} / \mathrm{mL}\left(100.0 \mathrm{~mL}+100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \quad=2.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right.$
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{0}=\frac{100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{OH}^{-} / \mathrm{mL}}{200.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{0}^{2}=\left(2.0 \times 10^{-4} M\right)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}=2.0 \times 10^{-12}$

Because $\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (s) will not precipitate, so no precipitate forms.
50. $\quad \operatorname{AgCN}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2.2 \times 10^{-12}$
$\mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right]_{0}=\left(1.0 \times 10^{-5}\right)\left(2.0 \times 10^{-6}\right)=2.0 \times 10^{-11}$
Because $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$, $\operatorname{AgCN}(\mathrm{s})$ will form as a precipitate.
51. $\quad \mathrm{PbF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4 \times 10^{-8}$
$\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pb}}{}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}), \frac{100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1.0 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pb}}{}{ }^{2+}}{\text { total } \mathrm{mL} \text { solution }}=\frac{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}+100.0 \mathrm{~mL}}{1}=5.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{F}^{-}}{\text {total } \mathrm{mL} \text { solution }}=\frac{100.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{F}^{-}}{\mathrm{mL}}}{200.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=5.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{~F}^{-}\right]_{0}^{2}=\left(5.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left(5.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}=1.3 \times 10^{-9}$

Because $\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathrm{PbF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will not form as a precipitate.
52. $\quad \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{IO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ce}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=3.2 \times 10^{-10}$
$\mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Ce}^{3+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}\right]_{0}^{3}=\left(2.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{3}=2.0 \times 10^{-9}$
Because $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{IO}_{3}\right)_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ will form as a precipitate.
53. The concentrations of ions are large, so Q will be greater than $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$, and $\mathrm{BaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ will form. To solve this problem, we will assume that the precipitation reaction goes to completion; then we will solve an equilibrium problem to get the actual ion concentrations. This makes the math reasonable.
100. $\mathrm{mL} \times \frac{0.200 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=20.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
150. $\mathrm{mL} \times \frac{0.250 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{BaBr}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=37.5 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{BaBr}_{2}$

|  | $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{BaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{K}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }} \gg 1$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Before | 37.5 mmol | 20.0 mmol |  | 0 |  |
| Change | -20.0 | -20.0 | $\rightarrow$ | +20.0 | Reacts completely (K is large). |
| After | 17.5 | 0 |  | 20.0 |  |

New initial concentrations (after complete precipitation) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]=\frac{17.5 \mathrm{mmol}}{250 . \mathrm{mL}}=7.00 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}\right]=0 \mathrm{M}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{~K}^{+}\right]=\frac{2(20.0 \mathrm{mmol})}{250 . \mathrm{mL}}=0.160 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]=\frac{2(37.5 \mathrm{mmol})}{250 . \mathrm{mL}}=0.300 \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$, these are also the final concentrations. We can't have $0 \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}$. For $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, we need to perform an equilibrium calculation.

$$
\mathrm{BaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2.3 \times 10^{-8}
$$

Initial

$$
0.0700 \mathrm{M} \quad 0
$$

$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{BaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium
Equil. $\quad 0.0700+s \quad s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2.3 \times 10^{-8}=\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=(0.0700+s)(\mathrm{s}) \approx(0.0700) \mathrm{s}$
$s=\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=3.3 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]=0.0700 \mathrm{M} ;$ assumption good $(s \ll 0.0700)$.
54. $\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\frac{75.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.020 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}}{200 . \mathrm{mL}}=7.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]_{0}=\frac{125 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{0.040 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}}{200 . \mathrm{mL}}=2.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]_{0}=\left(7.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left(2.5 \times 10^{-2}\right)=1.9 \times 10^{-4}>\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}\left(1.5 \times 10^{-9}\right)$
A precipitate of $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ will form.

$$
\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Ba}^{2+} \quad+\quad \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}
$$

Before $\quad 0.0075 \mathrm{M} \quad 0.025 \mathrm{M}$
Let $0.0075 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ react with $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ to completion because $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}} \ll 1$.

| Change | $\leftarrow$ | -0.0075 | -0.0075 | Reacts completely |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| After | 0 | 0.0175 | New initial (carry extra sig. fig.) |  |

$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{BaSO} 4$ dissolves to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-s$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+s$ | $+s$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. |  |  | $s$ | $0.0175+s$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.5 \times 10^{-9}=\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=(s)(0.0175+s) \approx s(0.0175) \\
& s=8.6 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]=8.6 \times 10^{-8} M ;\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=0.018 \mathrm{M} ; \text { assumption good. }
\end{aligned}
$$

55. $\quad 50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.00200 \mathrm{M}=0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Ag}{ }^{+} ; 50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.0100 \mathrm{M}=0.500 \mathrm{mmol}_{\mathrm{IO}}^{3}{ }^{-}$

From the small $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ value, assume $\mathrm{AgIO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ precipitates completely. After reaction, 0.400
 reach equilibrium.

Initial

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{AgIO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) & +\quad \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
0 & \frac{0.400 \mathrm{mmol}}{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=4.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{AgIO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium
Equil.
$s$

$$
4.00 \times 10^{-3}+s
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{IO}_{3}{ }^{-}\right]=3.0 \times 10^{-8}=s\left(4.00 \times 10^{-3}+s\right) \approx s\left(4.00 \times 10^{-3}\right)$
$s=7.5 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right] ;$assumption good .
56. $\quad 50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 0.10 \mathrm{M}=5.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pb}^{2+} ; 50.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times 1.0 \mathrm{M}=50 . \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. For this solution, $\mathrm{Q}>$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$, so $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}$ precipitates. Assume precipitation of $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ is complete. $5.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ requires $10 . \mathrm{mmol}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$for complete precipitation, which leaves $40 . \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in excess. Now, let some of the $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ redissolve to establish equilibrium
$\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
Initial

$$
0 \quad \frac{40 . \mathrm{mmol}}{100.0 \mathrm{~mL}}=0.40 \mathrm{M}
$$

$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{PbCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium
Equil.

$$
s \quad 0.40+2 s
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]^{2}, 1.6 \times 10^{-5}=s(0.40+2 s)^{2} \approx s(0.40)^{2}$
$s=1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; assumption good .
At equilibrium: $\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=s=1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]=0.40+2 \mathrm{~s}, 0.40+2\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)$

$$
=0.40 \mathrm{M}
$$

57. $\quad \mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 3 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})$; when Q is greater than $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$, precipitation will occur. We will calculate the $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}$ necessary for $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$. Any $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}$ greater than this calculated number will cause precipitation of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$. In this problem, $\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}\right]_{0}=\left[\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right]_{0}=1.0 \times$ $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$.
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.8 \times 10^{-18} ; \mathrm{Q}=1.8 \times 10^{-18}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}^{3}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}\right]_{0}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}^{3}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$
$\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}=\left(\frac{1.8 \times 10^{-18}}{1.0 \times 10^{-5}}\right)^{1 / 3}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}=5.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$
When $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}=\left[\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}\right]_{0}$ is greater than $5.6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$, precipitation of $\mathrm{Ag}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ will occur.
58. $\quad \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2 \times 10^{-32}$
$\mathrm{Q}=2 \times 10^{-32}=\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{0}^{3}=(0.2)\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{0}^{3},\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{0}=4.6 \times 10^{-11}$ (carrying extra sig. fig.)
$\mathrm{pOH}=-\log \left(4.6 \times 10^{-11}\right)=10.3$; when the pOH of the solution equals $10.3, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\mathrm{Q}$. For precipitation, we want $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$. This will occur when $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{0}>4.6 \times 10^{-11}$ or when pOH $<10.3$. Because $\mathrm{pH}+\mathrm{pOH}=14.00$, precipitation of $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ will begin when $\mathrm{pH}>3.7$ because this corresponds to a solution with $\mathrm{pOH}<10.3$.
59. For each lead salt, we will calculate the $\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}$ necessary for $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$. Any $\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}$ greater than this value will cause precipitation of the salt $\left(\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{PbF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4 \times 10^{-8} ; \mathrm{Q}=4 \times 10^{-8}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{~F}^{-}\right]_{0}^{2} \\
& \quad\left[\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\frac{4 \times 10^{-8}}{\left(1 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}}=4 \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{PbS}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=7 \times 10^{-29} ; \mathrm{Q}=7 \times 10^{-29}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{~S}^{2-}\right]_{0} \\
& \quad\left[\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\frac{7 \times 10^{-29}}{1 \times 10^{-4}}=7 \times 10^{-25} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{~Pb}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons 3 \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1 \times 10^{-54} \\
& \quad \mathrm{Q}=1 \times 10^{-54}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}^{3}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}\right]_{0}^{2} \\
& \quad\left[\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\left[\frac{1 \times 10^{-54}}{\left(1 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}}\right]^{1 / 3}=5 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

From the calculated $\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}$, the least soluble salt is $\mathrm{PbS}(\mathrm{s})$, and it will form first. $\mathrm{Pb}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will form second, and $\mathrm{PbF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will form last because it requires the largest $\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}$ in order for precipitation to occur.
60. From Table 16.1, $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ for $\mathrm{NiCO}_{3}=1.4 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ for $\mathrm{CuCO}_{3}=2.5 \times 10^{-10}$. From the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ values, $\mathrm{CuCO}_{3}$ will precipitate first since it has the smaller $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ value and will be the least soluble. For $\mathrm{CuCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$, precipitation begins when:

$$
\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{CuCO}_{3}}}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}=\frac{2.5 \times 10^{-10}}{0.25 \mathrm{M}}=1.0 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}
$$

For $\mathrm{NiCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ to precipitate:

$$
\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{NiCO}_{3}}}{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]}=\frac{1.4 \times 10^{-7}}{0.25 \mathrm{M}}=5.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}
$$

Determining the $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]$ when $\mathrm{CuCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ begins to precipitate:

$$
\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{CuCO}_{3}}}{\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]}=\frac{2.5 \times 10^{-10}}{5.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}}=4.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}
$$

For successful separation, $1 \% \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ or less of the initial amount of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(0.25 \mathrm{M})$ must be present before $\mathrm{NiCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ begins to precipitate. The percent of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ present when $\mathrm{NiCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ begins to precipitate is:

$$
\frac{4.5 \times 10^{-4} M}{0.25 M} \times 100=0.18 \% \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}
$$

Because less than $1 \%$ of the initial amount of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ remains, the metals can be separated through slow addition of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$.

## Complex Ion Equilibria

61. 

| $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+\mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NiCN}^{+}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{NiCN}^{+}+\mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}+\mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}{ }^{-}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}$ |

Note: The various K constants are included for your information. Each $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ adds with a corresponding $K$ value associated with that reaction. The overall formation constant $K_{f}$ for the overall reaction is equal to the product of all the stepwise K values.
b.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}^{3+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{VC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{1} \\
& \left.\mathrm{VC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}{ }^{3-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

62. 

$$
\text { a. } \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Co}^{3+}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CoF}^{2+} & \mathrm{K}_{1} \\
\mathrm{CoF}^{2+}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CoF}_{2}{ }^{+} & \mathrm{K}_{2} \\
\mathrm{CoF}_{2}^{+}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CoF}_{3} & \mathrm{~K}_{3} \\
\mathrm{CoF}_{3}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CoF}_{4}^{-} & \mathrm{K}_{4} \\
\mathrm{CoF}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CoF}_{5}^{2-} & \mathrm{K}_{5} \\
\mathrm{CoF}_{5}^{2-}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CoF}_{6}{ }^{3-} & \mathrm{K}_{6} \\
\hline \mathrm{Co}^{3+}+6 \mathrm{~F}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CoF}_{6}{ }^{3-} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4} \mathrm{~K}_{5} \mathrm{~K}_{6}
\end{array}
$$

b.

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{ZnNH} & { }^{2+} \\
\mathrm{K}_{1} \\
\mathrm{ZnNH}_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} & \left.\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)^{2+} & \mathrm{K}_{2} \\
\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)^{3+} & \mathrm{K}_{3} \\
\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{2+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+} & \mathrm{K}_{4} \\
\hline \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}
\end{array}
$$

63. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-} \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right]^{6}}$
$K=\frac{1.5 \times 10^{-3}}{\left(8.5 \times 10^{-40}\right)(0.11)^{6}}=1.0 \times 10^{42}$
64. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }_{4}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}}$
$K=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}{\left(1.8 \times 10^{-17}\right)(1.5)^{4}}=1.1 \times 10^{13}$
65. $\quad \mathrm{Hg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \operatorname{HgI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \quad \operatorname{HgI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HgI}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ orange ppt soluble complex ion
66. $\quad \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$, white ppt.; $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+$
$\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$, pale yellow ppt.
$\operatorname{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$
$\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{AgI}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$, yellow ppt.
The least soluble salt (smallest $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ value) must be AgI because it forms in the presence of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$. The most soluble salt (largest $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ value) must be AgCl since it forms initially but never re-forms. The order of $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ values is $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}(\mathrm{AgCl})>\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}(\mathrm{AgBr})>\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}(\mathrm{AgI})$.
67. The formation constant for $\mathrm{HgI}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is an extremely large number. Because of this, we will let the $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions present initially react to completion and then solve an equilibrium problem to determine the $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ concentration.

|  | $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+4 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{HgI}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}=1.0 \times 10^{30}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Before | $0.010 M$ | 0.78 M |  | 0 |  |
| Change | -0.010 | -0.040 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.010 | Reacts completely ( K is large). |
| After | 0 | 0.74 |  | 0.010 | New initial |

$$
x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{HgI}_{4}{ }^{2-} \text { dissociates to reach equilibrium }
$$

| Change | $+x$ | $+4 x$ | $\leftarrow$ | $-x$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $x$ | $0.74+4 x$ |  | $0.010-x$ |

$\mathrm{K}=1.0 \times 10^{30}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{HgI}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{4}}=\frac{(0.010-x)}{(x)(0.74+4 x)^{4}}$; making normal assumptions:

$$
1.0 \times 10^{30}=\frac{(0.010)}{(x)(0.74)^{4}}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}\right]=3.3 \times 10^{-32} M ; \quad \text { assumptions good. }
$$

Note: $3.3 \times 10^{-32} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ corresponds to one $\mathrm{Hg}^{2+}$ ion per $5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~L}$. It is very reasonable to approach this problem in two steps. The reaction does essentially go to completion.
68.

$$
\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=5.5 \times 10^{8}
$$

Initial $0 \quad 3.0 \mathrm{M} \quad 0.10 \mathrm{~mol} / 0.50 \mathrm{~L}=0.20 \mathrm{M}$
$x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium
Change $+x \quad+6 x \quad \leftarrow \quad-x$
Equil. $x \quad 3.0+6 x \quad 0.20-x$
$\mathrm{K}=5.5 \times 10^{8}==\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{6}}=\frac{(0.20-x)}{(x)(3.0+6 x)^{6}}, \quad 5.5 \times 10^{8} \approx \frac{(0.20)}{(x)(3.0)^{6}}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]=5.0 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}\right]=0.20 \mathrm{M}-x=0.20 \mathrm{M}$; assumptions good.
69. $\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]_{0}=5.00 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{+}\right]_{0}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ because equal volumes of each reagent are mixed.

Because the $K$ values are much greater than 1 , assume the reaction goes completely to $\mathrm{CuX}_{3}{ }^{2-}$, and then solve an equilibrium problem.

$$
\mathrm{Cu}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+3 \mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CuX}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \times \mathrm{K}_{2} \times \mathrm{K}_{3}
$$

$$
=1.0 \times 10^{9}
$$

| Before | $1.0 \times 10^{-3} M$ | 5.00 M | 0 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| After | 0 | $5.00-3\left(10^{-3}\right) \approx 5.00$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | Reacts completely |
| Equil. | $x$ | $5.00+3 x$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-3}-x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3}-x\right)}{(x)(5.00+3 x)^{3}}=1.0 \times 10^{9} \approx \frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}{(x)(5.00)^{3}}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{+}\right]=8.0 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M}$
Assumptions good.
$\left[\mathrm{CuX}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-3}-8.0 \times 10^{-15}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{K}_{3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CuX}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CuX}_{2}{ }^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]}=1.0 \times 10^{3}=\frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)}{\left[\mathrm{CuX}_{2}{ }^{-}\right](5.00)}, \quad\left[\mathrm{CuX}_{2}^{-}\right]=2.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$
Summarizing:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{CuX}_{3}^{2-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}} & \text { (answer a) } \\
{\left[\mathrm{CuX}_{2}^{-}\right]=2.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}} & \text { (answer b) } \\
{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=8.0 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M}} & \text { (answer c) }
\end{array}
$$

70. $\left[\mathrm{Be}^{2+}\right]_{0}=5.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]_{0}=4.0 \mathrm{M}$ because equal volumes of each reagent are mixed, so all concentrations given in the problem are diluted by a factor of one-half.
Because the $K$ values are large, assume all reactions go to completion, and then solve an equilibrium problem.

$$
\mathrm{Be}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{BeF}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{3} \mathrm{~K}_{4}=7.5 \times 10^{12}
$$

Before
After
Equil.

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
5.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} & 4.0 \mathrm{M} & 0 \\
0 & 4.0 \mathrm{M} & 5.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{K}=7.5 \times 10^{12}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Be}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]^{4}}=\frac{5.0 \times 10^{-5}-x}{x(4.0+4 x)^{4}} \approx \frac{5.0 \times 10^{-5}}{x(4.0)^{4}}
$$

$$
x=\left[\mathrm{Be}^{2+}\right]=2.6 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{M} ; \text { assumptions good. }\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]=4.0 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=5.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}
$$

Now use the stepwise K values to determine the other concentrtations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{1}=7.9 \times 10^{4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{BeF}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Be}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{BeF}^{+}\right]}{\left(2.6 \times 10^{-20}\right)(4.0)},\left[\mathrm{BeF}^{+}\right]=8.2 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{2}=5.8 \times 10^{3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{2}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{BeF}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{2}\right]}{\left(8.2 \times 10^{-15}\right)(4.0)},\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{2}\right]=1.9 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{3}=6.1 \times 10^{2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{3}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{3}^{-}\right]}{\left(1.9 \times 10^{-10}\right)(4.0)},\left[\mathrm{BeF}_{3}^{-}\right]=4.6 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

71. a. $\operatorname{AgI}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]=1.5 \times 10^{-16}$ Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$ Equil.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.5 \times 10^{-16}=s^{2}, s=1.2 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

b.


Assumption good.
c. The presence of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ increases the solubility of AgI . Added $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ removes $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$from solution by forming the complex ion, $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$. As $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is removed, more $\mathrm{AgI}(\mathrm{s})$ will dissolve to replenish the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$concentration.
72.
73.


In pure water, the solubility of $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ is $\left(1.6 \times 10^{-10}\right)^{1 / 2}=1.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. Notice how the presence of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ increases the solubility of $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ by over a factor of 3500 .
74. a.

$$
\mathrm{CuCl}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Cu}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil.

$$
\frac{s}{0.500-2 s}=3.81, s=1.91-(7.62) s, s=0.222 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

$1.00 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.222 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgBr}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{187.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgBr}}=41.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}=42 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}$
$K=\frac{s^{2}}{(0.500-2 s)^{2}}=14.5$; taking the square root of both sides:

|  |  |  |  | $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \operatorname{AgBr}(s)$ dissolves to reach equilibrium |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Change | $-s$ | $-2 s$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+s$ | $+s$ |
| Equil. |  | $0.500-2 s$ |  | $s$ | $s$ |

g AgBr

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.2 \times 10^{-6}=\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{s}^{2}, s=1.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

b. $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$forms the complex ion $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}^{-}$in the presence of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. We will consider both the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ reaction and the complex ion reaction at the same time.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CuCl}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.2 \times 10^{-6} \\
& \mathrm{Cu}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=8.7 \times 10^{4} \\
& \mathrm{CuCl}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.10 \\
& \begin{array}{lccc} 
& \mathrm{CuCl}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}^{-} \\
\text {Initial } & 0.10 \mathrm{M} & 0 \\
\text { Equil. } & 0.10-s & s \quad \text { where } s=\text { solubility of } \mathrm{CuCl}(\mathrm{~s}) \text { in } \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~K}=0.10=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]}=\frac{s}{0.10-s}, \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-2}!0.10 s=s, \quad s=9.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

75. Test tube 1: Added $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$reacts with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$to form a silver chloride precipitate. The net ionic equation is $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$. Test tube 2: Added $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reacts with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions to form a soluble complex ion, $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$. As this complex ion forms, $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is removed from the solution, which causes the $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ to dissolve. When enough $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is added, all the silver chloride precipitate will dissolve. The equation is $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+$ $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. Test tube 3: Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$reacts with the weak base, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$, to form $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$. As $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is removed from the $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$complex ion, $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions are released to solution and can then react with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to re-form $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$. The equations are $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ $+2 \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ and $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$.
76. In $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ forms the soluble complex ion $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$. This increases the solubility of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ because added $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ removes $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ from the equilibrium causing more $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ to dissolve. In $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$removes $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$from the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ equilibrium causing more $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ to dissolve. Any salt with basic anions will be more soluble in an acid solution. $\mathrm{AgC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will be more soluble in either $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$. This is because $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$forms the complex ion $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$is a weak base, so it will react with added $\mathrm{H}^{+} . \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ will be more soluble only in $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ due to $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$formation. In acid, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is a horrible base, so it doesn't react with added $\mathrm{H}^{+} . \mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ will not be more soluble in $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$.

## Additional Exercises

77. $\quad \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{Ag}{ }^{+}$added $=0.200 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.24 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}}=0.048 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$

The added $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$will react with the halogen ions to form a precipitate. Because the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ values are small, we can assume these precipitation reactions go to completion. The order of precipitation will be $\operatorname{AgI}(\mathrm{s})$ first (the least soluble compound since $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ is the smallest), followed by $\mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})$, with $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ forming last $[\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ is the most soluble compound listed since it has the largest $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ ].

Let the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$react with $\mathrm{I}^{-}$to completion.

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | + | $\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AgI}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{K}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }} \gg 1$ |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.048 mol | 0.018 mol | 0 |  |
| Change | -0.018 | -0.018 | +0.018 | $\mathrm{I}^{-}$is limiting. |
| After | 0.030 mol | 0 | 0.018 mol |  |

Let the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$remaining react next with $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$to completion.

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | + | $\mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{K}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }} \gg 1$ |  |  |
| Before | 0.030 mol | 0.018 mol | 0 |  |
| Change | -0.018 | -0.018 | +0.018 | $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$is limiting. |
| After | 0.012 mol | 0 | 0.018 mol |  |

Finally, let the remaining $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$react with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to completion.

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | + | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{K}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }} \gg 1$ |  |  |  |
| Before | 0.012 mol | 0.018 mol | 0 |  |
| Change | -0.012 | -0.012 | +0.012 | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is limiting. |
| After | 0 | 0.006 mol | 0.012 mol |  |

Some of the AgCl will redissolve to produce some $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$ions; we can't have $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=0 \mathrm{M}$. Calculating how much $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ redissolves:

$$
\operatorname{AgCl}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}
$$

Initial $\mathrm{s}=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0.006 \mathrm{~mol} / 0.200 \mathrm{~L}=0.03 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of AgCl dissolves to reach equilibrium

| Change | $-s$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+s$ | $+s$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Equil. |  |  | $s$ | $0.03+s$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{s}(0.03+\mathrm{s}) \approx(0.03) \mathrm{s}$
$s=5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; the assumption that $0.03+s \approx 0.03$ is good .
Mol AgCl present $=0.012 \mathrm{~mol}-5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol}=0.012 \mathrm{~mol}$
Mass AgCl present $=0.012 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl} \times \frac{143.4 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}=1.7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}$

$$
\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=s=5 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

78. $\quad \operatorname{AgX}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]$
$\mathrm{AgY}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Y}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Y}^{-}\right]$
For conjugate acid-base pairs, the weaker the acid, the stronger is the conjugate base. Because HX is a stronger acid (has a larger $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value) than $\mathrm{HY}, \mathrm{Y}^{-}$will be a stronger base
than $\mathrm{X}^{-}$. In acidic solution, $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$will have a greater affinity for the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ions. Therefore, $\mathrm{AgY}(\mathrm{s})$ will be more soluble in acidic solution because more $\mathrm{Y}^{-}$will be removed through reaction with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, which will cause more $\mathrm{AgY}(\mathrm{s})$ to dissolve.
79. 

|  | $\mathrm{Ca}_{5}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $5 \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+3 \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}$ | $+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0 | $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ from water |
| Equil. |  | $5 s$ | 3 s | $s+1.0 \times 10^{-7} \approx s$ |

$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=6.8 \times 10^{-37}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]^{5}\left[\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}\right]^{3}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=(5 s)^{5}(3 s)^{3}(s)$
$6.8 \times 10^{-37}=(3125)(27) s^{9}, s=2.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \quad$ assumption is good.
The solubility of hydroxyapatite will increase as the solution gets more acidic because both phosphate and hydroxide can react with $\mathrm{H}^{+}$.

|  | $\mathrm{Ca}_{5}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{3} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $5 \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+3 \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Equil. |  | $5 s$ | $3 s$ | $s$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1 \times 10^{-60}=(5 s)^{5}(3 s)^{3}(s)=(3125)(27) s^{9}, s=6 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
The hydroxyapatite in tooth enamel is converted to the less soluble fluorapatite by fluoridetreated water. The less soluble fluorapatite is more difficult to remove, making teeth less susceptible to decay.
80. $\frac{1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{F}^{-}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}^{-}}{19.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~F}^{-}}=5.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{F}^{-}=5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{F}^{-}$
$\mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]^{2}=4.0 \times 10^{-11}$; precipitation will occur when $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$. Let's calculate $\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]$ so that $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$.
$\mathrm{Q}=4.0 \times 10^{-11}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{~F}^{-}\right]_{0}^{2}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left(5 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]_{0}=2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will precipitate when $\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]_{0}>2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}$. Therefore, hard water should have a calcium ion concentration of less than $2 \times 10^{-2} M$ in order to avoid $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}$ (s) formation.
81. $\quad \mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]^{2}$

We need to determine the $\mathrm{F}^{-}$concentration present in a 1.0 MHF solution. Solving the weak acid equilibrium problem:

|  | $\mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]}$ |  |  |  |
| Initial | $1.0 M$ | $\sim 0$ | 0 |  |
| Equil. | $1.0-x$ | $x$ | $x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{x(x)}{1.0-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{1.0}, x=\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]=2.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M} ;$ assumption good.
Next, calculate the $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ concentration necessary for $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}, \mathrm{CaF}_{2}}$.
$\mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{~F}^{-}\right]_{0}^{2}, 4.0 \times 10^{-11}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left(2.7 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2},\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]_{0}=5.5 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Mass $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}=1.0 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{5.5 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}} \times \frac{164.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol}}$
Mass $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}=9.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$
For precipitation of $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ to occur, we need $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$. When $9.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ has been added to 1.0 L of solution, $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$. So precipitation of $\mathrm{CaF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will begin to occur when just over $9.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ has been added.
82.

$$
\begin{array}{lcccc} 
& \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightleftharpoons & \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & +2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2} \\
\text { Initial } & s=\text { solubility (mol/L) } & 0 & 1.0 \times 10^{-7} M \text { (from water) } \\
\text { Equil. } & & s & 1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 s
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=2.0 \times 10^{-13}=s\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 s\right) \approx s(2 s)^{2}=4 s^{3}, s=3.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; assumption good.
$1.3 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{3.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{88.96 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=4.3 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$
83. $s=$ solubility $=\frac{0.24 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{PbI}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{PbI}_{2}}{461.0 \mathrm{~g}}}{0.2000 \mathrm{~L}}=2.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{PbI}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{2}$
Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil.
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=s(2 s)^{2}=4 s^{3}, \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\text {sp }}=4\left(2.6 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{3}=7.0 \times 10^{-8}$
84.

$$
\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{BiO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$

| 0 | 0 | $1.0 \times 10^{-7} M$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s$ | $s$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-7}+s$ |

$\mathrm{K}=\left[\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=s(s)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+s\right)$; from the problem, $s=3.2 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}:$

$$
K=\left(3.2 \times 10^{-19}\right)^{2}\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+3.2 \times 10^{-19}\right)=1.0 \times 10^{-44}
$$

85. 

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{MnC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} & \mathrm{~K}_{1}=7.9 \times 10^{3} \\
\mathrm{MnC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}^{2-} & \mathrm{K}_{2}=7.9 \times 10^{1} \\
\hline \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}=6.2 \times 10^{5}
\end{array}
$$

86. 

$$
\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \text { EDTA }^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{CrEDTA}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}
$$

| Before | 0.0010 M | 0.050 M | 0 | $1.0 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | (Buffer)


| Change | -0.0010 | -0.0010 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.0010 | No change | Reacts comple |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $1.0 \times$ |  |


| After | 0 | 0.049 | 0.0010 | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | New initial |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{LCEDTA}{ }^{-}$dissociates to reach equilibrium


| Change | $+x$ | $+x$ | $\leftarrow$ | $-x$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equil. | $x$ | $0.049+x$ |  | $0.0010-x$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | (Buffer) |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.0 \times 10^{23}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CrEDTA}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{EDTA}^{2-}\right]}=\frac{(0.0010-x)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2}}{(x)(0.049+x)}$
$1.0 \times 10^{23} \approx \frac{(0.0010)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-12}\right)}{x(0.049)}, x=\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}\right]=2.0 \times 10^{-37} \mathrm{M} ;$ assumptions good.
87. a.
$\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$ from water
Equil. $\quad s \quad 1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.2 \times 10^{-15}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=s\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}+2 \mathrm{~s}\right)^{2} \approx s\left(2 s^{2}\right)=4 s^{3}$
$s=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=6.7 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$; assumption is good by the $5 \%$ rule .
b.

$$
\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}
$$

Initial 00.0 .10 M pH = 13.00, $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.10 \mathrm{M}$ $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium
Equil.

$$
1.2 \times 10^{-15}=(s)(0.10)^{2}, s=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=1.2 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M}
$$

c. We need to calculate the $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ concentration in equilibrium with EDTA ${ }^{4}$. Since K is large for the formation of $\operatorname{PbEDTA}{ }^{2-}$, let the reaction go to completion and then solve an equilibrium problem to get the $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ concentration.

|  | $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ | + | $\mathrm{EDTA}^{4}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | 0.010 M | 0.050 M |  | 0 |
|  | $0.010 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ reacts completely (large K) |  |  |
| Change | -0.010 | -0.010 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.010 |
| After | 0 | 0.040 |  | 0.010 |

$\begin{array}{llll}x & 0.040+x & 0.010-x \\ \text { Equil. }\end{array}$
$1.1 \times 10^{18}=\frac{(0.010-x)}{(x)(0.040+x)} \approx \frac{0.010}{x(0.040)}, x=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=2.3 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{M} ;$ assumptions good.
Now calculate the solubility quotient for $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ to see if precipitation occurs. The concentration of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$is 0.10 M since we have a solution buffered at $\mathrm{pH}=13.00$.
$\mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]_{0}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]_{0}^{2}=\left(2.3 \times 10^{-19}\right)(0.10)^{2}=2.3 \times 10^{-21}<\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}\left(1.2 \times 10^{-15}\right)$
$\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ will not form since Q is less than $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$.
88. $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{mmol}}{\mathrm{mL}}=1.0 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{Cd}{ }^{2+}$ added to the ammonia solution

Thus $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]_{0}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. We will first calculate the equilibrium $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ concentration using the complex ion equilibrium and then determine if this $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ concentration is large enough to cause precipitation of $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$.

|  | $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ | $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.0 \times 10^{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | $1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ | 5.0 M |  | 0 |  |
| Change | $-1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | Reacts completely |
| After | 0 | $4.996 \approx 5.0$ |  | $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | New initial |
|  | $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |  |  |
| Change | + $X$ | $+4 x$ | $\leftarrow$ | -x |  |
| Equil. | $x$ | $5.0+4 x$ |  | $0.0010-x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.0 \times 10^{7}=\frac{(0.010-x)}{(x)(5.0+4 x)^{4}} \approx \frac{(0.010)}{(x)(5.0)^{4}}$
$x=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]=1.6 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M}$; assumptions good. This is the maximum $\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]$ possible. Now we will determine if $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ forms at this concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$. In $5.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ we can calculate the pH :

$$
\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}
$$

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Initial } & 5.0 \mathrm{M} & 0 & \sim 0\end{array}$
Equil. $5.0-y \quad y \quad y$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}=\frac{y^{2}}{5.0-y} \approx \frac{y^{2}}{5.0}, y=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=9.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} ;$ assumptions $\begin{array}{r}\text { good. }\end{array}$
We now calculate the value of the solubility quotient, Q:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Q}=\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=\left(1.6 \times 10^{-13}\right)\left(9.5 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2} \\
& \mathrm{Q}=1.4 \times 10^{-17}<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}\left(5.9 \times 10^{-15}\right) ; \quad \text { therefore, no precipitate forms. }
\end{aligned}
$$

89. a.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.6 \times 10^{-19} \\
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}^{2+} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.0 \times 10^{13} \\
\hline \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.6 \times 10^{-6}
\end{aligned}
$$

b.

$$
\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}=1.6 \times 10^{-6}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { Initial } & 5.0 \mathrm{M} & 0 & 0.0095 \mathrm{M}
\end{array}
$$

$$
s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \text { dissolves to reach equilibrium }
$$

Equil. $5.0-4 s \quad s \quad 0.0095+2 s$
$\mathrm{K}=1.6 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}}=\frac{s(0.0095+2 s)^{2}}{(5.0-4 s)^{4}}$
If $s$ is small: $1.6 \times 10^{-6}=\frac{s(0.0095)^{2}}{(5.0)^{4}}, \quad s=11 . \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Assumptions are horrible. We will solve the problem by successive approximations.

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{\text {calc }}=\frac{1.6 \times 10^{-6}\left(5.0-4 s_{\text {guess }}\right)^{4}}{\left(0.0095+2 s_{\text {guess }}\right)^{2}} \text {; the results from six trials are: } \\
s_{\text {guess: }}: \quad 0.10,0.050,0.060,0.055,0.056 \\
s_{\text {calc: }}: 1.6 \times 10^{-2}, 0.071,0.049,0.058,0.056
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus the solubility of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is $0.056 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ in $5.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$.
90. a.

b.

c.

91.

|  | $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $\mathrm{s}=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | $\sim 0$ |  |
| Equil. |  | s | 2 s |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=5.0 \times 10^{-3}=s(2 \mathrm{~s})^{2}=4 \mathrm{~s}^{3}, s=0.11 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ;$ assumption good .
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2 s=2(0.11)=0.22 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{pOH}=0.66, \mathrm{pH}=13.34$

$$
\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=3.2 \times 10^{-4}
$$

Equil.
$s \quad 2 s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=3.2 \times 10^{-4}=4 s^{3}, s=0.043 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; asssumption good.
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2(0.043)=0.086 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.07, \mathrm{pH}=12.93$

$$
\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}=1.3 \times 10^{-6}
$$

Equil.
$S$
$2 s$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.3 \times 10^{-6}=4 \mathrm{~s}^{3}, s=6.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \text { assumption good. } \\
& {\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2\left(6.9 \times 10^{-3}\right)=1.4 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{pOH}=1.85, \mathrm{pH}=12.15}
\end{aligned}
$$

92. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=6.4 \times 10^{-9}=\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]^{2}, \quad 6.4 \times 10^{-9}=(0.00375-y)(0.0625-2 y)^{2}$

This is a cubic equation. No simplifying assumptions can be made since $y$ is relatively large. Solving cubic equations is difficult unless you have a graphing calculator. However, if you don't have a graphing calculator, one way to solve this problem is to make the simplifying assumption to run the precipitation reaction to completion. This assumption is made because of the very small value for K , indicating that the ion concentrations are very small. Once this assumption is made, the problem becomes much easier to solve.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 93-98 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

99. a.

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\mathrm{CuBr}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}^{+}+\mathrm{Br}^{-} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.0 \times 10^{-5} \\
\mathrm{Cu}++3 \mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}^{2-} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}=1.0 \times 10^{11} \\
\hline \mathrm{CuBr}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{Br}^{-} & \mathrm{K}=1.0 \times 10^{6}
\end{array}
$$

Because K is large, assume that enough $\mathrm{CuBr}(\mathrm{s})$ dissolves to completely use up the 1.0 M $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$; then solve the back equilibrium problem to determine the equilibrium concentrations.

|  | CuB | $3 \mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | $x$ | 1.0 M | 0 | 0 |
|  | $x \mathrm{mo}$ | $\mathrm{CuBr}(\mathrm{s})$ dis | olves to | mpl |
| Change | $-x$ | $-3 x \rightarrow$ | + $X$ | + $x$ |
| After | 0 | $1.0-3 x$ | $x$ | $x$ |

For reaction to go to completion, $1.0-3 x=0$ and $x=0.33 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$. Now solve the backequilibrium problem.

Initial

$$
\mathrm{CuBr}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{Br}^{-}
$$

$0 \quad 0.33 \mathrm{M} \quad 0.33 \mathrm{M}$
Let $y \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{CN})_{3}{ }^{2-}$ react to reach equilibrium.
$\begin{array}{lcccc}\text { Change } & +3 y & \leftarrow & -y & -y \\ \text { Equil. } & 3 y & & 0.33-y & 0.33-y\end{array}$
$\mathrm{K}=1.0 \times 10^{6}=\frac{(0.33-y)^{2}}{(3 \mathrm{y})^{3}} \approx \frac{(0.33)^{2}}{27 y^{3}}, y=1.6 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$; assumption good.
Of the initial $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$, only $3\left(1.6 \times 10^{-3}\right)=4.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ is present at equilibrium. Indeed, enough $\mathrm{CuBr}(\mathrm{s})$ did dissolve to essentially remove the initial $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CN}^{-}$. This amount, $0.33 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$, is the solubility of $\mathrm{CuBr}(\mathrm{s})$ in 1.0 M NaCN .
b. $\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]=0.33-y=0.33-1.6 \times 10^{-3}=0.33 \mathrm{M}$
c. $\left[\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right]=3 y=3\left(1.6 \times 10^{-3}\right)=4.8 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
100. $\quad \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{AgNH}_{3}{ }^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{1}=2.1 \times 10^{3}$
$\mathrm{AgNH}_{3}{ }^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{2}=8.2 \times 10^{3}$
$\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}=1.7 \times 10^{7}$
The initial concentrations are halved because equal volumes of the two solutions are mixed. Let the reaction go to completion since K is large; then solve an equilibrium problem.

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\left.\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+} \mathrm{aq}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | 0.20 M | 2.0 M | 0 |
| After | 0 | 1.6 | 0.20 |
| Equil. | $x$ | $1.6+2 x$ | $0.20-x$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}=1.7 \times 10^{7}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{2}}=\frac{0.20-x}{x(1.6+2 x)^{2}} \approx \frac{0.20}{x(1.6)^{2}}, x=4.6 \times \begin{gathered}
10^{-9} \mathrm{M} ; \\
\text { assumptions good. }
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=x=4.6 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M} ; \quad\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]=1.6 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}^{+}\right]=0.20 \mathrm{M}
$$

Use either the $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ equilibrium expression to calculate $\left[\mathrm{AgNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{AgNH}_{3}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{2}=8.2 \times 10^{3} \\
& 8.2 \times 10^{3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{AgNH}_{3}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}=\frac{0.20}{\left[\mathrm{AgNH}_{3}^{+}\right](1.6)},\left[\mathrm{AgNH}_{3}^{+}\right]=1.5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

101. a.

$$
\operatorname{AgBr}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\operatorname{Br}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]=5.0 \times 10^{-13}
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $s$ s

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=5.0 \times 10^{-13}=s^{2}, s=7.1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

b.

$s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium = molar solubility
Equil. $3.0-2 s \quad s \quad s$
$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{2}}=\frac{s^{2}}{(3.0-2 s)^{2}}=8.5 \times 10^{-6} \approx \frac{s^{2}}{(3.0)^{2}}, s=8.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
Assumption good.
c. The presence of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ increases the solubility of AgBr . Added $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ removes $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$from solution by forming the complex ion, $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$. $\mathrm{As} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is removed, more $\mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})$ will dissolve to replenish the $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$concentration.
d. Mass $\mathrm{AgBr}=0.2500 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{8.7 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgBr}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{187.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgBr}}=0.41 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgBr}$
e. Added $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ will have no effect on the $\mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})$ solubility in pure water. Neither $\mathrm{H}^{+}$nor $\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$react with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ions. $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$is the conjugate base of the strong acid HBr , so it is a terrible base. However, added $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}$ will reduce the solubility of $\mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})$ in the ammonia solution. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a weak base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)$. Added $\mathrm{H}^{+}$will react with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to form $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$. As $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is removed, a smaller amount of the $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}$complex ion will form, resulting in a smaller amount of $\mathrm{AgBr}(\mathrm{s})$ that will dissolve.
102. $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]_{0}=\frac{3.00 \mathrm{M}}{2}=1.50 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\frac{2.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}}{2}=1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$

Because $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]_{0} \gg\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]_{0}$, and because $\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ are all large, $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$ will be the dominant copper-containing species. The net reaction will be $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$. Here, $1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{MCu}^{2+}$ plus $4\left(1.00 \times 10^{-3} M\right) \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ will produce $1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$. At equilibrium:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}\right] \approx 1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]_{0}-\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]_{\text {reacted }}=1.50 \mathrm{M}-4\left(1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)=1.50 \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{2+}\right]$ from the $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ reaction:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1.55 \times 10^{2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}=\frac{1.00 \times 10^{-3}}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{2+}\right](1.50)}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{2+}\right] \\
\quad=4.30 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}
\end{array}
$$

Calculate $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}\right]$ from $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ reaction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1.00 \times 10^{3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}=\frac{4.30 \times 10^{-6}}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}\right](1.50)}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}\right] \\
&=2.87 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }^{2+}\right]$ from the $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ reaction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
3.88 \times 10^{3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CuNH}_{3}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}=\frac{2.87 \times 10^{-9}}{\left[\mathrm{CuNH}_{3}{ }^{2+}\right](1.50)}, \quad\left[\mathrm{CuNH}_{3}{ }^{2+}\right] & \\
& =4.93 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

Calculate $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]$ from the $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ reaction:

$$
1.86 \times 10^{4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{CuNH}_{3}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]}=\frac{4.93 \times 10^{-13}}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right](1.50)}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=1.77 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{M}
$$

The assumptions are valid. $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$ is clearly the dominant copper-containing component.
103.

| $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{AgCN}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\ \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{aq}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2.2 \times 10^{-12} \\ & \mathrm{~K}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HCN}}=1.6 \times 10^{9} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{AgCN}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}=2.2 \times 10^{-12}\left(1.6 \times 10^{9}\right)=3.5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $\mathrm{AgCN}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |
| Initial $\quad 1.0 \mathrm{M} 0$ | 0 |
| $s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{AgCN}(\mathrm{s})$ dissolves to reach equilibrium |  |
| Equil. $1.0-s$ | $s$ |
| $3.5 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right][\mathrm{HCN}]}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\frac{\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{s})}{1.0-\mathrm{s}} \approx \frac{\mathrm{s}^{2}}{1.0}$, | $s=5.9 \times 10^{-2}$ |

Assumption fails the $5 \%$ rule ( $s$ is $5.9 \%$ of $1.0 M$ ). Using the method of successive approximations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3.5 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{s^{2}}{1.0-0.059}, s=5.7 \times 10^{-2} \\
& 3.5 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{s^{2}}{1.0-0.057}, s=5.7 \times 10^{-2} \quad(\text { consistent answer })
\end{aligned}
$$

The molar solubility of $\mathrm{AgCN}(\mathrm{s})$ in $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$is $5.7 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.
104. Solubility in pure water:

|  | $\mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=2 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| Equil. |  | $s$ | $s$ |  |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=s^{2}=2 \times 10^{-9}, \quad s=\text { solubility }=4.47 \times 10^{-5}=4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

Solubility in $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2 \times 10^{-9} \\
& \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.6 \times 10^{4} \\
& \mathrm{HC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \quad \mathrm{~K}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=15 \\
& \mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{\text {overall }}=5 \times 10^{-4} \\
& \mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \\
& \text { Initial } 0.10 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad 0 \\
& s \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { of } \mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \text { dissolves to reach equilibrium } \\
& \text { Equil. } \quad 0.10-2 s \quad s \quad s \\
& 5 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{s^{2}}{(0.10-2 s)^{2}}, \frac{s}{0.10-2 s}=\left(5 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{1 / 2}, s=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\frac{\text { Solubility in } 0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}}{\text {Solubility in pure water }}=\frac{2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}{4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}}=50$
$\mathrm{CaC}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ is 50 times more soluble in $0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$than in pure water. This increase in solubility is due to the weak base properties of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}$.
105. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HS}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=1.0 \times 10^{-7}$
$\mathrm{HS}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1 \times 10^{-19}$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1 \times 10^{-26}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{~S}^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]}$
Because K is very small, only a tiny fraction of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ will react. At equilibrium, $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]$ $=0.10 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1 \times 10^{-3}$.
$\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]=\frac{{\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]}_{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}=\frac{\left(1 \times 10^{-26}\right)(0.10)}{\left(1 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}=1 \times 10^{-21} \mathrm{M} .{ }^{2} .}{}$
$\mathrm{NiS}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]=3 \times 10^{-21}$
Precipitation of NiS will occur when $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$. We will calculate $\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]$ for $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$.
$\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]=3.0 \times 10^{-21},\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]=\frac{3.0 \times 10^{-21}}{1 \times 10^{-21}}=3 \mathrm{M}=$ maximum concentration
106. We need to determine $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]_{0}$ that will cause precipitation of $\mathrm{CuS}(\mathrm{s})$ but not $\mathrm{MnS}(\mathrm{s})$.

For CuS(s):

$$
\mathrm{CuS}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]=8.5 \times 10^{-45}
$$

$$
\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]_{0}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}, \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]_{0}}=\frac{8.5 \times 10^{-45}}{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}=8.5 \times 10^{-42} \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]
$$

This [ $\mathrm{S}^{2-}$ ] represents the concentration that we must exceed to cause precipitation of CuS because if $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]_{0}>8.5 \times 10^{-42} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$.

For MnS(s):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{MnS}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]=2.3 \times 10^{-13} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\right]_{0}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}, \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}}{\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}\right]}=\frac{2.3 \times 10^{-13}}{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}=2.3 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

This value of $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]$ represents the largest concentration of sulfide that can be present without causing precipitation of MnS . That is, for this value of $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right], \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$, and no precipitatation of MnS occurs. However, for any $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]_{0}>2.3 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{MnS}(\mathrm{s})$ will form.

We must have $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]_{0}>8.5 \times 10^{-42} \mathrm{M}$ to precipitate CuS, but $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]_{0}<2.3 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$ to prevent precipitation of MnS.

The question asks for a pH that will precipitate $\mathrm{CuS}(\mathrm{s})$ but not $\mathrm{MnS}(\mathrm{s})$. We need to first choose an initial concentration of $S^{2-}$ that will do this. Let's choose $\left[S^{2-}\right]_{0}=1.0 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$ because this will clearly cause $\operatorname{CuS}(s)$ to precipitate but is still less than the $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]_{0}$ required for $\mathrm{MnS}(\mathrm{s})$ to precipitate. The problem now is to determine the pH necessary for a $0.1 \mathrm{MH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ solution to have $\left[\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right]=1.0 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$. Let's combine the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$ equations for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ to determine the required $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HS}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=1.0 \times 10^{-7} \\
\mathrm{HS}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1 \times 10^{-19} \\
\hline \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}} \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.0 \times 10^{-26} \\
1 \times 10^{-26}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{~S}^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left(1 \times 10^{-10}\right)}{0.10},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{M}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(3 \times 10^{-9}\right)=8.5$. So, if $\mathrm{pH}=8.5,\left[\mathrm{~S}^{2-}\right]=1 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$, which will cause precipitation of $\mathrm{CuS}(\mathrm{s})$ but not $\mathrm{MnS}(\mathrm{s})$.

Note: Any pH less than 8.7 would be a correct answer to this problem.
107. $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}+\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{5-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{MgP}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{3-} \mathrm{K}=4.0 \times 10^{8}$
$\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\frac{50 . \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{24.31 \mathrm{~g}}=2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\left[\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{5-}\right]_{0}=\frac{40 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{Na}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{367.86 \mathrm{~g}}=0.11 \mathrm{M}$
Assume the reaction goes to completion because K is large. Then solve the back-equilibrium problem to determine the small amount of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ present.

|  | $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}+$ | $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{5-}$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{MgP}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{3-}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | $2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$ | 0.11 M |  | 0 |  |
| Change | $-2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $-2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | React completely |
| After | 0 | 0.11 |  | $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ | New initial condition |
| $x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{MgP}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{3-}$ dissociates to reach equilibrium |  |  |  |  |  |
| Change | + ${ }^{1}$ | + $x$ | $\leftarrow$ | - $x$ |  |
| Equil. | $x$ | $0.11+x$ |  | $2.1 \times 10^{-3}-x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}=4.0 \times 10^{8}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{MgP}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{3-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{10}{ }^{5-}\right]}=\frac{2.1 \times 10^{-3}-x}{x(0.11+x)}\left(\right.$ assume $\left.x \ll 2.1 \times 10^{-3}\right)$
$4.0 \times 10^{8} \approx \frac{2.1 \times 10^{-3}}{x(0.11)}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right]=4.8 \times 10^{-11} M ;$ assumptions good .
108. $\quad \mathrm{MX}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m, \quad m=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{0.028^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}{1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{molal}}=0.015 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
$\frac{0.015 \mathrm{~mol}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}} \times 250 \mathrm{~g}=0.00375 \mathrm{~mol}$ total solute particles (carrying extra sig. fig.)
$0.0375 \mathrm{~mol}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+}+\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}-}, \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+}=\operatorname{mol~X}{ }^{\mathrm{n}-}=0.0375 / 2$
Because the density of the solution is $1.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}, 250 \mathrm{~g}=250 \mathrm{~mL}$ of solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{n}+}\right]=\frac{(0.00375 / 2) \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{M}}{} \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{n+} \\
0.25 \mathrm{~L}
\end{array}=7.5 \times 10^{-3} M,\left[\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}-}\right]=\frac{(0.00375 / 2) \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{X}}{} \mathrm{n-}} \\
& 0.25 \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned} \quad=7.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M} .
$$

109. a.

$$
\mathrm{SrF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Initial $0 \quad 0$
Equil. $\quad s \quad 2 s$

$$
\left[\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]^{2}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=7.9 \times 10^{-10}=4 s^{3}, s=5.8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \text { in pure water }
$$

b. Greater, because some of the $\mathrm{F}^{-}$would react with water:

$$
\mathrm{F}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HF}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{HF}}}=1.4 \times 10^{-11}
$$

This lowers the concentration of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$, forcing more $\mathrm{SrF}_{2}$ to dissolve.
c. $\quad \mathrm{SrF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Sr}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{~F}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=7.9 \times 10^{-10}=\left[\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]^{2}$

Let $s=$ solubility $=\left[\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right]$; then $2 s=$ total $\mathrm{F}^{-}$concentration.
Since $\mathrm{F}^{-}$is a weak base, some of the $\mathrm{F}^{-}$is converted into HF. Therefore:
total $\mathrm{F}^{-}$concentration $=2 s=\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]+[\mathrm{HF}]$
$\mathrm{HF} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{F}^{-} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=7.2 \times 10^{-4}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-2}\left[\mathrm{~F}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]} \quad$ (since $\mathrm{pH}=2.00$ buffer)
$7.2 \times 10^{-2}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]},[\mathrm{HF}]=14\left[\mathrm{~F}^{-}\right]$; solving:

$$
\left[\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right]=s ; 2 s=\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]+[\mathrm{HF}]=\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]+14\left[\mathrm{~F}^{-}\right], 2 s=15\left[\mathrm{~F}^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]=2 s / 15
$$

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=7.9 \times 10^{-10}=\left[\mathrm{Sr}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]^{2}=(\mathrm{s})\left(\frac{2 s}{15}\right)^{2}, s=3.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$ in $\mathrm{pH}=2.00$ solution

## Integrative Problems

110. 

$$
\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{X}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \quad 3 \mathrm{M}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{X}^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]^{3}\left[\mathrm{X}^{3-}\right]^{2}
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $3 s \quad 2 s$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=(3 s)^{3}(2 s)^{2}=108 s^{5}$; total ion concentration $=3 s+2 s=5 s$
$\pi=\mathrm{i} M \mathrm{RT}, \mathrm{i} M=$ total ion concentration $=\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{2.64 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~atm}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}$

$$
=1.08 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

$5 s=1.08 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}, s=2.16 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=108 \mathrm{~s}^{5}=108\left(2.16 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{5}$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=5.08 \times 10^{-17}$
111. Major species: $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}, \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ will react with the $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ produced from the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction for $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-} & \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-} \\
\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) & \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}=1.2 \times 10^{-2} \\
\mathrm{~K}=1 / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1 /\left(1.5 \times 10^{-9}\right)=6.7 \times 10^{8} \\
\hline \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}+\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) & \mathrm{K}_{\text {overall }}=\left(1.2 \times 10^{-2}\right) \times\left(6.7 \times 10^{8}\right)=8.0 \times 10^{6}
\end{array}
$$

Because $\mathrm{K}_{\text {overall }}$ is so large, the reaction essentially goes to completion. Because $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ is a strong acid, $\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}\right]_{0}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]_{0}=0.10 \mathrm{M}$.

|  | $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}$ | $\mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | 0.30 M | 0.10 M | 0.10 M |  |
| Change | -0.10 | $-0.10 \rightarrow$ | +0.10 |  |
| After | 0.20 | 0 | 0.20 M | New initial |
| Change | + $x$ | + $\chi$ | -x |  |
| Equil. | $0.20+x$ | $x$ | $0.20-x$ |  |
| $\mathrm{K}=8.0 \times 1$ | $10^{6}=\frac{0.20}{(0.20}$ | $\frac{-x}{x) x} \approx \frac{0.20}{0.20(x)}$ | $x=1.3 \times$ | ons good. |
| $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.20-1.3 \times 10^{-7}=0.20 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log (0.20)=0.70$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}\right]=0.20+1.3 \times 10^{-7}=0.20 \mathrm{M}$ |  |  |  |  |

From the initial reaction essentially going to completion, $1.0 \mathrm{~L}\left(0.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HSO}_{4}^{-} / \mathrm{L}\right)=$ $0.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HSO}{ }_{4}^{-}$reacted; this will produce $0.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$. Only $1.3 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~mol}$ of this dissolves to reach equilibrium, so $0.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ is produced.

$$
0.10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4} \times \frac{233.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=23 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{BaSO}_{4} \text { produced }
$$

112. M: [Xe] $6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{10}$; this is mercury, Hg. Because $X^{-}$has 54 electrons, $X$ has 53 protons and is iodine, I . The identity of $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$.
$\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]_{0}=\frac{1.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NaI} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NaI}}{149.9 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{I}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{NaI}}}{0.150 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0881 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

|  | $\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4.5 \times 10^{-29}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial $\mathrm{s}=\operatorname{solubility~(\mathrm {mol}/\mathrm {L})}$ | 0 | 0.0881 M |  |  |
| Equil. |  | s | $0.0881+2 \mathrm{~s}$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=4.5 \times 10^{-29}=\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{2}=s(0.0881+2 \mathrm{~s})^{2} \approx s(0.0881)^{2}$
$s=5.8 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$; assumption good.

## Marathon Problem

113. a. In very acidic solutions, the reaction that occurs to increase the solubility is $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+$ $3 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$. In very basic solutions, the reaction that occurs to increase solubility is $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$.
b. $\quad \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-} ; \quad \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{-}$
$\mathrm{S}=$ solubility $=$ total $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ concentration $=\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}} \times \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{3}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{3}} \text {, because }\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\right)^{3}} \\
& \frac{\left[\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}=\mathrm{K} ;\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]} ;\left[\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}\right]=\mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{\mathrm{KK}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{S}=\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]+\left[\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{3} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}^{3}+\mathrm{KK}_{\mathrm{w}} /\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]
$$

c. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=2 \times 10^{-32} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} ; \mathrm{K}=40.0$

$$
\mathrm{S}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{3}\left(2 \times 10^{-32}\right)}{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)^{3}}+\frac{40.0\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{3}\left(2 \times 10^{10}\right)+\frac{4.0 \times 10^{-13}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}
$$

| pH | solubility $(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\log \mathrm{S}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.0 | $2 \times 10^{-2}$ | -1.7 |
| 5.0 | $2 \times 10^{-5}$ | -4.7 |
| 6.0 | $4.2 \times 10^{-7}$ | -6.38 |
| 7.0 | $4.0 \times 10^{-6}$ | -5.40 |
| 8.0 | $4.0 \times 10^{-5}$ | -4.40 |
| 9.0 | $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | -3.40 |
| 10.0 | $4.0 \times 10^{-3}$ | -2.40 |
| 11.0 | $4.0 \times 10^{-2}$ | -1.40 |
| 12.0 | $4.0 \times 10^{-1}$ | -0.40 |



As expected, the solubility of $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ is increased by very acidic solutions and by very basic solutions.

## CHAPTER 17

## SPONTANEITY, ENTROPY, AND FREE ENERGY

## Questions

11. Living organisms need an external source of energy to carry out these processes. Green plants use the energy from sunlight to produce glucose from carbon dioxide and water by photosynthesis. In the human body, the energy released from the metabolism of glucose helps drive the synthesis of proteins. For all processes combined, $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}$ must be greater than zero (the second law).
12. Dispersion increases the entropy of the universe because the more widely something is dispersed, the greater the disorder. We must do work to overcome this disorder. In terms of the second law, it would be more advantageous to prevent contamination of the environment rather than to clean it up later. As a substance disperses, we have a much larger area that must be decontaminated.
13. As a process occurs, $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}$ will increase; $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}$ cannot decrease. Time, like $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ, }}$, only goes in one direction.
14. The introduction of mistakes is an effect of entropy. The purpose of redundant information is to provide a control to check the "correctness" of the transmitted information.
15. This reaction is kinetically slow but thermodynamically favorable $(\Delta \mathrm{G}<0)$. Thermodynamics only tells us if a reaction can occur. To answer the question will it occur, one also needs to consider the kinetics (speed of reaction). The ultraviolet light provides the activation energy for this slow reaction to occur.
16. a. Thermodynamics is concerned with only the initial and final states of a reaction, and not the pathway required to get from reactants to products. It is the kinetics of a reaction that concentrates on the pathway and speed of a reaction. For these plots, the reaction with the smallest activation energy will be fastest. The activation energy is the energy reactants must have in order to overcome the energy barrier to convert to products. So the fastest reaction is reaction 5 with the smallest activation. Reactions 1,2 , and 4 all should have the same speed because they have the same activation energy. (This assumes all other kinetic factors are the same.) Reaction 3 will be the slowest since it has the largest activation energy.
b. If the products have lower energy than the reactants, then the reaction is exothermic. Reaction 1, 2, 3, and 5 are exothermic. If the products have higher energy than the reactants, then the reaction is endothermic. Only reaction 4 is endothermic. Note that it is the thermodynamics of a reaction that dictates whether a reaction is exothermic or endothermic. The kinetics plays no factor in these designations.
c. The thermodynamics of reaction determine potential energy change. In an exothermic reaction, some of the potential energy stored in chemical bonds is converted to thermal energy (heat is released as the potential energy decreases). The exothermic reaction with the greatest loss of potential energy is the reaction with the largest energy difference ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ) between reactants and products. Reactions 2 and 5 both have the same largest $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ values, so reactions 2 and 5 have the greatest change in potential energy. Reactions 1 and 3 both have the same smallest $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ values, so reactions 1 and 3 have the smallest change in potential energy.
17. $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=-\Delta \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}$; heat flow $(\Delta \mathrm{H})$ into or out of the system dictates $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}$. If heat flows into the surroundings, the random motions of the surroundings increase, and the entropy of the surroundings increases. The opposite is true when heat flows from the surroundings into the system (an endothermic reaction). Although the driving force described here really results from the change in entropy of the surroundings, it is often described in terms of energy. Nature tends to seek the lowest possible energy.
18. When solid NaCl dissolves, the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions are randomly dispersed in water. The ions have access to a larger volume and a larger number of possible positions. Hence positional disorder has increased and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is positive.

For a salt dissolving in water, $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is usually a value close to zero (see Section 11.2 of the text). Sometimes $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is positive and sometimes $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is negative for a salt dissolving in water, but the magnitude of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is small. So the only prediction that can be made is that $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for this process will be close to zero.
19. Note that these substances are not in the solid state but are in the aqueous state; water molecules are also present. There is an apparent increase in ordering when these ions are placed in water as compared to the separated state. The hydrating water molecules must be in a highly ordered arrangement when surrounding these anions.
20. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} ; \mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ reaction; the value of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for HF is less than one, while the other hydrogen halide acids have $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}>1$. In terms of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$, HF must have a positive $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rxn}}^{\mathrm{o}}$ value, while the other HX acids have $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{rxn}}<0$. The reason for the sign change in the $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value, between HF versus $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{HBr}$, and HI is entropy. $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ for the dissociation of HF is very large and negative. There is a high degree of ordering that occurs as the water molecules associate (hydrogen bond) with the small $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions. The entropy of hydration strongly opposes HF dissociating in water, so much so that it overwhelms the favorable hydration energy making HF a weak acid.
21. One can determine $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ for the reaction using the standard entropies and standard enthalpies of formation in Appendix 4 ; then use the equation $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$. One can also use the standard free energies of formation in Appendix 4. And finally, one can use Hess's law to calculate $\Delta G^{\circ}$. Here, reactions having known $\Delta G^{\circ}$ values are manipulated to determine $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ for a different reaction.

For temperatures other than $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is estimated using the $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ equation. The assumptions made are that the $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ values determined from Appendix 4 data are temperature-independent. We use the same $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ values as determined when $\mathrm{T}=$
$25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then we plug in the new temperature in Kelvin into the equation to estimate $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ at the new temperature.
22. The sign of $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ tells us if a reaction is spontaneous or not at whatever concentrations are present (at constant T and P ). The magnitude of $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ equals $\mathrm{w}_{\max }$. When $\Delta \mathrm{G}<0$, the magnitude tells us how much work, in theory, could be harnessed from the reaction. When $\Delta \mathrm{G}>0$, the magnitude tells us the minimum amount of work that must be supplied to make the reaction occur. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ gives us the same information only when the concentrations for all reactants and products are at standard conditions (1 atm for gases, $1 M$ for solute). These conditions rarely occur.
$\Delta G^{\circ}=-R T \ln K$; from this equation, one can calculate $K$ for a reaction if $\Delta G^{\circ}$ is known at that temperature. Therefore, $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ gives the equilibrium position for a reaction. To determine K at a temperature other than $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, one needs to know $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ at that temperature. We assume $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are temperature-independent and use the equation $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ to estimate $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ at the different temperature. For $\mathrm{K}=1$, we want $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$, which occurs when $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$. Again, assume $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are temperature-independent; then solve for T $\left(=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} / \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}\right)$. At this temperature, $\mathrm{K}=1$ because $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$. This only works for reactions where the signs of $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are the same (either both positive or both negative). When the signs are opposite, K will always be greater than 1 (when $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ is negative and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is positive) or K will always be less than 1 (when $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ is positive and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is negative). When the signs of $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are opposite, K can never equal 1.
23. The light source for the first reaction is necessary for kinetic reasons. The first reaction is just too slow to occur unless a light source is available. The kinetics of a reaction are independent of the thermodynamics of a reaction. Even though the first reaction is more favorable thermodynamically (assuming standard conditions), it is unfavorable for kinetic reasons. The second reaction has a negative $\Delta G^{\circ}$ value and is a fast reaction, so the second reaction which occurs very quickly is favored both kinetically and thermodynamically. When considering if a reaction will occur, thermodynamics and kinetics must both be considered.
24. Using Le Chatelier's principle, a decrease in pressure (volume increases) will favor the side with the greater number of particles. Thus $2 \mathrm{I}(\mathrm{g})$ will be favored at low pressure.

Looking at $\Delta \mathrm{G}: \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}^{2} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}_{2}}\right) ; \quad \ln \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}^{2} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}_{2}}\right)>0$ when $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{I}_{2}}=10$ atm and $\Delta G$ is positive (not spontaneous). But at $P_{I}=P_{I_{2}}=0.10$ atm, the logarithm term is negative. If $|R T \ln \mathrm{Q}|>\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$, then $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ becomes negative, and the reaction is spontaneous.

## Exercises

## Spontaneity, Entropy, and the Second Law of Thermodynamics: Free Energy

25. a, b, and c; from our own experiences, salt water, colored water, and rust form without any outside intervention. It takes an outside energy source to clean a bedroom, so this process is not spontaneous.
26. c and d; it takes an outside energy source to build a house and to launch and keep a satellite in orbit, so these processes are not spontaneous.
27. Possible arrangements for one molecule:


Both are equally probable.
Possible arrangements for two molecules:


1 way


2 ways, most probable


1 way

Possible arrangement for three molecules:


1 way


3 ways


3 ways


1 way
28. a. The most likely arrangement would be 3 molecules in each flask. There are 20 different ways (microstates) to achieve 3 gas molecules in each flask. Let the letters A-F represent the six molecules. The different ways to have groups of three are: ABC, ABD, ABE, ABF, ACD, ACE, ACF, ADE, ADF, AEF, BCD, BCE, BCF, BDE, BDF, BEF, CDE, CDF, CEF, and DEF.
b. With six molecules and two bulbs, there are $2^{6}=64$ possible arrangements. Because there are 20 ways to achieve 3 gas molecules in each bulb, the probability expressed as a percent of this arrangement is:

$$
\frac{20}{64} \times 100=31.25 \%
$$

29. We draw all the possible arrangements of the two particles in the three levels.

| 2 kJ | - | $\bar{x}$ | $\underline{\underline{x}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{xx}}$ | $\underline{\underline{x}}$ | $\underline{\underline{x}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 kJ | $\overline{\mathrm{xx}}$ | $\underline{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\underline{-}$ | $\underline{-}$ | - |
| 0 kJ | $\underline{\mathrm{xx}}$ | $\underline{-}$ | $\underline{2 k J}$ | - | 3 kJ | 4 kJ |

The most likely total energy is 2 kJ .
30. $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}2 \mathrm{~kJ} & - & \overline{\mathrm{AB}} & \underline{\mathrm{B}} & \overline{\mathrm{B}} & \overline{\mathrm{A}} & \underline{\mathrm{B}} & \underline{\mathrm{A}} & \underline{\mathrm{B}} & \underline{\mathrm{A}} \\ 1 \mathrm{~kJ} & \overline{\mathrm{AB}} & \underline{\mathrm{B}} & - & \overline{\mathrm{A}} & \underline{\mathrm{B}} & \overline{\mathrm{A}} & \overline{\mathrm{B}} & \underline{\mathrm{B}} & \underline{\underline{B}} \\ 0 \mathrm{~kJ} & \overline{\mathrm{AB}} & - & - & \underline{2 k J} & & \\ \mathrm{E}_{\text {total }}= & 0 \mathrm{~kJ} & 2 \mathrm{~kJ} & 4 \mathrm{~kJ} & 1 \mathrm{~kJ} & 1 \mathrm{~kJ} & 2 \mathrm{~kJ} & 2 \mathrm{~kJ} & 3 \mathrm{~kJ} & 3 \mathrm{~kJ}\end{array}$

The most likely total energy is 2 kJ .
31. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.5 atm; higher temperature and lower pressure means greater volume and hence larger positional probability.
b. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{2} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}$ at STP has the greater volume because P is smaller and T is larger.
c. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ has a larger positional probability than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$.
32. Of the three phases (solid, liquid, and gas), solids are most ordered (have the smallest positional probability) and gases are most disordered (have the largest positional probability). Thus a , b, and f (melting, sublimation, and boiling) involve an increase in the entropy of the system since going from a solid to a liquid or from a solid to a gas or from a liquid to a gas increases disorder (increases positional probability). For freezing (process c), a substance goes from the more disordered liquid state to the more ordered solid state; hence, entropy decreases. Process $d$ (mixing) involves an increase in disorder (an increase in positional probability), while separation (phase e) increases order (decreases positional probability). So, of all the processes, $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}$, and f result in an increase in the entropy of the system.
33. a. Boiling a liquid requires heat. Hence this is an endothermic process. All endothermic processes decrease the entropy of the surroundings ( $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {sur }}$ is negative).
b. This is an exothermic process. Heat is released when gas molecules slow down enough to form the solid. In exothermic processes, the entropy of the surroundings increases ( $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}$ is positive).
34. a. $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{-(-2221 \mathrm{~kJ})}{298 \mathrm{~K}}=7.45 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}=7.45 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{-112 \mathrm{~kJ}}{298 \mathrm{~K}}=-0.376 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}=-376 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
35. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$; when $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is negative, then the process will be spontaneous.
a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}=25 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}-(300 . \mathrm{K})(5.0 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})=24,000 \mathrm{~J}$; not spontaneous
b. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=25,000 \mathrm{~J}-(300 . \mathrm{K})(100 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K})=-5000 \mathrm{~J}$; spontaneous
c. Without calculating $\Delta \mathrm{G}$, we know this reaction will be spontaneous at all temperatures. $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is negative and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is positive $(-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}<0) . \Delta \mathrm{G}$ will always be less than zero with these sign combinations for $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$.
d. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=-1.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}-(200 . \mathrm{K})(-40 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K})=-2000 \mathrm{~J}$; spontaneous
36. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$; a process is spontaneous when $\Delta \mathrm{G}<0$. For the following, assume $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ are temperature-independent.
a. When $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ are both negative, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ will be negative below a certain temperature where the favorable $\Delta H$ term dominates. When $\Delta G=0$, then $\Delta H=T \Delta S$. Solving for this temperature:

$$
\mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\Delta \mathrm{~S}}=\frac{-18,000 \mathrm{~J}}{-60 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}}=3.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~K}
$$

At $\mathrm{T}<3.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~K}$, this process will be spontaneous $(\Delta \mathrm{G}<0)$.
b. When $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ are both positive, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ will be negative above a certain temperature where the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ term dominates.

$$
\mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\Delta \mathrm{~S}}=\frac{18,000 \mathrm{~J}}{60 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}}=3.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~K}
$$

At $\mathrm{T}>3.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~K}$, this process will be spontaneous $(\Delta \mathrm{G}<0)$.
c. When $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is positive and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is negative, this process can never be spontaneous at any temperature because $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ can never be negative.
d. When $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is negative and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is positive, this process is spontaneous at all temperatures because $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ will always be negative.
37. At the boiling point, $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$, so $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{S}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~T}}=\frac{27.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}}{(273+35) \mathrm{K}}=8.93 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}=89.3 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
$$

38. At the boiling point, $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$, so $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$. $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}}=\frac{58.51 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{92.92 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}=629.7 \mathrm{~K}$
39. a. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}(1) ; \Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}=5650 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}-200 . \mathrm{K}(28.9 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=5650 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}-5780 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=-130 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Yes, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ will melt because $\Delta \mathrm{G}<0$ at this temperature.
b. At the melting point, $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$, so $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}}=\frac{5650 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{28.9 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}=196 \mathrm{~K}$.
40. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})$; at the boiling point, $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}=0$. For the vaporization process, $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is a positive value, whereas $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is a negative value. To calculate $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {sys }}$, we will determine $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}$ from $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and the temperature; then $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {sys }}=-\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}$ for a system at equilibrium.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{~T}}=\frac{38.7 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{351 \mathrm{~K}}=-110 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~S}_{\text {sys }}=-\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=-(-110 .)=110 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Chemical Reactions: Entropy Changes and Free Energy

41. a. Decrease in positional probability; $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be negative. There is only one way to achieve 12 gas molecules all in one bulb, but there are many more ways to achieve the gas molecules equally distributed in each flask.
b. Decrease in positional probability; $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is negative for the liquid to solid phase change.
c. Decrease in positional probability; $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is negative because the moles of gas decreased when going from reactants to products ( 3 moles $\rightarrow 0$ moles). Changes in the moles of gas present as reactants are converted to products dictates predicting positional probability. The gaseous phase always has the larger positional probability associated with it.
d. Increase in positional probability; $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is positive for the liquid to gas phase change. The gas phase always has the larger positional probability.
42. a. Decrease in positional probability $(\Delta \mathrm{n}<0) ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}(-)$
b. Decrease in positional probability $(\Delta \mathrm{n}<0)$; $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}(-)$
c. Increase in positional probability; $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}(+)$
d. Increase in positional probability; $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}(+)$
43. a. $\mathrm{C}_{\text {graphite }}(\mathrm{s})$; diamond has a more ordered structure (has a smaller positional probability) than graphite.
b. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})$; the gaseous state is more disordered (has a larger positional probability) than the liquid state.
c. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$; the gaseous state is more disordered (has a larger positional probability) than the solid state.
44. a. $\mathrm{He}(10 \mathrm{~K}) ; \mathrm{S}=0$ at 0 K
b. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; more complicated molecule, so has the larger positional probability.
c. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{l})$; the liquid state is more disordered (has a larger positional probability) than the solid state.
45. a. $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~S}_{\text {rhombic }}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$; because there are more molecules of reactant gases than product molecules of gas $(\Delta \mathrm{n}=2-3<0), \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be negative.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~S}_{\text {products }}^{0}-\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~S}_{\text {reactants }}^{\circ} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\left[3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~S} \mathrm{rhombic}(\mathrm{~s})(32 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})+2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g})(189 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})\right] \\
& \left.-\left[2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)(206 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})(248 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})\right] \\
& \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=474 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}-660 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}=-186 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$; because $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ of gases is positive $(\Delta \mathrm{n}=3-2), \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be positive.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{S}=2 \mathrm{~mol}(248 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol}(205 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})-[2 \mathrm{~mol}(257 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})]=187 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
$$

c. $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$; because $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ of gases $=0(\Delta \mathrm{n}=3-3)$, we can't easily predict if $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be positive or negative.
$\Delta \mathrm{S}=2 \mathrm{~mol}(27 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})+3 \mathrm{~mol}(189 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})-$

$$
[1 \mathrm{~mol}(90 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})+3 \mathrm{~mol}(141 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})]=138 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
$$

46. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$; since $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ of gases is negative, $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be negative.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})(70 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})-
$$

$[1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} 2(\mathrm{~g})(131 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})+1 / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} 2(\mathrm{~g})(205 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})]$
$\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=70 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}-234 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}=-164 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
b. $2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$; because $\Delta \mathrm{n}$ of gases is positive, $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be positive.
$[2 \mathrm{~mol}(214 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})+4 \mathrm{~mol}(189 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})]-$

$$
[2 \mathrm{~mol}(240 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})+3 \mathrm{~mol}(205 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})]=89 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$; the gaseous state dominates predictions of $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$. Here, the gaseous state is more disordered than the ions in solution, so $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be negative.
$\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}^{+}(0)+1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(57 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})-1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{HCl}(187 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})=-130 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}$
47.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{~F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CF}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{CF}_{4}}^{\circ}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}^{\circ}-\left[\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}}^{0}+4 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{F}_{2}}^{0}\right] \\
& -358 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}=(2 \mathrm{~mol}) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{CF}_{4}}^{0}+131 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}-[201 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}+4(203 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})], \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{CF}_{4}}^{0}=262 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

48. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CS}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}^{0}+2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}-\left[3 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}^{0}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right] \\
& -143 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}=214 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}+2(248 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})-3(205 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})-(1 \mathrm{~mol}) \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{CS}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}=238 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

49. a. $\quad \mathrm{S}_{\text {rhombic }} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{\text {monoclinic }}$; this phase transition is spontaneous $(\Delta \mathrm{G}<0)$ at temperatures above $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$; for $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ to be negative only above a certain temperature, then $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is positive and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is positive (see Table 17.5 of text).
b. Because $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is positive, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {rhombic }}$ is the more ordered crystalline structure (has the smaller positional probability).
50. $\quad \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}, \alpha) \rightarrow \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}, \beta)$
a. At $\mathrm{T}<-76.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, this reaction is spontaneous, and the sign of $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is $(-)$. At $-76.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \Delta \mathrm{G}$ $=0$, and above $-76.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the sign of $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is $(+)$. This is consistent with $\Delta \mathrm{H}(-)$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}(-)$.
b. Because the sign of $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is negative, the $\beta$ form has the more ordered structure (has the smaller positional probability).
51. a. When a bond is formed, energy is released, so $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is negative. There are more reactant molecules of gas than product molecules of gas ( $\Delta \mathrm{n}<0$ ), so $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ will be negative.
b. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$; for this reaction to be spontaneous ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}<0$ ), the favorable enthalpy term must dominate. The reaction will be spontaneous at low temperatures (at a temperature below some number), where the $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ term dominates.
52. Because there are more product gas molecules than reactant gas molecules ( $\Delta \mathrm{n}>0$ ), $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ will be positive. From the signs of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$, this reaction is spontaneous at all temperatures. It will cost money to heat the reaction mixture. Because there is no thermodynamic reason to do this, the purpose of the elevated temperature must be to increase the rate of the reaction, that is, kinetic reasons.
53. a.

|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{o}}$ | $-75 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ | 0 | -393.5 | -242 |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{o}}$ | $-51 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ | 0 | -394 | -229 | Data from Appendix 4 |
| $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ | $186 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}$ | 205 | 214 | 189 |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}= \sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { products }}^{\circ}-\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { reactants }}^{0} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~S}_{\text {products }}^{\circ}-\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~S}_{\text {reactants }}^{\circ} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2 \operatorname{mol}(-242 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+1 \operatorname{mol}(-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-[1 \operatorname{mol}(-75 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})]=-803 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=2 \mathrm{~mol}(189 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})+ 1 \mathrm{~mol}(214 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}) \\
&-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(186 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})+2 \mathrm{~mol}(205 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})]=-4 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two ways to get $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$. We can use $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ (be careful of units):

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=-803 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-4 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})=-8.018 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-802 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

or we can use $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{o}}$ values, where $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { products }}^{0}-\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { reactants }}^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2 \mathrm{~mol}(-229 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol}(-394 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(-51 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})] \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-801 \mathrm{~kJ} \quad \text { (Answers are the same within round off error.) }
\end{aligned}
$$

b.

|  | $6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | + | $6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-1275-[6-286)+6(-393.5)\right]=2802 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=6(205)+212-[6(214)+6(70 .)]=-262 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2802 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-0.262 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=2880 . \mathrm{kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

c.

|  | $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | $\rightarrow$ | $4 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -2984 | -286 | -1279 |
| $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ <br> $(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})$ | 229 | 70. | 110. |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=4 \mathrm{~mol}(-1279 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(-2984 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+6 \mathrm{~mol}(-286 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})]=-416 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=4(110 .)-[229+6(70 .)]=-209 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=-416 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-0.209 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-354 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

d.

|  | $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$ | + | $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightarrow$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{s})$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathrm{o}}$ <br> $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -92 | -46 | -314 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}^{\circ}(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})$ | 187 | 193 | 96 |  |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-314-[-92-46]=-176 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=96-[187+193]=-284 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=-176 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-0.284 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-91 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

54. a. $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(-46 \mathrm{~kJ})=-92 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=2(193 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})-[3(131 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})+192 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}]=-199 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=-92 \mathrm{~kJ}-298 \mathrm{~K}(-0.199 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-33 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

b. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is negative, so this reaction is spontaneous at standard conditions.
c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$ when $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}}{\Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}}=\frac{-92 \mathrm{~kJ}}{-0.199 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}}=460 \mathrm{~K}$

At $\mathrm{T}<460 \mathrm{~K}$ and standard pressures ( 1 atm ), the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ term dominates, and the reaction is spontaneous ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}<0$ ).
55. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-58.03 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-0.1766 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-5.40 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}, \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}}{\Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}}=\frac{-58.03 \mathrm{~kJ}}{-0.1766 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}}=328.6 \mathrm{~K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is negative below 328.6 K , where the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ term dominates.
56. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) ; \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$ at the boiling point of water at 1 atm and $100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}, \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{40.6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{373 \mathrm{~K}}=109 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}$
At $90 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=40.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}-(363 \mathrm{~K})(0.109 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})=1.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
As expected, $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}>0$ at temperatures below the boiling point of water at 1 atm (process is nonspontaneous).

At $110 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=40.6 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}-(383 \mathrm{~K})(0.109 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})=-1.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
When $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}<0$, the boiling of water is spontaneous at 1 atm , and $\mathrm{T}>100 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (as expected).
57.

| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-(-51 \mathrm{~kJ})$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | $\left.\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-474 \mathrm{~kJ}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-394 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-817 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |

58. 

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
6 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=6(-394 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=3(-237 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})+15 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-1 / 2(-6399 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline 6 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l}) & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=125 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

59. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { products }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { reactants }}^{\mathrm{o}},-374 \mathrm{~kJ}=-1105 \mathrm{~kJ}-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{SF}}^{\mathrm{4}} \mathrm{o}$ $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{SE} 4}^{\mathrm{o}}=-731 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
60. $\quad-5490 . \mathrm{kJ}=8(-394 \mathrm{~kJ})+10(-237 \mathrm{~kJ})-2 \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}}^{\mathrm{o}}, \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10}}^{\mathrm{o}}=-16 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
61. a. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2 \operatorname{mol}(0)+3 \mathrm{~mol}(-229 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(-740 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+3 \mathrm{~mol}(0)]=53 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b. Because $\Delta G^{\circ}$ is positive, this reaction is not spontaneous at standard conditions and 298 K.
c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}, \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{~T}}=\frac{100 . \mathrm{kJ}-53 \mathrm{~kJ}}{298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.16 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}$

We need to solve for the temperature when $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$ :

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}, \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}, \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}=\frac{100 . \mathrm{kJ}}{0.16 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}}=630 \mathrm{~K}
$$

This reaction will be spontaneous $(\Delta \mathrm{G}<0)$ at $\mathrm{T}>630 \mathrm{~K}$, where the favorable entropy term will dominate.
62. a. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2(-270 . \mathrm{kJ})-2(-502 \mathrm{~kJ})=464 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b. Because $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is positive, this reaction is not spontaneous at standard conditions at 298 K.
c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}, \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=464 \mathrm{~kJ}+298 \mathrm{~K}(0.179 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=517 \mathrm{~kJ}$

We need to solve for the temperature when $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$ :

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}, \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}=\frac{517 \mathrm{~kJ}}{0.179 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}}=2890 \mathrm{~K}
$$

This reaction will be spontaneous at standard conditions $\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}<0\right)$ when $\mathrm{T}>2890 \mathrm{~K}$. Here the favorable entropy term will dominate.
63. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{l})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-484-[-75+(-393.5)]=-16 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=160 .-(186+214)=-240 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=-16 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-0.240 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=56 \mathrm{~kJ}$
At standard concentrations, this reaction is spontaneous only at temperatures below $\mathrm{T}=$ $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} / \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=67 \mathrm{~K}$ (where the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ term will dominate, giving a negative $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ value). This is not practical. Substances will be in condensed phases and rates will be very slow at this extremely low temperature.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{l})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-484-[-110.5+(-201)]=-173 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=160 .-(198+240)=.-278 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-173 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-0.278 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-90 . \mathrm{kJ}$
This reaction also has a favorable enthalpy and an unfavorable entropy term. But this reaction, at standard concentrations, is spontaneous at temperatures below $\mathrm{T}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} / \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=$ 622 K (a much higher temperature than the first reaction). So the reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and CO will be preferred at standard concentrations. It is spontaneous at high enough temperatures that the rates of reaction should be reasonable.
64. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-278-(52-242)=-88 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=161-(219+189)=-247 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
When $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0, \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$, so $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}=\frac{-88 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}}{-247 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}}=360 \mathrm{~K}$.
Since the signs of $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are both negative, this reaction at standard concentrations will be spontaneous at temperatures below 360 K (where the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ term will dominate).
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-278-(-84.7-242)=49 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=131+161-(229.5+189)=-127 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
Both $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ have unfavorable signs. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ can never be negative when $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ is positive and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is negative. So this reaction can never be spontaneous at standard conditions.

Thus the reaction $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})$ would be preferred at standard conditions.

## Free Energy: Pressure Dependence and Equilibrium

65. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{Q}$; for this reaction: $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{3}}}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=1 \mathrm{~mol}(52 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol}(0)-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(87 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol}(163 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})]=-198 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}=-198 \mathrm{~kJ}+\frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}{1000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kJ}}(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln \frac{\left(1.00 \times 10^{-7}\right)\left(1.00 \times 10^{-3}\right)}{\left(1.00 \times 10^{-6}\right)\left(1.00 \times 10^{-6}\right)}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}=-198 \mathrm{~kJ}+9.69 \mathrm{~kJ}=-188 \mathrm{~kJ}$
66. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=3(0)+2(-229)-[2(-34)+1(-300)]=.-90 . \mathrm{kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}}=-90 . \mathrm{kJ}+\frac{(8.3145)(298)}{1000} \mathrm{~kJ}\left[\ln \frac{(0.030)^{2}}{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)(0.010)}\right]$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}=-90 . \mathrm{kJ}+39.7 \mathrm{~kJ}=-50 . \mathrm{kJ}$
67. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{Q}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{2}}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=1 \mathrm{~mol}(98 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-2 \mathrm{~mol}(52 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})=-6 \mathrm{~kJ}$
a. These are standard conditions, so $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ because $\mathrm{Q}=1$ and $\ln \mathrm{Q}=0$. Because $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is negative, the forward reaction is spontaneous. The reaction shifts right to reach equilibrium.
b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=-6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}+8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln \frac{0.50}{(0.21)^{2}}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=-6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}+6.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=0
$$

Because $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$, this reaction is at equilibrium (no shift).
c. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=-6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}+8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln \frac{1.6}{(0.29)^{2}}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=-6 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}+7.3 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1.3 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}
$$

Because $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is positive, the reverse reaction is spontaneous, and the reaction shifts to the left to reach equilibrium.
68. a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}^{2}} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2 \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}}^{\mathrm{o}}=2(-17)=-34 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=-34 \mathrm{~kJ}+\frac{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}{1000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kJ}} \ln \frac{(50 .)^{2}}{(200 .)(200 .)^{3}}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=-34 \mathrm{~kJ}-33 \mathrm{~kJ}=-67 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=-34 \mathrm{~kJ}+\frac{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}{1000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kJ}} \ln \frac{(200 .)^{2}}{(200 .)(600 .)^{3}}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=-34 \mathrm{~kJ}-34.4 \mathrm{~kJ}=-68 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

69. $\quad \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Sigma \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { products }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\Sigma \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { reactants }}^{\mathrm{o}}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=1 \mathrm{~mol}(52 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(87 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol}(163 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})]=-198 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}=\exp \frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\exp \left[\frac{-\left(-1.98 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}\right)}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K})}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{79.912}=5.07 \times 10^{34}$
Note: When determining exponents, we will round off after the calculation is complete. This helps eliminate excessive round off error.
70. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2 \mathrm{~mol}(-229 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-[2 \mathrm{~mol}(-34 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol}(-300 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})]=-90 . \mathrm{kJ}$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\exp \frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\exp \left[\frac{-\left(-9.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}\right)}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K})}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{36.32}=5.9 \times 10^{15}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$; because there is a decrease in the number of moles of gaseous particles, $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is negative. Because $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is negative, $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ must be negative. The reaction will be spontaneous at low temperatures (the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ term dominates at low temperatures).
71. At $25.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=-58.03 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}-(298.2 \mathrm{~K})(-176.6 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})$

$$
=-5.37 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\exp \left[\frac{-\left(-5.37 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}\right)}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298.2 \mathrm{~K})}\right]=2.166$
$K=\mathrm{e}^{2.166}=8.72$
At $100.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-58.03 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}-(373.2 \mathrm{~K})(-176.6 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})=7.88 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\left(7.88 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}\right)}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(373.2 \mathrm{~K})}=-2.540, \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{e}^{-2.540}=0.0789$
Note: When determining exponents, we will round off after the calculation is complete. This helps eliminate excessive round off error.
72.
a. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=3(191.2)-78.2=495.4 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=3(241.3)-132.8=591.1 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{~T}}=\frac{591.1 \mathrm{~kJ}-495.4 \mathrm{~kJ}}{298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.321 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}=321 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
$$

b. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-495,400 \mathrm{~J}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K})}=-199.942$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{e}^{-199.942}=1.47 \times 10^{-87}
$$

c. Assuming $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are temperature-independent:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{G}_{3000}^{0}=591.1 \mathrm{~kJ}-3000 . \mathrm{K}(0.321 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-372 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \ln \mathrm{~K}=\frac{-(-372,000 \mathrm{~J})}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(3000 . \mathrm{K})}=14.914, \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{e}^{14.914}=3.00 \times 10^{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

73. When reactions are added together, the equilibrium constants are multiplied together to determine the K value for the final reaction.

| $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{K}=2.3 \times 10^{6}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ | $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{K}=\left(1.8 \times 10^{37}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{K}=2.3 \times 10^{6}\left(1.8 \times 10^{37}\right)^{-1 / 2}=5.4 \times 10^{-13}$ |
| $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}}(600 . \mathrm{K}) \ln \left(5.4 \times 10^{-13}\right)=1.4 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=140 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |  |

74. a.

|  | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}(\mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}(\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ | -46 | 193 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 0 | 205 |
| $\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$ | 90. | 211 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ | -242 | 189 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | 34 | 240. |
| $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{l})$ | -174 | 156 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | -286 | 70. |
| $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=6(-242)+4(90)-.[4(-46)]=-908 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=4(211)+6(189)-[4(193)+5(205)]=181 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$ |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-908 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(0.181 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-962 \mathrm{~kJ}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\left[\frac{-\left(-962 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right)}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}\right]=388$ |  |  |

$\ln \mathrm{K}=2.303 \log \mathrm{~K}, \log \mathrm{~K}=168, \mathrm{~K}=10^{168}$ (an extremely large number)
$2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(34)-[2(90)]=.-112 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=2(240)-.[2(211)+(205)]=-147 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-112 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-0.147 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-68 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{K}=\exp \frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\exp \left[\frac{-(-68,000 \mathrm{~J})}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K})}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{27.44}=8.3 \times 10^{11}$
Note: When determining exponents, we will round off after the calculation is complete.
$3 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})$
$\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(-174)+(90)-.[3(34)+(-286)]=-74 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=2(156)+(211)-[3(240)+.(70)]=.-267 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-74 \mathrm{~kJ}-(298 \mathrm{~K})(-0.267 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=6 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\mathrm{K}=\exp \frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\exp \left[\frac{-6000 \mathrm{~J}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K})}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{-2.4}=9 \times 10^{-2}$
b. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{T}=825^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=(825+273) \mathrm{K}=1098 \mathrm{~K}$; we must determine $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ at 1098 K .

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{1098}^{\mathrm{o}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=-908 \mathrm{~kJ}-(1098 \mathrm{~K})(0.181 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-1107 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$$
K=\exp \frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{R T}=\exp \left[\frac{-\left(-1.107 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~J}\right)}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}(1098 \mathrm{~K})}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{121.258}=4.589 \times 10^{52}
$$

c. There is no thermodynamic reason for the elevated temperature because $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ is negative and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is positive. Thus the purpose for the high temperature must be to increase the rate of the reaction.
75. a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}=\exp \left[\frac{-(-30,500 \mathrm{~J})}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}\right]=2.22 \times 10^{5}$
b. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=6 \mathrm{~mol}(-394 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+6 \mathrm{~mol}(-237 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})-1 \mathrm{~mol}(-911 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})=-2875 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \frac{2875 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\text { mol glucose }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { ATP }}{30.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}=94.3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{ATP} ; 94.3 \text { molecules ATP/molecule glucose }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is an overstatement. The assumption that all the free energy goes into this reaction is false. Actually, only 38 moles of ATP are produced by metabolism of 1 mole of glucose.
76. a. $\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-14,000 \mathrm{~J}}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}=-5.65, \quad \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{e}^{-5.65}=3.5 \times 10^{-3}$
b. Glutamic acid $+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow$ Glutamine $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=14 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\mathrm{ATP}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{ADP}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-} \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-30.5 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$$
\text { Glutamic acid }+\mathrm{ATP}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightarrow \text { Glutamine }+\mathrm{ADP}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}^{-} \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=14-30.5=-17 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$$
\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-(-17,000 \mathrm{~J})}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}(298 \mathrm{~K})}=6.86, \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{e}^{6.86}=9.5 \times 10^{2}
$$

77. $\mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NF}_{3}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{F}_{2}}^{3}}=\frac{(0.48)^{2}}{0.021(0.063)^{3}}=4.4 \times 10^{4}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{800}^{0}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{~K}=-8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}(800 . \mathrm{K}) \ln \left(4.4 \times 10^{4}\right)=-7.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=
$$

78. $2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2(-371 \mathrm{~kJ})-[2(-300 . \mathrm{kJ})]=-142 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-(-142.000 \mathrm{~J})}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K})}=57.311$
$K=e^{57.311}=7.76 \times 10^{24}$
$\mathrm{K}=7.76 \times 10^{24}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{3}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}^{2} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{2}}}=\frac{(2.0)^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}^{2} \times(0.50)}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}=1.0 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~atm}$

From the negative value of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$, this reaction is spontaneous at standard conditions. There are more molecules of reactant gases than product gases, so $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be negative (unfavorable). Therefore, this reaction must be exothermic ( $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}<0$ ). When $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are both negative, the reaction will be spontaneous at relatively low temperatures where the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ term dominates.
79. The equation $\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}}\right)+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{0}}{\mathrm{R}}$ is in the form of a straight line equation $(y=m x+b)$. A graph of $\ln \mathrm{K}$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$ will yield a straight line with slope $=m=-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}$ and a $y$ intercept $=b=\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}$.

From the plot:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { slope }=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{y}}{\Delta \mathrm{x}}=\frac{0-40 .}{3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~K}^{-1}-0}=-1.3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K} \\
& -1.3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}=-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}, \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=1.3 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K} \times 8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}=1.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& y \text { intercept }=40 .=\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=40 . \times 8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}=330 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

As seen here, when $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ is positive, the slope of the $\ln \mathrm{K}$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$ plot is negative. When $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ is negative as in an exothermic process, then the slope of the $\ln \mathrm{K}$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$ plot will be positive (slope $=-\Delta H^{\circ} / R$ ).
80. The $\ln \mathrm{K}$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$ plot gives a straight line with slope $=-\Delta H^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}$ and $y$ intercept $=\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}$.
$1.352 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}=-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}, \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})\left(1.352 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~K}\right)$
$\Delta H^{\circ}=-1.124 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=-112.4 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$-14.51=\Delta S^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}, \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=(-14.51)(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})=-120.6 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}$
Note that the signs for $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ make sense. When a bond forms, $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}<0$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}<0$.

## Additional Exercises

81. From Appendix $4, \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=198 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}=27 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})$.

Let $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\circ}=\mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mathrm{l})$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{g}}^{0}=\mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mathrm{~g})$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=-677 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}=1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{l}}^{\circ}\right)-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(27 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})+5 \mathrm{~mol}(198 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}] \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\circ}=340 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=107 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}=1 \mathrm{~mol}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{o}}\right)-1 \mathrm{~mol}(340 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}) \\
& \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{S}^{\circ} \text { for } \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}(\mathrm{~g})=447 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

82. When an ionic solid dissolves, one would expect the disorder of the system to increase, so $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {sys }}$ is positive. Because temperature increased as the solid dissolved, this is an exothermic process, and $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}$ is positive $\left(\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=-\Delta \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}\right)$. Because the solid did dissolve, the dissolving process is spontaneous, so $\Delta S_{\text {univ }}$ is positive.
83. $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ will be negative because 2 mol of gaseous reactants form 1 mol of gaseous product. For $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ to be negative, $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ must be negative (exothermic). For exothermic reactions, K decreases as T increases, resulting in a smaller quantity of product and a larger quantity of reactants present at equilibrium. Therefore, the ratio of the partial pressure of $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$ (a product) to the partial pressure of $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ (a reactant) will decrease when T is raised.
84. At boiling point, $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$ so $\Delta \mathrm{S}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {vap }}}{\mathrm{T}}$; for methane: $\Delta \mathrm{S}=\frac{8.20 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{112 \mathrm{~K}}$ $=73.2 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K}$
For hexane: $\Delta \mathrm{S}=\frac{28.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{342 \mathrm{~K}}=84.5 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{K} . \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{met}}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {met }}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~mol}(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(112 \mathrm{~K})}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=9.19 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{V}_{\text {hex }}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{R}(342 \mathrm{~K})=28.1 \mathrm{~L}$
Hexane has the larger molar volume at the boiling point, so hexane should have the larger entropy. As the volume of a gas increases, positional disorder increases.
85. solid I $\rightarrow$ solid II; equilibrium occurs when $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$.
$\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}, \Delta \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}=\Delta \mathrm{H} / \Delta \mathrm{S}=\frac{-743.1 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{-17.0 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}=43.7 \mathrm{~K}=-229.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
86. a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}=-(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln 0.090=6.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=6.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl}$

On each side of the reaction there are $2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds and $2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds. Both sides have the same number and type of bonds. Thus $\Delta \mathrm{H} \approx \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \approx 0$.
c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}, \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{0-6.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}}{298 \mathrm{~K}}=-20 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}$
d. For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}), \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}=-242 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}=189 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=0=2 \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{HoCl}}^{\mathrm{o}}-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(-242 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol}(80.3 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{mol})], \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Hocl}}^{0} \\
&=-81 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

$-20 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}=2 \mathrm{~S}_{\text {носі }}^{\mathrm{o}}-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(189 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})+1 \mathrm{~mol}(266.1 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})], \mathrm{S}_{\text {НосІ }}^{0}=218 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}$
e. Assuming $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are T-independent: $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{500}^{\mathrm{o}}=0-(500 . \mathrm{K})(-20 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K})=1.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~K}=\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right)=\exp \left[\frac{-1.0 \times 10^{4}}{(8.3145)(500 .)}\right]=\mathrm{e}^{-2.41}=0.090
$$

f. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HOCl}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}$; from part a, $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=6.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$.

We should express all partial pressures in atm. However, we perform the pressure conversion the same number of times in the numerator and denominator, so the factors of 760 torr/atm will all cancel. Thus we can use the pressures in units of torr.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=\frac{6.0 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}+(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}{1000 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{kJ}} \ln \left[\frac{(0.10)^{2}}{(18)(2.0)}\right]=6.0-20 .=-14 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

87. $\quad \mathrm{Ba}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-561+2(-109)-(-797)=18 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}, \ln \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-18,000 \mathrm{~J}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K})}=-7.26$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\mathrm{e}^{-7.26}=7.0 \times 10^{-4}$
88. $\quad \mathrm{K}^{+}($blood $) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{K}^{+}($muscle $) \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0 ; \Delta \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{RT} \ln \left(\frac{\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{m}}}{\left[\mathrm{K}^{+}\right]_{\mathrm{b}}}\right) ; \Delta \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{w}_{\max }$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}=\frac{8.3145 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}}(310 . \mathrm{K}) \ln \left(\frac{0.15}{0.0050}\right), \Delta \mathrm{G}=8.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=8.8 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
At least 8.8 kJ of work must be applied to transport 1 mol K .
Other ions will have to be transported in order to maintain electroneutrality. Either anions must be transported into the cells, or cations $\left(\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right)$in the cell must be transported to the blood. The latter is what happens: $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$in blood is greater than $\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]$in cells as a result of this pumping.
89. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{HgbO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hgb}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{Hgb}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{HgbCO} \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-(-70 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
& \hline \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-80 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

90. $\quad \mathrm{HF}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{F}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HF}]}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}=-(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln \left(7.2 \times 10^{-4}\right)=1.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$
a. The concentrations are all at standard conditions, so $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}=1.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$ ( $\mathrm{Q}=1.0$ and $\ln \mathrm{Q}=0$ ). Because $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is positive, the reaction shifts left to reach equilibrium.
b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=1.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}+(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln \frac{\left(2.7 \times 10^{-2}\right)^{2}}{0.98}$
$\Delta G=1.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}-1.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=0$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}=0$, so the reaction is at equilibrium (no shift).
c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=1.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}+8.3145(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln \frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}{1.0 \times 10^{-5}}=-1.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$; shifts right
d. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=1.8 \times 10^{4}+8.3145(298) \ln \frac{7.2 \times 10^{-4}(0.27)}{0.27}=1.8 \times 10^{4}-1.8 \times 10^{4}=0$; at equilibrium
e. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}=1.8 \times 10^{4}+8.3145(298) \ln \frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3}(0.67)}{0.52}=2 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$; shifts left
91. $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is more favorable (less negative) for reaction 2 than for reaction 1, resulting in $\mathrm{K}_{2}>\mathrm{K}_{1}$. In reaction 1, seven particles in solution are forming one particle in solution. In reaction 2, four particles are forming one, which results in a smaller decrease in positional probability than for reaction 1 .
92. A graph of $\ln \mathrm{K}$ versus $1 / \mathrm{T}$ will yield a straight line with slope equal to $-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} / \mathrm{R}$ and $y$ intercept equal to $\Delta S^{\circ} / R$.

| Temp $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $1000 / \mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{K}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ | $\ln \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 273 | 3.66 | $1.14 \times 10^{-15}$ | -34.408 |
| 25 | 298 | 3.36 | $1.00 \times 10^{-14}$ | -32.236 |
| 35 | 308 | 3.25 | $2.09 \times 10^{-14}$ | -31.499 |
| 40. | 313 | 3.19 | $2.92 \times 10^{-14}$ | -31.165 |
| 50 | 323 | 3.10 | $5.47 \times 10^{-14}$ | -30.537 |



The straight-line equation (from a calculator) is $\ln \mathrm{K}=-6.91 \times 10^{3}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}}\right)-9.09$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Slope }=-6.91 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}}{\mathrm{R}} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-\left(-6.91 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~K} \times 8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}\right)=5.75 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& y \text { intercept }=-9.09=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{R}}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=-9.09 \times 8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}=-75.6 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

93. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}$; when $\mathrm{K}=1.00, \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$ since $\ln 1.00=0 . \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=3(-242 \mathrm{~kJ})-[-826 \mathrm{~kJ}]=100 . \mathrm{kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=[2(27 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})+3(189 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})]-
$$

$$
[90 . \mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K}+3(131 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})]=138 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}, \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{o}}}=\frac{100 . \mathrm{kJ}}{0.138 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}}=725 \mathrm{~K}
$$

94. Equilibrium occurs when the minimum in free energy has been reached. For this reaction, the minimum in free energy is when $1 / 3$ of $A$ has reacted. Because equilibrium lies closer to reactants for this reaction, the equilibrium constant will be less than $1(\mathrm{~K}<1)$ which dictates that $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is greater than $0\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}>0 ; \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}\right)$.

$$
2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}^{2}}
$$

| Initial | 3.0 atm |  | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| Change | $-2 x$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+x$ |
| Equil. | $3.0-2 x$ |  | $x$ |

From the plot, equilibrium occurs when $1 / 3$ of the $A(g)$ has reacted.
$2 x=$ amount $A$ reacted to reach equilibrium $=1 / 3(3.0 \mathrm{~atm})=1.0 \mathrm{~atm}, x=0.50 \mathrm{~atm}$
$K_{p}=\frac{x}{(3.0-2 x)^{2}}=\frac{0.50}{(2.0)^{2}}=0.125=0.13$ (to 2 sig. figs.)

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 95-102 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

a. Vessel 1: At $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, this system is at equilibrium, so $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}=0$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}=\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}$. Because the vessel is perfectly insulated, $\mathrm{q}=0$, so $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=0=\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {sys }}$.
b. Vessel 2: The presence of salt in water lowers the freezing point of water to a temperature below $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In vessel 2 , the conversion of ice into water will be spontaneous at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, so $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}>0$. Because the vessel is perfectly insulated, $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=0$. Therefore, $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {sys }}$ must be positive ( $\Delta \mathrm{S}>0$ ) in order for $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}$ to be positive.
104. The liquid water will evaporate at first and eventually equilibrium will be reached (physical equilibrium).

- Because evaporation is an endothermic process, $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is positive.
- Because $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$ is more disordered (greater positional probability), $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is positive.
- The water will become cooler (the higher energy water molecules leave), thus $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\text {water }}$ will be negative.
- The vessel is insulated ( $\mathrm{q}=0$ ), so $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=0$.
- Because the process occurs, it is spontaneous, so $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}$ is positive.

105. $3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) ; \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(143 \mathrm{~kJ})=286 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2(163 \mathrm{~kJ})=326 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-326 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}=-131.573, \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{e}^{-131.573}=7.22 \times 10^{-58}$

We need the value of K at 230. K. From Section 17.8 of the text:

$$
\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{R}}
$$

For two sets of K and T :

$$
\ln \mathrm{K}_{1}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}\right)+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}}{\mathrm{R}} ; \ln \mathrm{K}_{2}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}
$$

Subtracting the first expression from the second:

$$
\ln \mathrm{K}_{2}-\ln \mathrm{K}_{1}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right) \text { or } \ln \frac{\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)
$$

Let $\mathrm{K}_{2}=7.22 \times 10^{-58}, \mathrm{~T}_{2}=298 \mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{K}_{230}, \mathrm{~T}_{1}=230 . \mathrm{K} ; \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=286 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\ln \frac{7.22 \times 10^{-58}}{\mathrm{~K}_{230}}=\frac{286 \times 10^{3}}{8.3145}\left(\frac{1}{230 .}-\frac{1}{298}\right)=34.13
$$

$$
\frac{7.22 \times 10^{-58}}{\mathrm{~K}_{230}}=\mathrm{e}^{34.13}=6.6 \times 10^{14}, \mathrm{~K}_{230}=1.1 \times 10^{-72}
$$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{230}=1.1 \times 10^{-72}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{3}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{3}}^{3}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{3}}^{2}}{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~atm}\right)^{3}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{O}_{3}}=3.3 \times 10^{-41} \mathrm{~atm}
$$

The volume occupied by one molecule of ozone is:

$$
\mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\left(1 / 6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{~mol}\right)(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(230 . \mathrm{K})}{\left(3.3 \times 10^{-41} \mathrm{~atm}\right)}=9.5 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{~L}
$$

Equilibrium is probably not maintained under these conditions. When only two ozone molecules are in a volume of $9.5 \times 10^{17} \mathrm{~L}$, the reaction is not at equilibrium. Under these conditions, $\mathrm{Q}>\mathrm{K}$, and the reaction shifts left. But with only 2 ozone molecules in this huge volume, it is extremely unlikely that they will collide with each other. At these conditions, the concentration of ozone is not large enough to maintain equilibrium.
106. Arrangement I and $\mathrm{V}: \quad \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{k} \ln \mathrm{W} ; \mathrm{W}=1 ; \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{k} \ln 1=0$

Arrangement II and IV: $\mathrm{W}=4 ; \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{k} \ln 4=1.38 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \ln 4, \mathrm{~S}=1.91 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
Arrangement III: $\quad \mathrm{W}=6 ; \mathrm{S}=\mathrm{k} \ln 6=2.47 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
107. a. From the plot, the activation energy of the reverse reaction is $E_{a}+\left(-\Delta G^{\circ}\right)=E_{a}-\Delta G^{\circ}$ ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is a negative number as drawn in the diagram).

$$
k_{f}=A \exp \left(\frac{-E_{a}}{R T}\right) \text { and } k_{r}=A \exp \left[\frac{-\left(E_{a}-\Delta G^{0}\right)}{R T}\right], \frac{k_{f}}{k_{r}}=\frac{A \exp \left(\frac{-E_{a}}{R T}\right)}{A \exp \left[\frac{-\left(E_{a}-\Delta G^{0}\right)}{R T}\right]}
$$

If the A factors are equal: $\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}}=\exp \left[\frac{-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{RT}}+\frac{\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}\right)}{\mathrm{RT}}\right]=\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right)$ From $\Delta G^{\circ}=-R T \ln K, K=\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta G^{0}}{R T}\right)$; because $K$ and $\frac{k_{f}}{k_{r}}$ are both equal to the
same expression, $K=k_{f} / k_{r}$.
b. A catalyst will lower the activation energy for both the forward and reverse reactions (but not change $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ ). Therefore, a catalyst must increase the rate of both the forward and reverse reactions.
108. At equilibrium:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{~V}}=\frac{\left(\frac{1.10 \times 10^{13} \text { molecules }}{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { molecules } / \mathrm{mol}}\right)\left(\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\right)(298 \mathrm{~K})}{1.00 \mathrm{~L}} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=4.47 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~atm}
\end{aligned}
$$

The pressure of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ decreased from 1.00 atm to $4.47 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~atm}$. Essentially all of the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ has reacted. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HBr}}=2.00 \mathrm{~atm}$ because there is a $2: 1$ mole ratio between HBr and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in the balanced equation. Because we began with equal moles of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, we will have equal moles of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ at equilibrium. Therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}=4.47 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~atm}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{HBr}}^{2}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Br}_{2}}}=\frac{(2.00)^{2}}{\left(4.47 \times 10^{-10}\right)^{2}}=2.00 \times 10^{19} ; \quad \text { assumptions good. } \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{~K}=-(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln \left(2.00 \times 10^{19}\right)=-1.10 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{~T}}=\frac{-103,800 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}-\left(-1.0 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}\right)}{298 \mathrm{~K}}=20 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

109. 

a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{B}}^{0}-\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{A}}^{\mathrm{o}}=11,718-8996=2722 \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right)=\exp \left[\frac{-2722 \mathrm{~J}}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}\right]=0.333
$$

b. When $\mathrm{Q}=1.00>\mathrm{K}$, the reaction shifts left. Let $x=\operatorname{atm}$ of $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{g})$, which reacts to reach equilibrium.

|  | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{g})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 1.00 atm | 1.00 atm |
| Equil. | $1.00+x$ | $1.00-x$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}}=\frac{1.00-x}{1.00+x}=0.333,1.00-x=0.333+(0.333) x, x=0.50 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=1.00-0.50=0.50 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=1.00+0.50=1.50 \mathrm{~atm}
$$

c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{Q}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}+\mathrm{RT} \ln \left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}} / \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=2722 \mathrm{~J}+(8.3145)(298) \ln (0.50 / 1.50)=2722 \mathrm{~J}-2722 \mathrm{~J}=0 \begin{gathered}
\text { (carrying extra } \\
\text { sig. figs.) }
\end{gathered}
$$

110. From Exercise 79, $\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{0}}{\mathrm{R}}$. For K at two temperatures $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}$, the equation can be manipulated to give (see Exercise 105): $\ln \frac{\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}-\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\frac{3.25 \times 10^{-2}}{8.84}\right)=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{298 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{348 \mathrm{~K}}\right) \\
& -5.61=\left(5.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{J}\right)\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}\right), \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-9.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\mathrm{K}=8.84$ at $\mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln 8.84=\frac{-\left(-9.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}\right)}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}, \frac{\Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}}{8.3145}=-37 \\
& \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}=-310 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

We get the same value for $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ using $\mathrm{K}=3.25 \times 10^{-2}$ at $\mathrm{T}=348 \mathrm{~K}$ data.
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}$; when $\mathrm{K}=1.00$, then $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$ since $\ln 1.00=0$. Assuming $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ do not depend on temperature:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}, \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}=\frac{-9.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{-310 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}=310 \mathrm{~K}
$$

111. $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$; to ensure $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ from decomposing, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{2}}$ should be greater than K .

From Exercise 79, $\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}+\frac{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{0}}{\mathrm{R}}$. For two conditions of K and T , the equation is:

$$
\ln \frac{\mathrm{K}_{2}}{\mathrm{~K}_{1}}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{R}}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{1}}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~T}_{2}}\right)
$$

Let $\mathrm{T}_{1}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=298 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~K}_{1}=6.23 \times 10^{-3}$ torr; $\mathrm{T}_{2}=110 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}=383 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}=$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \frac{\mathrm{K}_{2}}{6.23 \times 10^{-3} \text { torr }}=\frac{79.14 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}}\left(\frac{1}{298 \mathrm{~K}}-\frac{1}{383 \mathrm{~K}}\right) \\
& \ln \frac{\mathrm{K}_{2}}{6.23 \times 10^{-3}}=7.1, \frac{\mathrm{~K}_{2}}{6.23 \times 10^{-3}}=\mathrm{e}^{7.1}=1.2 \times 10^{3}, \quad \mathrm{~K}_{2}=7.5 \text { torr }
\end{aligned}
$$

To prevent decomposition of $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, the partial pressure of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ should be greater than 7.5 torr.
112. From the problem, $\chi_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}^{\mathrm{L}}=\chi_{\mathrm{CCl}_{4}}^{\mathrm{L}}=0.500$. We need the pure vapor pressures ( $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ ) in order to calculate the vapor pressure of the solution. Use the thermodynamic data to determine the pure vapor pressure values.
$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}^{0}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rxn}}^{\mathrm{o}}=\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})}^{0}-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{l})}^{0}=129.66 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}-124.50 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}=5.16 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-5.16 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}=-2.08$
$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}^{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{e}^{-2.08}=0.125 \mathrm{~atm}$

For CCl $4: \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rxn}}^{0}=\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~g})}^{0}-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}(\mathrm{l})}^{\mathrm{o}}=-60.59 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}-(-65.21 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ $=4.62 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CCl}_{4}}^{\mathrm{o}}=\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{-4620 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}\right)=0.155 \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=\chi_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}^{0}=0.500(0.125 \mathrm{~atm})=0.0625 \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CCl}_{4}}^{0}=0.500(0.155 \mathrm{~atm})$
$\chi_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}}}{\mathrm{P}_{\text {tot }}}=\frac{0.0625 \mathrm{~atm}}{0.0625 \mathrm{~atm}+0.0775 \mathrm{~atm}}=\frac{0.0625}{0.1400}=0.446$
$\chi_{\mathrm{Cl}_{4}}^{\mathrm{V}}=1.000-0.446=0.554$
113. $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=[(-262 \mathrm{~kJ})+(-131 \mathrm{~kJ})]-(-384 \mathrm{~kJ})=-9 \mathrm{~kJ}=-9000 \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}, \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\exp \left[\frac{-(-9000 \mathrm{~J})}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}\right]=38=40$
$\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=40$
Initial $\mathrm{s}=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}) \quad 0 \quad 0$
Equil. $s$ s
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=40=\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{s}), s=(40)^{1 / 2}=6.3=6 \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$
114. $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=-\Delta \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{T}=-\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{p}} / \mathrm{T}$
$\mathrm{q}=$ heat loss by hot water $=$ moles $\times$ molar heat capacity $\times \Delta \mathrm{T}$
$\mathrm{q}=1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{75.4 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times(298.2-363.2)=-2.72 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}=\frac{-\left(-2.72 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}\right)}{298.2 \mathrm{~K}}=912 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
115. $\quad \mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{X}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{X}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{HX}]}$
$\begin{array}{lrrr}\text { Initial } & 0.10 M & \sim 0 & 0 \\ \text { Equil. } & 0.10-x & x & x\end{array}$
From problem, $x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-5.83}=1.5 \times 10^{-6} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left(1.5 \times 10^{-6}\right)^{2}}{0.10-1.5 \times 10^{-6}}=2.3 \times 10^{-11}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}=-8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln \left(2.3 \times 10^{-11}\right)=6.1 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=61 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$

## Integrative Problems

116. Use the thermodynamic data to calculate the boiling point of the solvent.

At boiling point: $\Delta \mathrm{G}=0=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}}=\frac{33.90 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}}{95.95 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}}=353.3 \mathrm{~K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}} m,(355.4 \mathrm{~K}-353.3 \mathrm{~K})=2.5 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}(m), m=\frac{2.1}{2.5}=0.84 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
Mass solvent $=150 . \mathrm{mL} \times \frac{0.879 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}}=0.132 \mathrm{~kg}$
Mass solute $=0.132 \mathrm{~kg}$ solvent $\times \frac{0.84 \mathrm{~mol} \text { solute }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { solvent }} \times \frac{142 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=15.7 \mathrm{~g}=16 \mathrm{~g}$ solute
117. Because the partial pressure of $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})$ decreased, the net change that occurs for this reaction to reach equilibrium is for products to convert to reactants.

|  | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{g})$ | + | $2 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{g})$ |  |  |  |
| Initial | 0.100 atm | 0.100 atm |  | 0.100 atm |
| Change | $+x$ |  | $+2 x$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Equil. | $0.100+x$ | $0.100+2 x$ |  | $0.100-x$ |

From the problem, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.040 \mathrm{~atm}=0.100-x, x=0.060 \mathrm{~atm}$
The equilibrium partial pressures are: $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{A}}=0.100+x=0.100+0.060=0.160 \mathrm{~atm}$, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{B}}=0.100+2(0.60)=0.22 \mathrm{~atm}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{C}}=0.040 \mathrm{~atm}$
$\mathrm{K}=\frac{0.040}{0.160(0.22)^{2}}=5.2$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}=-8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol}(298 \mathrm{~K}) \ln (5.2)=-4.1 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}=-4.1 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
118. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=-28.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}-298 \mathrm{~K}(-175 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})=24,200 \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-24,000 \mathrm{~J}}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=-9.767$
$\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{e}^{-9.767}=5.73 \times 10^{-5}$

|  | $\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{BH}^{+}$ | $+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.73 \times 10^{-5}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $0.125 M$ |  | 0 | $\sim 0$ |  |
| Change | $-x$ |  | $+x$ | $+x$ |  |
| Equil. | $0.125-x$ |  | $x$ | $x$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=5.73 \times 10^{-5}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{BH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]}{[\mathrm{B}]}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.125-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.125}, x=\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=2.68 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(2.68 \times 10^{-3}\right)=2.572 ; \mathrm{pOH}=14.000-2.572=11.428 ;$ assumptions good

## Marathon Problem

119. a. $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be negative because there is a decrease in the number of moles of gas.
b. Because $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is negative, $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ must be negative for the reaction to be spontaneous at some temperatures. Therefore, $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {surr }}$ is positive.
c. $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s})+4 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-607-[4(-110.5)]=-165 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=417-[4(198)+(30 .)]=-405 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
$$

d. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}, \quad \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}=\frac{-165 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}}{-405 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}}=407 \mathrm{~K}$ or $134^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
e. $T=50 .{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273=323 \mathrm{~K}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{G}_{323}^{0}=-165 \mathrm{~kJ}-(323 \mathrm{~K})(-0.405 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-34 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \ln \mathrm{~K}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{-(-34,000 \mathrm{~J})}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(323 \mathrm{~K})}=12.66, \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{e}^{12.66}=3.1 \times 10^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

f. $\mathrm{T}=227^{\circ} \mathrm{C}+273=500 . \mathrm{K}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{500}^{\mathrm{o}}=-165 \mathrm{~kJ}-(500 . \mathrm{K})(-0.405 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=38 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

$$
\ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-38,000 \mathrm{~J}}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(500 . \mathrm{K})}=-9.14, \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{e}^{-9.14}=1.1 \times 10^{-4}
$$

g. The temperature change causes the value of the equilibrium constant to change from a large value favoring formation of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ to a small value favoring the decomposition of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ into pure Ni and CO. This is exactly what is wanted in order to purify a nickel sample.
h. $\quad \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{l}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}}$

At $42^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (the boiling point): $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$
$\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}}{\mathrm{~T}}=\frac{29.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}}{315 \mathrm{~K}}=92.1 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
At $152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}: \Delta \mathrm{G}_{152}^{\mathrm{o}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=29.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}-425 \mathrm{~K}(92.1 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})=-10,100 \mathrm{~J}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{K}, \ln \mathrm{K}=\frac{-(-10,100 \mathrm{~J})}{8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \operatorname{mol}(425 \mathrm{~K})}=2.858, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{e}^{2.858}=17.4$
A maximum pressure of 17.4 atm can be attained before $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{~g})$ will liquify.

## CHAPTER 18

## ELECTROCHEMISTRY

## Review of Oxidation-Reduction Reactions

15. Oxidation: increase in oxidation number; loss of electrons

Reduction: decrease in oxidation number; gain of electrons
16. See Table 4.2 in Chapter 4 of the text for rules for assigning oxidation numbers.
a. $\quad \mathrm{H}(+1), \mathrm{O}(-2), \mathrm{N}(+5)$
b. $\mathrm{Cl}(-1), \mathrm{Cu}(+2)$
c. $\mathrm{O}(0)$
d. $H(+1), O(-1)$
e. $\mathrm{H}(+1), \mathrm{O}(-2), \mathrm{C}(0)$
f. $\mathrm{Ag}(0)$
g. $\mathrm{Pb}(+2), \mathrm{O}(-2), \mathrm{S}(+6)$
h. $\mathrm{O}(-2), \mathrm{Pb}(+4)$
i. $\mathrm{Na}(+1), \mathrm{O}(-2), \mathrm{C}(+3)$
j. $\quad \mathrm{O}(-2), \mathrm{C}(+4)$
k. $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{3}$ contains $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ions and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ions. Thus cerium exists as the $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$ ion. H (+1), N ( -3 ), Ce (+4), S (+6), O (-2)

1. $\mathrm{O}(-2), \mathrm{Cr}(+3)$
2. The species oxidized shows an increase in oxidation numbers and is called the reducing agent. The species reduced shows a decrease in oxidation numbers and is called the oxidizing agent. The pertinent oxidation numbers are listed by the substance oxidized and the substance reduced.

Redox? Ox. Agent $\quad$ Red. Agent $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
Substance <br>
Oxidized

$\quad$

Substance <br>
Reduced
\end{tabular}

a. Yes
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{C},-4 \rightarrow+2)$
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{H},+1 \rightarrow 0)$
b. Yes
$\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$
Cu
$\mathrm{Cu}(0 \rightarrow+2)$
$\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}(\mathrm{Ag},+1 \rightarrow 0)$
c. Yes
HCl
Zn
$\mathrm{Zn}(0 \rightarrow+2)$
$\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{H},+1 \rightarrow 0)$
d. No; there is no change in any of the oxidation numbers.
18. a. $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
$-3+1 \quad 0 \quad+2-2 \quad+1-2$ oxidation numbers

$$
\underset{+2-2}{2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})}+\underset{0}{\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})} \rightarrow \underset{+4-2}{2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})}
$$

$$
\underset{+4-2}{ } \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\underset{+1-2}{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})} \rightarrow \underset{+1+5-2}{2} \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+\underset{+2-2}{\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})}
$$

All three reactions are oxidation-reduction reactions since there is a change in oxidation numbers of some of the elements in each reaction.
b. $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+5 \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is the oxidizing agent and $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is the reducing agent.
$2 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2} ; \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is the oxidizing agent and NO is the reducing agent.
$3 \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}+\mathrm{NO} ; \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is both the oxidizing and reducing agent.

## Questions

19. Electrochemistry is the study of the interchange of chemical and electrical energy. A redox (oxidation-reduction) reaction is a reaction in which one or more electrons are transferred. In a galvanic cell, a spontaneous redox reaction occurs that produces an electric current. In an electrolytic cell, electricity is used to force a nonspontaneous redox reaction to occur.
20. Mass balance indicates that we have the same number and type of atoms on both sides of the equation (so that mass is conserved). Similarly, net charge must also be conserved. We cannot have a buildup of charge on one side of the reaction or the other. In redox equations, electrons are used to balance the net charge between reactants and products.
21. Magnesium is an alkaline earth metal; Mg will oxidize to $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$. The oxidation state of hydrogen in HCl is +1 . To be reduced, the oxidation state of H must decrease. The obvious choice for the hydrogen product is $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$, where hydrogen has a zero oxidation state. The balanced reaction is $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) . \mathrm{Mg}$ goes from the 0 to the +2 oxidation state by losing two electrons. Each H atom goes from the +1 to the 0 oxidation state by gaining one electron. Since there are two H atoms in the balanced equation, then a total of two electrons are gained by the H atoms. Hence two electrons are transferred in the balanced reaction. When the electrons are transferred directly from Mg to $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, no work is obtained. In order to harness this reaction to do useful work, we must control the flow of electrons through a wire. This is accomplished by making a galvanic cell that separates the reduction reaction from the oxidation reaction in order to control the flow of electrons through a wire to produce a voltage.
22. Galvanic cells use spontaneous redox reactions to produce a voltage. For a spontaneous redox reaction, the key is to have an overall positive $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$ value when manipulating the halfreactions. For any two half-reactions, the half-reaction with the most positive reduction potential will always be the cathode reaction. The remaining half-reaction (the one with the most negative $\mathrm{E}_{\text {red }}^{\mathrm{o}}$ ) will be reversed and become the anode half-reaction. This combination will always yield an overall reaction having a positive standard cell potential that can be used to run a galvanic cell.
23. An extensive property is one that depends directly on the amount of substance. The freeenergy change for a reaction depends on whether 1 mole of product is produced or 2 moles of product is produced or 1 million moles of product is produced. This is not the case for cell
potentials, which do not depend on the amount of substance. The equation that relates $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ to E is $\Delta \mathrm{G}=-\mathrm{nFE}$. It is the n term that converts the intensive property E into the extensive property $\Delta \mathrm{G}$. n is the number of moles of electrons transferred in the balanced reaction that $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is associated with.
24. $E=E_{\text {cell }}^{o}-\frac{0.0591}{n} \log Q$

A concentration cell has the same anode and cathode contents; thus $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0$ for a concentration cell. No matter which half-reaction you choose, the opposite half-reaction is occurring in the other cell. The driving force to produce a voltage is the $-\log \mathrm{Q}$ term in the Nernst equation. Q is determined by the concentration of ions in the anode and cathode compartments. The larger the difference in concentrations, the larger is the $-\log \mathrm{Q}$ term, and the larger is the voltage produced. Therefore, the driving force for concentration cells is the difference in ion concentrations between the cathode and anode compartments. When the ion concentrations are equal, $\mathrm{Q}=1$ and $\log \mathrm{Q}=0$, and no voltage is produced.
25. A potential hazard when jump starting a car is the possibility for the electrolysis of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ to occur. When $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ is electrolyzed, the products are the explosive gas mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$. A spark produced during jump-starting a car could ignite any $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ produced. Grounding the jumper cable far from the battery minimizes the risk of a spark nearby the battery, where $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ could be collecting.
26. Metals corrode because they oxidize easily. Referencing Table 18.1, most metals are associated with negative standard reduction potentials. This means that the reverse reactions, the oxidation half-reactions, have positive oxidation potentials indicating that they oxidize fairly easily. Another key point is that the reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (which is a reactant in corrosion processes) has a more positive $\mathrm{E}_{\text {red }}^{0}$ than most of the metals (for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {red }}^{0}=0.40 \mathrm{~V}$ ). This means that when $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is coupled with most metals, the reaction will be spontaneous since $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$ $>0$, so corrosion occurs.

The noble metals ( $\mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Au}$, and Pt ) all have standard reduction potentials greater than that of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is not capable of oxidizing these metals at standard conditions.

Note: The standard reduction potential for $\mathrm{Pt} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pt}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$is not in Table 18.1. As expected, its reduction potential is greater than that of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Pt}}^{0}=1.19 \mathrm{~V}\right)$.
27. You need to know the identity of the metal so that you know which molar mass to use. You need to know the oxidation state of the metal ion in the salt so that the moles of electrons transferred can be determined. And finally, you need to know the amount of current and the time the current was passed through the electrolytic cell. If you know these four quantities, then the mass of metal plated out can be calculated.
28. For a galvanic cell, we want a combination of the half-reactions that give a positive overall cell potential. For these two cell compartments, the combination that gives a positive overall cell potential is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right. & \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}) \times 2 & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.80 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Cu} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.34 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.46 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

a. From above, silver metal is a product when these two compartments make a galvanic cell ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}>0$ ). The silver compartment is the cathode $\left(\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right.$is reduced) and the copper compartment is the anode ( Cu is oxidized). As is always the case, electrons flow from the anode (copper compartment) to the cathode (silver compartment).
b. The reverse of the spontaneous reaction produces copper metal $\left[2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s})\right]$. This reverse reaction is nonspontaneous ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.46 \mathrm{~V}$ ), so this will be an electrolytic cell. For this nonspontaneous reaction, the copper compartment is the cathode and the silver compartment is the anode. Electron flow will be from the silver compartment to the copper compartment (from the anode to the cathode).
c. From the initial work above, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.46 \mathrm{~V}$ when these compartments form a galvanic cell.
d. From part b, $2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.46 \mathrm{~V}$; this is the electrolytic cell reaction which tells us that a potential greater than 0.46 V must be applied to force this nonspontaneous reaction to occur.

## Balancing Oxidation-Reduction Equations

29. Use the method of half-reactions described in Section 18.1 of the text to balance these redox reactions. The first step always is to separate the reaction into the two half-reactions, and then to balance each half-reaction separately.
a. $3 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{ClO}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\
2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{ClO}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{gathered}
$$

Adding the two balanced half-reactions so electrons cancel:

$$
3 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{ClO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

b. $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$
$\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\left(3 \mathrm{e}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 4
\end{gathered}
$$

Left $3-\mathrm{O}$; right $8-\mathrm{O}$
Right hand side has 5 extra O . Balance the oxygen atoms first using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, then balance H using $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, and finally, balance charge using electrons. This gives:

$$
\left(5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3
$$

Common factor is a transfer of $12 \mathrm{e}^{-}$. Add half-reactions so that electrons cancel.

Common factor is a transfer of $10 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

Common factor is a transfer of $6 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} & \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
8 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{aq})+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

30. 

a. $\left(\mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3$
$\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\left(3 \mathrm{e}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2$

Adding the two balanced half-reactions so that electrons cancel:

$$
\begin{gathered}
3 \mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 3 \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{~s})+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{gathered}
$$

b. $\left(2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& 6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Add the two half-reactions with six electrons transferred:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} & \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 14 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{array}
$$

c.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Pb} & \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4} \\
\mathrm{~Pb}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} & \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}
\end{aligned} \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4} \mathrm{PbO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Add the two half-reactions with two electrons transferred:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \mathrm{Br}^{-} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{Br}_{2}+10 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
& 10 \mathrm{e}^{-}+16 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& 16 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+10 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& \text { d. } \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+} \\
& \left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3 \\
& 14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& 6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 12 \mathrm{e}^{-}+16 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& 15 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+3 \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}+12 \mathrm{H}^{+}+12 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
& 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& \text { c. }\left(2 \mathrm{Br} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 5 \\
& \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \left(5 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{PbO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} & \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{~Pb}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} & \rightarrow \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\hline \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{PbO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{PbSO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the reaction that occurs in an automobile lead-storage battery.
d.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} \\
\left(4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2
\end{array} \\
\mathrm{NaBiO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}+\mathrm{Na}^{+} \\
6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NaBiO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\left(2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NaBiO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}+\mathrm{Na}^{+}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 5
\end{gathered}
$$

Simplifying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
14 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+5 \mathrm{NaBiO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+5 \mathrm{Bi}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+5 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+ \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { e. } \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{AsH}_{3} \\
\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{AsH}_{3}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
8 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{AsH}_{3}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
8 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{AsH}_{3}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
4 \mathrm{Zn} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+8 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\frac{\left.8 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 4}{8 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{AsH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})}
\end{gathered}
$$

31. Use the same method as with acidic solutions. After the final balanced equation, convert $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ to $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$as described in Section 18.1 of the text. The extra step involves converting $\mathrm{H}^{+}$into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ by adding equal moles of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to each side of the reaction. This converts the reaction to a basic solution while still keeping it balanced.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. } \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-} \\
4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad 3 \mathrm{e}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}
\end{array} \mathrm{MnHO}_{2} \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
& \frac{3 \mathrm{e}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Al(s)}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})} \\
& \mathrm{H}^{+} \text {doesn't appear in the final balanced reaction, so we are done. }
\end{aligned}
$$

b.

$$
\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \rightarrow \mathrm{OCl}^{-} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{OCl}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{OCl}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{OCl}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now convert to a basic solution. Add $4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$to both sides of the equation. The $4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ will react with the $4 \mathrm{H}^{+}$on the product side to give $4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After this step, cancel identical species on both sides ( $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). Applying these steps gives: $4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}+2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow$ $2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{OCl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which can be further simplified to:

$$
2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{OCl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

c.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3} & \mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{AlO}_{2}^{-} \\
6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+7 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \left(2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{AlO}_{2}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2
\end{array}
$$

Common factor is a transfer of $6 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+7 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{Al} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{AlO}_{2}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\hline \mathrm{OH}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}+2 \mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}+2 \mathrm{AlO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}
\end{gathered}
$$

Reducing gives $\mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{AlO}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$.
32. a.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Cr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \\
3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \\
3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
3 \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
3 \mathrm{e}^{-}+5 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{array} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cr}+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}
\end{gathered}
$$

Two $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$were added above to each side to convert to a basic solution. The two $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ react with the $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}$on the reactant side to produce $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The overall balanced equation is:

$$
4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{S}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}$
$\left(\mathrm{S}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{S}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{MnS} \\
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{S}^{2-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{MnS} \\
\left(5 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{MnS}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Common factor is a transfer of $10 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
5 \mathrm{~S}^{2-} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~S}+10 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
10 \mathrm{e}^{-}+16 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+2 \mathrm{~S}^{2-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{MnS}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 16 \mathrm{OH}^{-}+16 \mathrm{H}^{+}+7 \mathrm{~S}^{2-}+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{MnS}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+16 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \\
16 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+7 \mathrm{~S}^{2-}+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~S}+2 \mathrm{MnS}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+16 \mathrm{OH}^{-}
\end{gathered}
$$

Reducing gives $8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+7 \mathrm{~S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{MnS}(\mathrm{s})+16 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$.
c. $\begin{aligned} \mathrm{CN}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{CNO}^{-} \\ \left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CN}^{-}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{CNO}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2} \\
\left(3 \mathrm{e}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Common factor is a transfer of 6 electrons.

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+3 \mathrm{CN}^{-} & \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CNO}^{-}+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{CN}^{-}+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} & \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CNO}^{-}+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

Reducing gives:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{CNO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

33. $\mathrm{NaCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{MnO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

We could balance this reaction by the half-reaction method, which is generally the preferred method. However, sometimes a redox reaction is not so complicated and thus balancing by inspection is a possibility. Let's try inspection here. To balance $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, we need 4 NaCl :

$$
4 \mathrm{NaCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{MnO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Balance the $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2!}$ ions next:

$$
4 \mathrm{NaCl}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{MnO}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}+\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

On the left side: 4 H and 10 O ; on the right side: 8 O not counting $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
We need $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on the right side to balance H and O :

$$
4 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{MnO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{MnCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

34. $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{HNO}_{3}+\mathrm{HCl} \rightarrow \mathrm{AuCl}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{NO}$

Only deal with ions that are reacting (omit $\mathrm{H}^{+}$): $\mathrm{Au}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{AuCl}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{NO}$
The balanced half-reactions are:

$$
\mathrm{Au}+4 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{AuCl}_{4}^{-}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad 3 \mathrm{e}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Adding the two balanced half-reactions:

$$
\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AuCl}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
$$

## Exercises

## Galvanic Cells, Cell Potentials, Standard Reduction Potentials, and Free Energy

35. The reducing agent causes reduction to occur; it does this by containing the species which is oxidized. Oxidation occurs at the anode, so the reducing agent will be in the anode compartment. The oxidizing agent causes oxidation to occur; it does this by containing the species which is reduced. Reduction occurs at the cathode, so the oxidizing agent will be in the cathode compartment. Electron flow is always from the anode compartment to the cathode compartment.
36. A galvanic cell at standard conditions must have a positive overall standard cell potential ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}>0$ ). The only combination of the half-reactions that gives a positive cell potential is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}(\text { cathode })=0.34 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Zn} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}(\text { anode })=0.76 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

a. The reducing agent causes reduction to occur since it always contains the species which is oxidized. Zn is oxidized in the galvanic cell, so Zn is the reducing agent. The oxidizing agent causes oxidation to occur since it always contains the species which is reduced. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is reduced in the galvanic cell, so $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is the oxidizing agent. Electrons will flow from the zinc compartment (the anode) to the copper compartment (the cathode).
b. From the work above, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}$.
c. The pure metal that is a product in the spontaneous reaction is copper. So the copper electrode will increase in mass as $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ is reduced to $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s})$. The zinc electrode will decrease in mass for this galvanic cell as $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{s})$ is oxidized to $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$.
37. A typical galvanic cell diagram is:


The diagram for all cells will look like this. The contents of each half-cell compartment will be identified for each reaction, with all solute concentrations at 1.0 M and all gases at 1.0 atm . For Exercises 37 and 38, the flow of ions through the salt bridge was not asked for in the
questions. If asked, however, cations always flow into the cathode compartment, and anions always flow into the anode compartment. This is required to keep each compartment electrically neutral.
a. Table 18.1 of the text lists balanced reduction half-reactions for many substances. For this overall reaction, we need the $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$reduction half-reaction and the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ to $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}$ oxidation half-reaction. Manipulating these two half-reactions gives the overall balanced equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right. & \left.\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) \times 3 \\
7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\hline 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned}
$$

The contents of each compartment are:
Cathode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ bubbled into solution, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in solution
Anode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}$ in solution
We need a nonreactive metal to use as the electrode in each case, since all the reactants and products are in solution. Pt is a common choice. Another possibility is graphite.
b.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu} \\
\mathrm{Mg} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\hline \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned}
$$

Cathode: Cu electrode; $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ in solution; anode: Mg electrode; $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ in solution
38. Reference the answer to Exercise 37 for a typical galvanic cell diagram. The contents of each half-cell compartment are identified below with all solute concentrations at 1.0 M and all gases at 1.0 atm .
a. Reference Table 18.1 for the balanced half-reactions.

$$
\begin{gathered}
5 \mathrm{e}^{-}+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 5 \\
6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}+5 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+1 / 2 \mathrm{I}_{2}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\text { or } 12 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+10 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 10 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{gathered}
$$

Cathode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$source) in solution.
Note: $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$ would make a poor electrode since it sublimes.
Anode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ in solution
b.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right. & \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}) \times 2 \\
\mathrm{Zn} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\hline \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned}
$$

Cathode: Ag electrode; $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$in solution; anode: Zn electrode; $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ in solution
39. To determine $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ for the overall cell reaction, we must add the standard reduction potential to the standard oxidation potential ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {red }}^{0}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ox}}^{0}$ ). Reference Table 18.1 for values of standard reduction potentials. Remember that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ox}}^{0}=-\mathrm{E}_{\text {red }}^{0}$ and that standard potentials are not multiplied by the integer used to obtain the overall balanced equation.

37a. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}}^{0}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Cr}^{3+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}}^{0}=1.36 \mathrm{~V}+(-1.33 \mathrm{~V})=0.03 \mathrm{~V}$

37b. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cell}}^{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Cu}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}}^{0}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Mg} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}}^{0}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}+2.37 \mathrm{~V}=2.71 \mathrm{~V}$
40. 38a. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{cell}}^{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}}^{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}}^{0}=1.20 \mathrm{~V}+(-0.77 \mathrm{~V})=0.43 \mathrm{~V}$

38b. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ag}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}}^{0}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Zn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}}^{0}=0.80 \mathrm{~V}+0.76 \mathrm{~V}=1.56 \mathrm{~V}$
41. Reference the answer to Exercise 37 for a typical galvanic cell design. The contents of each half-cell compartment are identified below with all solute concentrations at 1.0 M and all gases at 1.0 atm . For each pair of half-reactions, the half-reaction with the largest (most positive) standard reduction potential will be the cathode reaction, and the half-reaction with the smallest (most negative) reduction potential will be reversed to become the anode reaction. Only this combination gives a spontaneous overall reaction, i.e., a reaction with a positive overall standard cell potential. Note that in a galvanic cell as illustrated in Exercise 37 the cations in the salt bridge migrate to the cathode, and the anions migrate to the anode.
a.

$$
\begin{array}{crl}
\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.36 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{Br}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.09 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.27 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

The contents of each compartment are:
Cathode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ bubbled in, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in solution
Anode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$in solution
b.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\left(2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 5 & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.60 \mathrm{~V} \\
\left(4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Mn}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2 & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.51 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 10 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-+}+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}+5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+16 \mathrm{H}^{+} & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.09 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

This simplifies to:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+5 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.09 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Cathode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}, \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (as a source of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$) in solution
Anode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in solution
42. Reference the answer to Exercise 37 for a typical galvanic cell design. The contents of each half-cell compartment are identified below, with all solute concentrations at 1.0 M and all gases at 1.0 atm .
a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.78 \mathrm{~V}$

| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.68 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}$ |

Cathode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in solution
Anode: Pt electrode; $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ bubbled in, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$in solution
b.

| $\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}\right) \times 2$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=$ -0.036 V <br> $\left(\mathrm{Mn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3$ $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.18 \mathrm{~V}$ <br> $2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.14 \mathrm{~V}$. |
| :---: | :--- |

Cathode: Fe electrode; $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ in solution; anode: Mn electrode; $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in solution
43. In standard line notation, the anode is listed first, and the cathode is listed last. A double line separates the two compartments. By convention, the electrodes are on the ends with all solutes and gases toward the middle. A single line is used to indicate a phase change. We also included all concentrations.

37a. $\mathrm{Pt}\left|\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}(1.0 \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}(1.0 M), \mathrm{H}^{+}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \| \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~atm})\right| \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \mid \mathrm{Pt}$
37b. $\quad \mathrm{Mg}\left|\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \| \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(1.0 \mathrm{M})\right| \mathrm{Cu}$
41a. $\quad \mathrm{Pt}\left|\mathrm{Br}^{-}(1.0 \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{Br}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \| \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~atm})\right| \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \mid \mathrm{Pt}$
41b. Pt | $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(1.0 \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(1.0 M), \mathrm{H}^{+}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \| \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}(1.0 M), \mathrm{H}^{+}(1.0 M)$,

$$
\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \mid \mathrm{Pt}
$$

44. $\quad$ 38a. $\quad \mathrm{Pt}\left|\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(1.0 M), \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(1.0 M) \| \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(1.0 M), \mathrm{H}^{+}(1.0 M), \mathrm{I}_{2}(1.0 M)\right| \mathrm{Pt}$

38b. $\quad \mathrm{Zn}\left|\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \| \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(1.0 \mathrm{M})\right| \mathrm{Ag}$
42a. $\mathrm{Pt}\left|\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{M}), \mathrm{H}^{+}(1.0 M)\right| \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~atm}) \| \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.0 M), \mathrm{H}^{+}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \mid \mathrm{Pt}$
42b. $\quad \mathrm{Mn}\left|\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(1.0 \mathrm{M}) \| \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(1.0 \mathrm{M})\right| \mathrm{Fe}$
45. Locate the pertinent half-reactions in Table 18.1, and then figure which combination will give a positive standard cell potential. In all cases, the anode compartment contains the species with the smallest standard reduction potential. For part a, the copper compartment is the anode, and in part b, the cadmium compartment is the anode.
a.

| $\mathrm{Au}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Au}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.50 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\left(\mathrm{Cu}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.16 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| $\mathrm{Au}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Cu}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.34 \mathrm{~V}$ |

b.

| $\left(\mathrm{VO}_{2}^{+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{VO}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.00 \mathrm{~V}$ <br> $\mathrm{Cd} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.40 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{VO}_{2}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{VO}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.40 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |

46. a.

| $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 3$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.78 \mathrm{~V}$ <br> $2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ <br> $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.33 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.45 \mathrm{~V}$ |

b.

| $\left(2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \times 3$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.00 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{++}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.66 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| $6 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.66 \mathrm{~V}$ |

47. a.

| $\left(5 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.51 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left(2 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 5$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| $16 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+10 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.97 \mathrm{~V}$ |

This reaction is spontaneous at standard conditions because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}>0$.
b.

| $\left(5 \mathrm{e}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}\right.$ | $\left.\rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ | $=1.51 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\left(2 \mathrm{~F}^{-}\right.$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{\left.\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 5}$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.87 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |
| $16 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+10 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{~F}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-1.36 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |  |

This reaction is not spontaneous at standard conditions because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}<0$.
48.
a.

| $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.00 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}^{-}$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.23 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-2.23 \mathrm{~V}$ |

Not spontaneous
b.

| $\mathrm{Au}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $\rightarrow \mathrm{Au}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $(\mathrm{Ag}$ | $\left.\rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3$ |$\quad$| $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.50 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.80 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Au}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}=0.70 \mathrm{~V}$ |

Spontaneous ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}>0$ )
49.
$\left.\begin{array}{cl}\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} & \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.36 \mathrm{~V} \\ \left(\mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{ClO}_{2}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2\end{array} \\ -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.954 \mathrm{~V}\end{array} ⿻ \begin{array}{c}\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.41 \mathrm{~V}=0.41 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C} \\ \hline 2 \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{ClO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\ \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=-(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}\end{array}\right)(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e})(0.41 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-7.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=-79 \mathrm{~kJ}$.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\left(4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2 \\
\left(\mathrm{Mn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3 & \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.96 \mathrm{~V} \\
-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.18 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array} \\
\hline 3 \mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{~s})+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+3 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=2.14 \mathrm{~V} \\
\left(2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 5 & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.60 \mathrm{~V} \\
\left(\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2 & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.51 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 5 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.09 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

b. Nitric acid oxidation (see above for $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$ ):

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-(6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e})(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e})(2.14 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-1.24 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~J}=-1240 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

Periodate oxidation (see above for $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$ ):

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.09 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})(1 \mathrm{~kJ} / 1000 \mathrm{~J})=-90 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

51. Because the cells are at standard conditions, $\mathrm{W}_{\max }=\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$. See Exercise 45 for the balanced overall equations and for $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$.

45a. $\quad \mathrm{w}_{\text {max }}=-\left(3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.34 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-3.88 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-388 \mathrm{~kJ}$
45b. $\quad \mathrm{W}_{\text {max }}=-\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.40 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-2.70 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-270 . \mathrm{kJ}$
52. Because the cells are at standard conditions, $\mathrm{w}_{\max }=\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE} \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$. See Exercise 46 for the balanced overall equations and for $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$.

46a. $\quad \mathrm{w}_{\max }=-(6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e})\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.45 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-2.6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-260 \mathrm{~kJ}$
46b. $\quad \mathrm{w}_{\text {max }}=-\left(6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.66 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-9.61 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-961 \mathrm{~kJ}$
53. $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Sigma \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { products }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\Sigma \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { reactants }}^{0}=2(-157)-[2(-237)]=160 . \mathrm{kJ}$

$$
\left.\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}^{\circ}, \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{nF}}=\frac{-1.60 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}}{(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}}{ }^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \mathrm{C}
$$

The two values agree to two significant figures ( -0.83 V in Table 18.1).
54. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.44 \mathrm{~V}=-0.44 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}{ }^{\circ}=-\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(-0.44 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})(1 \mathrm{~kJ} / 1000 \mathrm{~J})=85 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$85 \mathrm{~kJ}=0-\left[\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}}^{0}+0\right], \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}}^{0}=-85 \mathrm{~kJ}$
We can get $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}}^{\mathrm{o}}$ two ways. Consider: $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.77 \mathrm{~V}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-(1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e})\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.77 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-74,300 \mathrm{~J}=-74 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=74 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\mathrm{Fe} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-85 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-11 \mathrm{~kJ}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}}^{0}=-11 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

or consider: $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.036 \mathrm{~V}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\left(3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(-0.036 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=10,400 \mathrm{~J} \approx 10 . \mathrm{kJ}$
10. $\mathrm{kJ}=0-\left[\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}}^{0}+0\right], \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}}^{0}=-10 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$; round-off error explains the 1-kJ discrepancy.
55. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=[6 \mathrm{~mol}(-394 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})+6 \mathrm{~mol}(-237 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}]-[1 \mathrm{~mol}(-911 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}+6 \mathrm{~mol}(0)]$

$$
=-2875 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

Carbon is oxidized in this combustion reaction. In $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}, \mathrm{H}$ has a +1 oxidation state, and oxygen has a -2 oxidation, so $6(x)+12(+1)+6(-2)=0, x=$ oxidation state of C in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ $=0$. In $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, O has an oxidation state of -2 , so $y+2(-2)=0, y=$ oxidation state of C in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ $=+4$. Carbon goes from the 0 oxidation state in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ to the +4 oxidation state in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, so each carbon atom loses 4 electrons. Because the balanced reaction has 6 mol of carbon, 6(4) $=24$ mol electrons are transferred in the balanced equation.
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}^{\circ}, \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{nF}}=\frac{-\left(-2875 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right)}{\left(24 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)}=1.24 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C}=1.24 \mathrm{~V}$
56. $\quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{l})+3 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2(-237)+(-394)-[-166]=-702 \mathrm{~kJ}$

The balanced half-reactions are:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \text {and } \mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

For $3 / 2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mol}$ of electrons will be transferred $(\mathrm{n}=6)$.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}^{\circ}, \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{nF}}=\frac{-(-702,000 \mathrm{~J})}{\left(6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)}=1.21 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C}=1.21 \mathrm{~V}
$$

57. Good oxidizing agents are easily reduced. Oxidizing agents are on the left side of the reduction half-reactions listed in Table 18.1. We look for the largest, most positive standard reduction potentials to correspond to the best oxidizing agents. The ordering from worst to best oxidizing agents is:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrrrrrr} 
& \mathrm{K}^{+} & <\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & <\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} & <\mathrm{I}_{2} & <\mathrm{AuCl}_{4}^{-} & <\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \\
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}(\mathrm{V}) & -2.92 & -0.83 & & -0.40 & 0.54 & 0.99 & 1.20
\end{array}
$$

58. Good reducing agents are easily oxidized. The reducing agents are on the right side of the reduction half-reactions listed in Table 18.1. The best reducing agents have the most negative standard reduction potentials ( $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ ) or the most positive standard oxidation potentials $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ox}}^{0}$ ( $=-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ ). The ordering from worst to best reducing agents is:
59. a. $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.00 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.34 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.34 \mathrm{~V}$; no, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$cannot oxidize Cu to $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ at standard conditions $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}<0\right)$.
b. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.77 \mathrm{~V} ; 2 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.77-0.54=0.23 \mathrm{~V}$; yes, $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ can oxidize $\mathrm{I}^{-}$to $\mathrm{I}_{2}$.
c. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.00 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.80 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.80 \mathrm{~V}$; yes, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ can reduce $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$to Ag at standard conditions $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}>0\right)$.
60. a. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.00 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.23 \mathrm{~V}$ $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}=-0.23 \mathrm{~V}$; no, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ cannot reduce $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ to Ni at standard conditions $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}<0\right)$.
b. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.77 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{VO}_{2}^{+}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{VO}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.00 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}=1.00-0.77=0.23 \mathrm{~V}$; yes, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ can reduce $\mathrm{VO}_{2}{ }^{+}$at standard conditions.
c. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.77 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}^{2+} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.50 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}=0.50-0.77=-1.27 \mathrm{~V}$
No, $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ cannot reduce $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ to $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ at standard conditions ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}<0$ ).
61. 

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.36 \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.80 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pb} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.13 \mathrm{~V} & \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.76 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Na}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Na} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.71 \mathrm{~V} & &
\end{array}
$$

a. Oxidizing agents (species reduced) are on the left side of the preceding reduction halfreactions. Of the species available, $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$would be the best oxidizing agent since it has the largest $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ value. Note that $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is a better oxidizing agent than $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$, but it is not one of the choices listed.
b. Reducing agents (species oxidized) are on the right side of the reduction half-reactions. Of the species available, Zn would be the best reducing agent since it has the largest $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ value.
c. $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.20 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ can oxidize Pb and Zn at standard conditions. When $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is coupled with these reagents, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}$ is positive.
d. $\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-}-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.66 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Al}$ can reduce $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ at standard conditions because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}>0$.
62.

| $\mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.09 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\mathrm{La}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{La}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.37 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.00 \mathrm{~V}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ca}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.76 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cd}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.40 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |  |

a. Oxidizing agents are on the left side of the preceding reduction half-reactions. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ is the best oxidizing agent (largest $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ ).
b. Reducing agents are on the right side of the reduction half-reactions. Ca is the best reducing agent (largest $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ ).
c. $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.51 \mathrm{~V}$; permanganate can oxidize $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Cd}$, and Ca at standard conditions. When $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$is coupled with these reagents, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}$ is positive. Note: La is not one of the choices given in the question or it would have been included.
d. $\mathrm{Zn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.76 \mathrm{~V}$; zinc can reduce $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$beause $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}>0$.
63. a. $2 \mathrm{Br}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.09 \mathrm{~V} ; 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.36 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{E}^{\circ}>1.09 \mathrm{~V}$ to oxidize $\mathrm{Br}^{-} ; \mathrm{E}^{\circ}<1.36 \mathrm{~V}$ to not oxidize $\mathrm{Cl}^{-} ; \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{MnO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{IO}_{3}{ }^{-}$are all possible since when all of these oxidizing agents are coupled with $\mathrm{Br}^{-}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}>0$, and when coupled with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}<0$ (assuming standard conditions).
b. $\mathrm{Mn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.18 ; \mathrm{Ni} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.23 \mathrm{~V}$; any oxidizing agent with $-0.23 \mathrm{~V}>\mathrm{E}^{\circ}>-1.18 \mathrm{~V}$ will work. $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}, \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will be able to oxidize Mn but not Ni (assuming standard conditions).
64. a. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{\quad E} \quad=0.34 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{+} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.16 \mathrm{~V}$; to reduce $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ to Cu but not reduce $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$, the reducing agent must have a standard oxidation potential $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ox}}^{\mathrm{o}}=-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ ) between -0.34 and -0.16 V (so $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}$ is positive only for the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ to Cu reduction). The reducing agents (species oxidized) are on the right side of the halfreactions in Table 18.1. The reagents at standard conditions that have $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ox}}^{0}\left(=-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}\right)$ between -0.34 and -0.16 V are Ag (in $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$.
b. $\mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-} \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.09 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{I}^{-} \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.54 \mathrm{~V}$; from Table 18.1, $\mathrm{VO}^{2+}$, Au (in $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$), $\mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{ClO}_{2}^{-}, \mathrm{Hg}_{2}^{2+}, \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}$are all capable at standard conditions of reducing $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$but not reducing $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{I}^{-}$. When these reagents are coupled with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}>0$, and when coupled with $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}<0$.
65.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{ClO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.90 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.10 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{ClO}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.00 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$ is positive for this reaction, at standard conditions, $\mathrm{ClO}^{-}$can spontaneously oxidize $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to the somewhat toxic $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$.
66. $\mathrm{Tl}^{3+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tl}^{+} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.25 \mathrm{~V}$
$\begin{aligned} 3 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}{ }^{-}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.55 \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{Tl}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tl}^{+}+\mathrm{I}_{3}^{-} & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.70 \mathrm{~V}\end{aligned}$
In solution, $\mathrm{Tl}^{3+}$ can oxidize $\mathrm{I}^{-}$to $\mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}$. Thus we expect $\mathrm{TlI}_{3}$ to be thallium(I) triiodide.

## The Nernst Equation

67. 

| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.78 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left(\mathrm{Ag} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.80 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.98 \mathrm{~V}$ |

a. A galvanic cell is based on spontaneous redox reactions. At standard conditions, this reaction produces a voltage of 0.98 V . Any change in concentration that increases the tendency of the forward reaction to occur will increase the cell potential. Conversely, any change in concentration that decreases the tendency of the forward reaction to occur (increases the tendency of the reverse reaction to occur) will decrease the cell potential. Using Le Chatelier's principle, increasing the reactant concentrations of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ from 1.0 to $2.0 M$ will drive the forward reaction further to right (will further increase the tendency of the forward reaction to occur). Therefore, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}$ will be greater than $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$.
b. Here, we decreased the reactant concentration of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and increased the product concentration of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$from the standard conditions. This decreases the tendency of the forward reaction to occur, which will decrease $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}$ as compared to $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}<\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}\right)$.
68. The concentrations of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ in the two compartments are now 0.01 and $1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$. The driving force for this reaction is to equalize the $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ concentrations in the two compartments. This occurs if the compartment with $1 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ becomes the anode ( Fe will be oxidized to $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ) and the compartment with the 0.01 M Fe 2+ becomes the cathode ( $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ will be reduced to Fe ). Electron flow, as always for galvanic cells, goes from the anode to the cathode, so electron flow will go from the right compartment ( $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right]=1 \times 10^{-7} M$ ) to the left compartment $\left(\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right]=0.01 \mathrm{M}\right)$.
69. For concentration cells, the driving force for the reaction is the difference in ion concentrations between the anode and cathode. In order to equalize the ion concentrations, the anode always has the smaller ion concentration. The general setup for this concentration cell is:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrl}\begin{array}{l}\text { Cathode: } \\ \text { Anode: }\end{array} & \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(x M)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.80 \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{Ag} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(y M)+\mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.80 \mathrm{~V}\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\text {cathode, } x M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\text {anode, } y M) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.00 \mathrm{~V} \\ \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}= & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}=\frac{-0.0591}{1} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}}\end{array}$
For each concentration cell, we will calculate the cell potential using the preceding equation. Remember that the anode always has the smaller ion concentration.
a. Both compartments are at standard conditions $\left(\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}\right)$, so $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0 \mathrm{~V}$. No voltage is produced since no reaction occurs. Concentration cells only produce a voltage when the ion concentrations are not equal.
b. Cathode $=2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$; anode $=1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$; electron flow is always from the anode to the cathode, so electrons flow to the right in the diagram.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\frac{-0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}}=\frac{-0.0591}{1} \log \frac{1.0}{2.0}=0.018 \mathrm{~V}
$$

c. Cathode $=1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$; anode $=0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$; electrons flow to the left in the diagram.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\frac{-0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}}=\frac{-0.0591}{1} \log \frac{0.10}{1.0}=0.059 \mathrm{~V}
$$

d. $\quad$ Cathode $=1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$; anode $=4.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ag}^{+}$; electrons flow to the left in the diagram.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\frac{-0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{4.0 \times 10^{-5}}{1.0}=0.26 \mathrm{~V}
$$

e. The ion concentrations are the same; thus $\log \left(\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }} /\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}\right)=\log (1.0)=0$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0$. No electron flow occurs.
70. As is the case for all concentration cells, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0$, and the smaller ion concentration is always in the anode compartment. The general Nernst equation for the $\mathrm{Ni}\left|\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(x M) \| \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(y M)\right|$ Ni concentration cell is:

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}=\frac{-0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}}
$$

a. Both compartments are at standard conditions $\left(\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]=1.0 M\right)$, and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0 \mathrm{~V}$. No electron flow occurs.
b. Cathode $=2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$; anode $=1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$; electron flow is always from the anode to the cathode, so electrons flow to the right in the diagram.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\frac{-0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}}=\frac{-0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1.0}{2.0}=8.9 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~V}
$$

c. Cathode $=1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$; anode $=0.10 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$; electrons flow to the left in the diagram.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\frac{-0.0591}{2} \log \frac{0.10}{1.0}=0.030 \mathrm{~V}
$$

d. $\quad$ Cathode $=1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$; anode $=4.0 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$; electrons flow to the left in the diagram.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\frac{-0.0591}{2} \log \frac{4.0 \times 10^{-5}}{1.0}=0.13 \mathrm{~V}
$$

e. Because both concentrations are equal, $\log (2.5 / 2.5)=\log 1.0=0$, and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0$. No electron flow occurs.
71. $\mathrm{n}=2$ for this reaction (lead goes from $\mathrm{Pb} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{PbSO}_{4}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\circ}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]^{2}}=2.04 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1}{(4.5)^{2}(4.5)^{2}} \\
& \mathrm{E}=2.04 \mathrm{~V}+0.077 \mathrm{~V}=2.12 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

72. $\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.33 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
\left(\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{--}\right) \times 2
$$

$$
-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.66 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{Al} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}+2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=2.99 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\circ}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{E}=2.99-\frac{0.0591}{6} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{14}}
$$

$$
3.01=2.99-\frac{0.0591}{n} \log \mathrm{Q} \frac{(0.30)^{2}(0.15)^{2}}{(0.55)\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{14}}, \frac{-6(0.02)}{0.0591}=\log \left(\frac{3.7 \times 10^{-3}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{14}}\right)
$$

$$
\frac{3.7 \times 10^{-3}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{14}}=10^{-2.0}=0.01,\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{14}=0.37,\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=0.93=0.9 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=-\log (0.9)=0.05
$$

73. 

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.34 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Zn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.76 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{~s}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Because $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ is a product in the reaction, the $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ concentration increases from 1.00 to 1.20 $M$. This means that the reactant concentration of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ must decrease from 1.00 to 0.80 M (from the $1: 1$ mole ratio in the balanced reaction).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1.20}{0.80}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-0.0052 \mathrm{~V}=1.09 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

74. 

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pb}\right) \times 3 & \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.13 \mathrm{~V} \\
\left(\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2
\end{array} \\
-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.66 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

From the balanced reaction, when the $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ has increased by $0.60 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}\left(\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right.$ is a product in the spontaneous reaction), then the $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ concentration has decreased by $3 / 2(0.60 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})=$ 0.90 M .
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.53 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{6} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}\right]^{3}}=1.53-\frac{0.0591}{6} \log \frac{(1.60)^{2}}{(0.10)^{3}}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.53 \mathrm{~V}-0.034 \mathrm{~V}=1.50 \mathrm{~V}$
75. See Exercises 37, 39, and 41 for balanced reactions and standard cell potentials. Balanced reactions are necessary to determine n , the moles of electrons transferred.

37a. $\quad 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}+6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.03 \mathrm{~V}=0.03 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=-\left(6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.03 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-1.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=-20 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q} ; \text { at equilibrium, } \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0 \text { and } \mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{so}: \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}, \log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{6(0.03)}{0.0591}=3.05, \mathrm{~K}=10^{3.05}=1 \times 10^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: When determining exponents, we will round off to the correct number of significant figures after the calculation is complete in order to help eliminate excessive round-off error.

37b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(2.71 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-5.23 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-523 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\log K=\frac{2(2.71)}{0.0591}=91.709, K=5.12 \times 10^{91}
$$

41a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} ~ \mathrm{e})\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol}^{-}\right)(0.27 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-5.21 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=-52 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $\log K=\frac{2(0.27)}{0.0591}=9.14, K=1.4 \times 10^{9}$

41b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-(10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e})\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.09 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-8.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=-90 \mathrm{~kJ}$
$\log K=\frac{10(0.09)}{0.0591}=15.23, K=2 \times 10^{15}$
76. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}} ; \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{K}, \log \mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}$

38a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-(10 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e})\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.43 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-4.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-410 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $\log \mathrm{K}=\frac{10(0.43)}{0.0591}=72.76, \mathrm{~K}=10^{72.76}=5.8 \times 10^{72}$
38b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} ~ \mathrm{e})\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.56 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-3.01 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-301 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\log K=\frac{2(1.56)}{0.0591}=52.792, K=6.19 \times 10^{52}
$$

42a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\left(2 \mathrm{~mol}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.10 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-2.12 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-212 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\log K=\frac{2(1.10)}{0.0591}=37.225, K=1.68 \times 10^{37}
$$

42b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\left(6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e})(1.14 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-6.60 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-660 . \mathrm{kJ}$

$$
\log K=\frac{6(1.14)}{0.0591}=115.736, \quad K=5.45 \times 10^{115}
$$

77. 

a. $\quad \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}$
$\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.44 \mathrm{~V}$ $\mathrm{Zn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.76 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.32 \mathrm{~V}=0.32 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C}$
b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.32 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-6.2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=-62 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}, \log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{2(0.32)}{0.0591}=10.83, \mathrm{~K}=10^{10.83}=6.8 \times 10^{10}
$$

c. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}=0.32 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right]}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.32-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{0.10}{1.0 \times 10^{-5}}=0.32-0.12=0.20 \mathrm{~V}
$$

78. 

a.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{Au}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Au} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.50 \mathrm{~V} \\
\left(\mathrm{Tl} \rightarrow \mathrm{Tl}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3 & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.34 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{Au}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Tl}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{Tl}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.84 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=-\left(3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.84 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-5.33 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-533 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\log K=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{3(1.84)}{0.0591}=93.401, \mathrm{~K}=10^{93.401}=2.52 \times 10^{93}
$$

c. At $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}$, where $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Tl}^{+}\right]^{3}}{\left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.84 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{3} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Tl}^{+}\right]^{3}}{\left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]}=1.84-\frac{0.0591}{3} \log \frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{3}}{1.0 \times 10^{-2}} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.84-(-0.20)=2.04 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

79. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}-0.00 \mathrm{~V}=0.34 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{n}=2$ mol electrons $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=1.0 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}: \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}$
a. $\quad E_{\text {cell }}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1}{2.5 \times 10^{-4}}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}-0.11 \mathrm{~V}=0.23 \mathrm{~V}$
b. $\begin{array}{r}0.195 \mathrm{~V}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}, \log \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}=4.91,\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=10^{-4.91} \\ =1.2 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\end{array}$

Note: When determining exponents, we will carry extra significant figures.
80. $\quad 3 \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=-0.23+1.66=1.43 \mathrm{~V} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{~mol}$ electrons for this reaction.
a. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.43 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{6} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]^{3}}=1.43-\frac{0.0591}{6} \log \frac{\left(7.2 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{2}}{(1.0)^{3}}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.43 \mathrm{~V}-(-0.042 \mathrm{~V})=1.47 \mathrm{~V}
$$

b. $1.62 \mathrm{~V}=1.43 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{6} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}}{(1.0)^{3}}, \log \left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}=-19.29$

$$
\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}=10^{-19.29},\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]=2.3 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}
$$

81. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}-0.00 \mathrm{~V}=0.34 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{n}=2$
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}=1.0$ atm and $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}: \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}$
Use the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ expression to calculate the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration in the cell.
$\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.6 \times 10^{-19}=\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{2}$
From problem, $\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=0.10 \mathrm{M}$, so: $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=\frac{1.6 \times 10^{-19}}{(0.10)^{2}}=1.6 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1}{1.6 \times 10^{-17}}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.34-0.50=-0.16 \mathrm{~V}$
Because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}<0$, the forward reaction is not spontaneous, but the reverse reaction is spontaneous. The Cu electrode becomes the anode and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.16 \mathrm{~V}$ for the reverse reaction. The cell reaction is $2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$.
82. $\quad 3 \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.23 \mathrm{~V}+1.66 \mathrm{~V}=1.43 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{n}=6$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]^{3}}, 1.82 \mathrm{~V}=1.43 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{6} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}}{(1.0)^{3}} \\
& \log \left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}=-39.59,\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]^{2}=10^{-39.59}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]=1.6 \times 10^{-20} \mathrm{M} \\
& \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Al}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]^{3} ; \text { from the problem, }\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right] \\
& =1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M} . \\
& \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left(1.6 \times 10^{-20}\right)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{3}=1.6 \times 10^{-32}
\end{aligned}
$$

83. Cathode: $\mathrm{M}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{s})$

$$
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.31 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Anode: $\quad \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$$
-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.31 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
\mathrm{M}^{2+}(\text { cathode }) \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{2+} \text { (anode) } \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.00 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.44 \mathrm{~V}=0.00 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}}, 0.44=-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{1.0}
$$

$$
\log \left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]_{\text {anode }}=-\frac{2(0.44)}{0.0591}=-14.89,\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]_{\text {anode }}=1.3 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M}
$$

Because we started with equal numbers of moles of $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{M}^{2+},\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$ at equilibrium.
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{M}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=\left(1.3 \times 10^{-15}\right)^{2}=1.7 \times 10^{-30}$
84. a. $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$(x $M$, anode) $\rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}\left(0.10 M\right.$, cathode); for the silver concentration cell, $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.00$ (as is always the case for concentration cells) and $\mathrm{n}=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}=0.76 \mathrm{~V}=0.00-\frac{0.0591}{1} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}} \\
& 0.76=-(0.0591) \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{0.10}, \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{0.10}=10^{-12.86},\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}=1.4 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})$

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{3-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]^{2}}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}{1.4 \times 10^{-14}(0.050)^{2}}=2.9 \times 10^{13}
$$

85. a. Possible reaction: $\mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.54 \mathrm{~V}-1.36 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
=-0.82 \mathrm{~V}
$$

This reaction is not spontaneous at standard conditions because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}<0$; no reaction occurs.
b. Possible reaction: $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.82 \mathrm{~V}$; this reaction is spontaneous at standard conditions because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}>0$. The reaction will occur.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.82 \mathrm{~V}=0.82 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e})(0.82 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-1.6 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-160 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}, \log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{2(0.82)}{0.0591}=27.75, \mathrm{~K}=10^{27.75}=5.6 \times 10^{27}
\end{aligned}
$$

c. Possible reaction: $2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.46 \mathrm{~V}$; no reaction occurs.
d. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ can be oxidized or reduced. The other species present are $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ from air. Only $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{H}^{+}$has a large enough standard reduction potential to oxidize $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ (resulting in $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}>0$ ). All other combinations, including the possible reduction of $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$, give negative cell potentials. The spontaneous reaction is:

$$
4 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.23-0.77=0.46 \mathrm{~V}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-\left(4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.46 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})(1 \mathrm{~kJ} / 1000 \mathrm{~J})=-180 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $\log \mathrm{K}=\frac{4(0.46)}{0.0591}=31.13, \mathrm{~K}=1.3 \times 10^{31}$
86.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. } \mathrm{Cu}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.52 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \mathrm{Cu}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \\
& -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.16 \mathrm{~V} \\
& 2 \mathrm{Cu}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.36 \mathrm{~V} \text {; spontaneous } \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE} \mathrm{cell}_{0}^{0}=-\left(1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}{ }^{-}\right)(0.36 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-34,700 \mathrm{~J}=-35 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}, \log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{1(0.36)}{0.0591}=6.09, K=10^{6.09}=1.2 \times 10^{6} \\
& \text { b. } \quad \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe} \\
& \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.44 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2 \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.77 \mathrm{~V} \\
& 3 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-1.21 \mathrm{~V} \text {; not spontaneous }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { c. } \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{HClO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{HClO}^{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{HClO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.65 \mathrm{~V} \\
-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.21 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array} \\
& 2 \mathrm{HClO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{HClO}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned} \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.44 \mathrm{~V} ; \text { spontaneous }
$$

87. 
88. $2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.80-0.34=0.46 \mathrm{~V}$ and $\mathrm{n}=2$

Because $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.46 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]$.
Use the equilibrium reaction to calculate the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration in the cell.

$$
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}}=1.0 \times 10^{13}
$$

From the problem, $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]=5.0 \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}\right]=0.010 \mathrm{M}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
1.0 \times 10^{13}=\frac{0.010}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right](5.0)^{4}},\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=1.6 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{M} \\
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.46-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \left(1.6 \times 10^{-18}\right)=0.46-(-0.53)=0.99 \mathrm{~V}
\end{gathered}
$$

89. The $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ reaction is $\mathrm{FeS}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$. Manipulate the given equations so that when added together we get the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ reaction. Then we can use the value of $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}$ for the reaction to determine $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}$ (by using the equation $\log \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{nE} / 0.0591$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{FeS}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}+\mathrm{S}^{2-} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.01 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Fe} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.44 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.57 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\log \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{2(-0.57)}{0.0591}=-19.29, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=10^{-19.29}=5.1 \times 10^{-20}
$$

90. 

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.66 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Al}+6 \mathrm{~F}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{AlF}_{6}{ }^{3-}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=2.07 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{AlF}_{6}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.41 \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{~K}=? \\
\log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{3(0.41)}{0.0591}=20.81, \mathrm{~K}=10^{20.81}=6.5 \times 10^{20}
\end{array}
$$

91. 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{e}^{-}+\mathrm{AgI} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{I}^{-} & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{AgI}}^{0}=? \\
\mathrm{Ag} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.80 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{AgI}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{AgI}}^{\mathrm{o}}-0.80, \quad \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.5 \times 10^{-16}
\end{array}
$$

For this overall reaction:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}_{\text {sp }}=\frac{0.0591}{1} \log \left(1.5 \times 10^{-16}\right)=-0.94 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}=-0.94 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{AgI}}^{\circ}-0.80 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{AgI}}^{\circ}=-0.94+0.80=-0.14 \mathrm{~V}
\end{gathered}
$$

92. 

| $\mathrm{CuI}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{I}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{CuI}}^{0}=?$ <br> $\mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{E}=-0.52 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{CuI}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {CuI }}^{0}-0.52 \mathrm{~V}$ |

For this overall reaction, $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=1.1 \times 10^{-12}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}_{\text {sp }}=\frac{0.0591}{1} \log \left(1.1 \times 10^{-12}\right)=-0.71 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.71 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{CuI}}^{0}-0.52, \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{CuI}}^{\mathrm{o}}=-0.19 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Electrolysis

93. a. $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al} ; 3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}$ - are needed to produce 1 mol Al from $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$.

$$
1.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{26.98 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Al}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{100.0 \mathrm{C}}=1.07 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~s}
$$

b. $\quad 1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ni} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ni}}{58.69 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ni}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ni}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{100.0 \mathrm{C}}=33 \mathrm{~s}$
c. $\quad 5.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ag}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{100.0 \mathrm{C}}=4.8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~s}=1.3$ hours
94. The oxidation state of bismuth in $\mathrm{BiO}^{+}$is +3 because oxygen has a -2 oxidation state in this ion. Therefore, 3 moles of electrons are required to reduce the bismuth in $\mathrm{BiO}^{+}$to $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{s})$.
$10.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Bi} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Bi}}{209.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Bi}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Bi}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{25.0 \mathrm{C}}=554 \mathrm{~s}=9.23 \mathrm{~min}$
95. $15 \mathrm{~A}=\frac{15 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}}=5.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{C}$ of charge passed in 1 hour
a. $\quad 5.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Co}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{58.93 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Co}}=16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Co}$
b. $\quad 5.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Hf}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{178.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hf}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Hf}}=25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Hf}$
c. $2 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} ; 5.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{I}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{253.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{I}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{I}_{2}}=71 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{I}_{2}$
d. $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}^{6+}+3 \mathrm{O}^{2-} ; 6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}$ e- are needed to produce 1 mol Cr from molten $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}$.

$$
5.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}}{6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{52.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}}=4.9 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr}
$$

96. Al is in the +3 oxidation in $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, so 3 mol e ${ }^{-}$are needed to convert $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ into $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s})$.

97. $\quad 74.1 \mathrm{~s} \times \frac{2.00 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=5.12 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}$, where $\mathrm{M}=$ unknown metal

Molar mass $=\frac{0.107 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{5.12 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}=\frac{209 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}$; the element is bismuth.
98. Alkaline earth metals form +2 ions, so 2 mol of $\mathrm{e}^{-}$are transferred to form the metal M .

Moles of M $=748 \mathrm{~s} \times \frac{5.00 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}_{2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}}=1.94 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}$
Molar mass of $\mathrm{M}=\frac{0.471 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{1.94 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}=24.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ was electrolyzed.
99. $\quad \mathrm{F}_{2}$ is produced at the anode: $2 \mathrm{~F}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$$
2.00 \mathrm{~h} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{10.0 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}}=0.746 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}
$$

$0.746 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=0.373 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F}_{2} ; \mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}$
$\frac{(0.373 \mathrm{~mol})(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}}=9.12 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$
$K$ is produced at the cathode: $\mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$
$0.746 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} ~=\frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{39.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}}=29.2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}$
100. The half-reactions for the electrolysis of water are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}\right) \times 2 \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
\end{aligned}
$$

Note: $4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$react to give $4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{n}=4$ for this reaction.
$15.0 \mathrm{~min} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{2.50 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=1.17 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
At STP, 1 mol of an ideal gas occupies a volume of 22.42 L (see Chapter 5 of the text).
$1.17 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{22.42 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=0.262 \mathrm{~L}=262 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2}$
$1.17 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}} \times \frac{22.42 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}_{2}}=0.131 \mathrm{~L}=131 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
101. $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al} ; 3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}$ - are needed to produce Al from $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ $2000 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{Al} \times \frac{453.6 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{26.98 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Al}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=1 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{C}$ of electricity needed $\frac{1 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{C}}{24 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{60 \mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~min}}{60 \mathrm{~s}}=1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s}=1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~A}$
102. Barium is in the +2 oxidation state in $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2} . \mathrm{Ba}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba}$
$1.00 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g}$ Ba $\times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}}{137.3 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ba}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=1.41 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{C}$ of electricity needed $\frac{1.41 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{C}}{4.00 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}=9.79 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~A}$


$$
\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=2.86 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}^{+} / 0.250 \mathrm{~L}=1.14 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}
$$

104. $0.50 \mathrm{~L} \times 0.010 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Pt}^{4+} / \mathrm{L}=5.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Pt}^{4+}$

To plate out $99 \%$ of the $\mathrm{Pt}^{4+}$, we will produce $0.99 \times 5.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Pt}$.
$0.99 \times 5.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Pt} \times \frac{4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Pt}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{4.00 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ag}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=480 \mathrm{~s}$
105. $\mathrm{Au}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Au} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.50 \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.23 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.80 \mathrm{~V} \quad \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cd} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.40 \mathrm{~V}$
$2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V} \quad$ (Water can also be reduced.)
$\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{s})$ will plate out first since it has the most positive reduction potential, followed by $\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})$, which is followed by $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s})$, and finally $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{s})$ will plate out last since it has the most negative reduction potential of the metals listed. Water will not interfere with the plating process.
106. To begin plating out Pd:

$$
\mathrm{E}=0.62-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]^{4}}{\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}=0.62-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{(1.0)^{4}}{0.020}
$$

$\mathrm{E}=0.62 \mathrm{~V}-0.050 \mathrm{~V}=0.57 \mathrm{~V}$
When $99 \%$ of Pd has plated out, $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{4}^{-}\right]=\frac{0.020}{100}=0.00020 \mathrm{M}$.

$$
\mathrm{E}=0.62-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{(1.0)^{4}}{2.0 \times 10^{-4}}=0.62 \mathrm{~V}-0.11 \mathrm{~V}=0.51 \mathrm{~V}
$$

To begin Pt plating: $\mathrm{E}=0.73 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{(1.0)^{4}}{0.020}=0.73-0.050=0.68 \mathrm{~V}$
When $99 \%$ of Pt plated: $\mathrm{E}=0.73-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{(1.0)^{4}}{2.0 \times 10^{-4}}=0.73-0.11=0.62 \mathrm{~V}$
To begin Ir plating: $\mathrm{E}=0.77 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{3} \log \frac{(1.0)^{4}}{0.020}=0.77-0.033=0.74 \mathrm{~V}$
When $99 \%$ of Ir plated: $\mathrm{E}=0.77-\frac{0.0591}{3} \log \frac{(1.0)^{4}}{2.0 \times 10^{-4}}=0.77-0.073=0.70 \mathrm{~V}$
Yes, because the range of potentials for plating out each metal does not overlap, we should be able to separate the three metals. The exact potential to apply depends on the oxidation reaction. The order of plating will be $\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{s})$ first, followed by $\operatorname{Pt}(\mathrm{s})$, and finally, $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{s})$ as the potential is gradually increased.
107. Species present: $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$can be reduced and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ can be oxidized. From the potentials, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is the most easily reduced and the most easily oxidized species present. This is the case because water, of the species present, has the most positive reduction potential as well as the most positive oxidation potential. The reactions are:

Cathode: $\quad 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$; anode: $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
108. a. The spoon is where $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is reduced to Cu , so the spoon will be the cathode. The anode will be the copper strip where Cu is oxidized to $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$.
b. Cathode reaction: $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}$; anode reaction: $\mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
109. Reduction occurs at the cathode, and oxidation occurs at the anode. First, determine all the species present; then look up pertinent reduction and/or oxidation potentials in Table 18.1 for all these species. The cathode reaction will be the reaction with the most positive reduction potential, and the anode reaction will be the reaction with the most positive oxidation potential.
a. Species present: $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Br}^{-} ; \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ can be reduced to Ni , and $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$can be oxidized to $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (from Table 18.1). The reactions are:

Cathode: $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.23 \mathrm{~V}$
Anode: $\quad 2 \mathrm{Br}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.09 \mathrm{~V}$
b. Species present: $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$; $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ can be reduced, and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$can be oxidized. The reactions are:

Cathode: $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.66 \mathrm{~V}$
Anode: $\quad 2 \mathrm{~F}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.87 \mathrm{~V}$
c. Species present: $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{I}^{-} ; \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ can be reduced, and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$can be oxidized. The reactions are:

$$
\begin{array}{llr}
\text { Cathode: } & \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.18 \mathrm{~V} \\
\text { Anode: } & 2 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

110. Reduction occurs at the cathode, and oxidation occurs at the anode. First, determine all the species present; then look up pertinent reduction and/or oxidation potentials in Table 18.1 for all these species. The cathode reaction will be the reaction with the most positive reduction potential, and the anode reaction will be the reaction with the most positive oxidation potential.
a. Species present: $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}^{-} ; \mathrm{K}^{+}$can be reduced to K , and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$can be oxidized to $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ (from Table 18.1). The reactions are:

Cathode: $\mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K}$

$$
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.92 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Anode: $2 \mathrm{~F}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.87 \mathrm{~V}$
b. Species present: $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-} ; \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ can be reduced, and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$can be oxidized. The reactions are:

Cathode: $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}$
Anode: $2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.36 \mathrm{~V}$
c. Species present: $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{I}^{-} ; \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ can be reduced, and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$can be oxidized. The reactions are:

Cathode: $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.37 \mathrm{~V}$
Anode: $2 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V}$
111. These are all in aqueous solutions, so we must also consider the reduction and oxidation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in addition to the potential redox reactions of the ions present. For the cathode reaction, the species with the most positive reduction potential will be reduced, and for the anode reaction, the species with the most positive oxidation potential will be oxidized.
a. Species present: $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Possible cathode reactions are:

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.23 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Because it is easier to reduce $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (assuming standard conditions), $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ will be reduced by the preceding cathode reaction.

Possible anode reactions are:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
2 \mathrm{Br}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.09 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} & & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.23 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Because $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$is easier to oxidize than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (assuming standard conditions), $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$will be oxidized by the preceding anode reaction.
b. Species present: $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}, \mathrm{F}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be reduced. The reduction potentials are $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.66 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (assuming standard conditions). $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will be reduced at the cathode ( $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$).
$\mathrm{F}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be oxidized. The oxidation potentials are $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.87 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{F}^{-}$and $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ $=-1.23 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (assuming standard conditions). From the potentials, we would predict $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to be oxidized at the anode ( $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-}$).
c. Species present: $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}, \mathrm{I}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be reduced. The possible cathode reactions are:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.18 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will occur at the cathode since $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{0}$ is most positive.
$I^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be oxidized. The possible anode reactions are:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
2 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.23 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Oxidation of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$will occur at the anode since $-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}^{-}}^{0}$ is most positive.
112. These are all in aqueous solutions, so we must also consider the reduction and oxidation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in addition to the potential redox reactions of the ions present. For the cathode reaction, the species with the most positive reduction potential will be reduced, and for the anode reaction, the species with the most positive oxidation potential will be oxidized.
a. Species present: $\mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{F}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Possible cathode reactions are:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.92 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Because it is easier to reduce $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ than $\mathrm{K}^{+}$(assuming standard conditions), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will be reduced by the preceding cathode reaction.

Possible anode reactions are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{~F}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{F}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.87 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.23 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Because $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is easier to oxidize than $\mathrm{F}^{-}$(assuming standard conditions), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will be oxidized by the preceding anode reaction.
b. Species present: $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}, \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be reduced. The reduction potentials are $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (assuming standard conditions). $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ will be reduced to Cu at the cathode ( $\left.\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}\right)$.
$\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be oxidized. The oxidation potentials are $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.36 \mathrm{~V}^{\text {for }} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.23 \mathrm{~V}$ for $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (assuming standard conditions). From the potentials, we would predict $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to be oxidized at the anode ( $\left.2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{e}\right)$. Note: In real life, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is oxidized to $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ when water is present due to a phenomenon called overvoltage (see Section 18.8 of the text). Because overvoltage is difficult to predict, we will generally ignore it.
c. Species present: $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}, \mathrm{I}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : The only possible cathode reactions are:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.37 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ will occur at the cathode since $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{0}$ is more positive.

The only possible anode reactions are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{I}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.54 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.23 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Oxidation of $\mathrm{I}^{-}$will occur at the anode because $-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{0}$ is more positive.

## Additional Exercises

113. The oxidation state of gold in $\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}{ }^{-}$is +1 . Each mole of gold produced requires 1 mole of electrons gained $(+1 \rightarrow 0)$. The only oxygen containing reactant is $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Each mole of oxygen goes from the $-2 \rightarrow 0$ oxidation state as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is converted into $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. One mole of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ contains 2 moles of O , so 4 moles of electrons are lost when 1 mole of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is formed. In order to balance the electrons, we need 4.00 moles of gold for every mole of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ produced or 0.250 moles of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ for every 1.00 mole of gold formed.
114. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2\left(6 \mathrm{e}^{-}+14 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}\right.\left.\rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \\
& 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+12 \mathrm{H}^{+}+12 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
& \hline 16 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+2 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& 0.03105 \mathrm{~L}\left(\frac{0.0600 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}}{\mathrm{L}}\right)\left(\frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}^{2-}}\right)\left(\frac{46.07 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}\right)=0.0429 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH} \\
& \frac{0.0429 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{30.0 \mathrm{~g} \text { blood }} \times 100=0.143 \% \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}
\end{aligned}
$$

115. The half-reaction for the SCE is:

$$
\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Hg}+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {SCE }}=0.242 \mathrm{~V}
$$

For a spontaneous reaction to occur, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}$ must be positive. Using the standard reduction potentials in Table 18.1 and the given the SCE potential, deduce which combination will produce a positive overall cell potential.
a. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.34 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.34-0.242=0.10 \mathrm{~V} \text {; SCE is the anode. }
$$

b. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.77 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.77-0.242=0.53 \mathrm{~V} \text {; SCE is the anode. }
$$

c. $\mathrm{AgCl}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{Cl}^{-} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.22 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.242-0.22=0.02 \mathrm{~V} \text {; SCE is the cathode. }
$$

d. $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.66 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.242+1.66=1.90 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{SCE} \text { is the cathode. }
$$

e. $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.23 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.242+0.23=0.47 \mathrm{~V} ; \text { SCE is the cathode. }
$$

116. The potential oxidizing agents are $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{H}^{+}$. Hydrogen ion cannot oxidize Pt under either condition. Nitrate cannot oxidize Pt unless there is $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$in the solution. Aqua regia has both $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$. The overall reaction is:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{NO}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2 & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.96 \mathrm{~V} \\
\left(4 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+\mathrm{Pt}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{PtCl}_{4}^{2-}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3 & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.755 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 12 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Pt}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{PtCl}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\circ}=0.21 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

117. $\quad \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.80-0.34 \mathrm{~V}=0.46 \mathrm{~V}$; a galvanic cell produces a voltage as the forward reaction occurs. Any stress that increases the tendency of the forward reaction to occur will increase the cell potential, whereas a stress that decreases the tendency of the forward reaction to occur will decrease the cell potential.
a. Added $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ (a product ion) will decrease the tendency of the forward reaction to occur, which will decrease the cell potential.
b. Added $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ removes $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ in the form of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$. Because a product ion is removed, this will increase the tendency of the forward reaction to occur, which will increase the cell potential.
c. Added $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$removes $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$in the form of $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$. Because a reactant ion is removed, this will decrease the tendency of the forward reaction to occur, which will decrease the cell potential.
d. $\mathrm{Q}_{1}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]_{0}}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}^{2}}$; as the volume of solution is doubled, each concentration is halved.
$\mathrm{Q}_{2}=\frac{1 / 2\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]_{0}}{\left(1 / 2\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}\right)^{2}}=\frac{2\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]_{0}}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{0}^{2}}=2 \mathrm{Q}_{1}$
The reaction quotient is doubled because the concentrations are halved. Because reactions are spontaneous when $\mathrm{Q}<\mathrm{K}$, and because Q increases when the solution volume doubles, the reaction is closer to equilibrium, which will decrease the cell potential.
e. Because $\operatorname{Ag}(\mathrm{s})$ is not a reactant in this spontaneous reaction, and because solids do not appear in the reaction quotient expressions, replacing the silver electrode with a platinum electrode will have no effect on the cell potential.
118. 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\begin{array}{cl}
\left(\mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}\right) \times 2 \\
\left(\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3 & \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.66 \mathrm{~V} \\
-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=?
\end{array} \\
\hline 3 \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{M}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}-1.66 \mathrm{~V} \\
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{0},-411 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=-(6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \\
-
\end{array}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}, \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.71 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}-1.66 \mathrm{~V}=0.71 \mathrm{~V},-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=2.37 \text { or } \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-2.37 &
\end{array}
$$

From Table 18.1, the reduction potential for $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mg}$ is -2.37 V , which fits the data. Hence, the metal is magnesium.
119.
a. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { products }}^{0}-\sum \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \text { reactants }}^{0}=2(-480)+.3(86)-[3(-40)]=.-582 \mathrm{~kJ}$

From oxidation numbers, $\mathrm{n}=6 . \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}^{\circ}, \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{nF}}=\frac{-(-582,000 \mathrm{~J})}{6(96,485) \mathrm{C}}=1.01 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\log \mathrm{K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{6(1.01)}{0.0591}=102.538, \mathrm{~K}=10^{102.538}=3.45 \times 10^{102}
$$

b.

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\left.2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right) \times 3 & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}}^{0}=? \\
\left(\mathrm{Al} \rightarrow \mathrm{Al}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2 & & \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.66 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 3 \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{~S}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.01 \mathrm{~V}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}}^{0}+1.66 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{~S}}^{0}=1.01 \mathrm{~V}-1.66 \mathrm{~V}=-0.65 \mathrm{~V}
$$

120. $\mathrm{Zn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.76 \mathrm{~V} ; \quad \mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.44 \mathrm{~V}$

It is easier to oxidize Zn than Fe , so the Zn would be preferentially oxidized, protecting the iron of the Monitor's hull.
121. Aluminum has the ability to form a durable oxide coating over its surface. Once the HCl dissolves this oxide coating, Al is exposed to $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and is easily oxidized to $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$, i.e., the Al foil disappears after the oxide coating is dissolved.
122. Only statement e is true. The attached metals that are more easily oxidized than iron are called sacrificial metals. For statement a, corrosion is a spontaneous process, like the ones harnessed to make galvanic cells. For statement b, corrosion of steel is the oxidation of iron coupled with the reduction of oxygen. For statement c, cars rust more easily in high-moisture areas (the humid areas) because water is a reactant in the reduction half-reaction as well as providing a medium for ion migration (a salt bridge of sorts). For statement d, salting roads adds ions to the corrosion process, which increases the conductivity of the aqueous solution and, in turn, accelerates corrosion.
123. For $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}$ has $\mathrm{a}+1$ oxidation state, and O has a -2 oxidation state. This dictates a -2 oxidation state for C . For $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, O has a -2 oxidation state, so carbon has a +4 oxidation state.

Six moles of electrons are transferred per mole of carbon oxidized (C goes from $-2 \rightarrow+4$ ). Two moles of carbon are in the balanced reaction, so $\mathrm{n}=12$.
$\mathrm{w}_{\text {max }}=-1320 \mathrm{~kJ}=\Delta \mathrm{G}=-\mathrm{nFE},-1320 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}=-\mathrm{nFE}=-\left(12 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \mathrm{E}$
$\mathrm{E}=1.14 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C}=1.14 \mathrm{~V}$
124. $2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$; oxygen goes from the zero oxidation state to the -2 oxidation state in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Because 2 mol of O are in the balanced reaction, $\mathrm{n}=4$ moles of electrons transferred.
a. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{K}=\frac{0.0591}{4} \log \left(1.28 \times 10^{83}\right), \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.23 \mathrm{~V}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=-\left(4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e})(1.23 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-4.75 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-475 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b. Because the moles of gas decrease as reactants are converted into products, $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ will be negative (unfavorable). Because the value of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is negative, $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ must be negative to override the unfavorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}\right)$.
c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{w}_{\max }=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$. Because $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is negative, as T increases, $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ becomes more positive (closer to zero). Therefore, $\mathrm{w}_{\max }$ will decrease as T increases.
125.

| $\mathrm{O}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.40 \mathrm{~V}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $2 \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.83 \mathrm{~V}$ |  |

Because standard conditions are assumed, $\mathrm{w}_{\text {max }}=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ for $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ produced.
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-\left(4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.23 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-475,000 \mathrm{~J}=-475 \mathrm{~kJ}$
For $1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ produced, $\mathrm{w}_{\max }$ is:

$$
1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{-475 \mathrm{~kJ}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=-13,200 \mathrm{~kJ}=\mathrm{w}_{\max }
$$

The work done can be no larger than the free energy change. The best that could happen is that all of the free energy released would go into doing work, but this does not occur in any real process because there is always waste energy in a real process. Fuel cells are more efficient in converting chemical energy into electrical energy; they are also less massive. The major disadvantage is that they are expensive. In addition, $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ are an explosive mixture if ignited; much more so than fossil fuels.
126. Cadmium goes from the zero oxidation state to the +2 oxidation state in $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. Because 1 mol of Cd appears in the balanced reaction, $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{~mol}$ electrons transferred. At standard conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{w}_{\max }=\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}^{\circ} \\
& \mathrm{w}_{\max }=-(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e})\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.10 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-2.12 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-212 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{aligned}
$$

127. $\left(\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{O}^{2-} \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2$

$$
\mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{O}^{2-}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CO}_{2} \\
\Delta \mathrm{G}=-\mathrm{nFE}, \quad \mathrm{E}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}}{\mathrm{nF}}=\frac{-\left(-380 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right)}{\left(4 \mathrm{~mole} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)}=0.98 \mathrm{~V}
\end{gathered}
$$

128. For a spontaneous process, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}>0$. In each electron transfer step, we need to couple a reduction half-reaction with an oxidation half-reaction. To determine the correct order, each step must have a positive cell potential in order to be spontaneous. The only possible order for spontaneous electron transfer is:

Step 1:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{cyta}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \operatorname{cyt~a}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right) & \mathrm{E}=0.385 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cyt~c}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}=-0.254 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)+\operatorname{cyt~c}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{cyt~a}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right)+\operatorname{cyt~c}\left(\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)\right. & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.131 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Step 2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right) & \mathrm{E}=0.254 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right) & \rightarrow \mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}=-0.030 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)+\mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right) & \rightarrow \mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right)+\mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{~b}\left(\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)\right. & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.224 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3 would involve the reduction half-reaction of $\mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right)+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{cyt} \mathrm{b}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right)$ coupled with some oxidation half-reaction.

This is the only order that utilizes all three cytochromes and has each step with a positive cell potential. Therefore, electron transport through these cytochromes occurs from cytochrome a to cytochrome c to cytochrome b to some other substance and eventually to oxygen in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.
129. $\frac{150 . \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{60 \mathrm{~min}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~min}}{60 \mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{108.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}$

$$
=7.44 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{s} \text {, or a current of } 7.44 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~A}
$$

130. If the metal $M$ forms $1+$ ions, then the atomic mass of $M$ would be:

$$
\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{M}=150 . \mathrm{s} \times \frac{1.25 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=1.94 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}
$$

Atomic mass of $\mathrm{M}=\frac{0.109 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{1.94 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}=56.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
From the periodic table, the only metal with an atomic mass close to $56.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ is iron, but iron does not form stable $1+$ ions. If M forms $2+$ ions, then the atomic mass would be:

$$
\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{M}=150 . \mathrm{s} \times \frac{1.25 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=9.72 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}
$$

Atomic mass of $\mathrm{M}=\frac{0.109 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{M}}{9.72 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{M}}=112 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Cadmium has an atomic mass of $112.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ and does form stable $2+$ ions. $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ is a much more logical choice than $\mathrm{Fe}^{+}$.
131. $15 \mathrm{kWh}=\frac{15000 \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}}=5.4 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~J}$ or $5.4 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~kJ} \quad$ (Hall-Heroult process)

To melt 1.0 kg Al requires: $1.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Al} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}{26.98 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{10.7 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Al}}=4.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~kJ}$
It is feasible to recycle Al by melting the metal because, in theory, it takes less than $1 \%$ of the energy required to produce the same amount of Al by the Hall-Heroult process.
132. In the electrolysis of aqueous sodium chloride, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is reduced in preference to $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ is oxidized in preference to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The anode reaction is $2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$, and the cathode reaction is $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$. The overall reaction is:

$$
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

From the $1: 1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ratio between $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in the overall balanced reaction, if 257 L of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ is produced, then 257 L of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ will also be produced because moles and volume of gas are directly proportional at constant T and P (see Chapter 5 of text).
133. Moles of $\mathrm{e}^{-}=50.0 \mathrm{~min} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{2.50 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}}=7.77 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}$

Moles of $\mathrm{Ru}=2.618 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ru} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ru}}{101.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ru}}=2.590 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ru}$
$\frac{\text { Moles of } \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\text {Moles of } \mathrm{Ru}}=\frac{7.77 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{2.590 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ru}}=3.00$; the charge on the ruthenium ions is +3 .

$$
\left(\mathrm{Ru}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ru}\right)
$$

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 134-139 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

140. a. $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ dissociates to $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$. For simplicity, let's use $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$separately.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \\
\left(2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \times 3 \\
\\
6 \mathrm{H}^{+}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \\
\left(\mathrm{H}^{+}+4 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+\mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow \mathrm{HFeCl}_{4}\right. \\
\left.2 \mathrm{HFeCl}_{4}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2 \\
2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+8 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HFeCl}_{4}+6 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+8 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{Fe} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HFeCl}_{4}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}
\end{array} \\
& \text { or } 8 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{HFeCl}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
\end{aligned}
$$

b.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-} & \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-} \\
3 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-} & \left(3 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 8 \\
3 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}+9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} &
\end{array}
$$

$$
16 \mathrm{e}^{-}+18 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}+9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

$$
16 \mathrm{e}^{-}+18 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}+9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

$$
24 \mathrm{I}^{-} \rightarrow 8 \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}+16 \mathrm{e}^{-}
$$

$$
18 \mathrm{H}^{+}+24 \mathrm{I}^{-}+3 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 9 \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}+9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

Reducing: $6 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+8 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{I}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
c. $\left(\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ce}^{3+}\right) \times 97$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NCS})_{6}{ }_{6}^{4-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}+\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-} \\
54 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NCS})_{6}^{4-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+6 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+108 \mathrm{H}^{+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Charge on left $=-4$. Charge on right $=+3+6(-1)+6(-2)+108(+1)=+93$. Add $97 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ to the product side, and then add the two balanced half-reactions with a common factor of $97 \mathrm{e}^{-}$transferred.

$$
\begin{aligned}
54 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{NCS})_{6}{ }^{4-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}^{3+}+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}+6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+6 \mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+108 \mathrm{H}^{+}+97 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
97 \mathrm{e}^{-}+97 \mathrm{Ce}^{4+} & \rightarrow 97 \mathrm{Ce}^{3+}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is very complicated. A check of the net charge is a good check to see if the equation is balanced. Left: Charge $=97(+4)-4=+384$. Right: Charge $=97(+3)+3+6(-1)+$ $6(-2)+108(+1)=+384$.
d.
$\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{CrI}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-} & \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\ \left(16 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CrI}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}+3 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}+32 \mathrm{H}^{+}+27 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2 & \left(2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right) \times 27\end{array}$

Common factor is a transfer of $54 \mathrm{e}^{-}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
54 \mathrm{e}^{-}+27 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 54 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \\
32 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{CrI}_{3} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}+6 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}+64 \mathrm{H}^{+}+54 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\hline 32 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{CrI}_{3}+27 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 54 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}+6 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}+64 \mathrm{H}^{+}
\end{gathered}
$$

Add $64 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$to both sides and convert $64 \mathrm{H}^{+}$into $64 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
$64 \mathrm{OH}^{-}+32 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{CrI}_{3}+27 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 54 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}+2 \mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+6 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}+64 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Reducing gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
64 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{CrI}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+27 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 54 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) & +6 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& +32 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{aligned}
$$

e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ce}^{4+} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{OH})_{3} \\
\left(\mathrm{e}^{-}+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}\right) \times 61
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \\
& \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-} \\
& \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+6 \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

There are 39 extra O atoms on right. Add $39 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to left; then add $75 \mathrm{H}^{+}$to right to balance $\mathrm{H}^{+}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
39 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-} \rightarrow & \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+6 \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+75 \mathrm{H}^{+} \\
\text {Net charge }=4- & \text { Net charge }=57+
\end{array}
$$

Add $61 \mathrm{e}^{-}$to the product side, and then add the two balanced half-reactions with a common factor of $61 \mathrm{e}^{-}$transferred.
$39 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+6 \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+75 \mathrm{H}^{+}+61 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ $61 \mathrm{e}^{-}+183 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+61 \mathrm{Ce}^{4+} \rightarrow 61 \mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+183 \mathrm{H}^{+}$
$222 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}+61 \mathrm{Ce}^{4+} \rightarrow 61 \mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}+6 \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}+258 \mathrm{H}^{+}$
Adding $258 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$to each side and then reducing gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
258 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{4-}(\mathrm{aq})+61 \mathrm{Ce}^{4+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \rightarrow 61 \mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) \\
& +6 \mathrm{CO}_{3}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+36 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})
\end{aligned}
$$

141. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}, \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{0}}{\mathrm{nF}}-\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{0}}{\mathrm{nF}}$

If we graph $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ versus T we should get a straight line $(y=m x+b)$. The slope of the line is equal to $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} / \mathrm{nF}$, and the $y$ intercept is equal to $-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} / \mathrm{nF}$. From the preceding equation, $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ will have a small temperature dependence when $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is close to zero.
142. a. We can calculate $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ from $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ and then $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ from $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}$, or we can use the equation derived in Exercise 141. For this reaction, $n=2$ (from oxidation states).

$$
\mathrm{E}_{-20}^{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{\mathrm{T} \Delta \Delta^{\circ}-\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{nF}}=\frac{(253 \mathrm{~K})(263.5 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})+315.9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}}{\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)}=1.98 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C}=1.98 \mathrm{~V}
$$

b. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{-20}=\mathrm{E}_{-20}-\frac{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{nF}} \ln \mathrm{Q}=1.98 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{\mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{nF}} \ln \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{HSO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]^{2}}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{E}_{-20}=1.98 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(253 \mathrm{~K})}{\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)} \ln \frac{1}{(4.5)^{2}(4.5)^{2}}=1.98 \mathrm{~V}+0.066 \mathrm{~V} \\
=2.05 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

c. From Exercise 71, $\mathrm{E}=2.12 \mathrm{~V}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As the temperature decreases, the cell potential decreases. Also, oil becomes more viscous at lower temperatures, which adds to the difficulty of starting an engine on a cold day. The combination of these two factors results in batteries failing more often on cold days than on warm days.
143.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\qquad \begin{array}{cl}
\left(\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}\right) \times 2 \\
\mathrm{~Pb} \rightarrow \mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.80 \mathrm{~V} \\
-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-(-0.13)
\end{array} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.93 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array} \\
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\circ}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}}, 0.83 \mathrm{~V}=0.93 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{(1.8)}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}} \\
\log \frac{(1.8)}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}}=\frac{0.10(2)}{0.0591}=3.4, \frac{(1.8)}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}}=10^{3.4},\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=0.027 \mathrm{M} \\
\\
\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightleftharpoons & 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{SO}_{4}^{2-}\right] \\
\text { Initial } s=\operatorname{solubility}(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})^{2} & 0 \\
\text { Equil. } & 2 \mathrm{~s}
\end{array}
$$

From problem: $2 s=0.027 M, s=0.027 / 2$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=(2 s)^{2}(s)=(0.027)^{2}(0.027 / 2)=9.8 \times 10^{-6}$
144.
a. $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{0.10}{2.50}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-(-0.041 \mathrm{~V})=1.14 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $\quad 10.0 \mathrm{~h} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{10.0 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=1.87 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}$ produced

The $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration decreases by $1.87 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$, and the $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ concentration will increase by $1.87 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.
$\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=2.50-1.87=0.63 \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]=0.10+1.87=1.97 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1.97}{0.63}=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-0.015 \mathrm{~V}=1.09 \mathrm{~V}$
c. $\quad 1.87 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}$ consumed $\times \frac{65.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Zn}}=122 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}$

Mass of electrode $=200 .-122=78 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}$
1.87 mol Cu formed $\times \frac{63.55 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cu}}=119 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}$

Mass of electrode $=200 .+119=319 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cu}$
d. Three things could possibly cause this battery to go dead:
(1) All the Zn is consumed.
(2) All the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is consumed.
(3) Equilibrium is reached $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0\right)$.

We began with $2.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}{ }^{2+}$ and 200. $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{Zn} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn} / 65.38 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zn}=3.06 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}$. Because there is a $1: 1$ mole relationship between $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ and Zn in the balanced cell equation, $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is the limiting reagent and will run out first. To react all the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ requires:

$$
2.50 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{10.0 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}=13.4 \mathrm{~h}
$$

For equilibrium to be reached: $\mathrm{E}=0=1.10 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}$

$$
\frac{\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}=\mathrm{K}=10^{2(1.10) 0.0591}=1.68 \times 10^{37}
$$

This is such a large equilibrium constant that virtually all the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ must react to reach equilibrium. So the battery will go dead in 13.4 hours.
145.

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.000 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Fe} & \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-(-0.440 \mathrm{~V}) \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s}) & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.440 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}$, where $\mathrm{n}=2$ and $\mathrm{Q}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}} \times\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}$
To determine $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for the weak acid, first use the electrochemical data to determine the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$ concentration in the half-cell containing the weak acid.
$0.333 \mathrm{~V}=0.440 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm}\left(1.00 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}$
$\frac{0.107(2)}{0.0591}=\log \frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}, \frac{1.0 \times 10^{-3}}{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}=10^{3.621}=4.18 \times 10^{3}, \quad\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=4.89 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$
Now we can solve for the $K_{a}$ value of the weak acid HA through the normal setup for a weak acid problem.

|  | HA(aq) |  | $\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  | $\mathrm{A}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{A}^{-}\right.}{[\mathrm{HA}]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 1.00 M |  | $\sim 0$ |  | 0 |  |
| Equil. | $1.00-x$ |  | $x$ |  | $x$ |  |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | $x$, where |  | $4.89 \times$ |  | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ | $\frac{\left.9 \times 10^{-4}\right)^{2}}{4.89 \times 10^{-4}}=$ |

146. a. Nonreactive anions are present in each half-cell to balance the cation charges.

b. $\mathrm{Au}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{Fe}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.50-0.77=0.73 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}=0.73 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{3} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]^{3}}{\left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right]^{3}}
$$

Because $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}: 0.31 \mathrm{~V}=0.73 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{3} \log \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]}$

$$
\frac{3(-0.42)}{0.0591}=-\log \frac{1}{\left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]}, \log \left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]=-21.32,\left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]=10^{-21.32}=4.8 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{M}
$$

$\mathrm{Au}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{AuCl}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$; because the equilibrium $\mathrm{Au}^{3+}$ concentration is so small, assume $\left[\mathrm{AuCl}_{4}^{-}\right] \approx\left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]_{0} \approx 1.0 \mathrm{M}$, i.e., assume K is large, so the reaction essentially goes to completion.
$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{AuCl}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Au}^{3+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]^{4}}=\frac{1.0}{\left(4.8 \times 10^{-22}\right)(0.10)^{4}}=2.1 \times 10^{25}$; assumption good ( K is large).
147. a. $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {ref }}+0.05916 \mathrm{pH}, 0.480 \mathrm{~V}=0.250 \mathrm{~V}+0.05916 \mathrm{pH}$
$\mathrm{pH}=\frac{0.480-0.250}{0.05916}=3.888$; uncertainty $= \pm 1 \mathrm{mV}= \pm 0.001 \mathrm{~V}$
$\mathrm{pH}_{\text {max }}=\frac{0.481-0.250}{0.05916}=3.905 ; \quad \mathrm{pH}_{\text {min }}=\frac{0.479-0.250}{0.05916}=3.871$
Thus, if the uncertainty in potential is $\pm 0.001 \mathrm{~V}$, then the uncertainty in pH is $\pm 0.017$, or about $\pm 0.02 \mathrm{pH}$ units. For this measurement, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-3.888}=1.29 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$. For an error of $+1 \mathrm{mV},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-3.905}=1.24 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$. For an error of $-1 \mathrm{mV},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-3.871}=$ $1.35 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$. So the uncertainty in $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$is $\pm 0.06 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}= \pm 6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}$.
b. From part a, we will be within $\pm 0.02 \mathrm{pH}$ units if we measure the potential to the nearest $\pm 0.001 \mathrm{~V}( \pm 1 \mathrm{mV})$.
148.
a. $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0$ (concentration cell), $\mathrm{E}=0-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \left(\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-4}}{1.00}\right), \mathrm{E}=0.12 \mathrm{~V}$
b. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=1.0 \times 10^{13}$; because K is so large, this reaction lies far to the right. Assume the reaction goes to completion, then solve an equilibrium problem for the new $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration at the anode.

|  | $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }_{4}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ | 2.0 M |  | 0 |
| Change | $-1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-4.0 \times 10^{-4}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| After | 0 | 2.0 |  | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ |

Now allow the reaction to get to equilibrium.

$$
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

| Initial | 0 | 2.0 M |  | $1.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change | $+x$ | $+4 x$ | $\leftarrow$ | $-x$ |
| Equil. | $x$ | $2.0+4 x$ |  | $1.0 \times 10^{-4}-x$ |

$$
\frac{\left(1.0 \times 10^{-4}-x\right)}{x(2.0+4 x)^{4}} \approx \frac{1.0 \times 10^{-4}}{x(2.0)^{4}}=1.0 \times 10^{13}, x=\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=6.3 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{M} ;
$$ assumptions good.

In the concentration cell, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]_{\text {anode }}=6.3 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{M}$. Solving for the cell potential:

$$
\mathrm{E}=0-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \left(\frac{6.3 \times 10^{-19}}{1.00}\right), \mathrm{E}=0.54 \mathrm{~V}
$$

149. a.

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
\left(\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}\right) \times 2 & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.80 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.34 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.46 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q} \text {, where } \mathrm{n}=2 \text { and } \mathrm{Q}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}} .
\end{array}
$$

To calculate $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell, }}$, we need to use the $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}$ data to determine $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$.

|  | $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility ( $\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L}$ ) | 0 | 0 |  |
| Equil. |  | $s$ | $s$ |  |

$\mathrm{K}_{\text {sp }}=1.6 \times 10^{-10}=s^{2}, s=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$
$\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.46 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{2.0}{\left(1.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}=0.46 \mathrm{~V}-0.30=0.16 \mathrm{~V}$
b. $\quad \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=1.0 \times 10^{13}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}}$

Because K is very large for the formation of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$, the forward reaction is dominant. At equilibrium, essentially all the $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ will react to form 2.0 M $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$. This reaction requires $8.0 \mathrm{MH}_{3}$ to react with all the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ in the balanced equation. Therefore, the moles of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ added to $1.0-\mathrm{L}$ solution will be larger than 8.0 mol since some $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ must be present at equilibrium. In order to calculate how much $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is present at equilibrium, we need to use the electrochemical data to determine the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ concentration.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}, 0.52 \mathrm{~V}=0.46 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}{\left(1.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}} \\
& \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}{\left(1.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}=\frac{-0.06(2)}{0.0591}=-2.03, \frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}{\left(1.3 \times 10^{-5}\right)^{2}}=10^{-2.03}=9.3 \times 10^{-3} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]=1.6 \times 10^{-12}=2 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{M}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(We carried extra significant figures in the calculation.)
Note: Our assumption that the $2.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ essentially reacts to completion is excellent because only $2 \times 10^{-12} M \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ remains after this reaction. Now we can solve for the equilibrium $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]$.
$\mathrm{K}=1.0 \times 10^{13}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}}=\frac{(2.0)}{\left(2 \times 10^{-12}\right)\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{4}}, \quad\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]=0.6 \mathrm{M}$
Because 1.0 L of solution is present, $0.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ remains at equilibrium. The total moles of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ added is 0.6 mol plus the $8.0 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ necessary to form 2.0 M $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$. Therefore, $8.0+0.6=8.6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was added.
150. Standard reduction potentials can only be manipulated and added together when electrons in the reduction half-reaction exactly cancel with the electrons in the oxidation half-reaction. We will solve this problem by applying the equation $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}$ 號 the half-reactions.
$\mathrm{M}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}^{\circ}=-3(96,485)(0.10)=-2.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}$
Because $M$ and $e^{-}$have $\Delta G_{f}^{o}=0:-2.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{M}^{3+}}^{\mathrm{o}}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{M}^{3+}}^{\mathrm{o}}=2.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{M}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{M} \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}{ }^{\circ}=-2(96,485)(0.50)=-9.6 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} \\
& -9.6 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=-\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{M}^{2+}}^{0}, \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{M}^{2+}}^{0}=9.6 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \mathrm{M}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{2+} \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=9.6 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}-\left(2.9 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}\right)=6.7 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}}{\mathrm{nF}}=\frac{-\left(6.7 \times 10^{4}\right)}{(1)(96,485)}=-0.69 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{M}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}^{2+} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.69 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

151. $2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})$; the cell is dead at equilibrium $(\mathrm{E}=0)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.80 \mathrm{~V}+0.23 \mathrm{~V}=1.03 \mathrm{~V} \\
& 0=1.03 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~K}=7.18 \times 10^{34}
\end{aligned}
$$

K is very large. Let the forward reaction go to completion.

|  | $2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right] /\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}=7.18 \times 10^{34}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Before | 1.0 M |  | 1.0 M |
| Change | -1.0 | $\rightarrow$ | +0.50 |
| After | 0 |  | 1.5 M |

Now solve the back-equilibrium problem.

|  | $2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})$ |
| Initial | 0 | $1.5 M$ |
| Change | $+2 x$ | $\leftarrow$ |
| Equil. | $2 x$ |  |
| 2x |  | $1.5-x$ |

$\mathrm{K}=7.18 \times 10^{34}=\frac{1.5-x}{(2 x)^{2}} \approx \frac{1.5}{(2 x)^{2}}$; solving, $x=2.3 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{M}$. Assumptions good.

$$
\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=2 x=4.6 \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{M} ;\left[\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}\right]=1.5-2.3 \times 10^{-18}=1.5 \mathrm{M}
$$

152. 

SCE will be the oxidation half-reaction with $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=0.446-0.242=0.204 \mathrm{~V}$.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}=-\mathrm{nFE}_{\text {cell }}=-2(96,485)(0.204) \mathrm{J}=-3.94 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~J}=-39.4 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

b. In SCE, we assume all concentrations are constant. Therefore, only $\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ appears in the $Q$ expression, and it will appear in the numerator since $\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is produced in the reduction half-reaction. To calculate $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}$ at nonstandard $\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ concentrations, we use the following equation.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \left[\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=0.204 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \left[\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]
$$

c. $\quad E_{\text {cell }}=0.204-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \left(1.00 \times 10^{-5}\right)=0.204 \mathrm{~V}-(-0.148 \mathrm{~V})=0.352 \mathrm{~V}$
d. $\quad 0.504 \mathrm{~V}=0.204 \mathrm{~V}-(0.0591 / 2) \log \left[\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]$

$$
\log \left[\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=-10.152,\left[\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]=10^{-10.152}=7.05 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{M}
$$

e. $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{2-}$

$$
\left(\mathrm{Ag} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.446 \mathrm{~V} \\
-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.80 \mathrm{~V}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.35 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=? \\
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}, \log \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\frac{(-0.35 \mathrm{~V})(2)}{0.0591}=-11.84, \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=10^{-11.84}=1.4 \times 10^{-12}
\end{gathered}
$$

153. 

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left(\mathrm{Ag}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right. & \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}) \times 2 & \mathrm{E}^{0}=0.80 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Cd} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.40 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{~s}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.20 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Overall complex ion reaction:

$$
\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}=2.1 \times 10^{3}\left(8.2 \times 10^{3}\right)=1.7 \times 10^{7}
$$

Because K is large, we will let the reaction go to completion and then solve the backequilibrium problem.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { a. } \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CrO}_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq}) \\
& \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.446 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Hg}+2 \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {SCE }}=0.242 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \quad \mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=1.7 \times 10^{7}
$$

|  | $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before | 1.00 M | $15.0 M$ | $\mathrm{~K}=1.7 \times 10^{7}$ |  |
| After | 0 | 13.0 | 0 |  |
| Change | $x$ | $+2 x$ | $\leftarrow$ | 1.00 |
| Equil. | $x$ | $13.0+2 x$ | $1.00-x$ | New initial |
|  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right]^{2}} ; \quad 1.7 \times 10^{7}=\frac{1.00-x}{x(13.0+2 x)^{2}} \approx \frac{1.00}{x(13.0)^{2}}$
Solving: $x=3.5 \times 10^{-10} M=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$; assumptions good.
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\circ}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]^{2}}=1.20 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \left[\frac{1.0}{\left(3.5 \times 10^{-10}\right)^{2}}\right]$
$\mathrm{E}=1.20-0.56=0.64 \mathrm{~V}$
154.

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
3 \times\left(\mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) & \mathrm{E}^{0}=0.775 \mathrm{~V} \\
2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NO} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{0}=-0.957 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-0.182 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{~K}=? \\
\log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{E}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{3(-0.182)}{0.0591}=-9.239, \mathrm{~K}=10^{-9.239}=5.77 \times 10^{-10}
\end{array}
$$

b. Let $C=$ concentration of $\mathrm{HNO}_{3}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}\right]$.

$$
5.77 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{3}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}} \times\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2} \times\left[\mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}\right]^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}^{3}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}} \times \mathrm{C}^{4}}
$$

If $0.20 \% \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ by moles and $\mathrm{P}_{\text {total }}=1.00 \mathrm{~atm}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}_{2}}=\frac{0.20 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NO}_{2}}{100 . \mathrm{mol} \text { total }} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~atm}=2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~atm} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NO}}=1.00-0.0020=1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \\
& 5.77 \times 10^{-10}=\frac{\left(2.0 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{3}}{(1.00) \mathrm{C}^{4}}, C=1.9 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HNO}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Integrative Problems

155. 

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { a. } & \begin{array}{ll}
\left(\mathrm{In}^{+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{In}\right) \times 2 & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.126 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{In}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{In}^{3+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.444 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array} \\
\hline 3 \mathrm{In}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{In}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \operatorname{In}(\mathrm{~s}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.318 \\
& \log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{2(0.318)}{0.0591}=10.761, \mathrm{~K}=10^{10.761}=5.77 \times 10^{10}
\end{array}
$$

b. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE} \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(0.318 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-6.14 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-61.4 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{rxn}}^{\mathrm{o}}=-61.4 \mathrm{~kJ}=\left[2(0)+1(-97.9 \mathrm{~kJ}]-3 \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{In}^{+}}^{\mathrm{o}}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{In}^{+}}^{0}=-12.2 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}\right.
$$

156. $E_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.400 \mathrm{~V}-0.240 \mathrm{~V}=0.160 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\circ}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}$
$0.180=0.160-\frac{0.0591}{n} \log \left(9.32 \times 10^{-3}\right), \quad 0.020=\frac{0.120}{n}, n=6$
Six moles of electrons are transferred in the overall balanced reaction. We now have to figure out how to get $6 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}$into the overall balanced equation. The two possibilities are to have ion charges of +1 and +6 or +2 and +3 ; only these two combinations yield a 6 when common multiples are determined when adding the reduction half-reaction to the oxidation half-reaction. Because N forms +2 charged ions, M must form for +3 charged ions. The overall cell reaction can now be determined.

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
\left(\mathrm{M}^{3+}+3 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{M}\right) \times 2 & \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.400 \mathrm{~V} \\
\left(\mathrm{~N} \rightarrow \mathrm{~N}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 3 & -\mathrm{E}^{0}=-0.240 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{M}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{~N}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{~s}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.160 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Q}=9.32 \times 10^{-3}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{N}^{2+}\right]_{0}^{3}}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{3+}\right]_{0}^{2}}=\frac{(0.10)^{3}}{\left[\mathrm{M}^{3+}\right]^{2}}, & {\left[\mathrm{M}^{3+}\right]=0.33 \mathrm{M}} \\
\mathrm{w}_{\text {max }}=\Delta \mathrm{G}=-\mathrm{nFE}=-6(96,485)(0.180)=-1.04 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-104 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

The maximum amount of work this cell could produce is 104 kJ .
157. Chromium(III) nitrate $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$ has chromium in the +3 oxidation state.
$1.15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}}{52.00 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cr}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}=6.40 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{C}$ of charge
For the Os cell, $6.40 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{C}$ of charge also was passed.
$3.15 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Os} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Os}}{190.2 \mathrm{~g}}=0.0166 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Os} ; 6.40 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}}=0.0663 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}$
$\frac{\text { Moles of } \mathrm{e}^{-}}{\text {Moles of Os }}=\frac{0.0663}{0.0166}=3.99 \approx 4$
This salt is composed of $\mathrm{Os}^{4+}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$ions. The compound is $\mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{4}$, osmium(IV) nitrate.

For the third cell, identify X by determining its molar mass. Two moles of electrons are transferred when $\mathrm{X}^{2+}$ is reduced to X .

Molar mass $=\frac{2.11 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}}{6.40 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}}=63.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
This is copper $(\mathrm{Cu})$, which has an electron configuration of $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$.
158. a. In a concentration cell, the anode always has the smaller ion concentration. So the cell with $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ at the bottom has the smaller $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]$and is the anode, while the compartment with $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}$ is the cathode. Electron flow is from the anode to the cathode.
b. $\quad \operatorname{AgCl}(\mathrm{s}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Ag}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Cl}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$; use the concentration cell potential of 0.58 V to calculate the silver ion concentration in the anode compartment. For a concentration cell, $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0$, and for $\mathrm{Ag}^{+} / \mathrm{Ag}$ half-reactions, $\mathrm{n}=1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}=0.58 \mathrm{~V}=0-\frac{0.0591}{1} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {cathode }}} \\
& \frac{-0.58}{0.0591}=\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}}{1.0},\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]_{\text {anode }}=1.5 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}
\end{aligned}
$$

At the anode, we have $\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]=1.5 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]=1.0 \mathrm{M}$ :

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Ag}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]=1.5 \times 10^{-10}(1.0)=1.5 \times 10^{-10}
$$

## Marathon Problems

159. 

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.34 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~V} \rightarrow \mathrm{~V}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.20 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline \mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{V}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.54 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}, \text { where } \mathrm{n}=2 \text { and } \mathrm{Q}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right]}{1.00 \mathrm{M}} .
\end{array}
$$

To determine $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell, }}$, we must know the initial $\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right.$, which can be determined from the stoichiometric point data. At the stoichiometric point, moles $\mathrm{H}_{2} E D T A^{2-}$ added = moles $\mathrm{V}^{2+}$ present initially.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Mol } V^{2+} \text { present initially }=0.5000 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.0800 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \text { EDTA }^{2-}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~V}^{2+}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \text { EDTA }^{2-}} \\
& =0.0400 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~V}^{2+} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right]_{0}=\frac{0.0400 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~V}}{}{ }^{2+}=0.0400 \mathrm{M}} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.54 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{0.0400}{1.00}=1.54 \mathrm{~V}-(-0.0413)=1.58 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. Use the electrochemical data to solve for the equilibrium $\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right]$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{~V}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}, \quad 1.98 \mathrm{~V}=1.54 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{~V}^{2+}\right]}{1.00 \mathrm{M}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{~V}^{2+}\right]=10^{-(0.44)(2) / 0.0591}=1.3 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M}} \\
& \mathrm{H}_{2} \text { EDTA }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{V}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{VEDTA}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{VEDTA}^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{EDTA}^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this titration reaction, equal moles of $\mathrm{V}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ EDTA ${ }^{2-}$ are reacted at the stoichiometric point. Therefore, equal moles of both reactants must be present at equilibrium, so $\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{EDTA}^{2-}\right]=\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{M}$. In addition, because $\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right]$ at equilibrium is very small compared to the initial 0.0400 M concentration, the reaction essentially goes to completion. The moles of VEDTA ${ }^{2-}$ produced will equal the moles of $\mathrm{V}^{2+}$ reacted ( $\left.=0.0400 \mathrm{~mol}\right)$. At equilibrium, $\left[\mathrm{VEDTA}^{2-}\right]=0.0400 \mathrm{~mol} /(1.00 \mathrm{~L}+0.5000$ $\mathrm{L})=0.0267 \mathrm{M}$. Finally, because we have a buffer solution, the pH is assumed not to change, so $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=10^{-10.00}=1.0 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{M}$. Calculating K for the reaction:

$$
\mathrm{K}=\frac{\left[\text { VEDTA }^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \text { EDTA }^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{V}^{2+}\right]}=\frac{(0.0267)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-10}\right)^{2}}{\left(1.3 \times 10^{-15}\right)\left(1.3 \times 10^{-15}\right)}=1.6 \times 10^{8}
$$

c. At the halfway point, 250.0 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{EDTA}^{2-}$ has been added to 1.00 L of $0.0400 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{V}^{2+}$. Exactly one-half the 0.0400 mol of $\mathrm{V}^{2+}$ present initially has been converted into VEDTA ${ }^{2-}$. Therefore, 0.0200 mol of $\mathrm{V}^{2+}$ remains in $1.00+0.2500=1.25 \mathrm{~L}$ solution.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.54 \mathrm{~V}-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{\left[\mathrm{~V}^{2+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}\right]}=1.54-\frac{0.0591}{2} \log \frac{(0.0200 / 1.25)}{1.00} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}=1.54-(-0.0531)=1.59 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

160. Begin by choosing any reduction potential as 0.00 V. For example, let's assume

$$
\mathrm{B}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.00 \mathrm{~V}
$$

From the data, when $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{E}^{2+}$ are together as a cell, $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=0.81 \mathrm{~V}$.
$\mathrm{E}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow$ E must have a potential of -0.81 V or 0.81 V since E may be involved in either the reduction or the oxidation half-reaction. We will arbitrarily choose E to have a potential of -0.81 V .

Setting the reduction potential at -0.81 for E and 0.00 for B , we get the following table of potentials.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{B}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} & 0.00 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{E}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} & -0.81 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} & 0.19 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{C}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} & -0.94 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~A}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} & 0.53 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

From largest to smallest:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} & 0.19 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~B}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} & 0.00 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~A}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} & -0.53 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{E}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} & -0.81 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{C}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} & -0.94 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{A}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ is in the middle. Let's call this 0.00 V . The other potentials would be:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} & 0.72 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~B}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} & 0.53 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~A}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} & 0.00 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{E}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} & -0.28 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{C}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} & -0.41 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

Of course, since the reduction potential of E could have been assumed to 0.81 V instead of -0.81 V , we can also get:

$$
\begin{array}{cr}
\mathrm{C}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{C} & 0.41 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{E}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{E} & 0.28 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~A}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{A} & 0.00 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{~B}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{B} & -0.53 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{D}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{D} & -0.72 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

One way to determine which table is correct is to add metal C to a solution with $\mathrm{D}^{2+}$ present, as well as to add metal D to a different solution with $\mathrm{C}^{2+}$ present. If metal D forms in the first solution, then the first table is correct. If metal C forms in the second solution, then the second table is correct.

## CHAPTER 19

## THE NUCLEUS: A CHEMIST'S VIEW

## Questions

1. Characteristic frequencies of energies emitted in a nuclear reaction suggest that discrete energy levels exist in the nucleus. The extra stability of certain numbers of nucleons and the predominance of nuclei with even numbers of nucleons suggest that the nuclear structure might be described by using quantum numbers.
2. No, coal-fired power plants also pose risks. A partial list of risks is:

## Coal

Air pollution
Coal mine accidents
Health risks to miners (black lung disease)

## Nuclear

Radiation exposure to workers
Disposal of wastes
Meltdown
Terrorists
Public fear
3. Beta-particle production has the net effect of turning a neutron into a proton. Radioactive nuclei having too many neutrons typically undergo $\beta$-particle decay. Positron production has the net effect of turning a proton into a neutron. Nuclei having too many protons typically undergo positron decay.
4. a. Nothing; binding energy is related to thermodynamic stability, and is not related to kinetics. Binding energy indicates nothing about how fast or slow a specific nucleon decays.
b. ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ has the largest binding energy per nucleon, so it is the most stable nuclide. ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ has the greatest mass loss per nucleon when the protons and neutrons are brought together to form the ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ nucleus. The least stable nuclide shown, having the smallest binding energy per nucleon, is ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$.
c. Fusion refers to combining two light nuclei having relatively small binding energies per nucleon to form a heavier nucleus which has a larger binding energy per nucleon. The difference in binding energies per nucleon is related to the energy released in a fusion reaction. Nuclides to the left of ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ can undergo fusion.

Nuclides to the right of ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ can undergo fission. In fission, a heavier nucleus having a relatively small binding energy per nucleon is split into two smaller nuclei having larger binding energy per nucleons. The difference in binding energies per nucleon is related to the energy released in a fission reaction.
5. The transuranium elements are the elements having more protons than uranium. They are synthesized by bombarding heavier nuclei with neutrons and positive ions in a particle accelerator.
6. All radioactive decay follows first-order kinetics. A sample is analyzed for the ${ }^{176} \mathrm{Lu}$ and ${ }^{176} \mathrm{Hf}$ content, from which the first-order rate law can be applied to determine the age of the sample. The reason ${ }^{176} \mathrm{Lu}$ decay is valuable for dating very old objects is the extremely long half-life. Substances formed a long time ago that have short half-lives have virtually no nuclei remaining. On the other hand, ${ }^{176} \mathrm{Lu}$ decay hasn't even approached one half-life when dating 5-billion-year-old objects.
7. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}$; the key difference is the mass change when going from reactants to products. In chemical reactions, the mass change is indiscernible. In nuclear processes, the mass change is discernible. It is the conversion of this discernible mass change into energy that results in the huge energies associated with nuclear processes.
8. Effusion is the passage of a gas through a tiny orifice into an evacuated container. Graham's law of effusion says that the effusion of a gas in inversely proportional to the square root of the mass of its particle. The key to effusion, and to the gaseous diffusion process, is that they are both directly related to the velocity of the gas molecules, which is inversely related to the molar mass. The lighter ${ }^{235} \mathrm{UF}_{6}$ gas molecules have a faster average velocity than the heavier ${ }^{238} \mathrm{UF}_{6}$ gas molecules. The difference in average velocity is used in the gaseous diffusion process to enrich the ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ content in natural uranium.
9. The temperatures of fusion reactions are so high that all physical containers would be destroyed. At these high temperatures, most of the electrons are stripped from the atoms. A plasma of gaseous ions is formed that can be controlled by magnetic fields.
10. The linear model postulates that damage from radiation is proportional to the dose, even at low levels of exposure. Thus any exposure is dangerous. The threshold model, on the other hand, assumes that no significant damage occurs below a certain exposure, called the threshold exposure. A recent study supported the linear model.

## Exercises

## Radioactive Decay and Nuclear Transformations

11. All nuclear reactions must be charge balanced and mass balanced. To charge balance, balance the sum of the atomic numbers on each side of the reaction, and to mass balance, balance the sum of the mass numbers on each side of the reaction.
a. $\quad{ }_{1}^{3} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{3} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$
b. $\quad{ }_{3}^{8} \mathrm{Li} \rightarrow{ }_{4}^{8} \mathrm{Be}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$
$\frac{{ }_{4}^{8} \mathrm{Be} \rightarrow 2{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}}{{ }_{3}^{8} \mathrm{Li} \rightarrow 2{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}}$
c. $\quad{ }_{4}^{7} \mathrm{Be}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e} \rightarrow{ }_{3}^{7} \mathrm{Li}$
d. $\quad{ }_{5}^{8} \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow{ }_{4}^{8} \mathrm{Be}+{ }_{+1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$
12. All nuclear reactions must be charge balanced and mass balanced. To charge balance, balance the sum of the atomic numbers on each side of the reaction, and to mass balance, balance the sum of the mass numbers on each side of the reaction.
a. $\quad{ }_{27}^{60} \mathrm{Co} \rightarrow{ }_{28}^{60} \mathrm{Ni}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$
b. $\quad{ }_{43}^{97} \mathrm{Tc}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e} \rightarrow{ }_{42}^{97} \mathrm{Mo}$
c. $\quad{ }_{43}^{99} \mathrm{Tc} \rightarrow{ }_{44}^{99} \mathrm{Ru}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$
d. $\quad{ }_{94}^{239} \mathrm{Pu} \rightarrow{ }_{92}^{235} \mathrm{U}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$
13. All nuclear reactions must be charge balanced and mass balanced. To charge balance, balance the sum of the atomic numbers on each side of the reaction, and to mass balance, balance the sum of the mass numbers on each side of the reaction.
a. $\quad{ }_{92}^{238} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{90}^{234} \mathrm{Th}$; this is alpha-particle production.
b. $\quad{ }_{90}^{234} \mathrm{Th} \rightarrow{ }_{91}^{234} \mathrm{~Pa}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$; this is $\beta$-particle production.
14. a. $\quad{ }_{24}^{51} \mathrm{Cr}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e} \rightarrow{ }_{23}^{51} \mathrm{~V}$
b. ${ }_{53}^{131} \mathrm{I} \rightarrow{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{54}^{131} \mathrm{Xe} \quad$ c. $\quad{ }_{15}^{32} \mathrm{P} \rightarrow{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{16}^{32} \mathrm{~S}$
15. 

a. ${ }_{31}^{68} \mathrm{Ga}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e} \rightarrow{ }_{30}^{68} \mathrm{Zn}$
b. $\quad{ }_{29}^{62} \mathrm{Cu} \rightarrow{ }_{+1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{28}^{62} \mathrm{Ni}$
c. ${ }_{87}^{212} \mathrm{Fr} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{85}^{208} \mathrm{At}$
d. ${ }_{51}^{129} \mathrm{Sb} \rightarrow{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{52}^{129} \mathrm{Te}$
16.
a. ${ }_{31}^{73} \mathrm{Ga} \rightarrow{ }_{32}^{73} \mathrm{Ge}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$
b. ${ }_{78}^{192} \mathrm{Pt} \rightarrow{ }_{76}^{188} \mathrm{Os}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$
c. ${ }_{83}^{205} \mathrm{Bi} \rightarrow{ }_{82}^{205} \mathrm{~Pb}+{ }_{+1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$
d. ${ }_{96}^{241} \mathrm{Cm}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e} \rightarrow{ }_{95}^{241} \mathrm{Am}$
17. ${ }_{92}^{235} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }_{82}^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}+$ ? ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$

From the two possible decay processes, only alpha-particle decay changes the mass number. So the mass number change of 28 from 235 to 207 must be done in the decay series by seven alpha particles. The atomic number change of 10 from 92 to 82 is due to both alpha-particle production and beta-particle production. However, because we know that seven alpha-particles are in the complete decay process, we must have four beta-particle decays in order to balance the atomic number. The complete decay series is summarized as:

$$
{ }_{92}^{235} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }_{82}^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}+7{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+4{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}
$$

18. ${ }_{997}^{247} \mathrm{Bk} \rightarrow{ }_{82}^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}+?{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$; the change in mass number $(247-207=40)$ is due exclusively to the alpha-particles. A change in mass number of 40 requires $10{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$ particles to be produced. The atomic number only changes by $97-82=15$. The 10 alpha-particles change the atomic number by 20 , so $5_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$ ( 5 beta-particles) are produced in the decay series of ${ }^{247} \mathrm{Bk}$ to ${ }^{207} \mathrm{~Pb}$.
19. a. ${ }_{95}^{241} \mathrm{Am} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{93}^{237} \mathrm{~Np}$
b. $\quad{ }_{95}^{241} \mathrm{Am} \rightarrow 8{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+4{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{83}^{209} \mathrm{Bi}$; the final product is ${ }_{83}^{209} \mathrm{Bi}$.
c. ${ }_{95}^{241} \mathrm{Am} \rightarrow{ }_{93}^{237} \mathrm{~Np}+\alpha \rightarrow{ }_{91}^{233} \mathrm{~Pa}+\alpha \rightarrow{ }_{92}^{233} \mathrm{U}+\beta \rightarrow{ }_{90}^{229} \mathrm{Th}+\alpha \rightarrow{ }_{88}^{225} \mathrm{Ra}+\alpha$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{84}^{213} \mathrm{Po}+\beta \leftarrow{ }_{83}^{213} \mathrm{Bi}+\alpha \leftarrow{ }_{85}^{217} \mathrm{At}+\alpha \leftarrow{ }_{87}^{221} \mathrm{Fr}+\alpha \leftarrow{ }_{89}^{225} \mathrm{Ac}+\beta \\
& \quad \downarrow \\
& { }_{82}^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}+\alpha \rightarrow{ }_{83}^{209} \mathrm{Bi}+\beta
\end{aligned}
$$

The intermediate radionuclides are:
${ }_{93}^{237} \mathrm{~Np},{ }_{91}^{233} \mathrm{~Pa},{ }_{92}^{233} \mathrm{U}, \underset{90}{229} \mathrm{Th},{ }_{88}^{225} \mathrm{Ra},{ }_{89}^{225} \mathrm{Ac},{ }_{87}^{221} \mathrm{Fr},{ }_{85}^{217} \mathrm{At},{ }_{83}^{213} \mathrm{Bi},{ }_{84}^{213} \mathrm{Po}$, and ${ }_{82}^{209} \mathrm{~Pb}$
20. The complete decay series is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{90}^{232} \mathrm{Th} \rightarrow{ }_{88}^{228} \mathrm{Ra}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }_{89}^{228} \mathrm{Ac}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e} \rightarrow{ }_{90}^{228} \mathrm{Th}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e} \rightarrow \underset{88}{224} \mathrm{Ra}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \\
& \downarrow \\
& { }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{84}^{212} \mathrm{Po} \leftarrow{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{83}^{212} \mathrm{Bi} \leftarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{82}^{212} \mathrm{~Pb} \leftarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{84}^{216} \mathrm{Po} \leftarrow{ }_{86}^{220} \mathrm{Rn}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \\
& \quad \downarrow \\
& \quad{ }_{82}^{208} \mathrm{~Pb}
\end{aligned}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} .
$$

21. ${ }_{26}^{53} \mathrm{Fe}$ has too many protons. It will undergo either positron production, electron capture, and/or alpha-particle production. ${ }_{26}^{59} \mathrm{Fe}$ has too many neutrons and will undergo beta-particle production. (See Table 19.2 of the text.)
22. Reference Table 19.2 of the text for potential radioactive decay processes. ${ }^{17} \mathrm{~F}$ and ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$ contain too many protons or too few neutrons. Electron capture and positron production are both possible decay mechanisms that increase the neutron to proton ratio. Alpha-particle production also increases the neutron-to-proton ratio, but it is not likely for these light nuclei. ${ }^{21} \mathrm{~F}$ contains too many neutrons or too few protons. Beta-particle production lowers the neutron-to-proton ratio, so we expect ${ }^{21} \mathrm{~F}$ to be a beta-emitter.
23. 

a. ${ }_{98}^{249} \mathrm{Cf}+{ }_{8}^{18} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow{ }_{106}^{263} \mathrm{Sg}+4{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}$
a. ${ }_{95}^{240} \mathrm{Am}+{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \rightarrow{ }_{97}^{243} \mathrm{Bk}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}$
b. $\quad{ }_{104}^{259} \mathrm{Rf} ;{ }_{106}^{263} \mathrm{Sg} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{104}^{259} \mathrm{Rf}$
b. ${ }_{92}^{238} \mathrm{U}+{ }_{6}^{12} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow{ }_{98}^{244} \mathrm{Cf}+6{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}$
c. $\quad{ }_{98}^{249} \mathrm{Cf}+{ }_{7}^{15} \mathrm{~N} \rightarrow{ }_{105}^{260} \mathrm{Db}+4{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}$
d. ${ }_{98}^{249} \mathrm{Cf}+{ }_{5}^{10} \mathrm{~B} \rightarrow{ }_{103}^{257} \mathrm{Lr}+2{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}$
24.

## Kinetics of Radioactive Decay

25. All radioactive decay follows first-order kinetics where $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=(\ln 2) / \mathrm{k}$.
$\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{0.693}{1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~h}^{-1}}=690 \mathrm{~h}$
26. $\mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{0.69315}{433 \mathrm{yr}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{yr}}{365 \mathrm{~d}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~d}}{24 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}=5.08 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$

Rate $=\mathrm{kN}=5.08 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \times 5.00 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{241 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { nuclei }}{\mathrm{mol}}$

$$
=6.35 \times 10^{11} \text { decays } / \mathrm{s}
$$

$6.35 \times 10^{11}$ alpha particles are emitted each second from a $5.00-\mathrm{g}^{241} \mathrm{Am}$ sample.
27. $\mathrm{Kr}-81$ is most stable because it has the longest half-life. $\mathrm{Kr}-73$ is hottest (least stable); it decays most rapidly because it has the shortest half-life.
$12.5 \%$ of each isotope will remain after 3 half-lives:

$$
100 \% \underset{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}{ } 50 \% \underset{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}{ } 25 \% \underset{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}{ } 12.5 \%
$$

For Kr-73: $\mathrm{t}=3(27 \mathrm{~s})=81 \mathrm{~s}$; for $\mathrm{Kr}-74$ : $\mathrm{t}=3(11.5 \mathrm{~min})=34.5 \mathrm{~min}$
For $\operatorname{Kr}-76$ : $\mathrm{t}=3(14.8 \mathrm{~h})=44.4 \mathrm{~h}$; for $\mathrm{Kr}-81: \mathrm{t}=3\left(2.1 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{yr}\right)=6.3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{yr}$
28. a. $k=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{0.6931}{12.8 \mathrm{~d}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~d}}{24 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}=6.27 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
b. Rate $=\mathrm{kN}=6.27 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \times\left(28.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{64.0 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { nuclei }}{\mathrm{mol}}\right)$

$$
\text { Rate }=1.65 \times 10^{14} \text { decays } / \mathrm{s}
$$

c. $25 \%$ of the ${ }^{64} \mathrm{Cu}$ will remain after 2 half-lives ( $100 \%$ decays to $50 \%$ after one half-life, which decays to $25 \%$ after a second half-life). Hence 2(12.8 days) $=25.6$ days is the time frame for the experiment.
29. Units for N and $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ are usually number of nuclei but can also be grams if the units are identical for both N and $\mathrm{N}_{0}$. In this problem, $\mathrm{m}_{0}=$ the initial mass of ${ }^{47} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ to be ordered.

$$
\mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}} ; \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.693) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}{\mathrm{m}_{0}}\right)=\frac{-0.693(2.0 \mathrm{~d})}{4.5 \mathrm{~d}}=-0.31
$$

$\frac{5.0}{\mathrm{~m}_{0}}=\mathrm{e}^{-0.31}=0.73, \mathrm{~m}_{0}=6.8 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of ${ }^{47} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ needed initially
$6.8 \mu \mathrm{~g}^{47} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \times \frac{107.0 \mu \mathrm{~g}{ }^{47} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}}{47.0 \mu \mathrm{~g}{ }^{47} \mathrm{Ca}^{2+}}=15 \mu \mathrm{~g}^{47} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ should be ordered at the minimum.
30. a. $0.0100 \mathrm{Ci} \times \frac{3.7 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{decays} / \mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{Ci}}=3.7 \times 10^{8}$ decays $/ \mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Rate }=\mathrm{kN}, \quad \frac{3.7 \times 10^{8} \text { decays }}{\mathrm{s}}=\left(\frac{0.6931}{2.87 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}\right) \times \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{~N}=5.5 \times 10^{12} \text { atoms of }{ }^{38} \mathrm{~S} \\
& 5.5 \times 10^{12} \text { atoms }{ }^{38} \mathrm{~S} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}^{38} \mathrm{~S}}{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{38} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{38} \mathrm{~S}}=9.1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{38} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \\
& 9.1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{38} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \times \frac{148.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{38} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{38} \mathrm{SO}_{4}}=1.3 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{~g}=1.3 \mathrm{ng} \mathrm{Na}_{2}{ }^{38} \mathrm{SO}_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. $99.99 \%$ decays, $0.01 \%$ left; $\ln \left(\frac{0.01}{100}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{2.87 \mathrm{~h}}, \mathrm{t}=38.1$ hours $\approx 40$ hours
31. $\mathrm{t}=68.0 \mathrm{yr} ; \mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}} ; \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.6931) 68.0 \mathrm{yr}}{28.9 \mathrm{yr}}=-1.63, \quad\left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N}_{0}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{-1.63}=0.196$
$19.6 \%$ of the ${ }^{90} \mathrm{Sr}$ remains as of July 16, 2013.
32. Assuming 2 significant figures in $1 / 100$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln \left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}_{0}\right)=-\mathrm{kt} ; \mathrm{N}=(0.010) \mathrm{N}_{0} ; \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=(\ln 2) / \mathrm{k} \\
& \ln (0.010)=\frac{-(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{-(0.693) \mathrm{t}}{8.0 \mathrm{~d}}, \mathrm{t}=53 \text { days }
\end{aligned}
$$

33. $\mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}} ; \quad \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}} ; \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=\frac{-(0.693)(48.0 \mathrm{~h})}{6.0 \mathrm{~h}}=5.5$
$\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}=\mathrm{e}^{-5.5}=0.0041$; the fraction of ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ that remains is 0.0041 , or $0.41 \%$.
34. $175 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}_{3}{ }^{32} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \times \frac{32.0 \mathrm{mg}^{32} \mathrm{P}}{165.0 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{Na}_{3}{ }^{32} \mathrm{PO}_{4}}=33.9 \mathrm{mg}^{32} \mathrm{P} ; \quad \mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}$
$\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{33.9 \mathrm{mg}}\right)=\frac{-0.6931(35.0 \mathrm{~d})}{14.3 \mathrm{~d}}$; carrying extra sig. figs.:

$$
\ln (\mathrm{m})=-1.696+3.523=1.827, \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{e}^{1.827}=6.22 \mathrm{mg}^{32} \mathrm{P} \text { remains }
$$

35. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~m}_{0}}\right)=\frac{-0.693\left(3.0 \mathrm{~d} \times \frac{24 \mathrm{~h}}{\mathrm{~d}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}}\right)}{1.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~min}}$
$\ln \left(\frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~m}_{0}}\right)=-3.0, \quad \frac{1.0}{\mathrm{~m}_{0}}=\mathrm{e}^{-3.0}, \mathrm{~m}_{0}=20 . \mathrm{g}^{82} \mathrm{Br}$ needed
36. $\mathrm{g}^{82} \mathrm{Br} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{82} \mathrm{Br}}{82.0 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Na}^{82} \mathrm{Br}}{\mathrm{mol}^{82} \mathrm{Br}} \times \frac{105.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}^{82} \mathrm{Br}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Na}^{82} \mathrm{Br}}=26 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Na}{ }^{82} \mathrm{Br}$
37. Assuming the current year is 2013, $\mathrm{t}=67 \mathrm{yr}$.
$\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.693) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}}{5.5}\right)=\frac{-0.693(67 \mathrm{yr})}{12.3 \mathrm{yr}}, \quad \mathrm{N}=\frac{0.13 \text { decay events }}{\min \bullet 100 . \mathrm{g} \text { water }}$
38. $\mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}} ; \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.693) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}}{13.6}\right)=\frac{-0.693(15,000 \mathrm{yr})}{5730 \mathrm{yr}}=-1.8$
$\frac{\mathrm{N}}{13.6}=\mathrm{e}^{-1.8}=0.17, \mathrm{~N}=13.6 \times 0.17=2.3$ counts per minute per g of C
If we had 10. mg C, we would see:

$$
10 . \mathrm{mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{1000 \mathrm{mg}} \times \frac{2.3 \text { counts }}{\mathrm{ming}}=\frac{0.023 \text { counts }}{\mathrm{min}}
$$

It would take roughly 40 min to see a single disintegration. This is too long to wait, and the background radiation would probably be much greater than the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ activity. Thus ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ dating is not practical for very small samples.
38. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{1.2}{13.6}\right)=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{5730 \mathrm{yr}}, \mathrm{t}=2.0 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{yr}$
39. Assuming $1.000 \mathrm{~g}^{238} \mathrm{U}$ present in a sample, then $0.688 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{206} \mathrm{~Pb}$ is present. Because $1 \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{206} \mathrm{~Pb}$ is produced per mol ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ decayed:

$$
{ }^{238} \mathrm{U} \text { decayed }=0.688 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~Pb} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~Pb}}{206 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~Pb}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~Pb}} \times \frac{238 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{U}}=0.795 \mathrm{~g}^{238} \mathrm{U}
$$

Original mass ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ present $=1.000 \mathrm{~g}+0.795 \mathrm{~g}=1.795 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$
$\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{1.000 \mathrm{~g}}{1.795 \mathrm{~g}}\right)=\frac{-0.693(\mathrm{t})}{4.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{yr}}, \mathrm{t}=3.8 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{yr}$
40. a. The decay of ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ is not the sole source of ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ca}$.
b. Decay of ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ is the sole source of ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}$ and no ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}$ is lost over the years.
c. $\frac{0.95 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}}{1.00 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}}=$ current mass ratio
0.95 g of ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ decayed to ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}$. 0.95 g of ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ is only $10.7 \%$ of the total ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ that decayed, or:

$$
0.107(\mathrm{~m})=0.95 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~m}=8.9 \mathrm{~g}=\text { total mass of }{ }^{40} \mathrm{~K} \text { that decayed }
$$

Mass of ${ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ when the rock was formed was $1.00 \mathrm{~g}+8.9 \mathrm{~g}=9.9 \mathrm{~g}$.
$\ln \left(\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{40} \mathrm{~K}}{9.9 \mathrm{~g}^{40} \mathrm{~K}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{1.27 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{yr}}, \mathrm{t}=4.2 \times 10^{9}$ years old
d. If some ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}$ escaped, then the measured ratio of $\left.{ }^{40} \mathrm{Ar}\right)^{40} \mathrm{~K}$ is less than it should be. We would calculate the age of the rock to be less than it actually is.

## Energy Changes in Nuclear Reactions

41. $\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}, \Delta \mathrm{~m}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}=\frac{3.9 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}}{\left(3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}}=4.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~kg}$

The sun loses $4.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~kg}$ of mass each second. Note: $1 \mathrm{~J}=1 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$
42. $\frac{1.8 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~s}} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~kJ}} \times \frac{3600 \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{24 \mathrm{~h}}{\text { day }}=1.6 \times 10^{22} \mathrm{~J} /$ day
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}, \Delta \mathrm{~m}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}=\frac{1.6 \times 10^{22} \mathrm{~J}}{\left(3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}}=\begin{array}{r}1.8 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~kg} \text { of solar material provides } \\ 1 \text { day of solar energy to the earth }\end{array}$
$1.6 \times 10^{22} \mathrm{~J} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kJ}}{1000 \mathrm{~J}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~g}}{32 \mathrm{~kJ}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~kg}}{1000 \mathrm{~g}}=5.0 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~kg}$ of coal is needed to provide the
same amount of energy
43. We need to determine the mass defect $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ between the mass of the nucleus and the mass of the individual parts that make up the nucleus. Once $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ is known, we can then calculate $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ (the binding energy) using $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{mc}^{2}$. Note: $1 \mathrm{~J}=1 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{2} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$.

For ${ }_{94}^{232} \mathrm{Pu}(94 \mathrm{e}, 94 \mathrm{p}, 138 \mathrm{n})$ :
mass of ${ }^{232} \mathrm{Pu}$ nucleus $=3.85285 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}-$ mass of 94 electrons
mass of ${ }^{232}$ Pu nucleus $=3.85285 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}-94\left(9.10939 \times 10^{-28}\right) \mathrm{g}=3.85199 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}$
$\Delta \mathrm{m}=3.85199 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}-$ (mass of 94 protons + mass of 138 neutrons $)$
$\Delta \mathrm{m}=3.85199 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}-\left[94\left(1.67262 \times 10^{-24}\right)+138\left(1.67493 \times 10^{-24}\right)\right] \mathrm{g}$ $=-3.168 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g}$

For 1 mol of nuclei: $\Delta \mathrm{m}=-3.168 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g} /$ nuclei $\times 6.0221 \times 10^{23}$ nuclei $/ \mathrm{mol}$ $=-1.908 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=\left(-1.908 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol}\right)\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-1.715 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$

For ${ }_{91}^{231} \mathrm{~Pa}(91 \mathrm{e}, 91 \mathrm{p}, 140 \mathrm{n})$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { mass of }{ }^{231} \mathrm{~Pa} \text { nucleus }=3.83616 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}-91\left(9.10939 \times 10^{-28}\right) \mathrm{g}=3.83533 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g} \\
& \begin{array}{r}
\Delta \mathrm{m}=3.83533 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~g}-\left[91\left(1.67262 \times 10^{-24}\right)+140\left(1.67493 \times 10^{-24}\right)\right] \mathrm{g} \\
\\
=-3.166 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{~g}
\end{array} \\
& \begin{array}{r}
\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=\frac{-3.166 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\text { nuclei }} \times \frac{6.0221 \times 10^{23} \text { nuclei }}{\mathrm{mol}} \times\left(\frac{2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2} \\
=-1.714 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

44. From the text, the mass of a proton $=1.00728 \mathrm{u}$, the mass of a neutron $=1.00866 \mathrm{u}$, and the mass of an electron $=5.486 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{u}$.

Mass of ${ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ nucleus $=$ mass of atom - mass of electrons $=55.9349-26(0.0005486)$

$$
=55.9206 \mathrm{u}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 26{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}+30{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{26}^{56} \mathrm{Fe} ; \Delta \mathrm{m}=55.9206 \mathrm{u}-[26(1.00728)+30(1.00866)] \mathrm{u}=-0.5285 \mathrm{u} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-0.5285 \mathrm{u} \times \frac{1.6605 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{u}} \times\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-7.887 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~J}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\text { Binding energy }}{\text { Nucleon }}=\frac{7.887 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~J}}{56 \text { nucleons }}=1.408 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J} / \text { nucleon }
$$

45. Let $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}=$ mass of electron; for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}(6 \mathrm{e}, 6 \mathrm{p}$, and 6 n$)$ : Mass defect $=\Delta \mathrm{m}=\left[\right.$ mass of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ nucleus] - [mass of 6 protons + mass of 6 neutrons]. Note: Atomic masses given include the mass of the electrons.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{m}=12.00000 \mathrm{u}-6 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}-\left[6\left(1.00782-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+6(1.00866)\right] ; \text { mass of electrons cancel. } \\
& \Delta \mathrm{m}=12.00000-[6(1.00782)+6(1.00866)]=-0.09888 \mathrm{u} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-0.09888 \mathrm{u} \times \frac{1.6605 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{u}} \times\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-1.476 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~J}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\text { Binding energy }}{\text { Nucleon }}=\frac{1.476 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~J}}{12 \text { nucleons }}=1.230 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J} / \text { nucleon }
$$

For ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ (92e, 92p, and 143n):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{m}=235.0439-92 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}-\left[92\left(1.00782-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+143(1.00866)\right]=-1.9139 \mathrm{u} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-1.9139 \times \frac{1.66054 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{u}} \times\left(2.99792 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-2.8563 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~J}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\text { Binding energy }}{\text { Nucleon }}=\frac{2.8563 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~J}}{235 \text { nucleons }}=1.2154 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J} / \text { nucleon }
$$

Because ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ is the most stable known nucleus, the binding energy per nucleon for ${ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe}$ (1.408 $\times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J} /$ nucleon) will be larger than that of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ or ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ (see Figure 19.9 of the text).
46. For ${ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}$ : Mass defect $=\Delta \mathrm{m}=$ mass of ${ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}$ nucleus - mass of proton - mass of neutron. The mass of the ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ nucleus will equal the atomic mass of ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ minus the mass of the electron in an ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ atom. From the text, the pertinent masses are $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}=5.49 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}=1.00728 \mathrm{u}$, and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}$ $=1.00866 \mathrm{u}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{m}=2.01410 \mathrm{u}-0.000549 \mathrm{u}-(1.00728 \mathrm{u}+1.00866 \mathrm{u})=-2.39 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{u} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-2.39 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{u} \times \frac{1.6605 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{u}} \times\left(2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-3.57 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{\text { Binding energy }}{\text { Nucleon }}=\frac{3.57 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}}{2 \text { nucleons }}=1.79 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J} / \text { nucleon }
$$

$$
\text { For }{ }_{1}^{3} \mathrm{H}: \Delta \mathrm{m}=3.01605-0.000549-[1.00728+2(1.00866)]=-9.10 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{u}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=-9.10 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{u} \times \frac{1.6605 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{u}} \times\left(2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-1.36 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J}
$$

$$
\frac{\text { Binding energy }}{\text { Nucleon }}=\frac{1.36 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J}}{3 \text { nucleons }}=4.53 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J} / \text { nucleon }
$$

47. Let $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Li}}=$ mass of ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ nucleus; an ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ nucleus has 3 p and 3 n .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -0.03434 \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Li}}-\left(3 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}+3 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Li}}-[3(1.00728 \mathrm{u})+3(1.00866 \mathrm{u})] \\
& \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Li}}=6.01348 \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

Mass of ${ }^{6} \mathrm{Li}$ atom $=6.01348 \mathrm{u}+3 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}=6.01348+3\left(5.49 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{u}\right)=6.01513 \mathrm{u}$ (includes mass of $3 \mathrm{e}^{-}$)
48. Binding energy $=\frac{1.326 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J}}{\text { nucleon }} \times 27$ nucleons $=3.580 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~J}$ for each ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}, \quad \Delta \mathrm{~m}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{c}^{2}}=\frac{-3.580 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~J}}{\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}}=-3.98310^{-28} \mathrm{~kg}$
$\Delta \mathrm{m}=-3.98310^{-28} \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{u}}{1.6605 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}=-0.2399 \mathrm{u}=$ mass defect
Let $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Mg}}=$ mass of ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus; an ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Mg}$ nucleus has 12 p and 15 n .
$-0.2399 \mathrm{u}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Mg}}-\left(12 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}+15 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Mg}}-[12(1.00728 \mathrm{u})+15(1.00866 \mathrm{u})]$
$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Mg}}=26.9764 \mathrm{u}$
Mass of ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Mg}$ atom $=26.9764 \mathrm{u}+12 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}, 26.9764+12\left(5.49 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{u}\right)=26.9830 \mathrm{u}$ (includes mass of $12 \mathrm{e}^{-}$)
49. $\quad{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{+1}^{0} \mathrm{e} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{m}=\left(2.01410 \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)-2\left(1.00782 \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$
$\Delta \mathrm{m}=2.01410-2(1.00782)+2(0.000549)=-4.4 \times 10^{-4} u$ for two protons reacting When 2 mol of protons undergoes fusion, $\Delta \mathrm{m}=-4.4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~g}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-4.4 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~kg} \times\left(3.00 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-4.0 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~J} \\
& \frac{-4.0 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~J}}{2 \text { mol protons }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.01 \mathrm{~g}}=-2.0 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g} \text { of hydrogen nuclei }
\end{aligned}
$$

50. $\quad{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{1}^{3} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}$; using atomic masses, the masses of the electrons cancel when determining $\Delta \mathrm{m}$ for this nuclear reaction.
$\Delta \mathrm{m}=[4.00260+1.00866-(2.01410+3.01605)] \mathrm{u}=-1.889 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{u}$
For the production of 1 mol of ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}: ~ \Delta \mathrm{~m}=-1.889 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~g}=-1.889 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~kg}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-1.889 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~kg} \times\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-1.698 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

For 1 nucleus of ${ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He} \frac{-1.698 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.0221 \times 10^{23} \text { nuclei }}=-2.820 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J} /$ nucleus

## Detection, Uses, and Health Effects of Radiation

51. The Geiger-Müller tube has a certain response time. After the gas in the tube ionizes to produce a "count," some time must elapse for the gas to return to an electrically neutral state. The response of the tube levels off because at high activities, radioactive particles are entering the tube faster than the tube can respond to them.
52. Not all of the emitted radiation enters the Geiger-Müller tube. The fraction of radiation entering the tube must be constant.
53. Water is produced in this reaction by removing an OH group from one substance and H from the other substance. There are two ways to do this:
i.

ii.


Because the water produced is not radioactive, methyl acetate forms by the first reaction in which all the oxygen-18 ends up in methyl acetate.
54. The only product in the fast-equilibrium step is assumed to be $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, where N is the central atom. However, this is a reversible reaction where $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ will decompose to NO and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Because any two oxygen atoms can leave $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, we would expect (at equilibrium) one-third of the NO present in this fast equilibrium step to be $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}$ and twothirds to be $\mathrm{N}^{18} \mathrm{O}$. In the second step (the slow step), the intermediate $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reacts with the scrambled NO to form the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ product, where N is the central atom in $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. Any one of the three oxygen atoms can be transferred from $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to NO when the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ product is formed. The distribution of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ in the product can best be determined by forming a probability table.

|  | $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}(1 / 3)$ | $\mathrm{N}^{18} \mathrm{O}(2 / 3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}(1 / 3)$ from $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1 / 9)$ | $\mathrm{N}^{18} \mathrm{O}^{16} \mathrm{O}(2 / 9)$ |
| ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}(2 / 3)$ from $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}(2 / 9)$ | $\mathrm{N}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}(4 / 9)$ |

From the probability table, $1 / 9$ of the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 4 / 9$ of the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is $\mathrm{N}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and $4 / 9$ of the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}(2 / 9+2 / 9=4 / 9)$. Note: $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ is the same as $\mathrm{N}^{18} \mathrm{O}^{16} \mathrm{O}$. In addition, $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is not the only $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ intermediate formed; $\mathrm{N}^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{N}^{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ can also form in the fast-equilibrium first step. However, the distribution of ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ in the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ product is the same as calculated above, even when these other $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ intermediates are considered.
55. $\quad{ }_{92}^{235} \mathrm{U}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{58}^{144} \mathrm{Ce}+{ }_{38}^{90} \mathrm{Sr}+$ ? ${ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}+{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$; to balance the atomic number, we need 4 beta-particles, and to balance the mass number, we need 2 neutrons.
56. ${ }_{92}^{238} \mathrm{U}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{92}^{239} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{93}^{239} \mathrm{~Np} \rightarrow{ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}+{ }_{94}^{239} \mathrm{Pu}$; plutonium-239 is the fissionable material in breeder reactors.
57. Release of Sr is probably more harmful. Xe is chemically unreactive. Strontium is in the same family as calcium and could be absorbed and concentrated in the body in a fashion similar to Ca . This puts the radioactive Sr in the bones; red blood cells are produced in bone marrow. Xe would not be readily incorporated into the body.

The chemical properties determine where a radioactive material may be concentrated in the body or how easily it may be excreted. The length of time of exposure and what is exposed to radiation significantly affects the health hazard. (See Exercise 58 for a specific example.)
58. (i) and (ii) mean that Pu is not a significant threat outside the body. Our skin is sufficient to keep out the alpha-particles. If Pu gets inside the body, it is easily oxidized to $\mathrm{Pu}^{4+}$ (iv), which is chemically similar to $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ (iii). Thus $\mathrm{Pu}^{4+}$ will concentrate in tissues where $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ is found. One of these is the bone marrow, where red blood cells are produced. Once inside the body, alpha-particles cause considerable damage.

## Additional Exercises

59. The most abundant isotope is generally the most stable isotope. The periodic table predicts that the most stable isotopes for exercises a-d are ${ }^{39} \mathrm{~K},{ }^{56} \mathrm{Fe},{ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}$, and ${ }^{204} \mathrm{Tl}$. (Reference Table 19.2 of the text for potential decay processes.)
a. Unstable; ${ }^{45} \mathrm{~K}$ has too many neutrons and will undergo beta-particle production.
b. Stable
c. Unstable; ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Na}$ has too few neutrons and will most likely undergo electron capture or positron production. Alpha-particle production makes too severe of a change to be a likely decay process for the relatively light ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Na}$ nuclei. Alpha-particle production usually occurs for heavy nuclei.
d. Unstable; ${ }^{194} \mathrm{Tl}$ has too few neutrons and will undergo electron capture, positron production, and/or alpha-particle production.
60. a. Cobalt is a component of vitamin $\mathrm{B}_{12}$. By monitoring the cobalt-57 decay, one can study the pathway of vitamin $B_{12}$ in the body.
b. Calcium is present in the bones in part as $\mathrm{Ca}_{3}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. Bone metabolism can be studied by monitoring the calcium- 47 decay as it is taken up in bones.
c. Iron is a component of hemoglobin found in red blood cells. By monitoring the iron-59 decay, one can study red blood cell processes.
61. $\mathrm{N}=180 \mathrm{lb} \times \frac{453.6 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{lb}} \times \frac{18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{100 \mathrm{~g} \text { body }} \times \frac{1.6 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~g}{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}}{100 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}}{14 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}}$

$$
\times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { nuclei }{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{~mol}^{14} \mathrm{C}}=1.0 \times 10^{15} \text { nuclei }{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}
$$

Rate $=\mathrm{kN} ; \mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{0.693}{5730 \mathrm{yr}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{yr}}{365 \mathrm{~d}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~d}}{24 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}=3.8 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$
Rate $=\mathrm{kN} ; \mathrm{k}=3.8 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\left(1.0 \times 10^{15}{ }^{14} \mathrm{C}\right.$ nuclei $)=3800$ decays $/ \mathrm{s}$
A typical 180 lb person produces 3800 beta particles each second.
62. $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}=5730 \mathrm{yr} ; \mathrm{k}=(\ln 2) / \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2} ; \ln \left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}_{0}\right)=-\mathrm{kt} ; \ln \frac{15.1}{15.3}=\frac{-(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{5730 \mathrm{yr}}, \mathrm{t}=109 \mathrm{yr}$

No; from ${ }^{14} \mathrm{C}$ dating, the painting was produced during the early 1900 s.
63. The third-life will be the time required for the number of nuclides to reach one-third of the original value ( $\mathrm{N}_{0} / 3$ ).
$\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{31.4 \mathrm{yr}}, \quad \mathrm{t}=49.8 \mathrm{yr}$
The third-life of this nuclide is 49.8 years.
64. $\quad \ln \left(\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{N}_{0}\right)=-\mathrm{kt} ; \mathrm{k}=(\ln 2) / \mathrm{t}_{1 / 2} ; \mathrm{N}=0.001 \times \mathrm{N}_{0}$
$\ln \left(\frac{0.001 \times \mathrm{N}_{0}}{\mathrm{~N}_{0}}\right)=\frac{-(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{24,100 \mathrm{yr}}, \ln (0.001)=-\left(2.88 \times 10^{-5}\right) \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}=2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{yr}=200,000 \mathrm{yr}$
65. $\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{12.3 \mathrm{yr}}, \ln \left(\frac{0.17 \times \mathrm{N}_{0}}{\mathrm{~N}_{0}}\right)=-\left(5.64 \times 10^{-2}\right) \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}=31.4 \mathrm{yr}$

It takes 31.4 years for the tritium to decay to $17 \%$ of the original amount. Hence the watch stopped fluorescing enough to be read in 1975 (1944 + 31.4).
66. $\Delta \mathrm{m}=-2\left(5.486 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{u}\right)=-1.097 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{u}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-1.097 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{u} \times \frac{1.6605 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{u}} \times\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}$

$$
=-1.637 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=1 / 2\left(1.637 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}\right)=8.185 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~J}=\mathrm{hc} / \lambda$
$\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.6261 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{8.185 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~J}}=2.427 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}=2.427 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~nm}$
67. 20,000 ton TNT $\times \frac{4 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~J}}{\operatorname{ton} \mathrm{TNT}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{235} \mathrm{U}}{2 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~J}} \times \frac{235 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{235} \mathrm{U}}{\mathrm{mol}{ }^{235} \mathrm{U}}=940 \mathrm{~g}{ }^{235} \mathrm{U} \approx 900 \mathrm{~g}^{235} \mathrm{U}$

This assumes that all of the ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ undergoes fission.
68. In order to sustain a nuclear chain reaction, the neutrons produced by the fission must be contained within the fissionable material so that they can go on to cause other fissions. The fissionable material must be closely packed together to ensure that neutrons are not lost to the outside. The critical mass is the mass of material in which exactly one neutron from each fission event causes another fission event so that the process sustains itself. A supercritical situation occurs when more than one neutron from each fission event causes another fission event. In this case, the process rapidly escalates and the heat build up causes a violent explosion.
69. Mass of nucleus = atomic mass - mass of electron $=2.01410 u-0.000549 \mathrm{u}=2.01355 \mathrm{u}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{rms}}=\left(\frac{3 \mathrm{RT}}{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{1 / 2}=\left(\frac{3(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})\left(4 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{~K}\right)}{2.01355 \mathrm{~g}(1 \mathrm{~kg} / 1000 \mathrm{~g})}\right)^{1 / 2}=7 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s} \\
& \mathrm{KE}_{\mathrm{avg}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{mu}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(2.01355 \mathrm{u} \times \frac{1.66 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{u}}\right)\left(7 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=8 \times 10^{-16} \mathrm{~J} / \text { nuclei }
\end{aligned}
$$

We could have used $\mathrm{KE}_{\text {ave }}=(3 / 2) \mathrm{RT}$ to determine the same average kinetic energy.
70. $\quad{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow 2{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n}+{ }_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{H}$; mass ${ }_{-1}^{1} \mathrm{H}=$ mass ${ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H}=1.00728 \mathrm{u}=$ mass of proton $=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}$
$\Delta \mathrm{m}=3 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}-\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)=2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{p}}=2(1.00728)=2.01456 \mathrm{u}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=2.01456 \mathrm{amu} \times \frac{1.66056 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{amu}} \times\left(2.997925 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}$
$\Delta \mathrm{E}=3.00660 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~J}$ of energy is absorbed per nuclei, or $1.81062 \times 10^{14} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}$ nuclei.
The source of energy is the kinetic energy of the proton and the neutron in the particle accelerator.
71. All evolved oxygen in $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ comes from water and not from carbon dioxide.
72. Sr-90 is an alkaline earth metal having chemical properties similar to calcium. $\mathrm{Sr}-90$ can collect in bones, replacing some of the calcium. Once embedded inside the human body, betaparticles can do significant damage. Rn-222 is a noble gas, so one would expect Rn to be unreactive and pass through the body quickly; it does. The problem with Rn-222 is the rate at which it produces alpha-particles. With a short half-life, the few moments that Rn-222 is in the lungs, a significant number of decay events can occur; each decay event produces an alpha-particle that is very effective at causing ionization and can produce a dense trail of damage.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 73-78 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

79. $\mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}} ; \quad \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.693) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}$

For ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}: \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=\frac{-(0.693)\left(4.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{yr}\right)}{4.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{yr}}=-0.693, \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N}_{0}}=\mathrm{e}^{-0.693}=0.50$

For ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}: \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=\frac{-(0.693)\left(4.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{yr}\right)}{7.1 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{yr}}=-4.39, \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N}_{0}}=\mathrm{e}^{-4.39}=0.012$
If we have a current sample of 10,000 uranium nuclei, 9928 nuclei of ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ and 72 nuclei of ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ are present. Now let's calculate the initial number of nuclei that must have been present $4.5 \times 10^{9}$ years ago to produce these 10,000 uranium nuclei.

For ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}: \frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}=0.50, \mathrm{~N}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{0.50}=\frac{9928 \text { nuclei }}{0.50}=2.0 \times 10^{4}{ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ nuclei
For ${ }^{235} \mathrm{U}: \quad \mathrm{N}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{0.012}=\frac{72 \text { nuclei }}{0.012}=6.0 \times 10^{3}{ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ nuclei
So 4.5 billion years ago, the 10,000 -nuclei sample of uranium was composed of $2.0 \times 10^{4}$ ${ }^{238} \mathrm{U}$ nuclei and $6.0 \times 10^{3}{ }^{235} \mathrm{U}$ nuclei. The percent composition 4.5 billion years ago would have been:

$$
\frac{2.0 \times 10^{4}{ }^{238} \mathrm{U} \text { nuclei }}{\left(6.0 \times 10^{3}+2.0 \times 10^{4}\right) \text { total nuclei }} \times 100=77 \%{ }^{238} \mathrm{U} \text { and } 23 \%{ }^{235} \mathrm{U}
$$

80. Total activity injected $=86.5 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{Ci}$

Activity withdrawn $=\frac{3.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{Ci}}{2.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=\frac{1.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{Ci}}{\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$
Assuming no significant decay occurs, then the total volume of water in the body multiplied by $1.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{Ci} / \mathrm{mL}$ must equal the total activity injected.
$\mathrm{V} \times \frac{1.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{Ci}}{\mathrm{mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=8.65 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{Ci}, \mathrm{V}=4.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
Assuming a density of $1.0 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for water, the mass percent of water in this $150-\mathrm{lb}$ person is:

$$
\frac{4.8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{lb}}{453.6 \mathrm{~g}}}{150 \mathrm{lb}} \times 100=71 \%
$$

81. Assuming that the radionuclide is long-lived enough that no significant decay occurs during the time of the experiment, the total counts of radioactivity injected are:

$$
0.10 \mathrm{~mL} \times \frac{5.0 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{cpm}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=5.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{cpm}
$$

Assuming that the total activity is uniformly distributed only in the rat's blood, the blood volume is:

$$
\mathrm{V} \times \frac{48 \mathrm{cpm}}{\mathrm{~mL}}=5.0 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{cpm}, \mathrm{~V}=10.4 \mathrm{~mL}=10 . \mathrm{mL}
$$

82. a. From Table 18.1: $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V}$

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}^{0}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Zr}}^{0}=-0.83 \mathrm{~V}+2.36 \mathrm{~V}=1.53 \mathrm{~V}
$$

Yes, the reduction of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ by Zr is spontaneous at standard conditions because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}>0$.
b. $\quad\left(2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}\right) \times 2$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{Zr}+4 \mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{ZrO}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+4 \mathrm{e}^{-}}{3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{ZrO}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~s})}
$$

c. $\quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{nFE}{ }^{\circ}=-\left(4 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)\left(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(1.53 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-5.90 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-590 . \mathrm{kJ}$
$\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}^{\circ}-\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{Q}$; at equilibrium, $\mathrm{E}=0$ and $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}$.
$\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{K}, \log \mathrm{K}=\frac{4(1.53)}{0.0591}=104, \mathrm{~K} \approx 10^{104}$
d. $1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{Zr} \times \frac{1000 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~kg}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zr}}{91.22 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Zr}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zr}}=2.19 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2.19 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \times \frac{2.016 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}}=4.42 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{~V}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\left(2.19 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~mol}\right)(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot \mathrm{~K})(1273 \mathrm{~K})}{1.0 \mathrm{~atm}}=2.3 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

e. Probably yes; less radioactivity overall was released by venting the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ than what would have been released if the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ had exploded inside the reactor (as happened at Chernobyl). Neither alternative is pleasant, but venting the radioactive hydrogen is the less unpleasant of the two alternatives.
83. a. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$; it takes part in the first step of the reaction but is regenerated in the last step. ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is not consumed, so it is not a reactant.
b. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C},{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N},{ }^{15} \mathrm{O}$, and ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ are the intermediates.
c. $\quad 4{ }_{1}^{1} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+2{ }_{+1}^{0} \mathrm{e} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{m}=4.00260 \mathrm{u}-2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}+2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}-\left[4\left(1.00782 \mathrm{u}-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)\right]$
$\Delta \mathrm{m}=4.00260-4(1.00782)+4(0.000549)=-0.02648 \mathrm{u}$ for four protons reacting
For 4 mol of protons, $\Delta \mathrm{m}=-0.02648 \mathrm{~g}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ for the reaction is:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-2.648 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~kg} \times\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-2.380 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~J}
$$

For 1 mol of protons reacting: $\frac{-2.380 \times 10^{12} \mathrm{~J}}{4 \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}}=-5.950 \times 10^{11} \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{mol}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$
84. a. ${ }_{92}^{238} \mathrm{U} \rightarrow{ }_{86}^{222} \mathrm{Rn}+?{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+$ ? ${ }_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{e}$; to account for the mass number change, four alphaparticles are needed. To balance the number of protons, two beta-particles are needed.
${ }_{86}^{222} \mathrm{Rn} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{84}^{218} \mathrm{Po}$; polonium-218 is produced when ${ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn}$ decays.
b. Alpha-particles cause significant ionization damage when inside a living organism.

Because the half-life of ${ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn}$ is relatively short, a significant number of alpha-particles will be produced when ${ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn}$ is present (even for a short period of time) in the lungs.
c. $\quad{ }_{86}^{222} \mathrm{Rn} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{84}^{218} \mathrm{Po} ;{ }_{84}^{218} \mathrm{Po} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}+{ }_{82}^{214} \mathrm{~Pb}$; polonium- 218 is produced when radon-222 decays. ${ }^{218} \mathrm{Po}$ is a more potent alpha-particle producer since it has a much shorter half-life than ${ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn}$. In addition, ${ }^{218} \mathrm{Po}$ is a solid, so it can get trapped in the lung tissue once it is produced. Once trapped, the alpha-particles produced from polonium218 (with its very short half-life) can cause significant ionization damage.
d. $\quad$ Rate $=\mathrm{kN} ; \quad$ rate $=\frac{4.0 \mathrm{pCi}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{Ci}}{\mathrm{pCi}} \times \frac{3.7 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{decays} / \mathrm{sec}}{\mathrm{Ci}}=0.15$ decays $/ \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{L}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{k}=\frac{\ln 2}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}=\frac{0.6391}{3.82 \mathrm{~d}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~d}}{24 \mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}}=2.10 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \\
& \mathrm{~N}=\frac{\text { rate }}{\mathrm{K}}=\frac{0.15 \text { decays } / \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{~L}}{2.10 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}}=7.1 \times 10^{4222} \mathrm{Rn} \text { atoms } / \mathrm{L}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\frac{7.1 \times 10^{4}{ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn} \text { atoms }}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn}}{6.02 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}=1.2 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{222} \mathrm{Rn} / \mathrm{L}
$$

85. Moles of $\mathrm{I}^{-}=\frac{33 \text { counts }}{\min } \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{I} \cdot \mathrm{min}}{5.0 \times 10^{11} \text { counts }}=6.6 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{I}^{-}$
$\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]=\frac{6.6 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{I}^{-}}{0.150 \mathrm{~L}}=4.4 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$

$$
\mathrm{Hg}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad+\quad 2 \mathrm{I}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=\left[\mathrm{Hg}_{2}{ }^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{I}^{-}\right]^{2}
$$

Initial $s=$ solubility (mol/L) 0 0
Equil. $s$ 2s
From the problem, $2 s=4.4 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}, s=2.2 \times 10^{-10} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}$.

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=(s)(2 s)^{2}=\left(2.2 \times 10^{-10}\right)\left(4.4 \times 10^{-10}\right)^{2}=4.3 \times 10^{-29}
$$

86. $\quad{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}+{ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H} \rightarrow{ }_{2}^{4} \mathrm{He}$; Q for ${ }_{1}^{2} \mathrm{H}=1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$; mass of deuterium $=2 \mathrm{u}$.
$\mathrm{E}=\frac{9.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{C}^{2}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1} \mathrm{Q}_{2}\right)}{\mathrm{r}}=\frac{9.0 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~J} \cdot \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{C}^{2}\left(1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}\right)^{2}}{2 \times 10^{-15} \mathrm{~m}}$ $=1 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}$ per alpha particle
$\mathrm{KE}=1 / 2 \mathrm{mv}^{2} ; 1 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~J}=1 / 2\left(2 \mathrm{u} \times 1.66 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{u}\right) \mathrm{v}^{2}, \quad \mathrm{v}=8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
From the kinetic molecular theory discussed in Chapter 5:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{rms}}=\left(\frac{3 R T}{\mathrm{M}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \text { where } \mathrm{M}=\text { molar mass in kilograms }=2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{mol} \text { for deuterium } \\
& 8 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}=\left[\frac{3(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(\mathrm{T})}{2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kg}}\right]^{1 / 2}, \mathrm{~T}=5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~K}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Integrative Problems

87. ${ }_{97}^{249} \mathrm{Bk}+{ }_{10}^{22} \mathrm{Ne} \rightarrow{ }_{107}^{267} \mathrm{Bh}+$ ?; this equation is charge balanced, but it is not mass balanced. The products are off by 4 mass units. The only possibility to account for the 4 mass units is to have 4 neutrons produced. The balanced equation is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{ }_{97}^{249} \mathrm{Bk}+{ }_{10}^{22} \mathrm{Ne} \rightarrow{ }_{107}^{267} \mathrm{Bh}+4{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \\
\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}}, \ln \left(\frac{11}{199}\right)=\frac{-(0.6931) \mathrm{t}}{15.0 \mathrm{~s}}, \mathrm{t}=62.7 \mathrm{~s}
\end{gathered}
$$

Bh: $[\mathrm{Rn}] 7 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 5 \mathrm{f}^{14} 6 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$ is the expected electron configuration.
88. ${ }_{26}^{58} \mathrm{Fe}+2{ }_{0}^{1} \mathrm{n} \rightarrow{ }_{27}^{60} \mathrm{Co}+$ ?; in order to balance the equation, the missing particle has no mass and a charge of $1-$; this is an electron.

An atom of ${ }_{27}^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ has $27 \mathrm{e}, 27 \mathrm{p}$, and 33 n . The mass defect of the ${ }^{60} \mathrm{Co}$ nucleus is:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta \mathrm{m}=\left(59.9338-27 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)-\left[27\left(1.00782-\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{e}}\right)+33(1.00866)\right]=-0.5631 \mathrm{u} \\
\Delta \mathrm{E}=\Delta \mathrm{mc}^{2}=-0.5631 \mathrm{u} \times \frac{1.6605 \times 10^{-27} \mathrm{~kg}}{\mathrm{u}} \times\left(2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)^{2}=-8.403 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~J}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\frac{\text { Binding energy }}{\text { Nucleon }}=\frac{8.403 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~J}}{60 \text { nucleons }}=1.401 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~J} / \text { nucleon }
$$

The emitted particle was an electron, which has a mass of $9.109 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}$. The deBroglie wavelength is:

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{mv}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}}{9.109 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg} \times\left(0.90 \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}=2.7 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{~m}
$$

## CHAPTER 20

## THE REPRESENTATIVE ELEMENTS

## Questions

1. The gravity of the earth is not strong enough to keep the light $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ molecules in the atmosphere.
2. (1) Ammonia production and (2) hydrogenation of vegetable oils.
3. The acidity decreases. Solutions of $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$ are acidic, while solutions of the other $\mathrm{M}^{2+}$ ions are neutral.
4. Size decreases from left to right and increases going down the periodic table. So, going one element right and one element down would result in a similar size for the two elements diagonal to each other. The ionization energies will be similar for the diagonal elements since the periodic trends also oppose each other. Electron affinities are harder to predict, but atoms with similar size and ionization energy should also have similar electron affinities.
5. For Groups 1A-3A, the small sizes of H (as compared to Li ), Be (as compared to Mg ), and B (as compared to Al ) seem to be the reason why these elements have nonmetallic properties, while others in the Groups 1A-3A are strictly metallic. The small sizes of $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Be}$, and B also cause these species to polarize the electron cloud in nonmetals, thus forcing a sharing of electrons when bonding occurs. For Groups 4A-6A, a major difference between the first and second members of a group is the ability to form $\pi$ bonds. The smaller elements form stable $\pi$ bonds, while the larger elements are not capable of good overlap between parallel p orbitals and, in turn, do not form strong $\pi$ bonds. For Group 7A, the small size of F as compared to Cl is used to explain the low electron affinity of F and the weakness of the $\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{F}$ bond.
6. SiC would have a covalent network structure similar to diamond.
7. Solids have stronger intermolecular forces than liquids. In order to maximize the hydrogen bonding in the solid phase, ice is forced into an open structure. This open structure is why $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$ is less dense than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$.
8. Nitrogen fixation is the process of transforming atmospheric $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ to other nitrogen-containing compounds. Some examples are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& \mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
\end{aligned}
$$

9. Group 1A and 2A metals are all easily oxidized. They must be produced in the absence of materials $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ that are capable of oxidizing them.
10. The bonds in $\mathrm{SnX}_{4}$ compounds have a large covalent character. $\mathrm{SnX}_{4}$ acts as discrete molecules held together by weak London dispersion forces. $\mathrm{SnX} \mathbf{X}_{2}$ compounds are ionic and are held in the solid state by strong ionic forces. Because the intermolecular forces are weaker for $\mathrm{SnX}_{4}$ compounds, they are more volatile (have a lower boiling point).

## Exercises

## Group 1A Elements

11. a. $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-110.5-[-75+(-242)]=207 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=198+3(131)-[186+189]=216 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
b. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} ; \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$ when $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{0}}=\frac{207 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}}{216 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}}=958 \mathrm{~K}$

At $\mathrm{T}>958 \mathrm{~K}$ and standard pressures, the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ term dominates, and the reaction is spontaneous ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}<0$ ).
12. a. $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(-46 \mathrm{~kJ})=-92 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=2(193 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})-[3(131 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})+192 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}]=-199 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} ;$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=-92 \mathrm{~kJ}-298 \mathrm{~K}(-0.199 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-33 \mathrm{~kJ}$
b. Because $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ is negative, this reaction is spontaneous at standard conditions.
c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0$ when $\mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}=\frac{-92 \mathrm{~kJ}}{-0.199 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}}=460 \mathrm{~K}$

At $\mathrm{T}<460 \mathrm{~K}$ and standard pressures, the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ term dominates, and the reaction is spontaneous ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}<0$ ).
13. $4 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{s})$

$$
2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s}) ; 2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{LiCl}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

$12 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Li}_{3} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{s}) ; \quad 2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{LiH}(\mathrm{s})$
$2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{LiOH}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; 2 \mathrm{Li}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{LiCl}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
14. We need another reactant beside $\mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})$ because oxygen and hydrogen are in some of the products. The obvious choice is $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

$$
2 \mathrm{NaCl}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

Note that hydrogen is reduced and chlorine is oxidized in this electrolysis process.
15. When lithium reacts with excess oxygen, $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ forms, which is composed of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$and $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions. This is called an oxide salt. When sodium reacts with oxygen, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ forms, which is composed of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{2-}$ ions. This is called a peroxide salt. When potassium (or rubidium or cesium) reacts with oxygen, $\mathrm{KO}_{2}$ forms, which is composed of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$ions. For your information, this is called a superoxide salt. So the three types of alkali metal oxides that can form differ in the oxygen anion part of the formula ( $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ versus $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ versus $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$). Each of these anions has unique bonding arrangements and oxidation states.
16. The first illustration is an example of a covalent hydride like $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Covalent hydrides are just binary covalent compounds formed between hydrogen and some other nonmetal and exist as individual molecules. The middle illustration represents interstitial (or metallic) hydrides. In interstitial hydrides, hydrogen atoms occupy the holes of a transition metal crystal. These hydrides are more like solid solutions than true compounds. The third illustration represents ionic (or salt-like) hydrides like LiH. Ionic hydrides form when hydrogen reacts with a metal from Group 1A or 2A. The metals lose electrons to form cations and the hydrogen atoms gain electrons to form the hydride anions $\left(\mathrm{H}^{-}\right)$. These are just ionic compounds formed between a metal and hydrogen.
17. The small size of the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$cation results in a much greater attraction to water. The attraction to water is not so great for the other alkali metal ions. Thus lithium salts tend to absorb water.
18. Counting over in the periodic table, the next alkali metal will be element 119. It will be located under Fr. One would expect the physical properties of element 119 to follow the trends shown in Table 20.4. Element 119 should have the smallest ionization energy, the most negative standard reduction potential, the largest radius, and the smallest melting point of all the alkali metals listed in Table 20.4. It should also be radioactive like Fr.

## Group 2A Elements

19. $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CaSO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
20. $2 \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SrO}(\mathrm{s}) ; \operatorname{Sr}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SrS}(\mathrm{s})$

$$
\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SrCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) ; 6 \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Sr}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

$$
\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SrH}_{2}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

$$
\mathrm{Sr}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SrCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

21. $\mathrm{Ba}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ba} ; 6.00 \mathrm{~h} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~min}}{\mathrm{~h}} \times \frac{60 \mathrm{~s}}{\min } \times \frac{2.50 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{s}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{96,485 \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ba}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}$ $\times \frac{137.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ba}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ba}}=3.84 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ba}$
22. $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$ is composed of $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ ions; $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ gains 2 electrons to form magnesium metal in electrolysis.

$$
\begin{aligned}
1.00 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}}{24.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg}} \times \frac{96,485 \mathrm{C}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e}^{-}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~s}}{5.00 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{C}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~h}}{3600 \mathrm{~s}} \\
=44.1 \text { hours }
\end{aligned}
$$

23. Beryllium has a small size and a large electronegativity as compared to the other alkaline earth metals. The electronegativity of Be is so high that it does not readily give up electrons to nonmetals, as is the case for the other alkaline earth metals. Instead, Be has significant covalent character in its bonds; it prefers to share valence electrons rather than give them up to form ionic bonds.
24. The alkaline earth ions that give water the hard designation are $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$. These ions interfere with the action of detergents and form unwanted precipitates with soaps. Large-scale water softeners remove $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ by precipitating out the calcium ions as $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$. In homes, $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ (plus other cations) are removed by ion exchange. See Figure 20.6 for a schematic of a typical cation exchange resin.

## Group 3A Elements

25. Element 113: [Rn] $7 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 5 f^{14} 6 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 7 \mathrm{p}^{1}$; element 113 would fall below Tl in the periodic table. Like Tl , we would expect element 113 to form +1 and +3 oxidation states in its compounds.
26. $\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, thallium(III) oxide; $\mathrm{Tl}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, thallium(I) oxide; $\mathrm{InCl}_{3}$, indium(III) chloride; InCl, indium(I) chloride
27. $\quad \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}(\mathrm{~g})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$
28. $\quad \mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{MgO}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{~s})$
29. $2 \mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{~F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{GaF}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) ; 4 \mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$

$$
2 \mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ga}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{~s}) ; 2 \mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{GaCl}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

30. $2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{NaOH}(\mathrm{aq})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{Na}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
31. An amphoteric substance is one that can behave as either an acid or as a base. $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ dissolves in both acidic and basic solutions. The reactions are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
\end{aligned}
$$

32. Compounds called boranes have three-centered bonds. Three-centered bonds occur when a single H atom forms bridging bonds between two boron atoms. The bonds have two electrons bonding all three atoms together. The bond is electron-deficient and makes boranes very reactive.

## Group 4A Elements

33. Compounds containing $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Si}$ single and multiple bonds are rare, unlike compounds of carbon. The bond strengths of the $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Si}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bonds are similar. The difference in bonding properties must be for other reasons. One reason is that silicon does not form strong $\pi$ bonds, unlike carbon. Another reason is that silicon forms particularly strong sigma bonds to oxygen, resulting in compounds with $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds instead of $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{Si}$ bonds.
34. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is a molecular substance composed of individual $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecules. $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ does not exist as discreet molecules. Instead, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ is the empirical formula for quartz, which is composed of a network of $\mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra with shared oxygen atoms between the various tetrahedra. The major reason for the difference in structures is that carbon has the ability to form $\pi$ bonds, whereas silica does not form stable $\pi$ bonds. In order to form discrete $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ molecules, $\pi$ bonds must form. Because silicon does not form stable bonds, silicon atoms achieve a noble gas configuration by forming several $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ single bonds. These $\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{O}$ single bonds extend in all directions, giving the network structure of quartz.
35. $\quad \underset{\mathrm{O}}{. .}=\mathrm{C}=\ddot{\mathrm{O}}$

The darker green orbitals about carbon are sp hybrid orbitals. The lighter green orbitals about each oxygen are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbitals, and the gold orbitals about all of the atoms are unhybridized p atomic orbitals. In each double bond in $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, one sigma and one $\pi$ bond exists. The two carbon-oxygen sigma bonds are formed from overlap of sp hybrid orbitals from carbon with a $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital from each oxygen. The two carbon-oxygen $\pi$ bonds are formed from side-to-side overlap of the unhybridized $p$ atomic orbitals from carbon with an unhybridized $p$ atomic orbital from each oxygen. These two $\pi$ bonds are oriented perpendicular to each other as illustrated in the figure.
36. The formulas for the stable oxides of carbon are $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.


For the bonding in CO, both carbon and oxygen are sp hybridized. In the CO triple bond, 1 sigma and $2 \pi$ bonds exist. Overlap of sp hybrid orbitals from carbon and oxygen form the sigma bond. The two $\pi$ bonds are formed from side-to-side overlap of unhybridized $p$ atomic orbitals from carbon and oxygen. These $\pi$ bonds are oriented perpendicular to each other.

For the bonding in $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, the carbon atoms are all sp hybridized while the terminal oxygen atoms are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. In each double bond in $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, one sigma and one $\pi$ bond exists. The sigma bonds between the carbon and oxygen atoms are formed from overlap of an sp hybrid orbital from carbon with an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybrid orbital from oxygen. The sigma bond between the carbon atoms are both formed from overlap of sp hybrid orbitals from each carbon. All four $\pi$ bonds in the $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule are formed from side-to-side overlap of unhybridized p atomic orbitals from the various atoms.
37. a. $\quad \mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+2 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{g})$
b. $\quad \mathrm{SiCl}_{4}(\mathrm{l})+2 \mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{MgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$
c. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SiF}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{s})+6 \mathrm{NaF}(\mathrm{s})$
38. $\quad \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SnCl}_{4}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SnO}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$
$\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$
39. $\quad \mathrm{Pb}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : we assign -2 for the oxidation state of O . The sum of the oxidation states of Pb must be +8 . We get this if two of the lead atoms are $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{II})$ and one is $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{IV})$. Therefore, the mole ratio of lead(II) to lead(IV) is $2: 1$.
40. $\quad \mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{~F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SnF}_{4}(\mathrm{~s})$, tin(IV) fluoride; $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SnF}_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$, tin(II) fluoride

## Group 5A Elements

41. $\mathrm{NO}_{4}^{3-}$

$\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-}$


Both $\mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ and $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ have 32 valence electrons, so both have similar Lewis structures. From the Lewis structure for $\mathrm{NO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$, the central N atom has a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs. N is small. There is probably not enough room for all 4 oxygen atoms around N. P is larger; thus $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is stable.
$\mathrm{PO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$each have 24 valence electrons, so both have similar Lewis structures. From the Lewis structure for $\mathrm{PO}_{3}^{-}, \mathrm{PO}_{3}^{-}$has a trigonal planar arrangement of electron pairs about the central P atom (two single bonds and one double bond). $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds are not particularly stable, while $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{O}$ bonds are stable. Thus $\mathrm{NO}_{3}{ }^{-}$is stable.
42. a. $\mathrm{PF}_{5} ; \quad \mathrm{N}$ is too small and doesn't have low-energy d-orbitals to expand its octet to form $\mathrm{NF}_{5}$.
b. $\mathrm{AsF}_{5} ; \mathrm{I}$ is too large to fit 5 atoms of I around As.
c. $\quad \mathrm{NF}_{3} ; \mathrm{N}$ is too small for three large bromine atoms to fit around it.
43. Production of bismuth:

$$
2 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+9 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; 2 \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Production of antimony:

$$
2 \mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+9 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) ; 2 \mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~s}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Sb}(\mathrm{~s})+3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

44. $\quad 4 \mathrm{As}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{As}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s}) ; 4 \mathrm{As}(\mathrm{s})+5 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{As}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{~s})$

$$
\mathrm{As}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{As}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{AsO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

45. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, 5+3(1)=8 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{AsCl}_{5}, 5+5(7)=40 \mathrm{e}^{-}
$$




Trigonal pyramid; $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
Trigonal bipyramid; dsp ${ }^{3}$
$\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}, 5+6(7)+1=48 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Octahedral; $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}{ }^{3}$
Nitrogen does not have low-energy d orbitals it can use to expand its octet. Both $\mathrm{NF}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{NCl}_{6}{ }^{-}$would require nitrogen to have more than 8 valence electrons around it; this never happens.
46. MO model (where B.O. = bond order):
$\mathrm{NO}^{+}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}$; B.O $=(8-2) / 2=3,0$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$(diamagnetic)
NO: $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{1}$; B.O. $=2.5$, 1 unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$(paramagnetic)
$\left.\mathrm{NO}^{-}:\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}\right)^{2}$; B.O. $=2$, 2 unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$(paramagnetic)

Lewis structures: $\mathrm{NO}^{+}: \quad[: \mathrm{N} \overline{\overline{\mathrm{O}}:}]^{+}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NO: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{NO}^{-}: \quad\left[\stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{O}_{:}^{\circ} \cdot\right]^{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

The two models give the same results only for $\mathrm{NO}^{+}$(a triple bond with no unpaired electrons). Lewis structures are not adequate for NO and $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$. The MO model gives a better
representation for all three species. For NO, Lewis structures are poor for odd electron species. For $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$, both models predict a double bond, but only the MO model correctly predicts that $\mathrm{NO}^{-}$is paramagnetic.
47. $\quad 1 / 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+1 / 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{g}) \quad \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{NO}}^{0}=87 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$; by definition, $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{f}}^{0}$ for a compound equals the free energy change that would accompany the formation of 1 mol of that compound from its elements in their standard states. NO (and some other oxides of nitrogen) have weaker bonds as compared to the triple bond of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and the double bond of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Because of this, NO (and some other oxides of nitrogen) have higher (positive) standard free energies of formation as compared to the relatively stable $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecules.
48. $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(90 . \mathrm{kJ})-(0+0)=180 . \mathrm{kJ} ; \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=2(211 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})-(192+205)=25 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=2(87 \mathrm{~kJ})-(0)=174 \mathrm{~kJ}$
At the high temperatures in automobile engines, the reaction $\mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}$ becomes spontaneous since the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ term will become dominate. In the atmosphere, even though $2 \mathrm{NO} \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is spontaneous at the cooler temperatures of the atmosphere, it doesn't occur because the rate is slow. Therefore, higher concentrations of NO are present in the atmosphere as compared to what is predicted by thermodynamics.
49. The pollution provides sources of nitrogen and phosphorus nutrients so that the algae can grow. The algae consume oxygen, which decrease the dissolved oxygen levels below that required for other aquatic life to survive, and fish die.
50. For a buffer solution: $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}+\log \frac{\text { [base }]}{\text { [acid] }} ; \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(6.2 \times 10^{-8}\right)+\log \frac{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}$

From the problem, $\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\right]}=1.1$, so: $\mathrm{pH}=7.21+\log \frac{1}{1.1}, \mathrm{pH}=7.21-0.041=7.17$
51. The acidic hydrogens in the oxyacids of phosphorus all are bonded to oxygen. The hydrogens bonded directly to phosphorus are not acidic. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ has three oxygen-bonded hydrogens, and it is a triprotic acid. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{3}$ has only two of the hydrogens bonded to oxygen, and it is a diprotic acid. The third oxyacid of phosphorus, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{2}$, has only one of the hydrogens bonded to an oxygen; it is a monoprotic acid.
52. $\quad$ TSP $=\mathrm{Na}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} ; \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}$ is the conjugate base of the weak acid $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.8 \times 10^{-13}\right)$. All conjugate bases of weak acids are effective bases ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} / 4.8 \times 10^{-13}=$ $2.1 \times 10^{-2}$ ). The weak base reaction of $\mathrm{PO}_{4}^{3-}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is $\mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{3-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=2.1 \times 10^{-2}$.

## Group 6A Elements

53. $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}$

Break O-O bond: $\Delta \mathrm{H}=\frac{146 \mathrm{~kJ}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{6.022 \times 10^{23}}=2.42 \times 10^{-22} \mathrm{~kJ}=2.42 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$

A photon of light must contain at least $2.42 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}$ to break one $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond.

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\text {photon }}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\lambda}, \lambda=\frac{\left(6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}\right)\left(2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}\right)}{2.42 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=8.21 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=821 \mathrm{~nm}
$$

54. From Figure 7.2 in the text, light from violet to green will work.
55. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SeO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Se}(\mathrm{s})+3 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
56. a. $2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$
b. $\mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(\mathrm{aq})$
c. $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{11}(\mathrm{~s})+11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}($ conc $) \rightarrow 12 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{s})+11 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$
57. In the upper atmosphere, $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ acts as a filter for ultraviolet (UV) radiation:

$$
\mathrm{O}_{3} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{hv}} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{O}
$$

$\mathrm{O}_{3}$ is also a powerful oxidizing agent. It irritates the lungs and eyes, and at high concentration, it is toxic. The smell of a "spring thunderstorm" is $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ formed during lightning discharges. Toxic materials don't necessarily smell bad. For example, HCN smells like almonds.
58. Chlorine is a good oxidizing agent. Similarly, ozone is a good oxidizing agent. After chlorine reacts, residues of chloro compounds are left behind. Long-term exposure to some chloro compounds may cause cancer. Ozone would not break down and form harmful substances. The major problem with ozone is that because virtually no ozone is left behind after initial treatment, the water supply is not protected against recontamination. In contrast, for chlorination, significant residual chlorine remains after treatment, thus reducing (eliminating) the risk of recontamination.
59. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ : $\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{~s}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}\left(\sigma_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{2}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}\right)^{4}\left(\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}{ }^{*}\right)^{2}$; the MO electron configuration of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has two unpaired electrons in the degenerate $\pi$ antibonding ( $\pi_{2 \mathrm{p}}^{*}$ ) orbitals. A substance with unpaired electrons is paramagnetic (see Figure 9.39).
60. $\quad \mathrm{SO}_{2}, 6+2(6)=18 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{SO}_{3}, 6+3(6)=24 \mathrm{e}^{-}
$$




The molecular structure of $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ is bent with a $119^{\circ}$ bond angle (close to the predicted $120^{\circ}$ trigonal planar geometry). The molecular structure of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ is trigonal planar with $120^{\circ}$ bond angles. Both $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ exhibit resonance. Both sulfurs in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. To explain the equal bond lengths that occur in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$, the molecular orbital model assumes that the $\pi$ electrons are delocalized over the entire surface of the molecule. The
orbitals that form the delocalized $\pi$ bonding system are unhybridized p atomic orbitals from the sulfurs and oxygens in each molecule. When all of the p atomic orbitals overlap together, there is a cloud of electron density above and below the entire surface of the molecule. Because the $\pi$ electrons are delocalized over the entire surface of the molecule in $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$, all of the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in each molecule are equivalent.

## Group 7A Elements

61. $\quad \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ has 2(6) $+2(7)=26$ valence $\mathrm{e}^{-}$; from the following Lewis structure, each oxygen atom has a tetrahedral arrangement of electron pairs. Therefore, bond angles are $\approx 109.5^{\circ}$ and each O is $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.


| Formal charge | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oxidation state | -1 | +1 | +1 | -1 |

Oxidation states are more useful. We are forced to assign +1 as the oxidation state for oxygen. Oxygen is very electronegative, and +1 is not a stable oxidation state for this element.
62. $\mathrm{OF}_{2}, 6+2(7)=20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Because fluorine is more electronegative than oxygen, each fluorine atom in $\mathrm{OF}_{2}$ has a -1 oxidation number, which results in oxygen having a +2 oxidation number. Oxygen is very electronegative, so +2 is an extremely unstable oxidation state for this element. One would expect $\mathrm{OF}_{2}$, with oxygen in the +2 oxidation state, to be an even stronger oxidizing agent than $\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$, which has oxygen in the +1 oxidation state.
63.
$\mathrm{SF}_{2}, 6+2(7)=20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
$\mathrm{SF}_{4}, 6+4(7)=34 \mathrm{e}^{-}$
$\mathrm{SF}_{6}, 6+6(7)=48 \mathrm{e}^{-}$



See-saw; $\approx 90, \approx 120$


Octahedral; $90^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{OF}_{4}$ would have the same Lewis structure as $\mathrm{SF}_{4}$. In order to form $\mathrm{OF}_{4}$, the central oxygen atom must expand its octet. O is too small and doesn't have low-energy d orbitals available to expand its octet. Therefore, $\mathrm{OF}_{4}$ would not be a stable compound.
64. Selenium should form compounds similar to those that sulfur forms because both are group 6 A nonmetals. Because sulfur forms covalent compounds with halogens having $\mathrm{SX}_{2}, \mathrm{SX}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{SX}_{6}$ formulas, one would predict selenium and chlorine to form covalent compounds having the formulas $\mathrm{SeCl}_{2}, \mathrm{SeCl}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{SeCl}_{6}$.
65. The oxyacid strength increases as the number of oxygens in the formula increase. Therefore, the order of the oxyacids from weakest to strongest acid is $\mathrm{HOCl}<\mathrm{HClO}_{2}<\mathrm{HClO}_{3}<\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$.
66. One reason is that the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ bond is stronger than the other hydrohalides, making it more difficult to form $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$. The main reason HF is a weak acid is entropy. When $\mathrm{F}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})$ forms from the dissociation of HF, there is a high degree of ordering that takes place as water molecules hydrate this small ion. Entropy is considerably more unfavorable for the formation of hydrated $\mathrm{F}^{-}$than for the formation of the other hydrated halides. The result of the more unfavorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ term is a positive $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}$ value that leads to a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ value less than one.

## Group 8A Elements

67. Xe has one more valence electron than I. Thus the isoelectric species will have I plus one extra electron substituted for Xe, giving a species with a net minus one charge.
a. $\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-}$
b. $\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}$
c. $\mathrm{IF}_{2}{ }^{-}$
d. $\mathrm{IF}_{4}^{-}$
e. $\mathrm{IF}_{6}{ }^{-}$
68. 

a. $\mathrm{KrF}_{2}, 8+2(7)=22 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

Linear; $180^{\circ}$; dsp ${ }^{3}$
b. $\mathrm{KrF}_{4}, 8+4(7)=36 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

Square planar; $90^{\circ} ; \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$
c. $\mathrm{XeO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}, 8+2(6)+2(7)=34 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

or






All are see-saw; $\approx 90^{\circ}$ and $\approx 120^{\circ} ; \mathrm{dsp}^{3}$
d. $\mathrm{XeO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}, 8+2(6)+4(7)=48 \mathrm{e}^{-}$



All are octahedral; $90^{\circ} ; \mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{sp}^{3}$
69. Helium is unreactive and doesn't combine with any other elements. It is a very light gas and would easily escape the earth's gravitational pull as the planet was formed.
70. $\quad 10.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 10.0 \mathrm{~m}=1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3}$; from Table 20.22, volume $\% \mathrm{Ar}=0.9 \%$.
$1.00 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} \times\left(\frac{10 \mathrm{dm}}{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{dm}^{3}} \times \frac{0.9 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Ar}}{100 \mathrm{~L} \text { air }}=9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L}$ of Ar in the room
$\mathrm{PV}=\mathrm{nRT}, \mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{(1.0 \mathrm{~atm})\left(9 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~L}\right)}{(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}=4 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar}$
$4 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar} \times \frac{39.95 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=2 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ar}$ in the room
$4 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}{\mathrm{mol}}=2 \times 10^{26}$ atoms Ar in the room
A 2-L breath contains: 2 L air $\times \frac{0.9 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Ar}}{100 \mathrm{~L} \text { air }}=2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{Ar}$
$\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{(1.0 \mathrm{~atm})\left(2 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~L}\right)}{(0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol})(298 \mathrm{~K})}=8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar}$
$8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ar} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}{\mathrm{mol}}=5 \times 10^{20}$ atoms of Ar in a 2-L breath
Because Ar and Rn are both noble gases, both species will be relatively unreactive. However, all nuclei of Rn are radioactive, unlike most nuclei of Ar. The radioactive decay products of Rn can cause biological damage when inhaled.
71. One would expect $\mathrm{RnF}_{2}, \mathrm{RnF}_{4}$, and maybe $\mathrm{RnF}_{6}$ to form in a fashion similar to $\mathrm{XeF}_{2}, \mathrm{XeF}_{4}$, and $\mathrm{XeF}_{6}$. The chemistry of radon is difficult to study because radon isotopes are all radioactive. The hazards of dealing with radioactive materials are immense.
72.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{RnF}_{2}, 8+2(7)=22 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
: \ddot{\mathrm{F}} \quad \dot{\mathrm{R}} \dot{n}-\quad \ddot{\mathrm{F}}:
\end{gathered}
$$

Linear; $180^{\circ}$
$\mathrm{RnF}_{4}, 8+4(7)=36 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \mathrm{RnF}_{6}, 8+6(7)=50 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Square planar; $90^{\circ}$




The structure for $\mathrm{RnF}_{6}$ is difficult to predict. For six electron pairs about a central atom, the geometry is octahedral with $90^{\circ}$ bond angles. $\mathrm{RnF}_{6}$ has seven electron pairs about the central Rn atom, so the structure is not octahedral. We will call the molecular structure of $\mathrm{RnF}_{6} \mathrm{a}$ distorted octahedral structure with exact bond angles that are hard to predict.

## Additional Exercises

73. 


$(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{N} \equiv \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{g})+2 \mathrm{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{g})$

Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
1 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{N}(160 . \mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) & 1 \mathrm{~N} \equiv \mathrm{~N}(941 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
4 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}(391 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) & 2 \times 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}(467 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mo} \\
1 \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}(495 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) & \\
\Delta \mathrm{H}=160 .+4(391)+495-[941+4(467)]=2219 \mathrm{~kJ}-2809 \mathrm{~kJ}=-590 . \mathrm{kJ}
\end{array}
$$

74. The inert pair effect refers to the difficulty of removing the pair of s electrons from some of the elements in the fifth and sixth periods of the periodic table. As a result, multiple oxidation states are exhibited for the heavier elements of Groups 3A and 4A. $\mathrm{In}^{+}, \mathrm{In}^{3+}, \mathrm{Tl}^{+}$, and $\mathrm{Tl}^{3+}$ oxidation states are all important to the chemistry of In and Tl.
75. $\mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{I}):[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$, no unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$; $\mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{III}):[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$, no unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$
$\mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{II}):[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}, 1$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$; note that the s electrons are lost before the d electrons.
If the compound contained $\mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{II})$, it would be paramagnetic, and if the compound contained $\mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Ga}(\mathrm{III})$, it would be diamagnetic. This can be determined easily by measuring the mass of a sample in the presence and in the absence of a magnetic field. Paramagnetic compounds will have an apparent increase in mass in a magnetic field.
76. The $\pi$ electrons are free to move in graphite, thus giving it greater conductivity (lower resistance). The electrons in graphite have the greatest mobility within sheets of carbon atoms, resulting in a lower resistance in the plane of the sheets (basal plane). Electrons in diamond are not mobile (high resistance). The structure of diamond is uniform in all directions; thus resistivity has no directional dependence in diamond.
77. 

|  | $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})$ | $\rightleftharpoons$ | $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $+\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ |  |  |
| Initial | $s=$ solubility $(\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | 0 | 0 |
| Equil. |  | $s$ | $s$ |

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{sp}}=8.7 \times 10^{-9}=\left[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}\right]=s^{2}, s=9.3 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}
$$

78. 

$$
\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \text { EDTA }^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{PbEDTA}^{2-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}
$$

| Before | 0.0050 M | 0.075 M |  | 0 | $1.0 \times 10^{-7} M$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | (Buffer, $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]$constant)

$$
x \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L} \mathrm{PbEDTA}{ }^{2-} \text { dissociates to reach equilibrium }
$$

| Change | $+x$ | $+x$ | $\leftarrow$ | $-x$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equil. | $x$ | $0.070+x$ | $0.0050-x$ | $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ | (Buffer) |

$\mathrm{K}=6.7 \times 10^{21}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{PbEDTA}^{2-}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}}{\left[\mathrm{~Pb}^{2+}\right]\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{EDTA}^{2-}\right]}=\frac{(0.0050-x)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-7}\right)^{2}}{(x)(0.070+x)}$
$6.7 \times 10^{21} \approx \frac{(0.0050)\left(1.0 \times 10^{-14}\right)}{(x)(0.070)}, x=\left[\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}\right]=1.1 \times 10^{-37} M$; assumptions good.
79. a. $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s}) \xrightarrow{\text { hV }} \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Cl}$; the reactive chlorine atom is trapped in the crystal. When light is removed, Cl reacts with silver atoms to re-form AgCl ; i.e., the reverse reaction occurs. In pure AgCl , the Cl atoms escape, making the reverse reaction impossible.
b. Over time, chlorine is lost and the dark silver metal is permanent.
80. $\mathrm{AsCl}_{4}^{+}, 5+4(7)-1=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{AsCl}_{6}^{-}, 5+6(7)+1=48 \mathrm{e}^{-}
$$




The reaction is a Lewis acid-base reaction. A chloride ion acts as a Lewis base when it is transferred from one $\mathrm{AsCl}_{5}$ to another. Arsenic is the Lewis acid (electron pair acceptor).
81. Table 20.2 lists the mass percents of various elements in the human body. If we consider the mass percents through sulfur, that will cover $99.5 \%$ of the body mass, which is fine for a reasonable estimate. $150 \mathrm{lb} \times 454 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{lb}=68,000 \mathrm{~g}$. We will carry an extra significant figure in some of the following calculations.

Moles of $\mathrm{O}=0.650 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O} / 16.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O}=2760 \mathrm{~mol}$

Moles of $C=0.180 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} / 12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}=1020 \mathrm{~mol}$
Moles of $\mathrm{H}=0.100 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} / 1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}=6750 \mathrm{~mol}$
Moles of $\mathrm{N}=0.030 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N} / 14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}=150 \mathrm{~mol}$
Moles of $\mathrm{Ca}=0.014 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca} / 40.08 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca}=24 \mathrm{~mol}$
Moles of $\mathrm{P}=0.010 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{P} / 30.97 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{P}=22 \mathrm{~mol}$
Moles of $\mathrm{Mg}=0.0050 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Mg} / 24.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Mg}=14 \mathrm{~mol}$
Moles of $\mathrm{K}=0.0034 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K} / 39.10 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}=5.9 \mathrm{~mol}$
Moles of $S=0.0026 \times 68,000 \mathrm{~g} \times 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~S} / 32.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~S}=5.5 \mathrm{~mol}$
Total moles of elements in 150-lb body $=10,750 \mathrm{~mol}$ atoms
$10,750 \mathrm{~mol}$ atoms $\times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms }}{\mathrm{mol} \text { atoms }}=6.474 \times 10^{27}$ atoms $\approx 6.5 \times 10^{27}$ atoms
82. There are medical studies that have shown an inverse relationship between the incidence of cancer and the selenium levels in soil. The foods grown in these soils and eventually digested are assumed to somehow furnish protection from cancer. Selenium is also involved in the activity of vitamin E and certain enzymes in the human body. In addition, selenium deficiency has been shown to be connected to the occurrence of congestive heart failure.
83. Strontium and calcium are both alkaline earth metals, so both have similar chemical properties. Because milk is a good source of calcium, strontium could replace some calcium in milk without much difficulty.
84. A disproportion reaction is an oxidation-reduction reaction in which one species will act as both the oxidizing agent and the reducing agent. The species reacts with itself, forming products with higher and lower oxidation states. For example, $2 \mathrm{Cu}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}+\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is a disproportion reaction.
$\mathrm{HClO}_{2}$ will disproportionate at standard conditions because $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}>0$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{HClO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{HClO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.65 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{HClO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}+3 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-1.21 \mathrm{~V} \\
\hline 2 \mathrm{HClO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HClO}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{ClO}_{3}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq}) & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=0.44 \mathrm{~V}
\end{array}
$$

85. +6 oxidation state: $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{SO}_{3}, \mathrm{SF}_{6}$
+4 oxidation state: $\mathrm{SO}_{3}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{SF}_{4}$
+2 oxidation state: $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$
0 oxidation state: $\mathrm{S}_{8}$ and all other elemental forms of sulfur
-2 oxidation state: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$
86. 



In order to form $\mathrm{FCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~F}$ would have to expand its octet of electrons. Fluorine is too small and doesn't have low-energy d orbitals available to expand its octet. Therefore, $\mathrm{FCl}_{3}$ would not be a stable compound.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 87-96 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

97. The reaction is $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$.
$\mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{H}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 6.10 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.249 \mathrm{~mol}$
Molar mass $X=\frac{10.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{X}}{0.249 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{X}}=40.2 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{X}$ is Ca.
$\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{s})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ is a strong base.
$\left[\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right]=\frac{10.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ca} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}}{40.08 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ca}} \times \frac{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{OH}^{-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}}{10.0 \mathrm{~L}}=0.0499 \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pOH}=-\log (0.0499)=1.302, \mathrm{pH}=14.000-1.302=12.698$
98. White tin is stable at normal temperatures. Gray tin is stable at temperatures below $13.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Thus, for the phase change Sn (gray) $\rightarrow \mathrm{Sn}$ (white), $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is $(-)$ at $\mathrm{T}>13.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is $(+$ ) at $\mathrm{T}<13.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This is only possible if $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is $(+)$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ is $(+)$. Thus, gray tin has the more ordered structure (has the smaller positional probability).
99. $\quad \mathrm{PbX}_{4} \rightarrow \mathrm{PbX}_{2}+\mathrm{X}_{2}$; from the equation, $\mathrm{mol}_{\mathrm{PbX}}^{4}$ = $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{PbX}_{2}$. Let $x=$ molar mass of the halogen. Setting up an equation where $\mathrm{mol}_{\mathrm{PbX}}^{4}$ = $\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{PbX}_{2}$ :

$$
\frac{25.00 \mathrm{~g}}{207.2+4 x}=\frac{16.12 \mathrm{~g}}{207.2+2 x} ; \text { solving, } x=127.1 \text {; the halogen is iodine, I. }
$$

100. In order to form a $\pi$ bond, the d and p orbitals must overlap "side to side" instead of "head to head" as in sigma bonds. A representation of the side-to-side overlap follows. For a bonding orbital to form, the phases of the lobes must match (positive to positive and negative to negative).

101. For the reaction:

the activation energy must in some way involve breaking a nitrogen-nitrogen single bond.
For the reaction:

at some point nitrogen-oxygen bonds must be broken. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ single bonds (160. $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) are weaker than $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ single bonds ( $201 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). In addition, resonance structures indicate that there is more double-bond character in the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds than in the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{N}$ bond. Thus $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and NO are preferred by kinetics because of the lower activation energy.
102. a. The sum of the two steps gives the overall balanced equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{(\mathrm{g})}+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
& \hline \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
\end{aligned}
$$

b. NO is the catalyst. NO is present in the first step of the mechanism on the reactant side, but it is not a reactant because it is regenerated in the second step and does not appear in the overall balanced equation.
c. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ is an intermediate. It is produced in the first step, but is consumed in the second step. Intermediates also never appear in the overall balanced equation. In a mechanism, intermediates always appear first on the product side, while catalysts always appear first on the reactant side.
d. The rate of the slow step in a mechanism gives the rate law for the reaction. From the problem, the rate determining step (the slow step) is step 1. The derived rate law is:

$$
\text { Rate }=\mathrm{k}\left[\mathrm{O}_{3}\right][\mathrm{NO}]
$$

Because NO is a catalyst and not a proposed intermediate, it can appear in the rate law.
e. The mechanism for the chlorine-catalyzed destruction of ozone is:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{ClO}(\mathrm{~g}) & \text { slow step 1 } \\
\mathrm{ClO}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{~g}) & \text { fast step 2 } \\
\hline \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \text { overall equation }
\end{array}
$$

103. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}\right]=1.8 \times 10^{-12}$
$\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is the solvent, so it is not included in the K expression. In a neutral solution of ammonia:

$$
\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}\right] ; \quad 1.8 \times 10^{-12}=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]^{2},\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]=1.3 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{M}=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}\right]
$$

We could abbreviate this autoionization as: $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}^{-}$, where $\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right]$.
This abbreviation is synonymous with the abbreviation used for the autoionization of water $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}\right)$. So $\mathrm{pH}=\mathrm{pNH}_{4}^{+}=-\log \left(1.3 \times 10^{-6}\right)=5.89$.
104. The representation indicates that we have an equimolar mixture of $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ (6 molecules of each are shown). To solve the problem, let's assume a reaction between $3.00 x$ moles of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $3.00 x$ moles of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (equimolar). The reaction going to completion is summarized in the following table. Note that $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is limiting.

|  | $\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $+3 \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightarrow$ | $2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Before | $3.00 \times \mathrm{mol}$ | $3.00 \times \mathrm{mol}$ |  | 0 |
| Change | $-1.00 \times \mathrm{mol}$ | $-3.00 \times \mathrm{mol}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $+2.00 \times \mathrm{mol}$ |
| After | $2.00 \times \mathrm{mol}$ | 0 |  | $2.00 \times \mathrm{mol}$ |

When an equimolar mixture is reacted, the total moles of gas present decreases from $6.00 x$ moles initially to $4.00 x$ moles after completion.
a. The total pressure in the piston apparatus is a constant 1.00 atm . After the reaction, we have $2.00 x$ moles of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $2.00 x$ moles of $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. One-half of the moles of gas present are $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules, so one-half of the total pressure is due to the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules. $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=$ 0.500 atm .
b. $\quad \chi_{\mathrm{NH}_{3}}=\frac{\text { moles } \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\text { total moles }}=\frac{2.00 x \mathrm{~mol}}{(2.00 x+2.00 x) \mathrm{mol}}=0.500$
c. At constant P and T , volume is directly proportional to n , the moles of gas present. Because n decreased from $6.00 x$ to $4.00 x$ moles, the volume will decrease by the same factor.

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\text {final }}=15.0 \mathrm{~L}(4 / 6)=10.0 \mathrm{~L}
$$

105. Let $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}=$ initial moles $\mathrm{SO}_{2}$ present. The reaction is summarized in the following table $\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ is in excess).

|  | $2 \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ | $+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$ | $\rightarrow$ | $2 \mathrm{SO}_{3}(\mathrm{~g})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}$ | 2.00 mol |  |  |
| Change | $-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}$ | $-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}} / 2$ |  | $+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}$ |
| Final | 0 | $2.00-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}} / 2$ |  | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}$ |

Density $=\mathrm{d}=$ mass/volume; let $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ initial density of gas mixture and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}=$ final density of gas mixture after reaction. Because mass is conserved in a chemical reaction, mass $_{i}=$ mass $_{f}$.

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{\operatorname{mass}_{\mathrm{f}} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\operatorname{mass}_{\mathrm{i}} / V_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{f}}}
$$

At constant P and $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{V} \propto \mathrm{n}$, so $\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}}$; setting up an equation:

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}}=\frac{0.8471 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}}{0.8000 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}}=1.059,1.059=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{f}}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}+2.00}{\left(2.00-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}} / 2\right)+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}+2.00}{2.00+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}} / 2}
$$

Solving: $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2}}=0.25 \mathrm{~mol}$; so, 0.25 moles of $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$ is formed.
$0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \times \frac{80.07 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{SO}_{3}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=20 . \mathrm{g} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$

## Integrative Exercises

106. $1.75 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~g}$ pitchblende $\times \frac{1 \text { metric ton }}{1.0 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ra}}{7.0 \text { metric tons }} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ra}}{226 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ra}}$

$$
\times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms Ra }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ra}}=6.7 \times 10^{22} \text { atoms } \mathrm{Ra}
$$

Radioactive decay follows first-order kinetics.
$\ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{N}_{0}}\right)=-\mathrm{kt}=\frac{-(\ln 2) \mathrm{t}}{\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}} ; \ln \left(\frac{\mathrm{N}}{15.0 \mathrm{mg}}\right)=\frac{-0.6931(100 . \mathrm{yr})}{1.60 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{yr}}, \mathrm{N}=14.4 \mathrm{mg}$ Ra
$14.4 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ra} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Ra}}{226 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ra}} \times \frac{6.022 \times 10^{23} \text { atoms Ra }}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Ra}}=3.84 \times 10^{19}$ atoms Ra
107. a. Moles of $\operatorname{In}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{2.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 2.56 \mathrm{~L}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{mol} \times 900 . \mathrm{K}}=0.0693 \mathrm{~mol}$

Moles of $\mathrm{PH}_{3}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{3.00 \mathrm{~atm} \times 1.38 \mathrm{~L}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \bullet \mathrm{mol} \times 900 . \mathrm{K}}=0.0561 \mathrm{~mol}$
Because the reaction requires a $1: 1$ mole ratio between these reactants, the reactant with the small number of moles $\left(\mathrm{PH}_{3}\right)$ is limiting.
$0.0561 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{PH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{InP}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{PH}_{3}} \times \frac{145.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{InP}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{InP}}=8.18 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{InP}$
The actual yield of InP is: $0.87 \times 8.18 \mathrm{~g}=7.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{InP}$
b. $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{2.03 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{~J}}=9.79 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=979 \mathrm{~nm}$

From the Figure 7.2 of the text, visible light has wavelengths between $4 \times 10^{-7}$ and $7 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}$. Therefore, this wavelength is not visible to humans; it is in the infrared region of the electromagnetic radiation spectrum.
c. $[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 s^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10} 5 \mathrm{p}^{4}$ is the electron configuration for tellurium, Te . Because Te has more valence electrons than $P$, this would form an n-type semiconductor (n-type doping).
108. a. $-307 \mathrm{~kJ}=(-1136+x)-[(-254 \mathrm{~kJ})+3(-96 \mathrm{~kJ})], x=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{NI}_{3}}^{\mathrm{o}}=287 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
b. $\mathrm{IF}_{2}{ }^{+}, 7+2(7)-1=20 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


V-shaped; sp ${ }^{3}$
$\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}, 3+4(7)+1=32 \mathrm{e}^{-}$


Tetrahedral; $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
109. a. Because the hydroxide ion has a $1-$ charge, $T e$ has $a+6$ oxidation state.
b. Assuming Te is limiting:

$$
(0.545 \mathrm{~cm})^{3} \times \frac{6.240 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{3}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Te}}{127.6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{TeF}_{6}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Te}}=7.92 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{TeF}_{6}
$$

Assuming $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ is limiting:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Mol} \mathrm{~F}_{2}=\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.06 \mathrm{~atm} \times 2.34 \mathrm{~L}}{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.101 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~F} \\
& 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Because Te produces the smaller amount of product, Te is limiting and $7.92 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}$ $\mathrm{TeF}_{6}$ can be produced. From the first equation given in the question, the moles of $\mathrm{TeF}_{6}$ reacted equals the moles of $\mathrm{Te}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}$ produced. So $7.92 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Te}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}$ can be produced.

$$
\left[\mathrm{Te}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}\right]=\frac{7.92 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Te}(\mathrm{OH})_{6}}{0.115 \mathrm{~L}}=6.89 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}
$$

Because $K_{a_{1}}>K_{a_{2}}$, the amount of protons produced by the $K_{a_{2}}$ reaction will be insignificant.

$$
\mathrm{Te}(\mathrm{OH})_{6} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{Te}(\mathrm{OH})_{5} \mathrm{O}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=10^{-7.68}=2.1 \times 10^{-8}
$$

Initial $0.0689 \mathrm{M} \quad 0 \quad \sim 0$
Equil. $0.0689-x \quad x \quad x$
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}}=2.1 \times 10^{-8}=\frac{x^{2}}{0.0689-x} \approx \frac{x^{2}}{0.0689}, \quad x=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=3.8 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$
$\mathrm{pH}=-\log \left(3.8 \times 10^{-5}\right)=4.42$; assumptions good.

## Marathon Problems

110. The answers to the clues are:
(1) HI has the second highest boiling point; (2) HE is the weak hydrogen halide acid; (3) He was first discovered from the sun's emission spectrum; (4) of the elements in Table 20.13, Bi will have the most metallic character (metallic character increases down a group); (5) Te is a semiconductor; (6) $\underline{\mathrm{S}}$ has both rhombic and monoclinic solid forms; (7) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is a yellow-green gas; (8) $\underline{\mathrm{O}}$ is the most abundant element in and near the earth's crust; (9) Se appears to furnish some form of protection against cancer; (10) Kr is the smallest noble gas that forms compounds such as $\mathrm{KrF}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{KrF}_{4}$ (the symbol in reverse order is $\underline{\mathrm{rk}}$; (11) As forms $\mathrm{As}_{4}$ molecules; (12) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is a major inert component of air, and N is often found in fertilizers and explosives.
Filling in the blank spaces with the answers to the clues, the message is "If he bites, close ranks."
111. The answer to the clues are:
(1) $\underline{\mathrm{BeO}}$ is amphoteric; (2) From Table 20.2, $\underline{\mathrm{N}}$ makes up about $3.0 \%$ of the human body; (3) Fr has the $7 \mathrm{~s}^{1}$ valence electron configuration; (4) Na has the least negative $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$ value (the symbol in reverse is an); (5) in intracellular fluids, $\underline{\mathrm{K}}^{+}$is the more concentrated alkali metal ion; (6) only $\underline{\mathrm{Li}}$ forms $\mathrm{Li}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (7) In is the first Group 3A element to form stable +1 and +3 ions in its compounds (the second letter of the symbol is $\underline{n}$ ).

Inserting the symbols into the blanks gives Ben Franklin for the name of the American scientist.

## CHAPTER 21

## TRANSITION METALS AND COORDINATION CHEMISTRY

## Questions

5. The lanthanide elements are located just before the 5d transition metals. The lanthanide contraction is the steady decrease in the atomic radii of the lanthanide elements when going from left to right across the periodic table. As a result of the lanthanide contraction, the sizes of the 4 d and 5 d elements are very similar. This leads to a greater similarity in the chemistry of the 4 d and 5 d elements in a given vertical group.
6. Only the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ ion can form four different compounds with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ligands and $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. The $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ ion could form only three different compounds, while the $\mathrm{Cr}^{4+}$ ion could form five different compounds.

The $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions that form precipitates with $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$are the counterions, not the ligands in the complex ion. The four compounds and mol AgCl precipitate that would form with 1 mol of compound are:

| Compound | Mol AgCl(s) |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ | 3 mol |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ | 2 mol |
| $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]$ | 1 mol |
|  | 0 mol |

7. 


trans (mirror image is superimposable)


The mirror image of the cis isomer is also superimposable.

No; both the trans and the cis forms of $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{+}$have mirror images that are superimposable. For the cis form, the mirror image only needs a $90^{\circ}$ rotation to produce the original structure. Hence neither the trans nor cis form is optically active.
8. The transition metal ion must form octahedral complex ions; only with the octahedral geometry are two different arrangements of d electrons possible in the split d orbitals. These two arrangements depend on whether a weak field or strong field is present. For four unpaired electrons, the two possible weak field cases are for transition metal ions with $3 d^{4}$ or $3 d^{6}$ electron configurations:


Of these two, only $d^{6}$ ions have no unpaired electron in the strong field case.


Therefore, the transition metal ion has a $3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$ arrangement of electrons. Two possible metal ions that are $3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$ are $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$. Thus one of these ions is present in the four coordination compounds, and each of these complex ions has a coordination number of 6.

The colors of the compounds are related to the magnitude of $\Delta$ (the d-orbital splitting value). The weak-field compounds will have the smallest $\Delta$, so the $\lambda$ of light absorbed will be longest. Using Table 21.16, the green solution (absorbs 650-nm light) and the blue solution (absorbs 600-nm light) absorb the longest-wavelength light; these solutions contain the complex ions that are the weak-field cases with four unpaired electrons. The red solution (absorbs 490-nm light) and yellow solution (absorbs 450-nm light) contain the two strongfield case complex ions because they absorb the shortest-wavelength (highest-energy) light. These complex ions are diamagnetic.
9. $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3}{ }^{3-}(\mathrm{aq})+3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})+6 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})$; the oxalate anion forms a soluble complex ion with iron in rust $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$, which allows rust stains to be removed.
10. a.



High Spin, small $\Delta$

A d ${ }^{6}$ octahedral crystal field diagram can either be low spin ( 0 upaired electrons) or high spin (4 unpaired electrons). The diagram in the question is for the low spin $\mathrm{d}^{6}$ crystal field.
b.


Low Spin, large $\Delta$
High Spin, small $\Delta$
There is only one possible crystal field diagram for a $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ species. Hence, one cannot tell from the diagram whether it is low spin or high spin; both have 2 unpaired electrons in the $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbitals.
c.


Low Spin, large $\Delta$
High Spin, small $\Delta$
There are two possible octahedral crystal field diagrams for a $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ species. Low spin has 2 unpaired electrons and high spin has 4 unpaired electrons. The diagram in the question is for a high spin $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ crystal field.
11. a. $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}^{2-} ; \mathrm{Co}^{2+}: 4 \mathrm{~s}^{0} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{7}$; all tetrahedral complexes are a weak field (high spin).

$\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is an example of a weak-field case having three unpaired electrons.
small $\Delta$
b. $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}: \mathrm{Co}^{3+}: 4 \mathrm{~s}^{0} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$; because $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$is a strong-field ligand, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$ will be a strong-field case (low-spin case).

$\mathrm{CN}^{-}$is a strong-field ligand, so $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$ will be a low-spin case having zero unpaired electrons.
large $\Delta$
12. a. The coordination compound has the formula $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The complex ion is $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$, and the counterions are the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. The geometry would be octahedral, and the electron configuration of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ is $[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{7}$.
b. The coordination compound is $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$.The compound consists of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$counterions and the $\mathrm{Ag}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{3-}$ complex ion. The complex ion is linear, and the electron configuration of $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$is $[\mathrm{Kr}] 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$.
c. The reactant coordination compound is $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The complex ion is $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$, and the counterions are $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. The complex ion is tetrahedral (given in the question), and the electron configuration of $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ is $[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{9}$. The product coordination compound is $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$. The complex ion is $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{+}$with $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$counter ions. The complex ion is tetrahedral, and the electron configuration of $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$is $[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$.
13. From Table 21.16, the red octahedral $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ complex ion absorbs blue-green light $(\lambda \approx$ 490 nm ), whereas the blue tetrahedral $\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ complex ion absorbs orange light ( $\lambda \approx 600$ nm ). Because tetrahedral complexes have a d-orbital splitting much less than octahedral complexes, one would expect the tetrahedral complex to have a smaller energy difference between split d orbitals. This translates into longer-wavelength light absorbed ( $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{hc} / \lambda$ ) for tetrahedral complex ions compared to octahedral complex ions. Information from Table 21.16 confirms this.
14. $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{7}$; the corresponding d-orbital splitting diagram for tetrahedral $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ complexes is:


All tetrahedral complexes are high spin since the d-orbital splitting is small. Ions with two or seven d electrons should give the most stable tetrahedral complexes because they have the greatest number of electrons in the lower-energy orbitals as compared with the number of electrons in the higher-energy orbitals.
15. Linkage isomers differ in the way that the ligand bonds to the metal. $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$can bond through the sulfur or through the nitrogen atom. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$can bond through the nitrogen or through the oxygen atom. $\mathrm{OCN}^{-}$can bond through the oxygen or through the nitrogen atom. $\mathrm{N}_{3}{ }^{-}$, $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{I}^{-}$are not capable of linkage isomerism.
16. $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{9} ; \mathrm{Cu}^{+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10} ; \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ is $\mathrm{d}^{9}$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ is $\mathrm{d}^{10}$. Color is a result of the electron transfer between split d orbitals. This cannot occur for the filled d orbitals in $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I}) \cdot \mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$, like $\mathrm{Cu}^{+}$, is also $\mathrm{d}^{10}$. We would not expect $\mathrm{Cd}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to be colored since the d orbitals are filled in this $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ complex.
17. $\mathrm{Sc}^{3+}$ has no electrons in d orbitals. $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{V}^{3+}$ have d electrons present. The color of transition metal complexes results from electron transfer between split d orbitals. If no d electrons are present, no electron transfer can occur, and the compounds are not colored.
18. Metals are easily oxidized by oxygen and other substances to form the metal cations. Because of this, metals are found in nature combined with nonmetals such as oxygen, sulfur, and the halogens. These compounds are called ores. To recover and use the metals, we must separate them from their ores and reduce the metal ions. Then, because most metals are unsuitable for use in the pure state, we must form alloys with the metals in order to form materials having desirable properties.
19. At high altitudes, the oxygen content of air is lower, so less oxyhemoglobin is formed, which diminishes the transport of oxygen in the blood. A serious illness called high-altitude sickness can result from the decrease of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the blood. High-altitude acclimatization is the phenomenon that occurs with time in the human body in response to the lower amounts of oxyhemoglobin in the blood. This response is to produce more hemoglobin and hence, increase the oxyhemoglobin in the blood. High-altitude acclimatization takes several weeks to take hold for people moving from lower altitudes to higher altitudes.
20. $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$and CO form much stronger complexes with $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ than $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Thus $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ cannot be transported by hemoglobin in the presence of $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$or CO because the binding sites prefer the toxic $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$and CO ligands.

## Exercises

## Transition Metals and Coordination Compounds

21. 

a. $\mathrm{Ni}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}$
b. Cd: $[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$
c. $\mathrm{Zr}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 5 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$
d. Os: $[X e] 6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{6}$
22. Transition metal ions lose the $s$ electrons before the delectrons.
a. $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}$
b. $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$
c. $\mathrm{Zr}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 4 \mathrm{~d}^{1} ; \mathrm{Zr}^{4+}:[\mathrm{Kr}]$
d. $\quad \mathrm{Os}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{6} ; \quad \mathrm{Os}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$
23. Transition metal ions lose the selectrons before the delectrons.
a. Ti: $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$
b. Re: $[X e] 6 s^{2} 4 f^{14} 5 d^{5}$
c. $\mathrm{Ir}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 6 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{7}$
$\mathrm{Ti}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$
$\mathrm{Re}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$
$\mathrm{Ir}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{7}$
$\mathrm{Ti}^{4+}:[\mathrm{Ar}]$ or $[\mathrm{Ne}] 3 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{p}^{6}$
$\mathrm{Re}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{4}$
Ir $^{3+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$
24. Cr and Cu are exceptions to the normal filling order of electrons.
a. Cr: $[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$
b. $\mathrm{Cu}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{1} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$
c. $\mathrm{V}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{3}$
$\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{4}$
$\mathrm{Cu}^{+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$
$\mathrm{V}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{3}$
$\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{3}$
$\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{9}$
$\mathrm{V}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{2}$
25. a. With $\mathrm{K}^{+}$and $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ions present, iron has a $3+$ charge. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$
b. With a $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion and neutral $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules present, silver has a $1+$ charge. $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}$: $[\mathrm{Kr}] 4 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$
c. With $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$ions and neutral $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules present, nickel has a $2+$ charge. $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ : $[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}$
d. With $\mathrm{NO}_{2}^{-}$ions, an $I^{-}$ion, and neutral $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules present, chromium has a $3+$ charge. $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{3}$
26. a. With $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$ions, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions, and neutral $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules present, iron has a $2+$ charge. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$
b. With $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions and neutral $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ molecules present, cobalt has a $2+$ charge. $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{7}$
c. With $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$and $\mathrm{F}^{-}$ions present, tantalum has a $5+$ charge. $\mathrm{Ta}^{5+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14}$ (expected)
d. Each platinum complex ion must have an overall charge if the two complex ions are counterions to each. Knowing that platinum forms $2+$ and $4+$ charged ions, we can deduce that the six coordinate complex ion has a $4+$ charged platinum ion and the four coordinate complex ion has a $2+$ charged ion. With I- ions and neutral $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules present, the two complex ions are $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{PtI}_{4}\right]^{2-}$.

$$
\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{8} ; \quad \mathrm{Pt}^{4+}:[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{6}
$$

27. a. molybdenum(IV) sulfide; molybdenum(VI) oxide
b. $\mathrm{MoS}_{2},+4 ; \mathrm{MoO}_{3},+6 ;\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Mo}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7},+6 ;\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{6} \mathrm{Mo}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{24} \bullet 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},+6$
28. a. 4 O ions on faces $\times 1 / 2 \mathrm{O} /$ face $=2 \mathrm{O}$ ions, 2 O ions inside body; total: 4 O ions

8 Ti ions on corners $\times 1 / 8 \mathrm{Ti} /$ corner +1 Ti ion/body center $=2 \mathrm{Ti}$ ions
Formula of the unit cell is $\mathrm{Ti}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. The empirical formula is $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
+4-2 & 0 & 0 & +4-1 & +4-2 & +2-2
\end{array}
$$

b. $2 \mathrm{TiO}_{2}+3 \mathrm{C}+4 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{CO} ; \mathrm{Cl}$ is reduced, and C is oxidized. $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is the oxidizing agent, and C is the reducing agent.
$+4-1 \quad 0 \quad+4-2 \quad 0$
$\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{TiO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{O}$ is reduced, and Cl is oxidized. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is the oxidizing agent, and $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ is the reducing agent.
29. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a weak base which produces $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions in solution. The white precipitate is $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$.

$$
\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})
$$

With excess $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ present, $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ forms a soluble complex ion, $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{2+}$.

$$
\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4}^{{ }^{2+}}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})
$$

30. $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$is a weak base, so $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ions are present that lead to precipitation of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$. As excess $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$is added, the $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}$ complex ion forms. The two reactions are:
$\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$; the precipitate is $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})$.

$$
\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(\mathrm{~s})+4 \mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq}) ; \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-} \text { is a soluble species. }
$$

31. Because each compound contains an octahedral complex ion, the formulas for the compounds are $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{I}_{3},\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{Na}_{2}\left[\mathrm{PtI}_{6}\right]$, and $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}$. Note that in some cases the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ ions are ligands bound to the transition metal ion as required for a coordination number of 6 , while in other cases the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions are counter ions required to balance the charge of the complex ion. The $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ solution will only precipitate the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$counterions and will not precipitate the $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ligands. Therefore, 3 moles of AgI will precipitate per mole of $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{I}_{3}, 2$ moles of AgI will precipitate per mole of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}_{2}$, 0 moles of AgI will precipitate per mole of $\mathrm{Na}_{2}\left[\mathrm{PtI}_{6}\right]$, and l mole of AgI will precipitate per mole of $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}$.
32. $\mathrm{BaCl}_{2}$ gives no precipitate, so $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ must be in the coordination sphere ( $\mathrm{BaSO}_{4}$ is insoluble). A precipitate with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$ means the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is not in the coordination sphere. Because there are only four ammonia molecules in the coordination sphere, $\mathrm{SO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ must be acting as a bidentate ligand. The structure is:
 $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$
33. To determine the oxidation state of the metal, you must know the charges of the various common ligands (see Table 21.13 of the text).
a. hexacyanomanganate(II) ion
b. cis-tetraamminedichlorocobalt(III) ion
c. pentaamminechlorocobalt(II) ion
34. To determine the oxidation state of the metal, you must know the charges of the various common ligands (see Table 21.13 of the text).
a. pentaamminechlororuthenium(III) ion
b. hexacyanoferrate(II) ion
c. tris(ethylenediamine)manganese(II) ion
e. tetracyanonicklate(II) ion
g. tris(oxalato)ferrate(III) ion
d. pentaamminenitrocobalt(III) ion
f. tetraamminedichlorochromium(III) ion
h. tetraaquadithiocyanatocobalt(III) ion
a. hexaamminecobalt(II) chloride
b. hexaaquacobalt(III) iodide
c. potassium tetrachloroplatinate(II)
d. potassium hexachloroplatinate(II)
e. pentaamminechlorocobalt(III) chloride
35. 
36. 

a. pentaaquabromochromium(III) bromide
c. bis(ethylenediamine)dinitroiron(III) chloride
b. sodium hexacyanocobaltate(III)
d. tetraamminediiodoplatinum(IV) tetraiodoplatinate(II)
37.
a. $\mathrm{K}_{2}\left[\mathrm{CoCl}_{4}\right]$
b. $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{CO})_{3}\right] \mathrm{Br}_{2}$
c. $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$
d. $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{I}_{2}$
38.
a. $\mathrm{FeCl}_{4}^{-}$
b. $\left[\mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{3+}$
c. $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}\right]^{+}$
d. $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right]^{-}$
39. a.

cis

trans

Note: $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is a bidentate ligand. Bidentate ligands bond to the metal at two positions that are $90^{\circ}$ apart from each other in octahedral complexes. Bidentate ligands do not bond to the metal at positions $180^{\circ}$ apart.
b.

c.


d.




Note: en $=\overparen{\mathrm{N}}$ are abbreviations for the bidentate ligand ethylenediamine $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$.
40.
a.

b.

c.

d.

e.

41.
monodentate

bidentate

bridging

42.


$$
\mathrm{M}=\text { transition metal ion }
$$



43. a. 2; forms bonds through the lone pairs on the two oxygen atoms.
b. 3; forms bonds through the lone pairs on the three nitrogen atoms.
c. 4; forms bonds through the two nitrogen atoms and the two oxygen atoms.
d. 4; forms bonds through the four nitrogen atoms.
44. $\mathrm{M}=$ transition metal ion



45.






46.






47. Similar to the molecules discussed in Figures 21.16 and 21.17 of the text, $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ and cis$\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ are optically active. The mirror images of these two complexes are nonsuperimposable. There is a plane of symmetry in trans- $\operatorname{Cr}(\operatorname{acac})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$, so it is not optically active. A molecule with a plane of symmetry is never optically active because the mirror images are always superimposable. A plane of symmetry is a plane through a molecule where one side reflects the other side of the molecule.
48. There are five geometrical isomers (labeled i-v). Only isomer v, where the $\mathrm{CN}^{-}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ligands are cis to each other, is optically active. The nonsuperimposable mirror image is shown for isomer v.
i

ii

iii

iv

V


mirror image of $v$ (nonsuperimposable)

## Bonding, Color, and Magnetism in Coordination Compounds

49. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are neutral charged ligands, while chloride and bromide are 1- charged ligands. The metal ions in the three compounds are $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] \mathrm{d}^{3}, \mathrm{Co}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] \mathrm{d}^{6}$, and $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] \mathrm{d}^{5}$.
a. With five electrons each in a different orbital, this diagram is for the weak-field $\left[\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{3+}$ complex ion.
b. With three electrons, this diagram is for the $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{2+}$ complex ion.
c. With six electrons all paired up, this diagram is for the strong-field $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]^{+}$ complex ion.
50. The metal ions are both $\mathrm{d}^{5}\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] \mathrm{d}^{5}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] \mathrm{d}^{5}\right)$. One of the diagrams (a) is for a weak-field (high-spin) $d^{5}$ complex ion while the other diagram (b) is for a strong-field (lowspin) $d^{5}$ complex ion. From the spectrochemical series, $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$is a strong-field ligand while $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is in the middle of the series. Because the iron complex ion has $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$for the ligands as well as having a higher metal ion charge ( +3 vs. +2 ), one would expect $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right]^{3-}$ to have the strong-field diagram in b, while $\left[\mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right]^{2+}$ would have the weak-field diagram in $a$.
51. a. $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$


High spin, small $\Delta$
b. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$


High spin, small $\Delta$
52. a. $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$

b. $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{7}$


High spin, small $\Delta$
c. $\mathrm{Ti}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{1}$
53. Because fluorine has a -1 charge as a ligand, chromium has a +2 oxidation state in $\mathrm{CrF}_{6}{ }^{4-}$. The electron configuration of $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ is $[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 d^{4}$. For four unpaired electrons, this must be a weak-field (high-spin) case where the splitting of the d-orbitals is small and the number of unpaired electrons is maximized. The crystal field diagram for this ion is:

54. $\quad \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are neutral ligands, so the oxidation states of the metals are $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$. Both have six d electrons ([ Ar]3d ${ }^{6}$ ). To explain the magnetic properties, we must have a strong-field for $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3++}$ and a weak-field for $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$.

$$
\mathrm{Co}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}
$$

$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$


Only this splitting of d-orbitals gives a diamagnetic $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ (no unpaired electrons) and a paramagnetic $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ (unpaired electrons present).
55. To determine the crystal field diagrams, you need to determine the oxidation state of the transition metal, which can only be determined if you know the charges of the ligands (see Table 21.13). The electron configurations and the crystal field diagrams follow.
a. $\mathrm{Ru}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 4 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$, no unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$

Low spin, large $\Delta$
c. $\mathrm{V}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{2}, 2$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$

b. $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}, 2$ unpaired $\mathrm{e}^{-}$


Note: $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ must have 2 unpaired electrons, whether high-spin or low-spin, and $\mathrm{V}^{3+}$ must have 2 unpaired electrons, whether high-spin or low-spin.
56. In both compounds, iron is in the +3 oxidation state with an electron configuration of $[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ complexes have one unpaired electron when a strong-field case and five unpaired electrons when a weak-field case. $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{2-}$ is a strong-field case, and $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{SCN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$ is a weakfield case. Therefore, cyanide ( $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$) is a stronger-field ligand than thiocyanate ( $\mathrm{SCN}^{-}$).
57. All have octahedral $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ ions, so the difference in d orbital splitting and the wavelength of light absorbed only depends on the ligands. From the spectrochemical series, the order of the ligands from strongest to weakest field is $\mathrm{CN}^{-}>$en $>\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}>\mathrm{I}^{-}$. The strongest-field ligand produces the greatest d-orbital splitting (largest $\Delta$ ) and will absorb light having the smallest wavelength. The weakest-field ligand produces the smallest $\Delta$ and absorbs light having the longest wavelength. The order is:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}<\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}<\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}<\mathrm{CoI}_{6}{ }^{3-} \\
\text { shortest } \lambda \text { absorbed } & \text { longest } \lambda \text { absorbed }
\end{array}
$$

58. Replacement of water ligands by ammonia ligands resulted in shorter wavelengths of light being absorbed. Energy and wavelength are inversely related, so the presence of the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ligands resulted in a larger d-orbital splitting (larger $\Delta$ ). Therefore, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a stronger-field ligand than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
59. From Table 21.16 of the text, the violet complex ion absorbs yellow-green light ( $\lambda \approx 570 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), the yellow complex ion absorbs blue light ( $\lambda \approx 450 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and the green complex ion absorbs red light $(\lambda \approx 650 \mathrm{~nm})$. The spectrochemical series shows that $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ is a stronger-field ligand than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which is a stronger-field ligand than $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ will have the largest d-orbital splitting and will absorb the lowest-wavelength electromagnetic radiation ( $\lambda$ $\approx 450 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) since energy and wavelength are inversely related $(\lambda=\mathrm{hc} / \mathrm{E})$. Thus the yellow solution contains the $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ complex ion. Similarly, we would expect the $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{+}$ complex ion to have the smallest d-orbital splitting since it contains the weakest-field ligands. The green solution with the longest wavelength of absorbed light contains the $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{+}$ complex ion. This leaves the violet solution, which contains the $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ complex ion. This makes sense because we would expect $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ to absorb light of a wavelength between that of $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{+}$.
60. All these complex ions contain $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ bound to different ligands, so the difference in d-orbital splitting for each complex ion is due to the difference in ligands. The spectrochemical series indicates that $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$is a stronger-field ligand than $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ which is a stronger-field ligand than $\mathrm{F}^{-}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}{ }^{3-}$ will have the largest d-orbital splitting and will absorb the lowestwavelength electromagnetic radiation $(\lambda=290 \mathrm{~nm})$ since energy and wavelength are inversely related $(\lambda=\mathrm{hc} / \mathrm{E}) . \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ will absorb $440-\mathrm{nm}$ electromagnetic radiation, while $\mathrm{CoF}_{6}{ }^{3-}$ will absorb the longest-wavelength electromagnetic radiation $(\lambda=770 \mathrm{~nm})$ since $\mathrm{F}^{-}$is the weakest-field ligand present.
61. $\mathrm{CoBr}_{6}{ }^{4-}$ has an octahedral structure, and $\mathrm{CoBr}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ has a tetrahedral structure (as do most $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ complexes with four ligands). Coordination complexes absorb electromagnetic radiation (EMR) of energy equal to the energy difference between the split d-orbitals. Because the tetrahedral d-orbital splitting is less than one-half the octahedral d-orbital splitting, tetrahedral complexes will absorb lower energy EMR, which corresponds to longer-wavelength EMR (E $=\mathrm{hc} / \lambda)$. Therefore, $\mathrm{CoBr}_{6}{ }^{2-}$ will absorb EMR having a wavelength shorter than $3.4 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~m}$.
62. In both complexes, nickel is in the +2 oxidation state: $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ : $[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}$. The differences in unpaired electrons must be due to differences in molecular structure. $\mathrm{NiCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is a tetrahedral complex, and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is a square planar complex. The corresponding d-orbital splitting diagrams are:

$\mathrm{NiCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$

$$
\underline{\imath}
$$

$$
\uparrow \downarrow
$$



$$
\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}
$$

63. Because the ligands are $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, iron is in the +3 oxidation state. $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$


Because all tetrahedral complexes are high spin, there are 5 unpaired electrons in $\mathrm{FeCl}_{4}^{-}$.
64. Pd is in the +2 oxidation state in $\mathrm{PdCl}_{4}^{2-} ; \mathrm{Pd}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 4 \mathrm{~d}^{8}$. If $\mathrm{PdCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ were a tetrahedral complex, then it would have 2 unpaired electrons and would be paramagnetic (see diagram below). Instead, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ has a square planar molecular structure with the d-orbital splitting diagram also shown below. Note that all electrons are paired in the square planar diagram; this explains the diamagnetic properties of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$.

tetrahedral d ${ }^{8}$

$$
\xlongequal{\uparrow \downarrow}
$$

$$
\uparrow \downarrow
$$


square planar d ${ }^{8}$

## Metallurgy

65. a. To avoid fractions, let's first calculate $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for the reaction:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
6 \mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \\
& \\
6 \mathrm{FeO}+2 \mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+2 \mathrm{CO} & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-2(18 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
2 \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+\mathrm{CO} & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-(-39 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
3 \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+9 \mathrm{CO} \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{Fe}+9 \mathrm{CO}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=3(-23 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\hline 6 \mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 6 \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s})+6 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=-66 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\text { So for: } \mathrm{FeO}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) & \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=\frac{-66 \mathrm{~kJ}}{6}=-11 \mathrm{~kJ}
\end{array}
$$

b. $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(-110.5 \mathrm{~kJ})-(-393.5 \mathrm{~kJ}+0)=172.5 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=2(198 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})-(214 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}+6 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K})=176 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}, \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=0 \text { when } \mathrm{T}=\frac{\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}}{\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}}=\frac{172.5 \mathrm{~kJ}}{0.176 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K}}=980 . \mathrm{K}
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ term, this reaction is spontaneous at $\mathrm{T}>980$. K. From Figure 21.36 of the text, this reaction takes place in the blast furnace at temperatures greater than 980. K, as required by thermodynamics.
66. a. $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=2(-1117)+(-393.5)-[3(-826)+(-110.5)]=-39 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\Delta S^{\circ}=2(146)+214-[3(90 .)+198]=38 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}
$$

b. $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} ; \mathrm{T}=800 .+273=1073 \mathrm{~K}$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-39 \mathrm{~kJ}-1073 \mathrm{~K}(0.038 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{K})=-39 \mathrm{~kJ}-41 \mathrm{~kJ}=-80 . \mathrm{kJ}
$$

67. $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : iron has a +3 oxidation state; $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : iron has a $+8 / 3$ oxidation state. The three iron ions in $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ must have a total charge of +8 . The only combination that works is to have two $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions and one $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ion per formula unit. This makes sense from the other formula for magnetite, $\mathrm{FeO} \cdot \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. FeO has an $\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}$ ion, and $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ has two $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ ions.
68. $\quad 3 \mathrm{Fe}+\mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{C} ; \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}=21-[3(0)+0]=21 \mathrm{~kJ} ; \quad \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}=108-[3(27)+6]=21 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K}$
$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} ;$ when $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ are both positive, the reaction is spontaneous at high temperatures, where the favorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ term becomes dominant. Thus, to incorporate carbon into steel, high temperatures are needed for thermodynamic reasons but will also be beneficial for kinetic reasons (as the temperature increases, the rate of the reaction will increase). The relative amount of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ (cementite) that remains in the steel depends on the cooling process. If the steel is cooled slowly, there is time for the equilibrium to shift back to the left; small crystals of carbon form, giving a relatively ductile steel. If cooling is rapid, there is not enough time for the equilibrium to shift back to the left; $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ is still present in the steel, and the steel is more brittle. Which cooling process occurs depends on the desired properties of the steel. The process of tempering fine-tunes the steel to the desired properties by repeated heating and cooling.
69. Review Section 18.1 for balancing reactions in basic solution by the half-reaction method.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2 \mathrm{CN}^{-}+\mathrm{Ag}\right. & \left.\rightarrow \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{CN})^{2-}+\mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 4 \\
4 \mathrm{e}^{-}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+} & \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\hline 8 \mathrm{CN}^{-}+4 \mathrm{Ag}+\mathrm{O}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}^{+} & \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

Adding $4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$to both sides and canceling out $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on both sides of the equation gives the balanced equation:

$$
8 \mathrm{CN}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{Ag}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{Ag}^{(\mathrm{CN})_{2}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+4 \mathrm{OH}^{-}(\mathrm{aq})}
$$

70. $\mathrm{Mn}+\mathrm{HNO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{NO}_{2}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{Mn} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \quad \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2} \\
\mathrm{HNO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
\left(\mathrm{e}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{HNO}_{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{NO}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \times 2 \\
\mathrm{Mn}^{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
\frac{2 \mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{2 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Mn(s)}+2 \mathrm{HNO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})} \\
\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}+\mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-} \quad \\
\left(4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+8 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{e}^{-}\right) \times 2 \\
8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{Mn}^{2+} \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{MnO}_{4}^{-}+16 \mathrm{H}^{+}+10 \mathrm{e}^{-} \\
10 \mathrm{e}^{-}+10 \mathrm{H}^{+}+5 \mathrm{IO}_{4}^{-} \rightarrow 5 \mathrm{IO}_{3}^{-}+5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Additional Exercises

71. $0.112 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \times \frac{304.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Eu}}{352.0 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Eu}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}}=0.0967 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Eu}$; mass $\% \mathrm{Eu}=\frac{0.0967 \mathrm{~g}}{0.286 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=33.8 \% \mathrm{Eu}$

Mass \% O = $100.00-(33.8+40.1+4.71)=21.4 \% \mathrm{O}$
Assuming 100.00 g of compound:
$33.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Eu} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{152.0 \mathrm{~g}}=0.222 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Eu} ; 40.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g}}=3.34 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}$
$4.71 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g}}=4.67 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} ; 21.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{16.00 \mathrm{~g}}=1.34 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{O}$

$$
\frac{3.34}{0.222}=15.0, \frac{4.67}{0.222}=21.0, \frac{1.34}{0.222}=6.04
$$

The molecular formula is $\mathrm{EuC}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{6}$. Because each acac ${ }^{-}$ligand has a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$, an abbreviated molecular formula is $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$.
72. The complex ion is $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}^{2-}$, which is composed of $\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}$ and four $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ligands. $\mathrm{Pt}^{2+}$ :
$[\mathrm{Xe}] 4 \mathrm{f}^{14} 5 \mathrm{~d}^{8}$. With square planar geometry, geometric (cis-trans) isomerism is possible. Cisplatin is the cis isomer of the compound and has the following structural formula.

73.

| $\left(\mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Au}+2 \mathrm{CN}^{-}\right) \times 2$ | $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.60 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Zn}+4 \mathrm{CN}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-}$ | $-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.26 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| $2 \mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{s}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Au}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=0.66 \mathrm{~V}$ |

$\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-n F E_{\text {cell }}^{0}=-\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}^{-}\right)(96,485 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{e})(0.66 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{C})=-1.3 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}=-130 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}, \log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{\mathrm{nE}^{0}}{0.0591}=\frac{2(0.66)}{0.0591}=22.34, \mathrm{~K}=10^{22.34}=2.2 \times 10^{22}
$$

Note: We carried extra significant figures to determine K.
74. a. In the following structures, we omitted the $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ligands coordinated to the outside cobalt atoms.

mirror
b. All are $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III})$. The three "ligands" each contain $2 \mathrm{OH}^{-}$and $4 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ groups. If each cobalt is in the +3 oxidation state, then each ligand has a +1 overall charge. The $3+$ charge from the three ligands, along with the $3+$ charge of the central cobalt atom, gives the overall complex a +6 charge. This is balanced by the $6-$ charge of the six $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions.
c. $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$; there are zero unpaired electrons if a low-spin (strong-field) case.

75. There are four geometrical isomers (labeled i-iv). Isomers iii and iv are optically active, and the nonsuperimposable mirror images are shown.
i.

ii.

iii.

optically active

optically active
mirror

mirror image of iii (nonsuperimposable)

mirror image of iv (nonsuperimposable)
76. a. Be(tfa $)_{2}$ exhibits optical isomerism. A representation for the tetrahedral optical isomers is:


mirror
Note: The dotted line indicates a bond pointing into the plane of the paper, and the wedge indicates a bond pointing out of the plane of the paper.
b. Square planar $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{tfa})_{2}$ molecules exhibit geometric isomerism. In one geometric isomer, the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups are cis to each other, and in the other isomer, the $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ groups are trans.

cis

trans
77. Octahedral $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ complexes should be used. $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{4}$; high-spin (weak-field) $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ complexes have 4 unpaired electrons, and low-spin (strong-field) $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ complexes have 2 unpaired electrons. $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}$; octahedral $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ complexes will always have 2 unpaired electrons, whether high or low spin. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ complexes cannot be used to distinguish weakfrom strong-field ligands by examining magnetic properties. Alternatively, the ligand field strengths can be measured using visible spectra. Either $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ or $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ complexes can be used for this method.
78.
a. sodium tris(oxalato)nickelate(II)
b. potassium tetrachlorocobaltate(II)
c. tetraamminecopper(II) sulfate
d. chlorobis(ethylenediamine)thiocyanatocobalt(III) chloride
79.
a. $\left[\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$
b. $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{II} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right.$
c. $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right] \mathrm{Br}_{2}$
d. $\mathrm{K}_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right]$
e. $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}\right]$
80. a. $\mathrm{Ru}(\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{2+}$ exhibits optical isomerism [similar to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}$ in Figure 21.16 of the text].
b. $\mathrm{Ru}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Kr}] 4 \mathrm{~d}^{6}$; because there are no unpaired electrons, $\mathrm{Ru}^{2+}$ is a strong-field (low-spin) case.

81.

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
\mathrm{HbO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{Hb}+\mathrm{O}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-(-70 \mathrm{~kJ}) \\
\mathrm{Hb}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{HbCO} & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-80 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\hline \mathrm{HbO}_{2}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{HbCO}+\mathrm{O}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-10 \mathrm{~kJ} \\
\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\circ}=-\mathrm{RT} \ln \mathrm{~K}, & \mathrm{~K}=\exp \left(\frac{-\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{o}}}{\mathrm{RT}}\right)= & \exp \left[\frac{-\left(-10 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{~J}\right)}{(8.3145 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{~mol})(298 \mathrm{~kJ})}\right]=60
\end{array}
$$

82. 



To form the trans isomer, $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$would replace the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ligand that is bold in the structure above. If any of the other four $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules are replaced by $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$, the cis isomer results. Therefore, the expected ratio of the cis:trans isomer in the product is $4: 1$.

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 83-88 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

89. $\quad \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}=\mathrm{d}^{8}$; if ligands A and B produced very similar crystal fields, the cis- $\left[\mathrm{NiA}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{4}\right]^{2+}$ complex ion would give the following octahedral crystal field diagram for a $\mathrm{d}^{8}$ ion:

Because it is given that the complex ion is diamagnetic, the A and B ligands must produce different crystal fields, giving a unique d-orbital splitting diagram that would result in a diamagnetic species.
90. $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ : $[\mathrm{Ar}] \mathrm{d}^{3}$; looking at figure 21.20 of the text, the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital will be destabilized the most when stronger field ligands are on the z -axis. The $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ orbital will be destabilized the least by this arrangement of ligands. Assuming the A ligands produce a significantly stronger field than the $B$ ligands, we will assume the $d_{x z}$ and $d_{y z}$ orbitals will be destabilized the second most of all the orbitals due to the lobes of these orbitals lying in the z-axis plane. This leaves the $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbitals, whose relative position is very difficult to predict. We will assume the $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital is destabilized more than the $d_{x y}$ orbital because the lobes point directly at the B ligands, but is not destabilized as much as the other orbitals which all have lobes in the zplane. Making these assumptions, the d-orbital splitting diagram is (assuming a low-spin case):


Note: It could be that the relative positions of the $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ with the $d_{x z}$ and $d_{y z}$ orbitals are switched.
91. a. Consider the following electrochemical cell:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{Co}^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}^{2+} & \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.82 \mathrm{~V} \\
\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{e}^{-} & -\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=? \\
\hline \mathrm{Co}^{3+}+\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+} & \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{0}=1.82-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}
\end{array}
$$

The equilibrium constant for this overall reaction is:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{Co}^{3+}+3 \text { en } \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+} & \mathrm{K}_{1}=2.0 \times 10^{47} \\
\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}^{2+}+3 \text { en } & \mathrm{K}_{2}=1 / 1.5 \times 10^{12} \\
\mathrm{Co}^{3+}+\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{2+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}+\mathrm{Co}^{2+} & \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{K}_{1} \mathrm{~K}_{2}=\frac{2.0 \times 10^{47}}{1.5 \times 10^{12}}=1.3 \times 10^{35}
\end{array}
$$

From the Nernst equation for the overall reaction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=\frac{0.0591}{\mathrm{n}} \log \mathrm{~K}=\frac{0.0591}{1} \log \left(1.3 \times 10^{35}\right), \quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=2.08 \mathrm{~V} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {cell }}^{\mathrm{o}}=1.82-\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=2.08 \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{E}^{\circ}=1.82 \mathrm{~V}-2.08 \mathrm{~V}=-0.26 \mathrm{~V}
\end{aligned}
$$

b. The stronger oxidizing agent will be the more easily reduced species and will have the more positive standard reduction potential. From the reduction potentials, $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=\right.$ $1.82 \mathrm{~V})$ is a much stronger oxidizing agent than $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}\left(\mathrm{E}^{\circ}=-0.26 \mathrm{~V}\right)$.
c. In aqueous solution, $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$ forms the hydrated transition metal complex $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$. In both complexes, $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}$, cobalt exists as $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$, which has 6 d electrons. Assuming a strong-field case for each complex ion, the d-orbital splitting diagram for each is:


When each complex gains an electron, the electron enters a higher energy $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}$ orbital. Since en is a stronger-field ligand than $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the d-orbital splitting is larger for $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}$, and it takes more energy to add an electron to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}$ than to $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$. Therefore, it is more favorable for $\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ to gain an electron than for $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{3}{ }^{3+}$ to gain an electron.
92. $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \rightarrow\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cr}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}^{2+}+\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{II})$ complex

Yes; after the oxidation, the ligands on $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{III})$ won't exchange. Since $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is in the coordination sphere, it must have formed a bond to $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{II})$ before the electron transfer occurred (as proposed through the formation of the intermediate).
93. No; in all three cases, six bonds are formed between $\mathrm{Ni}^{2+}$ and nitrogen, so $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ values should be similar. $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ for formation of the complex ion is most negative for $6 \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ molecules reacting with a metal ion (7 independent species become 1). For penten reacting with a metal ion, 2 independent species become 1 , so $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ is least negative of all three of the reactions. Thus the chelate effect occurs because the more bonds a chelating agent can form to the metal, the less unfavorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ becomes for the formation of the complex ion, and the larger the formation constant.
94.


The $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ and $d_{x y}$ orbitals are in the plane of the three ligands and should be destabilized the most. The amount of destabilization should be about equal when all the possible interactions are considered. The $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital has some electron density in the xy plane (the doughnut) and should be destabilized a lesser amount than the $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ and $d_{x y}$ orbitals. The $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}$ orbitals have no electron density in the plane and should be lowest in energy.
95.




The $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital will be destabilized much more than in the trigonal planar case (see Exercise 94). The $d_{z^{2}}$ orbital has electron density on the z axis directed at the two axial ligands. The $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ and $d_{x y}$ orbitals are in the plane of the three trigonal planar ligands and should be destabilized a lesser amount than the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital; only a portion of the electron density in the $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ and $d_{x y}$ orbitals is directed at the ligands. The $d_{x z}$ and $d_{y z}$ orbitals will be destabilized the least since the electron density is directed between the ligands.
96. For a linear complex ion with ligands on the $x$ axis, the $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital will be destabilized the most, with the lobes pointing directly at the ligands. The $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}$ orbital has the fewest interactions with x -axis ligands, so it is destabilized the least. The $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ orbitals will have similar destabi-lization but will have more interactions with x -axis ligands than the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}$ orbital. Finally, the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital with the doughnut of electron density in the xy plane will probably be destabilized more than the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ orbitals but will have nowhere near the amount of destabilization that occurs with the $d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}$ orbital. The only difference that would occur in the diagram if the ligands were on the y axis is the relative positions of the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}$ orbitals. The $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xz}}$ will have the smallest destabilization of all these orbitals, whereas the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}$ orbitals will be degenerate since we expect both to be destabilized equivalently from y -axis ligands. The d-orbital splitting diagrams are:
a.

## b.


linear $y$-axis ligands
97. $\quad \mathrm{Ni}^{2+}:[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{8}$; the coordinate system for trans- $\left[\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{4}\right]^{2-}$ is shown below. Because $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$produces a much stronger crystal field, it will dominate the d-orbital splitting. From the coordinate system, the $\mathrm{CN}^{-}$ligands are in a square planar arrangement. Therefore, the diagram will most likely resemble the square planar diagram given in Figure 21.28. Note that the relative position of $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}^{2}}$ orbital is hard to predict. With the $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ ligands on the z axis, we will assume the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{2}$ orbital is destabilized more than the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ orbital. However, this is only an assumption. It could be that the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ orbital is destabilized more.



## Integrative Problems

98. a. Because O is in the -2 oxidation state, iron must be in the +6 oxidation state. $\mathrm{Fe}^{6+}$ : [Ar]3d ${ }^{2}$.
b. Using the half-reaction method of balancing redox reactions, the balanced equation is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \mathrm{H}^{+}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{FeO}_{4}^{2-}(\mathrm{aq})+2 \mathrm{NH}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow 2 \mathrm{Fe}^{3+}(\mathrm{aq})+\mathrm{N}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+8 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l}) \\
& 0.0250 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{0.243 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{FeO}_{4}{ }^{2-}}{\mathrm{L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{FeO}_{4}{ }^{2-}}=3.04 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(if $\mathrm{FeO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ limiting)
$0.0550 \mathrm{~L} \times \frac{1.45 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{~L}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}=3.99 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ (if NH 3 limiting)
Because the $\mathrm{FeO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ reagent produces the smaller quantity of $\mathrm{N}_{2}, \mathrm{FeO}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ is limiting and $3.04 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ can be produced.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{nRT}}{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{3.04 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \times \frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}{1.50 \mathrm{~atm}} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{N}_{2}}=0.0496 \mathrm{~L}=49.6 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~N}
\end{aligned}
$$


ii. $\quad 32.93 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{HCl} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HCl}}{\mathrm{mL}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{HCl}} \times \frac{17.03 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}}{\mathrm{mmol}}=56.1 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ $\% \mathrm{NH}_{3}=\frac{56.1 \mathrm{mg}}{341 \mathrm{mg}} \times 100=16.5 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$
iii. $73.53 \%+16.5 \%+10.1 \%=100.1 \%$; the compound must be composed of only $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, and I.

Out of 100.00 g of compound:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
10.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Cr} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{52.00 \mathrm{~g}}=0.194 \mathrm{~mol} ; & \frac{0.194}{0.194}=1.00 \\
16.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{NH}_{3} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{17.03 \mathrm{~g}}=0.969 \mathrm{~mol} ; & \frac{0.969}{0.194}=4.99 \\
73.53 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{I} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{126.9 \mathrm{~g}}=0.5794 \mathrm{~mol} ; & \frac{0.5794}{0.194}=2.99
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{I}_{3}$ is the empirical formula. If we assume an octahedral complex ion, then compound A is made of the octahedral $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{I}\right]^{2+}$ complex ion and two $\mathrm{I}^{-}$ions as counterions; the formula is $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}[] \mathrm{I}_{2}\right.$. Let's check this proposed formula using the freezing-point data.
iv. $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{f}} m$; for $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5} \mathrm{I} \mathrm{I}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{i}=3.0$ (assuming complete dissociation).

Molality $=m=\frac{0.601 \mathrm{~g} \text { complex }}{1.000 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \text { complex }}{517.9 \mathrm{~g} \text { complex }}=0.116 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}$
$\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}=3.0 \times 1.86^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{mol} \times 0.116 \mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{kg}=0.65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
Because $\Delta \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is close to the measured value, this is consistent with the formula $\left.\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right)_{\mathrm{I}}\right] \mathrm{I}_{2}$. So our assumption of an octahedral complex ion is probably a good assumption.
100.
a. $\lambda=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s} \times 2.998 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}}{1.75 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \times \frac{1.986 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J}}{\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}}}=5.72 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{~m}=572 \mathrm{~nm}$
b. There are three resonance structures for NCS ${ }^{-}$. From a formal charge standpoint, the following resonance structure is best.


The N in this resonance structure is sp hybridized. Because the sp hybrid orbitals are $180^{\circ}$ apart, one would expect that when the lone pair in an sp hybrid orbital on N is donated to the $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ ion, the $180^{\circ}$ bond angle would stay intact between $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}$, and S .

Similar to $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}{ }^{+}$discussed in Figure 21.17 of the text, $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}\right]^{+}$would exhibit cis-trans isomerism (geometric isomerism), and only the cis form would exhibit optical isomerism. For $\left[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{en})_{2}(\mathrm{NCS})_{2}\right]^{+}$, $\mathrm{NCS}^{-}$just replaces the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions in the isomers drawn in Figure 21.17. The trans isomer would not exhibit optical isomerism.

## Marathon Problem

101. $\mathrm{CrCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ contains nine possible ligands, only six of which are used to form the octahedral complex ion. The three species not present in the complex ion will either be counterions to balance the charge of the complex ion and/or waters of hydration. The number of counterions for each compound can be determined from the silver chloride precipitate data, and the number of waters of hydration can be determined from the dehydration data. In all experiments, the ligands in the complex ion do not react.

Compound I:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CrCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.27 \mathrm{~g} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{266.5 \mathrm{~g}}=1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CrCl}_{3} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \text { mol waters of hydration }=0.036 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}=2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\
& \frac{\text { mol waters of hydration }}{\text { mol compound }}=\frac{2.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}{1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}}=2.0
\end{aligned}
$$

In compound I, two of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules are waters of hydration, so the other four water molecules are present in the complex ion. Therefore, the formula for compound I must be $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Two of the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions are present as ligands in the octahedral complex ion, and one $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ion is present as a counterion. The AgCl precipitate data that refer to this compound are the one that produces 1430 mg AgCl :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { mol Cl } & \text { from compound } \mathrm{I}=0.1000 \mathrm{~L}
\end{aligned} \times \frac{0.100 \mathrm{~mol}\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}{\mathrm{~L}}
$$

$$
\text { mass } \mathrm{AgCl} \text { produced }=0.0100 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}^{2}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}} \times \frac{143.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}=1.43 \mathrm{~g}
$$

$$
\text { = } 1430 \text { mg AgCl }
$$

Compound II:

$$
\frac{\text { mol waters of hydration }}{\text { mol compound }}=\frac{0.018 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol}}{18.02 \mathrm{~g}}}{1.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol} \text { compound }}=1.0
$$

The formula for compound II must be $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{5} \mathrm{Cl}^{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $2870-\mathrm{mg} \mathrm{AgCl}$ precipitate data refer to this compound. For 0.0100 mol of compound II, $0.0200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$is present as counterions:

$$
\text { mass } \mathrm{AgCl} \text { produced }=0.0200 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}} \times \frac{143.4 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=2.87 \mathrm{~g}
$$

$$
=2870 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{AgCl}
$$

Compound III:

This compound has no mass loss on dehydration, so there are no waters of hydration present. The formula for compound III must be $\left[\mathrm{Cr}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$. 0.0100 mol of this compound produces 4300 mg of $\mathrm{AgCl}(\mathrm{s})$ when treated with $\mathrm{AgNO}_{3}$.
$0.0300 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}} \times \frac{143.4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AgCl}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{AgCl}}=4.30 \mathrm{~g}=4.30 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{AgCl}$

The structural formulas for the compounds are:

Compound I


Compound II
Compound III


From Table 21.16 of the text, the violet compound will be the one that absorbs light with the shortest wavelength (highest energy). This should be compound III. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is a stronger-field ligand than $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$; compound III with the most coordinated $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ molecules will have the largest d-orbital splitting and will absorb the higher-energy light.

The magnetic properties would be the same for all three compounds. $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ is a $\mathrm{d}^{3}$ ion. With only three electrons present, all $\mathrm{Cr}^{3+}$ complexes will have three unpaired electrons, whether strong field or weak field. If $\mathrm{Cr}^{2+}$ was present with the $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ configuration, then the magnetic properties might be different for the complexes and could be worth examining.

## CHAPTER 22

## ORGANIC AND BIOLOGICAL MOLECULES

## Questions

1. 

a. 1-sec-butylpropane


3-methylhexane is correct.
c. 2-ethylpentane


3-methylhexane is correct.
e. 3-methylhexane

b. 4-methylhexane


3-methylhexane is correct.
d. 1-ethyl-1-methylbutane


3-methylhexane is correct.
f. 4-ethylpentane


3-methylhexane is correct.

All six of these compounds are the same. They only differ from each other by rotations about one or more carbon-carbon single bonds. Only one isomer of $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ is present in all of these names, 3-methylhexane.
2. a. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ can exhibit structural, geometric, and optical isomerism. Two structural isomers (of many) are:


The structural isomer 2-hexene (plus others) exhibits geometric isomerism.


cis

trans

The structural isomer 3-methyl-1-pentene exhibits optical isomerism (the asterisk marks the chiral carbon).


Optical isomerism is also possible with some of the cyclobutane and cyclopropane structural isomers.
b. $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ can exhibit structural and optical isomerism. Two structural isomers (of many) are:


Two of the optically active isomers having a $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ formula are:


3-methyl-2-butanol


2-pentanol

No isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ exhibit geometric isomerism because no double bonds or ring structures are possible with 12 hydrogens present.
c. We will assume the structure having the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ formula is a benzene ring derivative. $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ exhibits structural isomerism only. Two structural isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ are:

o-dibromobenzene or 1,2-dibromobenzene

m-dibromobenzene or 1,3-dibromobenzene

The benzene ring is planar and does not exhibit geometric isomerism. It also does not exhibit optical activity. All carbons only have three atoms bonded to them; it is impossible for benzene to be optically active.

Note: There are possible noncyclic structural isomers having the formula $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$. These noncyclic isomers can, in theory, exhibit geometrical and optical isomerism. But they are beyond the introduction to organic chemistry given in this text.
3. a.


The longest chain is 4 carbons long. The correct name is 2-methylbutane.
b.


The longest chain is 7 carbons long, and we would start the numbering system at the other end for lowest possible numbers. The correct name is 3-iodo-3-methylheptane.
c.


This compound cannot exhibit cistrans isomerism since one of the double bonded carbons has the same two groups $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ attached. The numbering system should also start at the other end to give the double bond the lowest possible number. 2-methyl-2-pentene is correct.
d.


The OH functional group gets the lowest number. 3-bromo-2-butanol is correct.
4. a. 2-Chloro-2-butyne would have 5 bonds to the second carbon. Carbon never expands its octet.

b. 2-Methyl-2-propanone would have 5 bonds to the second carbon.

c. Carbon-1 in 1,1-dimethylbenzene would have 5 bonds.

d. You cannot have an aldehyde functional group off a middle carbon in a chain. Aldehyde groups:

can only be at the beginning and/or the end of a chain of carbon atoms.
e. You cannot have a carboxylic acid group off a middle carbon in a chain. Carboxylic groups:

must be at the beginning and/or the end of a chain of carbon atoms.
f. In cyclobutanol, the 1 and 5 positions refer to the same carbon atom. 5,5-Dibromo-1cyclobutanol would have five bonds to carbon-1. This is impossible; carbon never expands its octet.

5. Hydrocarbons are nonpolar substances exhibiting only London dispersion forces. Size and shape are the two most important structural features relating to the strength of London dispersion forces. For size, the bigger the molecule (the larger the molar mass), the stronger are the London dispersion forces, and the higher is the boiling point. For shape, the more branching present in a compound, the weaker are the London dispersion forces, and the lower is the boiling point.
6. In order to hydrogen-bond, the compound must have at least one $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ covalent bond in the compound. In Table 22.4, alcohols and carboxylic acids have an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ covalent bond, so they can hydrogen-bond. In addition, primary and secondary amines have at least one $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ covalent bond, so they can hydrogen-bond.

$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ cannot form hydrogen bonds because it has no hydrogens covalently bonded to the fluorine atoms.
7. The amide functional group is:


When the amine end of one amino acid reacts with the carboxylic acid end of another amino acid, the two amino acids link together by forming an amide functional group. A polypeptide has many amino acids linked together, with each linkage made by the formation of an amide functional group. Because all linkages result in the presence of the amide functional group, the resulting polymer is called a polyamide. For nylon, the monomers also link together by forming the amide functional group (the amine end of one monomer reacts with the carboxylic acid end of another monomer to give the amide functional group linkage). Hence nylon is also a polyamide.

The correct order of strength is:


The difference in strength is related to the types of intermolecular forces present. All these types of polymers have London dispersion forces. However, the polar ester group in polyesters and the polar amide group in polyamides give rise to additional dipole forces. The polyamide has the ability to form relatively strong hydrogen-bonding interactions, hence why it would form the strongest fibers.
8. a.

b.

c.

d.


Another possibility would be:


## 1,2-dibromoethene

1,1,2,2-tetrabromoethane
e.


chlorobenzene
f.

ethane ethene

ethanol
ethene
This reaction is not explicitly discussed in the text. This is the reverse of the reaction used to produce alcohols. This reaction is reversible. Which organic substance dominates is determined by LeChâtelier's principle. For example, if the alcohol is wanted, then water is removed as reactants are converted to products, driving the reaction to produce more water (and more alcohol).
9.
a.

b.

c.

d.

e.

f. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \xrightarrow{\text { oxidation }} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{OH} \quad$ carboxylic acid


ester
10. Polystyrene is an addition polymer formed from the monomer styrene.

a. Syndiotactic polystyrene has all of the benzene ring side groups aligned on alternate sides of the chain. This ordered alignment of the side groups allows individual polymer chains of polystyrene to pack together efficiently, maximizing the London dispersion forces. Stronger London dispersion forces translate into stronger polymers.
b. By copolymerizing with butadiene, double bonds exist in the carbon backbone of the polymer. These double bonds can react with sulfur to form crosslinks (bonds) between individual polymer chains. The crosslinked polymer is stronger.
c. The longer the chain of polystyrene, the stronger are the London dispersion forces between polymer chains.
d. In linear (versus branched) polystyrene, chains pack together more efficiently, resulting in stronger London dispersion forces.
11. a. A polyester forms when an alcohol functional group reacts with a carboxylic acid functional group. The monomer for a homopolymer polyester must have an alcohol functional group and a carboxylic acid functional group present within the structure of the monomer.
b. A polyamide forms when an amine functional group reacts with a carboxylic acid functional group. For a copolymer polyamide, one monomer would have at least two amine functional groups present, and the other monomer would have at least two carboxylic acid functional groups present. For polymerization to occur, each monomer must have two reactive functional groups present.
c. To form an addition polymer, a carbon-carbon double bond must be present. Polyesters and polyamides are condensation polymers. To form a polyester, the monomer would need the alcohol and carboxylic acid functional groups present. To form a polyamide, the monomer would need the amine and carboxylic acid functional groups present. The two possibilities are for the monomer to have a carbon-carbon double bond, an alcohol functional group, and a carboxylic acid functional group present or to have a carboncarbon double bond, an amine functional group, and a carboxylic acid functional group present.
12. Proteins are polymers made up of monomer units called amino acids. One of the functions of proteins is to provide structural integrity and strength for many types of tissues. In addition, proteins transport and store oxygen and nutrients, catalyze many reactions in the body, fight invasion by foreign objects, participate in the body's many regulatory systems, and transport electrons in the process of metabolizing nutrients.

Carbohydrate polymers, such as starch and cellulose, are composed of the monomer units called monosaccharides or simple sugars. Carbohydrates serve as a food source for most organisms.

Nucleic acids are polymers made up of monomer units called nucleotides. Nucleic acids store and transmit genetic information and are also responsible for the synthesis of various proteins needed by a cell to carry out its life functions.

## Exercises

## Hydrocarbons

13. i.

ii.

iii.

iv.

v.


All other possibilities are identical to one of these five compounds.
14. See Exercise 13 for the structures. The names of structures i-v respectively, are hexane (or n-hexane), 2-methylpentane, 3-methylpentane, 2,2-dimethylbutane, and 2,3-dimethylbutane.
15. A difficult task in this problem is recognizing different compounds from compounds that differ by rotations about one or more $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds (called conformations). The best way to distinguish different compounds from conformations is to name them. Different name $=$ different compound; same name = same compound, so it is not an isomer but instead is a conformation.
a.


2-methylheptane


3-methylheptane


4-methylheptane
b.


2,2,3,3-tetramethylbutane
16. a.


2,2-dimethylhexane


2,4-dimethylhexane


3,3-dimethylhexane


3-ethylhexane


2,3-dimethylhexane


2,5-dimethylhexane


3,4-dimethylhexane
b.


2,2,3-trimethylpentane


2,3,3-trimethylpentane


3-ethyl-2-methylpentane


2,2,4-trimethylpentane


2,3,4-trimethylpentane


3-ethyl-3-methylpentane
17. a.

d.

b.

c.


$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$

b.

c.

d.

19. a.

c.

b.

d. For 3-isobutylhexane, the longest chain is 7 carbons long. The correct name is 4-ethyl-2-methylheptane. For 2-tert-butylpentane, the longest chain is 6 carbons long. The correct name is 2,2,3-trimethylhexane.
20.


4-isopropyl-2,3,5-trimethylheptane
21.
a. 2,2,4-trimethylhexane
b. 5-methylnonane
c. 2,2,4,4-tetramethylpentane
d. 3-ethyl-3-methyloctane

Note: For alkanes, always identify the longest carbon chain for the base name first, then number the carbons to give the lowest overall numbers for the substituent groups.
22. The hydrogen atoms in ring compounds are commonly omitted. In organic compounds, carbon atoms satisfy the octet rule of electrons by forming four bonds to other atoms. Therefore, add C-H bonds to the carbon atoms in the ring in order to give each C atom four bonds. You can also determine the formula of these cycloalkanes by using the general formula $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$.
a. isopropylcyclobutane; $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{14}$
b. 1-tert-butyl-3-methylcyclopentane; $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20}$
c. 1,3-dimethyl-2-propylcyclohexane; $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{22}$
23.



Each carbon is bonded to four other carbon and/or hydrogen atoms in a saturated hydrocarbon (only single bonds are present).
24.


An unsaturated hydrocarbon has at least one carbon-carbon double and/or triple bond in the structure.
25.
a. 1-butene
b. 4-methyl-2-hexene
c. 2,5-dimethyl-3-heptene

Note: The multiple bond is assigned the lowest number possible.
26.
a. 2,3-dimethyl-2-butene
b. 4-methyl-2-hexyne
c. 2,3-dimethyl-1-pentene
27.
a. $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
b. $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
c.

28.
a.

b.

c.

29. a.

c.


30. isopropylbenzene or 2-phenylpropane
31. a. 1,3-dichlorobutane
31. a. 1,3-dichlorobutane
c. 2,3-dichloro-2,4-dimethylhexane
32.
a. 3-chloro-l-butene
c. 3-chloro-4-propylcyclopentene
b.

d.

b. 1,1,1-trichlorobutane
d. 1,2-difluoroethane
e. 2-bromotoluene (or o-bromotoluene or 1-bromo-2-methylbenzene)
f. 1-bromo-2-methylcyclohexane
g. 4-bromo-3-methylcyclohexene

Note: If the location of the double bond is not given in the name, it is assumed to be located between $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. Also, when the base name can be numbered in equivalent ways, give the first substituent group the lowest number; e.g., for part f, 1-bromo-2-methylcyclohexane is preferred to 2-bromo-1-methycyclohexane.

## Isomerism

33. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 1,2$-dichloroethane: In this compound, there is free rotation about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bond that doesn't lead to different compounds. $\mathrm{CHCl}=\mathrm{CHCl}, 1,2$-dichloroethene: This compound, however, has no free rotation about the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ double bond. This creates the cis and trans isomers, which are different compounds.
34. a. All of these structures have the formula $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{8}$. The compounds with the same physical properties will be the compounds that are identical to each other, i.e., compounds that only differ by rotations of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bonds. To recognize identical compounds, name them. The names of the compounds are:
i. trans-1,3-pentadiene
ii. cis-1,3-pentadiene
iii. cis-1,3-pentadiene
iv. 2-methyl-1,3-butadiene

Compounds ii and iii are identical compounds, so they would have the same physical properties.
b. Compound i is a trans isomer because the bulkiest groups off the $\mathrm{C}_{3}=\mathrm{C}_{4}$ double bond are on opposite sides of the double bond.
c. Compound iv does not have carbon atoms in a double bond that each have two different groups attached. Compound iv does not exhibit cis-trans isomerism.
35. To exhibit cis-trans isomerism, each carbon in the double bond must have two structurally different groups bonded to it. In Exercise 25, this occurs for compounds b and c. The cis isomer has the bulkiest groups on the same side of the double bond while the trans isomer has the bulkiest groups on opposite sides of the double bond. The cis and trans isomers for 25b and 25 c are:

25 b.

cis

25 c.

cis

trans

trans

Similarly, all the compounds in Exercise 27 exhibit cis-trans isomerism.

In compound a of Exercise 25, the first carbon in the double bond does not contain two different groups. The first carbon in the double bond contains two H atoms. To illustrate that this compound does not exhibit cis-trans isomerism, let's look at the potential cis-trans isomers.



These are the same compounds; they only differ by a simple rotation of the molecule. Therefore, they are not isomers of each other but instead are the same compound.
36. In Exercise 26, none of the compounds can exhibit cis-trans isomerism since none of the carbons with the multiple bond have two different groups bonded to each. In Exercise 28, only 3-ethyl-4-decene can exhibit cis-trans isomerism since the fourth and fifth carbons each have two different groups bonded to the carbon atoms with the double bond.
37. $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ has the general formula for alkenes, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$. To distinguish the different isomers from each other, we will name them. Each isomer must have a different name.


2-methyl-1-butene


3-methyl-1-butene
38. Only 2-pentene exhibits cis-trans isomerism. The isomers are:

cis

trans

The other isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ do not contain carbons in the double bonds that each have two different groups attached.
39. To help distinguish the different isomers, we will name them.

cis-1-chloro-1-propene


2-chloro-1-propene

trans-1-chloro-1-propene


3-chloro-1-propene
chlorocyclopropane
40. $\mathrm{HCBrCl}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$











The cyclic isomers of bromochloropropene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{BrCl}\right)$ are:


41.











42. The cis isomer has the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups on the same side of the ring. The trans isomer has the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups on opposite sides of the ring.

cis

trans

The cyclic structural and geometric isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~F}$ are:

43.
a.

b.

c.

44.
a. cis-1-bromo-1-propene
b. cis-4-ethyl-3-methyl-3-heptene
c. trans-1,4-diiodo-2-propyl-1-pentene

Note: In general, cis-trans designations refer to the relative positions of the largest groups. In compound $b$, the largest group off the first carbon in the double bond is $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, and the largest group off the second carbon in the double bond is $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$. Because their relative placement is on the same side of the double bond, this is the cis isomer.
45. a.


There are three different types of hydrogens in n-pentane (see asterisks). Thus there are three monochloro isomers of n-pentane (1-chloropentane, 2-chloropentane, and 3-chloropentane).
b.


There are four different types of hydrogens in 2-methylbutane, so four monochloro isomers of 2-methylbutane are possible.
c.


There are three different types of hydrogens, so three monochloro isomers are possible.
d.


There are four different types of hydrogens, so four monochloro isomers are possible.
46. a.


ortho
meta

para
b. There are three trichlorobenzenes (1,2,3-trichlorobenzene, 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene, and 1,3,5-trichlorobenzene).
c. The meta isomer will be very difficult to synthesize.
d. 1,3,5-Trichlorobenzene will be the most difficult to synthesize since all Cl groups are meta to each other in this compound.

## Functional Groups

47. Reference Table 22.5 for the common functional groups.
a. ketone
b. aldehyde
c. carboxylic acid
d. amine
48. 


b.

c.


Note: The amide functional group $\left(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is not covered in Section 22.4 of the text. We point it out for your information.
49. a.

b. 5 carbons in the ring and the carbon in $-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{sp}^{2}$; the other two carbons: $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$
c. 24 sigma bonds; 4 pi bonds
50. Hydrogen atoms are usually omitted from ring structures. In organic compounds, the carbon atoms form four bonds. With this in mind, the following structure has the missing hydrogen atoms included in order to give each carbon atom the four bond requirement.

a. Minoxidil would be more soluble in acidic solution. The nitrogens with lone pairs can be protonated, forming a water soluble cation.
b. The two nitrogens in the ring with double bonds are $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized. The other three N's are $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized.
c. The five carbon atoms in the ring with one nitrogen are all sp ${ }^{3}$ hybridized. The four carbon atoms in the other ring with double bonds are all $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized.
d. Angles a and $\mathrm{b} \approx 109.5^{\circ}$; angles c , d , and $\mathrm{e} \approx 120^{\circ}$
e. 31 sigma bonds
f. 3 pi bonds
51. a. 3-chloro-1-butanol; because the carbon containing the OH group is bonded to just 1 other carbon (1 R group), this is a primary alcohol.
b. 3-methyl-3-hexanol; because the carbon containing the OH group is bonded to three other carbons (3 R groups), this is a tertiary alcohol.
c. 2-methylcyclopentanol; secondary alcohol (2 R groups bonded to carbon containing the OH group). Note: In ring compounds, the alcohol group is assumed to be bonded to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, so the number designation is commonly omitted for the alcohol group.
52.
a.
 primary alcohol
b.

secondary alcohol
C.

d.

primary alcohol tertiary alcohol
53.


1-pentanol


2-methyl-1-butanol


3-methyl-2-butanol


2-pentanol


3-methyl-1-butanol


3-pentanol


2-methyl-2-butanol

There are six isomeric ethers with formula $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$. The structures follow:






54. There are four aldehydes and three ketones with formula $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$. The structures follow:

pentanal


2,2-dimethylpropanal


3-pentanone


2-methylbutanal


2-pentanone


3-methyl-2-butanone
55.
a. 4,5-dichloro-3-hexanone
b. 2,3-dimethylpentanal
c. 3-methylbenzaldehyde or m-methylbenzaldehyde
56. a.


c.


d.


57. a. 4-chlorobenzoic acid or p-chlorobenzoic acid
b. 3-ethyl-2-methylhexanoic acid
c. methanoic acid (common name = formic acid)
58. a.

c.

b.

d.

59. Only statement d is false. The other statements refer to compounds having the same formula but different attachment of atoms; they are structural isomers.
a.


Both have a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.
b.


Both have a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$.
c.


Both have a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$.
d.


2-Butenal has a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ while the alcohol has a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$.
e.


Both have a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}$.
60. a. trans-2-butene:


or

b. propanoic acid:


c. butanal:


d. butylamine: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, formula $=\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}$ :

A secondary amine has two R groups bonded to N .

e. A tertiary amine has three R groups bonded to N . (See answer d for structure of butylamine.)

f. 2-methyl-2-propanol:



$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}
$$

g. A secondary alcohol has two R groups attached to the carbon bonded to the OH group. (See answer f for the structure of 2-methyl-2-propanol.)


## Reactions of Organic Compounds

61. 

a.

b.

c.

d. $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}(\mathrm{~g})+6 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{g})$
62. a. The two possible products for the addition of HOH to this alkene are:

major product

minor product

We would get both products in this reaction. Using the rule given in the problem, the first compound listed is the major product. In the reactant, the terminal carbon has more hydrogens bonded to it (2 versus 1 ), so H forms a bond to this carbon, and OH forms a bond to the other carbon in the double bond for the major product. We will list only the major product for the remaining parts to this problem.
b.

c.

d.

e.

63.



To substitute for the benzene ring hydrogens, an iron(III) catalyst must be present. Without this special iron catalyst, the benzene ring hydrogens are unreactive. To substitute for an alkane hydrogen, light must be present. For toluene, the light-catalyzed reaction substitutes a chlorine for a hydrogen in the methyl group attached to the benzene ring.
64. When $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ reacts with HCl , there is only one possible product, chloroethane. When $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is reacted with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (in the presence of light), there are six possible products because any number of the six hydrogens in ethane can be substituted for by Cl . The light-catalyzed substitution reaction is very difficult to control; hence it is not a very efficient method of producing monochlorinated alkanes.
65. Primary alcohols (a, d, and f) are oxidized to aldehydes, which can be oxidized further to carboxylic acids. Secondary alcohols (b, e, and f) are oxidized to ketones, and tertiary alcohols (c and f) do not undergo this type of oxidation reaction. Note that compound f contains a primary, secondary, and tertiary alcohol. For the primary alcohols (a, d, and f), we listed both the aldehyde and the carboxylic acid as possible products.
a.

b.

c. No reaction
d.

e.

f.


66. a.

b.

c.

67. a. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{Br}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHBrCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$ (addition reaction of $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ with propene)
b.


Oxidation of 2-propanol yields acetone (2-propanone).
c.


Addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to 2-methylpropene would yield tert-butyl alcohol (2-methyl-2-propanol) as the major product.
d.


Oxidation of 1-propanol would eventually yield propanoic acid. Propanal is produced first in this reaction and is then oxidized to propanoic acid.
68. a. $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ will react with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ without any catalyst present. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ reacts with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ only when ultraviolet light is present.
b. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COH}$ is an acid, so this compound should react positively with a base like $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The other compound is a ketone, which will not react with a base.
c. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ can be oxidized with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ to propanoic acid. 2-Propanone (a ketone) will not react with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$.
d. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is an amine, so it behaves as a base in water. Dissolution of some of this base in water will produce a solution with a basic pH . The ether, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$, will not produce a basic pH when dissolved in water.
69. Reaction of a carboxylic acid with an alcohol can produce these esters.


70. When an alcohol is reacted with a carboxylic acid, an ester is produced.
a.

b.


## Polymers

71. The backbone of the polymer contains only carbon atoms, which indicates that Kel-F is an addition polymer. The smallest repeating unit of the polymer and the monomer used to produce this polymer are:



Note: Condensation polymers generally have O or N atoms in the backbone of the polymer.
72. a. repeating unit:

b.
repeating unit:

monomer: $\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$
c.
repeating unit:

d. monomer:

e. monomer:

f. copolymer of:


Addition polymers: a, d, and e; condensation polymers: b, c, and f; copolymer: c and f
73.


Super glue is an addition polymer formed by reaction of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond in methyl cyanoacrylate.
74. a. 2-methyl-1,3-butadiene
b.

cis-polyisoprene (natural rubber)

trans-polyisoprene (gutta percha)
75. $\quad \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is eliminated when Kevlar forms. Two repeating units of Kevlar are:

76. This condensation polymer forms by elimination of water. The ester functional group repeats, hence the term polyester.

77. This is a condensation polymer, where two molecules of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ form when the monomers link together.

and

78.

and

79. Divinylbenzene has two reactive double bonds that are used during formation of the polymer. The key is for the double bonds to insert themselves into two different polymer chains during the polymerization process. When this occurs, the two chains are bonded together (are crosslinked). The chains cannot move past each other because of the crosslinks, making the polymer more rigid.
80. a.

b.

81. a. The polymer formed using 1,2-diaminoethane will exhibit relatively strong hydrogenbonding interactions between adjacent polymer chains. Hydrogen bonding is not present in the ethylene glycol polymer (a polyester polymer forms), so the 1,2-diaminoethane polymer will be stronger.
b. The presence of rigid groups (benzene rings or multiple bonds) makes the polymer stiffer. Hence the monomer with the benzene ring will produce the more rigid polymer.
c. Polyacetylene will have a double bond in the carbon backbone of the polymer.


The presence of the double bond in polyacetylene will make polyacetylene a more rigid polymer than polyethylene. Polyethylene doesn't have $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds in the backbone of the polymer (the double bonds in the monomers react to form the polymer).
82. At low temperatures, the polymer is coiled into balls. The forces between poly(lauryl methacrylate) and oil molecules will be minimal, and the effect on viscosity will be minimal. At higher temperatures, the chains of the polymer will unwind and become tangled with the oil molecules, increasing the viscosity of the oil. Thus the presence of the polymer counteracts the temperature effect, and the viscosity of the oil remains relatively constant.

## Natural Polymers

83. a. Serine, tyrosine, and threonine contain the - OH functional group in the R group.
b. Aspartic acid and glutamic acid contain the - COOH functional group in the R group.
c. An amine group has a nitrogen bonded to other carbon and/or hydrogen atoms. Histidine, lysine, arginine, and tryptophan contain the amine functional group in the R group.
d. The amide functional group is:


This functional group is formed when individual amino acids bond together to form the peptide linkage. Glutamine and asparagine have the amide functional group in the R group.
84. Crystalline amino acids exist as zwitterions, ${ }^{+} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NCRHCOO}{ }^{-}$, held together by ionic forces. The ionic interparticle forces are strong. Before the temperature gets high enough to melt the solid, the amino acid decomposes.
85. a. Aspartic acid and phenylalanine make up aspartame.

b. Aspartame contains the methyl ester of phenylalanine. This ester can hydrolyze to form methanol:

$$
\mathrm{RCO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{RCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{HOCH}_{3}
$$

86. 



Glutamic acid, cysteine, and glycine are the three amino acids in glutathione. Glutamic acid uses the - COOH functional group in the R group to bond to cysteine instead of the carboxylic acid group bonded to the $\alpha$-carbon. The cysteine-glycine bond is the typical peptide linkage.
87.


88.



There are six possible tripeptides with gly, ala, and ser. The other four tripeptides are gly-serala, ser-gly-ala, ala-gly-ser, and ala-ser-gly.
89. a. Six tetrapeptides are possible. From $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ end:
phe-phe-gly-gly, gly-gly-phe-phe, gly-phe-phe-gly,
phe-gly-gly-phe, phe-gly-phe-gly, gly-phe-gly-phe
b. Twelve tetrapeptides are possible. From $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ end:

> phe-phe-gly-ala, phe-phe-ala-gly, phe-gly-phe-ala,
> phe-gly-ala-phe, phe-ala-phe-gly, phe-ala-gly-phe,
> gly-phe-phe-ala, gly-phe-ala-phe, gly-ala-phe-phe
> ala-phe-phe-gly, ala-phe-gly-phe, ala-gly-phe-phe
90. There are 5 possibilities for the first amino acid, 4 possibilities for the second amino acid, 3 possibilities for the third amino acid, 2 possibilities for the fourth amino acid, and 1 possibility for the last amino acid. The number of possible sequences is:
$5 \times 4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1=5!=120$ different pentapeptides
91. a. Ionic: Need $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ on side chain of one amino acid with $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ on side chain of the other amino acid. The possibilities are:
$\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ on side chain $=$ His, Lys, or Arg; $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ on side chain $=$ Asp or Glu
b. Hydrogen bonding: Need N-H or O-H bond present in side chain. The hydrogen bonding interaction occurs between the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}$ bond and a carbonyl group from any amino acid.
$\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \cdots \cdot \cdot \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}$ (carbonyl group)
Ser Asn Any amino acid
Glu Thr
Tyr Asp
His Gln
Arg Lys
c. Covalent: Cys-Cys (forms a disulfide linkage)
d. London dispersion: All amino acids with nonpolar R groups. They are:

Gly, Ala, Pro, Phe, Ile, Trp, Met, Leu, and Val
e. Dipole-dipole: Need side chain with OH group. Tyr, Thr and Ser all could form this specific dipole-dipole force with each other since all contain an OH group in the side chain.
92. Reference Exercise 91 for a more detailed discussion of these various interactions.
a. Covalent
b. Hydrogen bonding
c. Ionic
d. London dispersion
93. Glutamic acid: $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$; valine: $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$; a polar side chain is replaced by a nonpolar side chain. This could affect the tertiary structure of hemoglobin and the ability of hemoglobin to bind oxygen.
94. Glutamic acid: $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}$; glutamine: $\mathrm{R}=-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CONH}_{2}$; the R groups only differ by OH versus $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$. Both of these groups are capable of forming hydrogen-bonding interactions, so the change in intermolecular forces is minimal. Thus this change is not critical because the secondary and tertiary structures of hemoglobin should not be greatly affected.
95. See Figures 22.29 and 22.30 of the text for examples of the cyclization process.


D-Ribose


D-Mannose
96. The chiral carbon atoms are marked with asterisks. A chiral carbon atom has four different substituent groups attached.



D-Ribose
D-Mannose
97. The aldohexoses contain 6 carbons and the aldehyde functional group. Glucose, mannose, and galactose are aldohexoses. Ribose and arabinose are aldopentoses since they contain 5 carbons with the aldehyde functional group. The ketohexose ( 6 carbons + ketone functional group) is fructose, and the ketopentose ( 5 carbons + ketone functional group) is ribulose.
98. This is an example of Le Châtelier's principle at work. For the equilibrium reactions among the various forms of glucose, reference Figure 22.30 of the text. The chemical tests involve reaction of the aldehyde group found only in the open-chain structure. As the aldehyde group is reacted, the equilibrium between the cyclic forms of glucose, and the open-chain structure will shift to produce more of the open-chain structure. This process continues until either the glucose or the chemicals used in the tests run out.
99. The $\alpha$ and $\beta$ forms of glucose differ in the orientation of a hydroxy group on one specific carbon in the cyclic forms (see Figure 22.30 of the text). Starch is a polymer composed of only $\alpha$-D-glucose, and cellulose is a polymer composed of only $\beta$-D-glucose.
100. Humans do not possess the necessary enzymes to break the $\beta$-glycosidic linkages found in cellulose. Cows, however, do possess the necessary enzymes to break down cellulose into the $\beta$-D-glucose monomers and therefore can derive nutrition from cellulose.
101. A chiral carbon has four different groups attached to it. A compound with a chiral carbon is optically active. Isoleucine and threonine contain more than the one chiral carbon atom (see asterisks).

isoleucine

threonine
102. There is no chiral carbon atom in glycine since it contains no carbon atoms with four different groups bonded to it.
103. Only one of the isomers is optically active. The chiral carbon in this optically active isomer is marked with an asterisk.

104.


The compound has four chiral carbon atoms. The fourth group bonded to the three chiral carbon atoms in the ring is a hydrogen atom.
105. The complementary base pairs in DNA are cytosine (C) and guanine (G) and thymine (T) and adenine (A). The complementary sequence is $C-C-A-G-A-T-A-T-G$
106. For each letter, there are 4 choices; A, T, G, or C. Hence the total number of codons is $4 \times 4 \times 4=64$.
107. Uracil will hydrogen bond to adenine. The dashed lines represent the H-bonding interactions.

108. The tautomer could hydrogen bond to guanine, forming a $\mathrm{G}-\mathrm{T}$ base pair instead of $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{T}$.

109. Base pair:

RNA DNA
A........ T
G........ C
C........ G
U........ A
a. Glu: CTT, CTC

Val: CAA, CAG, CAT, CAC
Met: TAC Trp: ACC
Phe: AAA, AAG Asp: CTA, CTG
b. DNA sequence for trp-glu-phe-met:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{ACC}-\mathrm{CTT}-\mathrm{AAA}-\mathrm{TAC} \\
\text { or or } \\
\text { CTC AAG }
\end{gathered}
$$

c. Due to glu and phe, there is a possibility of four different DNA sequences. They are:

```
ACC-CTT-AAA-TAC or ACC-CTC-AAA-TAC or
ACC-CTT-AAG-TAC or ACC-CTC-AAG -TAC
```

d.

e. TAC-CTA-AAG; TAC-CTA-AAA; TAC-CTG-AAA
110. In sickle cell anemia, glutamic acid is replaced by valine. DNA codons: Glu: CTT, CTC; Val: CAA, CAG, CAT, CAC; replacing the middle T with an A in the code for Glu will code for Val.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CTT} \rightarrow \underset{\mathrm{CAT}}{\mathrm{CAT}} \text { or } \mathrm{CTC} \rightarrow \underset{\mathrm{Clal}}{\mathrm{CAC}} \\
& \text { Glu }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Additional Exercises

111. We omitted the hydrogens for clarity. The number of hydrogens bonded to each carbon is the number necessary to form four bonds.
a.


2,3,5,6-tetramethyloctane
c.


2,3,4-trimethylhexane
b.


2,2,3,5-tetramethylheptane
d.


3-methyl-1-pentyne
112. a. Only one monochlorination product can form (1-chloro-2,2-dimethylpropane). The other possibilities differ from this compound by a simple rotation, so they are not different compounds.

b. Three different monochlorination products are possible (ignoring cis-trans isomers).



c. Two different monochlorination products are possible (the other possibilities differ by a simple rotation of one of these two compounds).


113.


There are many possibilities for isomers. Any structure with four chlorines replacing four hydrogens in any four of the numbered positions would be an isomer; i.e., 1,2,3,4-tetrachloro-dibenzo-p-dioxin is a possible isomer.
114. We would expect compounds $b$ and $d$ to boil at the higher temperatures because they exhibit additional dipole forces that the nonpolar compounds in a, c, and e do not exhibit. London dispersion (LD) forces are the intermolecular forces exhibited by compounds a, c, and e. Size and shape are the two main factors that affect the strength of LD forces. Compounds a and e have a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$, and the bigger compound c has a formula of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{14}$. The smaller compounds in a and e will boil at the two lowest boiling points. Between a and e, compound a has a more elongated structure which leads to stronger LD forces; compound a boils at $36^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and compound e boils at $9.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
115. The isomers are:

dimethyl ether, $-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$
ethanol, $78.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

Ethanol, with its ability to form the relatively strong hydrogen-bonding interactions, boils at the higher temperature.
116. The isomers are:


boils at lowest temperature
 (no H -bonding)

With the exception of the first isomer, the other isomers can form the relatively strong hydrogen bonding interactions. The isomers that can hydrogen bond will boil at higher temperatures.
117. Alcohols consist of two parts, the polar OH group and the nonpolar hydrocarbon chain attached to the OH group. As the length of the nonpolar hydrocarbon chain increases, the solubility of the alcohol decreases in water, a very polar solvent. In methyl alcohol (methanol), the polar OH group overrides the effect of the nonpolar $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group, and methyl alcohol is soluble in water. In stearyl alcohol, the molecule consists mostly of the long nonpolar hydrocarbon chain, so it is insoluble in water.
118. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOH}+\mathrm{OH}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}-\mathrm{COO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; octanoic acid is more soluble in 1 M NaOH . Added $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will remove the acidic proton from octanoic acid, creating a charged species. As is the case with any substance with an overall charge, solubility in water increases. When morphine is reacted with $\stackrel{H}{+}^{+}$, the amine group is protonated, creating a positive charge on morphine ( $\left.\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{NH}\right)$. By treating morphine with HCl , an ionic compound results that is more soluble in water and in the bloodstream than the neutral covalent form of morphine.
119. The structures, the types of intermolecular forces exerted, and the boiling points for the compounds are:

butanoic acid, $164^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{LD}+$ dipole $^{+} \mathrm{H}^{\text {bonding }}$

pentanal, $103^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
LD + dipole

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}
$$

1 -pentanol, $137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
LD + H bonding

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}
$$

n-hexane, $69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
LD (London dispersion) only

All these compounds have about the same molar mass. Therefore, the London dispersion (LD) forces in each are about the same. The other types of forces determine the boiling-point order. Since butanoic acid and 1-pentanol both exhibit hydrogen bonding interactions, these two compounds will have the two highest boiling points. Butanoic acid has the highest boiling point since it exhibits H bonding along with dipole-dipole forces due to the polar $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond.
120. $85.63 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=7.130 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} ; 14.37 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=14.26 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}$

Because the mol H to mol C ratio is $2: 1(14.26 / 7.130=2.000)$, the empirical formula is $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$.

The empirical formula mass $\approx 12+2(1)=14$. Since $4 \times 14=56$ puts the molar mass between 50 and 60 , the molecular formula is $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$. The isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ are:


1-butene

cyclobutane


2-butene

methylcyclopropane

Only the alkenes will react with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to produce alcohols, and only 1-butene will produce a secondary alcohol for the major product and a primary alcohol for the minor product.



2-Butene will produce only a secondary alcohol when reacted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 2-methyl-1propene will produce a tertiary alcohol as the major product and a primary alcohol as the minor product.
121. $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ will oxidize primary alcohols to aldehydes and then to carboxylic acids. Secondary alcohols are oxidized to ketones by $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$. Tertiary alcohols and ethers are not oxidized by $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$. The three isomers and their reactions with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ are:




The products of the reactions with excess $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ are 2-propanone and propanoic acid.
122. When addition polymerization of monomers with $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds occurs, the backbone of the polymer chain consists of only carbon atoms. Because the backbone contains oxygen atoms, this is not an addition polymer; it is a condensation polymer. Because the ester functional group is present, we have a polyester condensation polymer. To form an ester functional group, we need the carboxylic acid and alcohol functional groups present in the monomers. From the structure of the polymer, we have a copolymer formed by the following monomers.

$\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OH}$
123. In nylon, hydrogen-bonding interactions occur due to the presence of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds in the polymer. For a given polymer chain length, there are more N-H groups in Nylon-46 as compared to Nylon-6. Hence Nylon-46 forms a stronger polymer compared to Nylon-6 due to the increased hydrogen-bonding interactions.
124. The monomers for nitrile are $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCN}$ (acrylonitrile) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (butadiene). The structure of the polymer nitrile is:

125. a.

and

b. Repeating unit:


The two polymers differ in the substitution pattern on the benzene rings. The Kevlar chain is straighter, and there is more efficient hydrogen-bonding between Kevlar chains than between Nomex chains.
126. Polyacrylonitrile:


The CN triple bond is very strong and will not easily break in the combustion process. A likely combustion product is the toxic gas hydrogen cyanide, $\mathrm{HCN}(\mathrm{g})$.
127. a. The bond angles in the ring are about $60^{\circ}$. VSEPR predicts bond angles close to $109^{\circ}$. The bonding electrons are closer together than they prefer, resulting in strong electronelectron repulsions. Thus ethylene oxide is unstable (reactive).
b. The ring opens up during polymerization; the monomers link together through the formation of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds.

128.


Two linkages are possible with glycerol. A possible repeating unit with both types of linkages is shown above. With either linkage, there are unreacted OH groups on the polymer chains. These unreacted OH groups on adjacent polymer chains can react with the acid groups of phthalic acid to form crosslinks (bonds) between various polymer chains.
129.

Glutamic acid:


One of the two acidic protons in the carboxylic acid groups is lost to form MSG. Which proton is lost is impossible for you to predict.

Monosodium glutamate:


In MSG, the acidic proton from the carboxylic acid in the R group is lost, allowing formation of the ionic compound.
130.



Bonds broken:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}(358 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}) \\
& 1 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{N}(391 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=358+391-(305+467)=-23 \mathrm{~kJ}
$$

b. $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ for this process is negative (unfavorable) because the dipeptide has a more ordered structure (positional probability decreases).
c. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$; although $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is favorable (negative), the magnitude of $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is very small. In this process, the unfavorable entropy change will dominate, giving a positive $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ value. The reaction is not spontaneous at normal temperatures.
131. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$; for the reaction, we break a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond and form a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond, so $\Delta \mathrm{H} \approx 0$ based on bond dissociation energies. $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ for this process is negative (unfavorable) because positional probability decreases. Thus, $\Delta \mathrm{G}>0$ due to the unfavorable $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ term, and the reaction is not expected to be spontaneous.
132. Both proteins and nucleic acids must form for life to exist. From the simple analysis, it looks as if life can't exist, an obviously incorrect assumption. A cell is not an isolated system. There is an external source of energy to drive the reactions. A photosynthetic plant uses sunlight, and animals use the carbohydrates produced by plants as sources of energy. When all processes are combined, $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\text {univ }}$ must be greater than zero, as is dictated by the second law of thermodynamics.
133. Alanine can be thought of as a diprotic acid. The first proton to leave comes from the carboxylic acid end with $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}=4.5 \times 10^{-3}$. The second proton to leave comes from the protonated amine end ( $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}$ for $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}=1.0 \times 10^{-14} / 7.4 \times 10^{-5}=1.4 \times 10^{-10}$ ).

In $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}^{+}$, both the carboxylic acid and the amine end will be protonated since $\mathrm{H}^{+}$is in excess. The protonated form of alanine is below. In $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OH}^{-}$, the dibasic form of alanine will be present because the excess $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$will remove all acidic protons from alanine. The dibasic form of alanine follows.

134. The number of approximate base pairs in a DNA molecule is:

$$
\frac{4.5 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}{600 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}}=8 \times 10^{6} \text { base pairs }
$$

The approximate number of complete turns in a DNA molecule is:

$$
8 \times 10^{6} \text { base pairs } \times \frac{0.34 \mathrm{~nm}}{\text { base pair }} \times \frac{1 \text { turn }}{3.4 \mathrm{~nm}}=8 \times 10^{5} \text { turns }
$$

135. For denaturation, heat is added so it is an endothermic process. Because the highly ordered secondary structure is disrupted, positional probability increases, so entropy will increase. Thus $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ are both positive for protein denaturation.
136. a. ${ }^{+} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{6.0 \times 10^{-5}}=1.7 \times 10^{-10}
$$

b. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \rightleftharpoons \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{OH}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{b}}\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right)}=\frac{1.0 \times 10^{-14}}{4.3 \times 10^{-3}}=2.3 \times 10^{-12}
$$

c. ${ }^{+} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}$

$$
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}\right)=\left(4.3 \times 10^{-3}\right)\left(1.7 \times 10^{-10}\right)=7.3 \times 10^{-13}
$$

## ChemWork Problems

The answers to the problems 137-144 (or a variation to these problems) are found in OWL. These problems are also assignable in OWL.

## Challenge Problems

145. For the reaction:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad{ }^{+} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{H}^{+}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-} \quad \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}=7.3 \times 10^{-13}=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right) \times \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(-\mathrm{NH}_{3}^{+}\right) \\
& 7.3 \times 10^{-13}=\frac{\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}^{-}\right]}{\left[{ }^{+} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right]}=\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]^{2},\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=\left(7.3 \times 10^{-13}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=8.5 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M} ; \quad \mathrm{pH}=-\log \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]=6.07 \text { i isoelectric point }}
\end{aligned}
$$

146. a. The new amino acid is most similar to methionine due to its $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SCH}_{3} \mathrm{R}$ group.
b. The new amino acid replaces methionine. The structure of the tetrapeptide is:

c. The chiral carbons are indicated with an asterisk.

147. a. Even though this form of tartaric acid contains 2 chiral carbon atoms (see asterisks in the following structure), the mirror image of this form of tartaric acid is superimpossible. Therefore, it is not optically active. An easier way to identify optical activity in molecules with two or more chiral carbon atoms is to look for a plane of symmetry in the molecule. If a molecule has a plane of symmetry, then it is never optically active. A plane of symmetry is a plane that bisects the molecule where one side exactly reflects on the other side.


Symmetry plane
b. The optically active forms of tartaric acid have no plane of symmetry. The structures of the optically active forms of tartaric acid are:


These two forms of tartaric acid are nonsuperimposable.
148. Assuming 1.000 L of the hydrocarbon $\left(\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}\right)$, then the volume of products will be 4.000 L , and the mass of products $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ will be:
$1.391 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L} \times 4.000 \mathrm{~L}=5.564 \mathrm{~g}$ products
Moles of $\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{0.959 \mathrm{~atm} \times 1.000 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 298 \mathrm{~K}}=0.0392 \mathrm{~mol}$
Moles of products $=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{1.51 \mathrm{~atm} \times 4.000 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 375 \mathrm{~K}}=0.196 \mathrm{~mol}$
$\mathrm{C}_{x} \mathrm{H}_{y}+$ oxygen $\rightarrow x \mathrm{CO}_{2}+y / 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; setting up two equations:
$0.0392 x+0.0392(y / 2)=0.196$ (moles of products)
$0.0392 x(44.01 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})+0.0392(\mathrm{y} / 2)(18.02 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})=5.564 \mathrm{~g}$ (mass of products)
Solving: $x=2$ and $y=6$, so the formula of the hydrocarbon is $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, which is ethane.
149.

150.

cis-2-cis-4-hexadienoic acid

trans-2-cis-4-hexadienoic acid

cis-2-trans-4-hexadienoic acid

trans-2-trans-4-hexadienoic acid
151. a. The three structural isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ are:

n-pentane


2-methylbutane


2,2-dimethylpropane
n-Pentane will form three different monochlorination products: 1-chloropentane, 2chloropentane, and 3-chloropentane (the other possible monochlorination products differ by a simple rotation of the molecule; they are not different products from the ones listed). 2,2-Dimethylpropane will only form one monochlorination product: 1-chloro-2,2dimethylpropane. 2-Methylbutane is the isomer of $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ that forms four different monochlorination products: 1-chloro-2-methylbutane, 2-chloro-2-methyl-butane, 3-chloro-2-methylbutane (or we could name this compound 2-chloro-3-methylbutane), and 1-chloro-3-methylbutane.
b. The isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ are:


1-butene

cyclobutane


2-butene

methylcyclopropane


2-methyl-1-propene or 2-methylpropene

The cyclic structures will not react with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; only the alkenes will add $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to the double bond. From Exercise 62, the major product of the reaction of 1-butene and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is 2butanol (a $2^{\circ}$ alcohol). 2-Butanol is also the major (and only) product when 2-butene and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ react. 2-Methylpropene forms 2-methyl-2-propanol as the major product when reacted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; this product is a tertiary alcohol. Therefore, the $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8}$ isomer is 2methylpropene.


2-methyl-2-propanol (a $3^{\circ}$ alcohol, 3 R groups)
c. The structure of 1-chloro-1-methylcyclohexane is:


The addition reaction of HCl with an alkene is a likely choice for this reaction (see Exercise 62). The two isomers of $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ that produce 1-chloro-1-methylcyclohexane as the major product are:


d. Working backwards, $2^{\circ}$ alcohols produce ketones when they are oxidized $\left(1^{\circ}\right.$ alcohols produce aldehydes, then carboxylic acids). The easiest way to produce the $2^{\circ}$ alcohol from a hydrocarbon is to add $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to an alkene. The alkene reacted is 1-propene (or propene).

e. The $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ formula has too many hydrogens to be anything other than an alcohol (or an unreactive ether). $1^{\circ}$ Alcohols are first oxidized to aldehydes, then to carboxylic acids. Therefore, we want a $1^{\circ}$ alcohol. The $1^{\circ}$ alcohols with formula $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ are:



2-methyl-1-butanol


3-methyl-1-butanol


2,2-dimethyl-1-propanol

There are other alcohols with formula $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$, but they are all $2^{\circ}$ or $3^{\circ}$ alcohols, which do not produce carboxylic acids when oxidized.
152. a.

b. Condensation; HCl is eliminated when the polymer bonds form.
153.

154. a.

acrylonitrile

butadiene

styrene

The structure of ABS plastic assuming a $1: 1: 1$ mole ratio is:


Note: Butadiene does not polymerize in a linear fashion in ABS plastic (unlike other butadiene polymers). There is no way for you to be able to predict this.
b. Only acrylonitrile contains nitrogen. If we have 100.00 g of polymer:

$$
8.80 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{14.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~N}}=\frac{53.06 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}=33.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

$$
\text { Mass } \% \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=\frac{33.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{100.00 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{polymer}^{2}}=33.3 \% \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

$\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ adds to double bonds of alkenes (benzene's delocalized $\pi$ bonds in the styrene monomer will not react with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ unless a special catalyst is present). Only butadiene in the polymer has a reactive double bond. From the polymer structure in part a, butadiene will react in a $1: 1$ mol ratio with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad 0.605 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Br}_{2}}{159.8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Br}_{2}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Br}_{2}} \times \frac{54.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=0.205 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \\
& \quad \text { Mass } \% \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}=\frac{0.205 \mathrm{~g}}{1.20 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=17.1 \% \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \\
& \text { Mass } \% \text { styrene }\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)=100.0-33.3-17.1=49.6 \% \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

c. If we have 100.0 g of polymer:

$$
33.3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}}{53.06 \mathrm{~g}}=0.628 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

$$
17.1 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}{54.09 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}}=0.316 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6}
$$

$$
49.6 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}}{104.14 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}}=0.476 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8}
$$

Dividing by $0.316: \quad \frac{0.628}{0.316}=1.99 ; \quad \frac{0.316}{0.316}=1.00 ; \quad \frac{0.476}{0.316}=1.51$
This is close to a mole ratio of $4: 2: 3$. Thus there are 4 acrylonitrile to 2 butadiene to 3 styrene molecules in this polymer sample, or $\left(\mathrm{A}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$.
155. a. The temperature of the rubber band increases when it is stretched.
b. Exothermic because heat is released.
c. As the polymer chains that make up the rubber band are stretched, they line up more closely together, resulting in stronger London dispersion forces between the chains. Heat is released as the strength of the intermolecular forces increases.
d. Stretching is not spontaneous, so $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ is positive. $\Delta \mathrm{G}=\Delta \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{T} \Delta \mathrm{S}$; since $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ is negative, $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ must be negative in order to give a positive $\Delta \mathrm{G}$.
e.


The structure of the stretched polymer chains is more ordered (has a smaller positional probability). Therefore, entropy decreases as the rubber band is stretched.
156. a.

Step 1:


Step 2:

b.

Step 1:


Step 2:
1-butanol
1-butene

Step 3:

157. $4.2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CrO}_{7} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}}{294.20 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}} \times \frac{3 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}{ }^{2-}}$

$$
=2.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}
$$

$\mathrm{n}_{\text {breath }}=\frac{\mathrm{PV}}{\mathrm{RT}}=\frac{\left(750 . \mathrm{mm} \mathrm{Hg} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~atm}}{760 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}}\right) \times 0.500 \mathrm{~L}}{\frac{0.08206 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~atm}}{\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~mol}} \times 303 \mathrm{~K}}=0.0198 \mathrm{~mol}$ breath

Mole $\%_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{OH}=\frac{2.1 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}}{0.0198 \mathrm{~mol} \text { total }} \times 100=0.11 \%$ alcohol
158. The five chiral carbons are marked with an asterisk.


Each of these five carbons has four different groups bonded to it. The fourth bond that is not shown for any of the five chiral carbons is a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond.

## Integrative Problems

159. a. $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ has the $[\mathrm{Ar}] 3 \mathrm{~d}^{10}$ electron configuration, and zinc does form $2+$ charged ions.

$$
\text { Mass } \% \mathrm{Zn}=\frac{\text { mass of } 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{Zn}}{\text { mass of } 1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{ZnBr}} \times 100=\frac{65.38 \mathrm{~g}}{174.34 \mathrm{~g}} \times 100=37.50 \% \mathrm{Zn}
$$

b. The reaction is:


The hybridization changes from $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ to $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$.
c. 3,4-dimethyl-3-hexanol
160.
a. $\quad 0.5063 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}}{44.01 \mathrm{~g}} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{CO}_{2}} \times \frac{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{C}}=0.1382 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}$

Mass \%C $=\frac{0.1382 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}{0.1450 \mathrm{~g} \text { compound }} \times 100=95.31 \%$
Mass \%H $=100.00-95.31=4.69 \% \mathrm{H}$

Assuming 100.00 g compound:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 95.31 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C}}{12.01 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{C}}=7.936 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} / 4.653=1.706 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{C} \\
& 4.69 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H} \times \frac{1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}}{1.008 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{H}}=4.653 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H} / 4.653=1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

Multiplying by 10 gives the empirical formula $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{10}$.
b. Moles of helicene $=0.0125 \mathrm{~kg} \times \frac{0.0175 \text { mol helicene }}{\mathrm{kg} \text { solvent }}=2.19 \times 10^{-4}$ mol helicene

Molar mass $=\frac{0.0938 \mathrm{~g}}{2.19 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}}=428 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Empirical formula mass $\approx 17(12)+10(1)=214 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$
Because $\frac{428}{214}=2.00$, the molecular formula is $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right) \times 2=\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{20}$
c. $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{20}(\mathrm{~s})+39 \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g}) \rightarrow 34 \mathrm{CO}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})+10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})$

## Marathon Problems

161. 

a. urea, ammonium cyanate
b. saturated c. tetrahedral
d. straight-chain or normal
e. bonds
f. -ane
g. longest
h. number
i. combustion
j. substitution
k. addition
l. hydrogenation
m. aromatic
n. functional
o. primary
p. carbon monoxide
q. fermentation
r. carbonyl
s. oxidation
t. carboxyl
u. esters, alcohol
a. statement (17)
b. statement (13)
c. statement (15)
d. statement (12)
e. statement (8)
f. statement (9)
g. statement (16)
h. statement (2)
i. statement (4)
j. statement (10)
k. statement (11)
l. statement (7)
m. statement (14)
n. statement (3)
o. statement (6)
p. statement (1)
q. statement (5)
162.
163.
a. deoxyribonucleic acid
b. nucleotides
c. ribose
d. ester
e. complementary
f. thymine, guanine
g. gene
h. transfer, messenger
i. DNA


[^0]:    *For bromcresol green, the resultant color is green.
    This is a combination of the extremes (yellow and blue).
    This occurs when $\mathrm{pH} \approx \mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of the indicator.

